<u>Creation by a Common Creator explains all similarities between creatures and not evolution.</u>

When intelligent creators design things, they will reuse design elements and solutions. This makes sense and all intelligent creators do this. In fact, it is a hallmark of intelligence. All people do this throughput their lives in many ways.

Examples of this are almost endless. The wheel has been used in very many scenarios. Software programs reuse similar architectures, design patterns, and routines. Architects and builders use many of the same elements, materials, and designs to build homes, buildings and roads. Educators will follow well tried methods to develop educational materials and to implement standard methods in the classroom. The assembly line has increased productivity substantially.

So, if people do that, why wouldn't God, who is infinitely more intelligent, do the very same? Of course, God would. And that explains why there are so many similarities between diverse creatures. This is thus a proof that God created all things.

But what about evolution? Evolutionists will claim that similarities prove common descent. Unfortunately, they have removed the only viable option, creation by God, to make that claim. Even atheists will admit that it is impossible to prove there is no God. Yet evolutionists will not even consider that option. Instead, because they will only consider evolution as the answer, then similarities are used as supposed proof for evolution. And yet, inexplicable differences and inexplicable similarities also refute common descent.

The following list some of these inexplicable differences and inexplicable similarities. There are differences in the transcription and translation of DNA to amino acid selection between nuclear DNA and mitochondrial DNA, and between different mitochondria of different species. This difference exists between vertebrates and invertebrates and even within invertebrates. But any change in transcription and translation would require a simultaneous miracle in the very same creature as the DNA itself would require specific edits so as not to produce a number of protein changes. Although there are similarities between prokaryotes and mitochondria, there are inexplicable differences. The same is true between prokaryotes and chloroplasts. For both cases, the theory is somehow a eukaryote engulfed a prokaryote and the engulfed prokaryote and the nucleus of the eukaryote both had coordinated simultaneous dozens of miraculous changes. And it happened twice, once with mitochondria and once for chloroplasts. There are many similarities between prokaryotes and eukaryotes yet many inexplicable differences. Most prokaryotes have circular DNA while eukaryotes have linear DNA organized in chromosomes. And the number of chromosomes varies widely in species. There are many similarities between unicellular creatures and the cells of multicellular creatures yet also some inexplicable differences. And the list goes on and on.

But the problem is that the claimed evolutionary descent trees are a mirage and the age of species and the earth itself must be less than 10,000 years old. This is proved by the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation errors in all species, especially mitochondrial DNA, among many other proofs.

So, when you consider that all species, everything on the earth and the earth itself are less than 10,000 years old and that there is no common descent, there is almost nothing left for supposed evidence for evolution and billions of years.