Proof evolution is false and Christ created all things recently, and Jesus Christ is the only Saviour.

Subtitle:

This book proves that Jesus Christ created all things in 6 days about 6000 years ago, and that Christ is the only Saviour.

Copyright © Mark S Nigro, 2026
All rights reserved.

The author grants permission for this work to be shared, in whole or in part, for non-commercial purposes, provided full attribution is given, that no alterations are made, and that the purpose is to promote Biblical young earth creation for the glory of the Lord Jesus Christ, the only Saviour. Using this work for commercial gain is strictly prohibited without the express written permission of the author.

Preliminary version dated September 15, 2026

Dedication

This book is dedicated to my Saviour, the crucified and risen Lord Jesus Christ, who paid the penalty for all my sins by shedding His blood on the cross, and has given me everlasting life though faith alone in Him. To God my Father and my Lord, God and Saviour Jesus Christ be glory forever.

This book contains a set of numbered posts which prove evolution and billions of years are false, and prove God created everything in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution and that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah.

Introduction

Imagine someone looking at all the information that mankind has ever produced in all books ever written, all notes ever written, all text files, all posts, all comments, all text messages, all blogs, all diaries, all verbal conversations, all other written or typed text, etc. and claim that no intelligent beings were the producers of all that information. If someone claimed such a thing, you would suspect they were just joking or very drunk or had left reality for some reason. But the information in the DNA of all creatures that have ever existed or exists today dwarfs all the information that mankind has ever produced by a vast amount. Yet many evolutionists will deny that all that DNA information must have come from an intelligent Being. Not only that, but many evolutionists will accuse anyone who says that all that information must have come from an intelligent Being as being illogical and unscientific.

Imagine someone who looking at all the things that mankind has ever made and claiming that they were not produced by intelligent beings. There are a vast multitude of things such as cell phones, cell towers, cell phone networks, satellites, cars, trucks, SUVs, planes, helicopters, drones, rockets, bikes, TVs, laptops, ovens, grills, dishwashers, dryers, heaters, air conditioners, books, libraries, manufacturing plants, houses, furniture, roads, bridges, buildings, sidewalks, chairs, forks, knives, spoons, mixers, bowls, plates, cups, pots, keys, locks, doors, windows, radios, traffic lights, boats, fishing reels, suitcases, movies, artwork, picture frames, door frames, staircases, vases, lamps, flashlights, signs, and the list goes on and on and on. If someone claimed that these things were not made by intelligent beings, you would suspect they were just joking or very drunk or had left reality for some reason. Yet the intelligently designed things in all cells that have ever existed or exist today dwarfs the things that mankind has made by a vast amount in both number and the intricacies of these functional bio molecular micro machines. Yet many evolutionists will deny that these things must come from an intelligent Being. And not only that, but many evolutionists will accuse anyone who says that all these things must have come from an intelligent Being as being illogical and unscientific. And the reason for such denials is to maintain the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

This is an established law of reality. And it is supported by a vast number of instances from the real world with no exceptions. Many evolutionists, in their desperation, claim that somehow information and intelligently design things must be treated completely different, in the exact opposite manner from this established law of reality based on a vast amount of instances with no exceptions. But it is evolutionists that must prove that this great violation of this established law of reality is justified. And of course, they have not and cannot.

At this point, it is absolutely certain that God created all things. And therefore the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” is false. Thus, the “no God” assumption can never be used in determining the origin and long ages of things. Simple common sense and rational thinking are enough to understand this.

The following is a proof using adaptive machine learning software (in this case R software) and mathematical induction to prove that God created all things. Thus, this is an irrefutable proof that God exists and created all things. Your mind and reasoning are doing the same thing as discussed just prior due to the vast information content and vast number of intelligently designed things in all living things versus that which mankind has made. This application analyzes living things and proves that they are all intelligently designed, thus all created by God. It does this for both before and after the discoveries of modern biology. It even extends this to prove that God must have created all subatomic particles, all of atomic structure including electronic orbitals, and all the elements. It also contains an interactive screen where you can test anything else. To understand what the screens show, what they mean, and how to use the interactive screen to test things, read the supplied documentation. It also provides the source code for the application, and the data sets used for both training and testing. This software application is incredibly accurate in its predictions of whether something is designed by

an intelligent being or not. It is based just on facts. It has no agenda at all. And it agrees completely with the established law that information and intelligently designed things must have been designed by an intelligent being. And this classifier will correctly classify almost everything in the universe correctly. So again, it is evolutionists that must prove that this great violation of this established law of reality is justified. And of course, they have not and cannot.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1VCiJGyL3T/

Now evolutionists will claim that somehow biological information is different because it is passed down by reproduction. The first problem for evolutionists is the very first cell. It is not an offspring of anything that existed prior to it. So, this refutes this claim at the very start. And such a first cell would have had a vast amount of information, and a vast amount intelligently design things in it at the very beginning. Such could not have arisen from non-living chemicals. This is proved later in this book and has been proved many times by many others in many infallible and irrefutable ways. The following proves that DNA mutation ERRROS cannot produce any information nor any intelligently designed things. In fact, DNA mutation ERRORS destroy all information and all intelligently designed things eventually, as all ERRORS do. This is also an established law of reality. And the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in all individual organisms of all species will later be used shown to prove that all living things must have been created less than 10,000 years ago.

In this book, the phrase “DNA mutation ERRORS” will be used many times with ERRORS always capitalized to emphasize a great error in evolutionary theory. Very many people have been deceived into believing that natural selection somehow produces new species and new genes, and new functionality, tissues, organs, systems, etc. But natural selection can only select from what DNA mutation ERRORS produce. And these DNA mutation ERRORS will never produce any new information, functionality, tissues, organs, systems, etc. for natural selection to select from. Here is an analogy to DNA code using text instead. Consider that if on each of all the planets, moons, asteroids, comets and stars in the universe, that there were 1 million trillion monkeys typing at 1 billion words per minute for the entire supposed about 13.8 billion years that the universe supposedly existed. That is over 10^40 monkeys typing that fast for over 400,000 trillion seconds The odds against that they would type out a single 3,000 character sequence that matched any 3,000 character sequence that exists in all the writings of any kind that mankind has ever produced are far greater than 10^5300 to 1. It would take over 2 pages to type that number out in long form. And that is just for one 3,000 character sequence let alone over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique 3,000 character sequences. This is an analogy to the odds against an average size spontaneously created functional gene, which will be shown to be far greater. And if they instead just began typing over all written text, the odds against any sequence of that size matching any other unique sequence of that size in all the writings of any kind that mankind has ever produced would be about the same, far greater than 10^5300 to 1. And that is just for one 3,000 character sequence let alone over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique 3,000 character sequences. What would happen instead is that

all written text would become utter nonsense. And the same is true for DNA from DNA mutation ERRORS. This is an analogy to the odds against a copied gene that is mutated or an existing gene that is just mutated to produce a particular functional unique gene, which will be shown to be far greater. This last observation when analyzed for DNA mutation ERRORS and their accumulation rates in all species, especially in those species that have mitochondria, will be used to prove that all species are less than 10,000 years old. So, not only will ERRORS never produce any significant useful information whether for DNA or text, they will absolutely destroy any significant useful information that does exist whether for DNA or text. So vast amounts of time do not help evolution at all. In fact, more time is the mortal enemy of the theory of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Very many people believe that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true because of the censorship, propaganda, indoctrination and deceit that they have been subjected to for all or almost all of their lives. Some then make that total censorship by self-censoring because they never consider the arguments against these false claims. Very many have been told that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true with absolute certainty. In reality, evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false with absolute certainty.

The key false philosophy used for these deceptions is the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Please note that many claim that logic is a subset of philosophy. But that means that the only part of philosophy that is necessarily logical is logic, and the rest is not necessarily logical. Therefore, it is possible to be deceived by deceived people with their false use of philosophy. Such is the case for the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

There are many who claim to believe that the Bible is the word of God, but they also believe that the earth and the universe are billions of years old, even that evolution and common descent are true, and even that mankind evolved from some apelike creature over millions of years. First, the Bible empathically declares that these are lies and that God created all things about 6,000 years ago, with no evolution, and that man was made in the image of God. And, that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah. This is proved in the link below. And all the facts, evidence, science, and logic agree. The reason that these particular people believe that the earth and the universe are billions of years old, even that evolution and common descent are true, and even that mankind evolved from some apelike creature over millions of years is because they have been told that things are absolutely true. And the deceit is the result of the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. That assumption can be restated as the following. There is either no God or if there is a God, God has never done any miraculous thing in the last about 6,000 years. Those miraculous things include 6-day creation as described in Genesis 1, Christ rising from the dead, and all the miracles that Christ did. Thus, no one that claims to believe that the Bible is the word of God should ever be deceived by the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1XJEkEsAxx/

The following 3 concepts will be useful to understand these proofs.

About the use of very large numbers and the odds against something

The following are proofs that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently. As part of some of these proofs, many very large numbers will be calculated and presented. Most of these have to do with a calculation of the odds against evolution producing something. These numbers are so large that they must be expressed in exponential form. A typical example is the odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS having produced a particular functional average size protein coding gene that are far greater than 10^6,000 to 1. The number after the caret ^ is the exponent part. In this example that represents the number 1 followed by over 6,000 zeroes if written out in long form. It would require about 3 pages to type that out. Any odds against something that are greater than 10^50 to 1 can be considered to be so rare that that something will happen or has happened in the about 13.8 billion years that the universe supposedly existed. So, if the number after the caret is greater than 50, it can be considered to be so rare that that something will happen or has happened in the about 13.8 billion years that the universe supposedly existed. And as that exponent becomes much larger than 50, (say 100, or 300 or 1,000 or 3,000 or 10,000 or 30,000 or 100,000 or 1 million or 10 million or 1 billion or much more) then it is so extremely rare that it is inconceivable that it ever happened any significant number of times in the about 13.8 billion years that the universe supposedly existed. Just look for the number after the caret when the odds against evolution are presented. And if there are a vast number of things which each have these enormous odds against, then it is as certain as certain can be that evolution is indeed impossible. Of course, some things are indeed impossible without God. So, then it is certain that evolution is impossible. Some of those things that are impossible without God will be pointed out in these proofs.

About the use of the approximation of large numbers, and the technique of proof through the use of a lower bound or an upper bound number

It is difficult to estimate odds against something for many things in the real world as opposed to simpler situations such as the flipping of a coin, playing the lottery, or in games of chance such as roulette, etc. However, models can be developed and approximate odds against something can be calculated. That can be combined with a method of proof using lower bound mathematics. When used appropriately, the technique proves that if something is false for a minimum number, the lower bound, then it is false for any number greater than that. Conversely, when used appropriately, the technique proves that if something is true for a minimum number, the lower bound, then it is true for any number greater than that. For example, the distance between San Diego and Philadelphia is over 2,100 miles. Assume an extraordinary person could travel almost 150 miles per day over a consecutive stretch of days just by walking. So, it would take at least 14 days for someone to go from San Diego to Philadelphia by just

walking. In the above example, 2,100 miles is a lower bound number as the distance is more than that. And 14 days is a lower bound number calculated by mathematical approximation. So, if anyone claimed that they walked that distance in less than 14 days by walking only, then that claim is false unless someone can walk more than the extraordinary person mentioned above. An alternative is upper bound mathematics. When used appropriately, the technique proves that if something is false for a maximum number, the upper bound, then it is false for any number less than that. Conversely, when used appropriately, the technique proves that if something is true for a maximum number, the upper bound, then it is true for any number less than that. In the above example, the number of miles traveled that an extraordinary person could travel per day over a consecutive stretch of days by just walking is an upper bound number.

The information and data used in this book to refute evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are generally available on the Internet and in the literature in various forms. And this information and data is from evolutionist sources and not just from young earth creationist sources. That is, these are the agreed upon facts. And they are accurate as of 2026. Numbers may vary depending on the source. But the case against evolution presented here uses appropriately either lower bound or upper bound mathematics, and are so vastly against evolution, that it is independent of the actual numbers. And as more discoveries are made, especially when it comes to how DNA works, the case against evolution becomes even more certain.

As to the number of unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, the following information was used. Many sources have the number of current species at approximately 10 million, and that over 99.9% of all species that have ever existed are extinct. Using an average of 10,000 unique genes per species gives over 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. However other sources have the number of current species at approximately 1 billion. With over 99.9% of species extinct, and an average of 10,000 unique genes per species gives over 10,000 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. Finally, some have the number of current species at approximately 1 trillion, which gives over 10 million trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. But no matter what, the case against evolution is certain under all numbers. In fact, this book vastly underestimates the odds against evolution.

How to prove a theory is false, and how to prove a theory is true

A theory is just a conjecture that may be true or it may be false. There are ways to prove a theory is true and there are ways to prove a theory is false. One method to prove a theory is false is to find data that contradicts the theory. Just 1 piece of data that does not match the theory proves that the theory is false and false forever. This is sometimes called refutation by counterexample or contradiction. For example, someone proposes a theory that the sum of any 2 numbers is 10. But 100 + 100 = 200, so the theory is false and false forever. That is a contradiction that refutes the theory.

One method to prove a theory is true, is to first assume it is false. If that assumption leads to at least one contradiction, then the original assumption must be false, and thus its opposite must be true. So, assume that God does not exist and did not create all things. This will lead to a vast number of contradictions, although only 1 contradiction or counterexample is needed. So, the assumption of “no God” must be false. And the opposite of the “no God” assumption must be true. Thus, God exists and created all things.

Please note that this type of proof that God exists and created all things does not assume that God exists or that the Bible is true. In fact, this type of proof assumes that God does not exist, and by necessity, that the Bible is false. So, it is in no way circular reasoning at all. And this type of proof can be used with a vast number of things as the counterexample or contradiction and thus prove that God exists and created all things. Thus, there are a vast number of proofs that God does exist and created all things. Now many atheists will falsely claim that these proofs assume that the Bible is true or that God exists. The opposite is the case. And it is atheists that assume that God does not exist. And it is evolutionists that assume that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true, which are just false assumptions and circular reasoning.

Note that evolutionists will hide the vast number of contradictions that prove their theories are false by calling them anomalies or ignoring them altogether in some manner or another. These anomalies are vast in number. And the more that science learns, the more anomalies are found. And there is no explanation for any of those things that are known to be anomalies. And these anomalies exist throughout the earth, throughout its surface and the details thereof, the fossil bearing rock layers, the fossil record, the oceans, the earth’s atmosphere, its magnetic field, throughout the interior of the earth, in all living things, in radioactive dating and all dating techniques that give a long age to things. And these anomalies exist throughout all the planets, moons, comets, and asteroids in the solar system. And these anomalies exist throughout our galaxy, all other galaxies, and throughout the universe. And the list goes on and on and on. That is, anomalies here, anomalies there, anomalies everywhere. Thus, contradictions here, contradictions there, contradictions everywhere. Each of these anomalies are contradictions to the theories of evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science. Thus, these anomalies, aka contradictions, prove all these theories false, and prove that God created all things recently.

Many evolutionists will claim that certain things could never have been done by God because they are impossible and violate the laws of nature. But this is just the “no God” assumption again. That assumption has been proved false by many people, many times, in many irrefutable and infallible ways. God created all things including the very laws of nature. And the act of creation itself violates the laws of nature. Such limitations do not apply to **God Almighty**. Such claims are just straw man arguments. And all claims that God should not have done something in a certain way, and all claims that God is unrighteous in His judgements, are also just straw man arguments. These too are philosophical arguments but not logical at all as they appeal to emotions and limited

understanding of people. Remember that many claim the logic is a subset of philosophy. But that means that the only part of philosophy that is necessarily logical is logic, and the rest is not necessarily logical.

Very many people have been deceived by a philosophy that God ALMIGHTY could not have created all things because that would be impossible. They will claim that God ALMIGHTY cannot violate physical laws. But it has already been proved that God ALMIGHTY did indeed create all things. And that includes the extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly universe that obeys the laws of nature which were created by God. Creation by God itself violates the laws of nature. So, God ALMIGHTY can violate the laws of nature at will since there is nothing impossible with God ALMIGHTY.

Evolutionists then complete the deception, and invent their own version of god almighty, that can violate the laws of nature. They do this by assuming that everything that exists must have come into existence without God, and that evolution, billions of years, common descent are true. This false god almighty of evolutionists is “enough time”. That is, given enough time all things are possible even the violation of the laws of nature. This is one of the greatest deceptions ever and is unscientific, illogical and irrational. Many things are impossible without God. And even an infinite amount of time will not make them possible. As will be shown below, time itself must have had a beginning and that there was nothing in existence before that. But nothing cannot do anything even if there was an infinite amount of time. There are many other things that are impossible without God because they would violate the laws of nature. Thus, any claim that given enough time they will happen is unscientific, illogical and irrational. Many other things are so implausible due to the incomprehensibly vast odds against that they would require a trillion times a trillion … times a trillion times a trillion years, where the phrase “times a trillion” is repeated so many times as to fill a large sized book or even very many books. Yet the universe is only about 13.8 billion years old according to evolutionists. So, it is as certain as certain can be that they did not happen in all that time. Thus, time is not infinite but finite and not almighty.

Finally, it will be proved that all things are less than 10,000 years old. And none of the things that evolutionist claim happened could have happened in that time. So, the false god of evolution, “enough time”, is absolutely powerless. There are quite a number of things pertaining to the origin of things that are impossible without God. And there are a vast multitude of things pertaining to the origin of things that are so improbable based on the incomprehensibly vast odds against that each is about as certain as certain can be that they could never have happened without God. But evolutionists turn this all on its head and claim that all happened with absolute 100% certainty without any evidence or shred of proof. They do this by assuming evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true combined with refusing to consider that God created everything. Thus, they have removed the only possibility - that God created everything. This leaves only “Atheistic Origin Science” with its “no God” assumption. Therefore, the real God Almighty can’t do anything, and their god almighty, enough time, can do all things according to the false “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

Evolutionists will claim that they can account for all these unique genes. But that is just because they ASSUME that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true. Therefore, evolution, billions of years, and common descent must be true, because they ASSUME that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true. That is pure CIRCULAR REASONING. Therefore, similarities between genes and species are ASSUMED to be evidence that these things happened in the past. But these are just FALSE ASSUMPTIONS and pure CIRCULAR REASONING, which can never be used as evidence. In fact, evolutionists have no evidence of anything that they claim happened in the past older than about 6,000 years without the use of ASSUMPTIONS and CIRCULAR REASONING. And the only possibility, that God created all things, is not allowed. Therefore, according to their FALSE ASSUMPTIONS, CIRCULAR REASONING, and the elimination of God as Creator, evolution, billions of years, and common descent must be true by the use of these deceptions used by evolutionists. This is the most illogical, irrational and unscientific reasoning ever. It is just false religious dogma. This will be discussed in more detail later. Besides, it has already been irrefutably and infallibly proved that God ALMIGHTY does exists and created all things.

The fact that in our times very many people believe that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true proves that that there are great deceptions and delusions. This is actually predicted by the Bible with exact details and exact timing and is just another proof that the Bible is indeed the word of God. In fact, very many predictions from the Bible are all coming true with exact details and exact timing from all parts of the Bible just as the Bible said would happen in our times. Yet these scriptures were written over a period of time from about 3,500 years to about 2,000 years ago. There are many other things which prove that the Bible is the true word of God.

Please note that evolutionists and atheists are deceived in this area of knowledge and are thus in delusion. Thus, what they believe, say, and write are false when it comes to origins and the supposed long ages of things. However, since all of these are deceived, I do not assume that any are purposefully deceiving. They actually believe these false theories and act accordingly. There may be some that do purposely deceive but even they are deceived. So, in this book, when it says deception, it in no way implies anyone is doing this purposefully. They actually believe these things.

Here are a number of resources which refute evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Please take a look at these.

I have added many shared posts about creation by God from a number of Facebook groups, pages, organizations, and individuals to the following Facebook page.

Facebook page – 6-day creation about 6000 years ago

https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=61556140114922

This page now has well over 2,500 posts so far. I hope to add 100s more in the future. I encourage you to follow this page and to look through these posts. These posts are very informative. These posts prove that common descent, evolution and billions of years are false, and prove that God created everything in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution, and that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah. As you look through these, you will learn of many amazing creatures and the things that comprise them, which can only have come into being by creation by God. They are all just too irreducibly complex, functional, information rich, and intelligently designed to have been produced by DNA mutation ERRORS. Other areas which these posts touch upon that are the problems for evolution and the "no God" assumption in areas such as cosmology, the Big Bang, the solar system, the finely tuned universe, DNA, cells and their intricate makeup, geological evidence for the flood, archaeological evidence for the flood and the Bible, and more.

You may want to join or follow one or more of the groups and follow pages from where some particular posts came from. Many of these groups, pages, organizations, and individuals are excellent sources of creation information. Many of these groups are well run and there may be commentators who can answer questions that you have.

Please look at these videos of some of these miraculous biomolecular micromachines. You can also look at some of the suggested videos that are shown as part of a playlist on the side. And if you select any of these, there are even more videos of functionality that could never have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS.

This is a link to a YouTube Playlist about cells and the other incredibly irreducibly complex things in them. All these can only be explained by creation by God.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLI1-pAQgbOGdUZaC29kPWyZVrmTj3fe2R&si=I-auIpDaw90sV0JS

This is a link to a YouTube Playlist about Amazing Creatures which evolution could not have produced.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLI1-pAQgbOGc_gKj7cup_6fpQE9shUrqF&si=VOLnhGbvN_LT9ind

Here is another video.

https://youtu.be/vbgkFEbmGrU?si=qP89YruoWwgQHYTT

There are also many Biblical young earth creation organizations, individuals, papers, books, websites, etc. on the Internet. I encourage you to access these resources.

Table of Contents

1. The mutation error rate for DNA shows that all living fossils could not have remained relatively unchanged for eons or even survived the DNA error degeneration. And this is also true for all species living today. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently.

2. The first creature could not have come into being by random chance. It is impossible.

3. Another irrefutable proof that God exists and created all things. This proof uses the principle that the existence of an intelligently designed object proves the existence of an intelligent being that made it. And this proves that the Bible is the word of God.

4. Macro evolution never happened. The fossil record proves this.

5. Not only does the fossil record prove that macro evolution did not happen in the past, but macro evolution is also not happening today. The lack of partially developed organs and functions in all living creatures proves this.

6. Yet another irrefutable proof that God created all things, and that evolution and billions of years are false by considering all the cells that exist today and have ever existed.

7. Kinesins and dyneins are yet another proof that God created all things. See the video.

8. There should be new genes popping into existence every day. There should be very many millions of partially mutated genes in all living creatures right now. None of that is observed. So, macroevolution is again falsified forever.

9. God created all things. The following is a proof of that using adaptive machine learning software and mathematical induction.

10. The translation RNA codon table is not universal. Each of the many exceptions to the standard table proves that evolution is false, and God created all things. Also, the supposed origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts is impossible which also prove evolution is false.

11. Mitochondria and chloroplasts completely refute evolution and billions of years.

12. Prokaryotes could never have evolved into eukaryotes. This also proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created all things.

13. Supposedly multicellularity has evolved over 50 times in the past. All are impossible. This, all these also prove evolution is false.

14. Amazingly modern evolutionists have fallen back into Lamarckian thinking even with the modern knowledge of DNA and genetics. This logical fallacy is one of the greatest scientific embarrassments, if not the greatest scientific embarrassment, of all time.

15. Censorship, indoctrination, propaganda and deceit are some of the means used to promote evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science".

16. It is impossible for sexual reproduction to have evolved from asexual reproduction. And this supposedly happened 3 times. So, evolution is falsified yet again by these 3 and many other things discussed below, besides all the other multitude of proofs against it.

17. The Bible is the true word of God Almighty the Creator of all things. And thus, what it says is true.

18. All reasoning used to support evolution and billions of years is just circular reasoning and not science nor logical.

19. Don't be conned by the no God assumption of Atheistic Origin "Science". Satan is real and he deceives very many with the no God assumption of Atheistic Origin Science.

20. Challenge for those that believe in billions of years for the age of things. Give real evidence of anything that is more than about 6000 years old or for evolution that is logical and scientific. NO ASSUMPTIONS ALLOWED.

21. Proof that all long age dating of anything in existence is false. And why this removes all supposed evidence for evolution and billions of years.

22. Evolutionists very many times quote very unscientific dates. Why? It is a sign of their deception.

23. Creation by a Common Creator explains all similarities between creatures and not evolution.

24. The false science of Uniformitarianism.

25. The evidence will show that radioactive dating of things with a known young age yield vastly large and inconsistent dates. Thus, the long age dating techniques have been proven to be false, even isochron radioactive isotope dating – part 1.

26. Part 2 - All the long age dating techniques have been proven to be false as they vastly vary in measuring the age of the same thing.

27. Many things that are supposed to be very old are not C-14 dead, even diamonds which resist contamination, proving the earth is only 1000s of years old.

28. There is direct irrefutable evidence from Polonium halos that the rock layers of the Grand Canyon where all formed within a short time during the worldwide flood.

29. Evolutionists claim that flight developed independently 4 times – birds, bats, insects and pterosaurs. But each of these is impossible.

30. Supposedly the eye has evolved 40, 50, 65, 100, dozens and 100s of times. That is impossible and why don't evolutionists know?

31. Polystrate fossilized trees and other polystrate fossils are found in many places around the world and prove that the fossil bearing rock layers were formed by a worldwide flood quickly and not laid down over hundreds of millions of years. Thus, this also refutes evolution and billions of years.

32. The fossil graveyards also disprove evolution and billions of years.

33. There is too little sediment on the ocean floor which limits the age of the oceans to much less than many 10s of millions of years.

34. There are many places where thick rock layers are folded and many are bent way beyond their fracture point, yet with no fracturing. They must have been soft and laid down during the flood.

35. Fossils supposedly over about 60 million years old have soft tissue and many biomolecules still intact. That shows they were buried recently in the flood.

36. Supposedly, evolution produced the nervous system in a multitude of creatures. That is also impossible. It is just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS.

37. Supposedly, evolution produced the circulatory system in all creatures in all creatures that have a circulatory system. That is also impossible. It is just way too irreducibly complex to have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS.

38. Hemoglobin and its variations in a number of species, as well as other types of oxygen carrying proteins in blood in a number of species, cannot be explained by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. Only creation by God can explain it.

39. The extraordinary capabilities of flagella defy evolution and could have never evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. Instead, they prove that the God the Creator created all things by His Almighty power.

40. Supposedly, evolution produced cascading blood clotting in vertebrates. That is impossible. It is an irreducibly complex intelligently designed mechanism that could not have been produced by DNA mutations ERRORS.

41. God created all things, the universe, and the earth in 6 days, about 6000 years ago, without evolution. The Bible and real science prove this is true.

42. The existence of RNA only creatures (viruses) and DNA/RNA creatures which use either asexual or sexual reproduction disproves evolution.

43. There is little or no erosion between certain rock layers even though they were supposedly laid down with very many years to have passed between them.

44. More impossibilities that disprove evolution, in addition to RNA only creatures and DNA/RNA with either asexual or sexual reproduction creatures.

45. Proof that God created the universe. Thus, the no God assumption is again proven false. So, it is illogical, unscientific, and irrational to ever use it in origins or in the long dating of things.

46. Supposedly, evolution produced the immune system in a multitude of creatures. That is impossible. All are irreducibly complex and intelligently designed and could never have been produced by DNA mutation ERRORS.

47. The Bombardier Beetle has an amazing defense mechanism which could never have come into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. The following will show why this, and many other things related to this absolutely refute evolution and billions of years.

48. There is not enough salt in the oceans for the oceans to be many 100s of millions of years old. And certainly not the 3.8 billion years claimed by long age evolutionary theory.

49. There is not enough erosion of the continents for them to be many 10s of millions of years old.

50. There is not enough soil buildup or perturbations between the rock layers for it to have taken millions of years to be laid down. In fact, all must have been laid down in a very short time.

51. There is too much helium in zircons for the earth to be ancient. An analysis shows this limits the age of the earth to about 6000 years.

52. The Cambrian explosion proves that evolution and billions of years are false.

53. Why aren't there multiple trees of life? Afterall if abiogenesis is such a slam dunk, it should have occurred multiple times.

54. Even if by some miracle a first living creature were to come to be, it is for naught. It will be destroyed and have no offspring.

55. Evolution falsified yet again. See how genes in DNA are used as the information rich instructions which are transcripted into RNA which is then translated by taking 3 RNA base pairs and selecting a specific amino acid from the codon table which are then chained together to form proteins. These proteins may be joined together to produce polypeptides, which must be folded into very exact shapes by other proteins else they will not function correctly.

56. Evolutionists have God Almighty envy.

57. Proof that the Big Bang is false and that all matter and time had a beginning, and that Go created all things. Thus, the "no God" assumption is again proven false. So, it is illogical, unscientific, and irrational to ever use it in origins or in the long dating of things.

58. The speed of light, red shift and stretched out or inflated space.

59. The finite speed of light is a problem for atheistic origin science and not for God Almighty.

60. Update on bio molecules that are supposedly ancient.

61. Update on sedimentary rock layers that are bent beyond the fracture point and yet have little or no fracturing.

62. Cold subducted slabs exist in the lower mantle. This proves that they have not been there for a vast amount of time. Instead, they must have been subducted recently. This refutes the long ages of evolutionary theory and is evidence of the Biblical flood in the days of Noah about 4500 years ago.

63. Evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS could never have produced the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. This proves that evolution, billions of years, common descent are false, and that God created all things recently.

64. Living fossils prove evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created all things recently.

65. There are areas in various places in the world which have "overthrusts" or "thrust faults". These are regions where older rock layers are on top of younger rock layers. Many of these are characterized by thick layers extending over areas that are many square miles in size. Some extend over hundreds and thousands of square miles. These disprove evolution and billions of years.

66. The complete metamorphosis of caterpillars into butterflies disproves evolution and its sidekick billions of years. It also proves God created all things recently. During metamorphosis, a caterpillar changes into a butterfly. It does this by forming a chrysalis where much of its body breaks down and then reassembles itself into another shape. There are many other insects that also undergo complete metamorphosis.

67. The duck billed platypus and the echidna refute evolution and billions of years, and prove God created all things.

68. It is impossible for enzymes to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. There are over a trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) unique enzymes that have existed in all species that have existed. And there are over a trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) unique protein coding genes that produce enzymes that have existed in all species that have existed.

69. The faint young sun paradox refutes billions of years and its sidekick evolution. In an attempt to rescue evolution and billions of years, some have even proposed a pagan goddess, Gaia, as the solution.

70. Evolutionists have God Almighty envy. So, they deny that the real God Almighty exists and have invented their own almighty god, enough time. Not only that, but they ignore the fact that the odds against evolution and billions of years prove that they are certainly false. And instead have flipped reality on its head and assumed that evolution and billions of years are absolutely certainly true, all done with the no God assumption.

71. It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species and in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things.

72. The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently.

73. It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS.

74. There is a multitude of evidence which proves that the earth, and everything in it and the universe are not billions of years old but were created relatively recently. This also then refutes evolution.

75. An analysis of the creation proves that evolution and billions of years are false and that God that created all things recently.

76. Some resources to prove evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and God created all things recently.

77. Of Mice and Men. Why the similarity in DNA between mice and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things recently. And why the claim of similarity in ERVs are a smoking gun that proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

78. Why the similarity in DNA between birds and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

79. Why the similarity in DNA between zebrafish and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

80. Why the similarity in DNA between sea urchins and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

81. Why the similarity in DNA between honeybees and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

82. Why the similarity in DNA between bananas and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

83. Why the similarity in DNA between chimps and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

84. Why the similarity in DNA between African clawed frog and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

85. Why the similarity in DNA between green anole lizard and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

86. Why the similarity in DNA between cats and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

87. Why the similarity in DNA between dogs and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

88. Why the similarity in DNA between kangaroos and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

89. Why the similarity in DNA between platypuses and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

90. Kinesins and dyneins and myocins. Oh my! DNA mutation ERORRS could not have produced these. These motor proteins prove evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things.

91. The supposed DNA similarity between species contradicts the supposed time since their last common ancestor based on the DNA mutation ERRORS rates. Each of these contradictions refute evolution and billions of years. Each of these refutes evolution and billions of years, the supposed evolutionary descent tree, the ages assigned to all associated rock layers, and the dates and dating methods of anything claimed to be ancient in those rock layers.

92. Mankind is made in the image of God. Mankind is different from all other creatures. This matches the Biblical record but refute evolution and billions of years.

93A. Supposedly, evolution produced introns through DNA mutation ERRORS. That is impossible. Introns, the proteins that are involved in processing them, and the requirement to actually edit the DNA of an organism in inserting them, would have to have OCCURRED in the very same organism simultaneously. And this one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles would have happened over a trillion times. Introns are too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved from DNA mutation ERRORS. Spliceosomes with over 100 protein coding genes process introns but almost all its genes have introns, an impossible to resolve contradiction.

93B. Supposedly, evolution produced introns through DNA mutation ERRORS. That is impossible. Introns, the proteins that are involved in processing them, and the requirement to actually edit the DNA of an organism in inserting them, would have to have OCCURRED in the very same organism simultaneously. And this one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles would have happened over a trillion times. Introns are too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved from DNA mutation ERRORS. Spliceosomes with over 100 protein coding genes process introns but almost all its genes have introns, an impossible to resolve contradiction – Part 2.

94. Many evolutionists have claimed that the similarities in endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) between certain species prove common descent. The

following will not only show that that is false, but these similarities actually refute common descent, evolution and billions of years, and prove God created all things recently. Furthermore, the following will also expose the illogical reasoning that plagues the evolutionist mind.

95. Proof that God created the universe. Thus, the no God assumption is again proven false. So, it is illogical, unscientific, and irrational to ever use it in origins or in the long dating of things. Thus, any supposed scientific paper about origins or the long ages of things is illogical, unscientific, and irrational without the word, God, in it. All should be modified with a warning as given later in this proof.

96. The number 3, which represents the triune God of the Bible, aka the Trinity, is prominent in much of science, mathematics, logic, and society.

97. A passage written by Stephen Hawking actually proves that God Almighty created all things and that the Bible is the true word of God.

98. How the collapse of the junk DNA theory refutes common descent, evolution and billions of years, and proves God created all things recently.

99. Mankind is made in the image of God. Mankind is different from all other creatures. This matches the Biblical record but refutes common descent, evolution and billions of years.

100. Many prophecies from the Bible have come true and many are coming true with exact timing and exact details even in our time as predicted. This is just one infallible proof that the Bible is the true word of God. Thus, what it says is true. And it says that God created all things in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution and there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago.

101. It is impossible for any new functionality, organs, tissues, systems, subsystems, etc. to come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. The odds against are incomprehensibly vast, and the time it would take is unimaginable.

102. An analysis of enzymes and proteins essential for DNA replication, and thus reproduction, and protein synthesis, and thus survival, proves that common descent, evolution, and billions of years are false. They also prove God created all things recently. This proof considers topoisomerase, polymerase, ligase, primase, helicase, nucleases, single-strand binding (SSB) proteins, and peptidyl transferase.

103. Yet another proof that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently. Certain proteins, such as ATP synthase, produce ATP which is a molecule that stores and provides most of the energy used by cells. But ATP is required to make proteins including the proteins

such as ATP synthase that make ATP. So how could proteins such as ATP synthase that make ATP have been produced since they require ATP for their very existence?

104. Proof that abiogenesis is impossible. There are many things that prove that abiogenesis is impossible without God. Plus, the following will show that evolution, billions of years and common descent are false. This refutes the "no God" assumption of Atheistic Origin Science" which is the basis of evolution, billions of years and common descent. And this proves that God created all things recently. Part 1.

105. Proof that abiogenesis is impossible. There are many things that prove that abiogenesis is impossible without God. Plus, the following will show that evolution, billions of years and common descent are false. This refutes the "no God" assumption of Atheistic Origin Science" which is the basis of evolution, billions of years and common descent. And this proves that God created all things recently. Part 2.

120. The gospel of Christ.

POSTS

1. The mutation error rate for DNA shows that all living fossils could not have remained relatively unchanged for eons or even survived the DNA error degeneration. And this is also true for all species living today. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. This post gives more detailed information on a previous post. (TOC) (back)

Each time there is an offspring, there are going to be ERRORS in the DNA code that even the correction system misses. These will accumulate in the DNA code over time in all individual creatures of all species. And there is no way to eliminate them as all individual creatures will have acquired even more of these in their DNA and at different locations, passing them on to all offspring. While deadly and debilitating ERRORS are eliminated over time, those that are mildly disadvantageous or even neutral are not eliminated. Also, natural selection cannot help because all individual creatures of all species have the accumulation, and all decedent lines of all survivors will continue to accumulate ERRORS. And these random ERRORS in the DNA do not reset with a new "species". So according to evolution and billions of years, there should be a large genetic load in ALL individual creatures of ALL species. This is not the case. This is a global clock for all living things that proves that life is not billions or millions of years old, but much less.

Consider the following 2 cases just as an illustration.

Crocodiles
Crocodiles have supposedly been around for at least 200 million years.

For saltwater crocodiles, assume an intergenerational time of about 14 years old.
So, there are about 14 million generations of crocodiles in that span.
The size of the DNA code for saltwater crocodiles is about 2.8 billion base pairs.
Assume the number of DNA mutation ERRORS per generation is about 80.
14 million generations x 80 mutations per generation = 1.2 billion mutations.
That is over 40% of the total size of the DNA code for crocodiles.
In that supposed long span of time, they supposedly have changed little and yet their DNA code should have accumulated over 40% ERRORS forcing the species to change drastically. So, it is impossible that they changed little over all that span of time. And they certainly could not have survived as species with all that random DNA mutations degradation. It is impossible. In fact, a 4% genetic load in the nuclear DNA of crocodiles would have led to their extinction, which would have happened in less than 19 million years.

Lamprey
Lampreys have supposedly been around for over 360 million years.
Assume an intergenerational time of about 6 years.
So, there are about 60 million generations of lampreys in that span.
The size of the DNA code for the lamprey is about 1.6 billion base pairs.
Assume the number of DNA mutation ERRORS per generation is about 30.
60 million generations x 30 mutations per generation = 1.8 billion mutations.
That is over 100% of the total DNA code, which shows their entire DNA code would be corrupted.
In that time, they have not changed much at all and yet their DNA code should have become 100% corrupted. That would have forced lampreys to change. So, it is impossible for them to have remained almost unchanged. The lamprey could not have existed for that long without massive changes. In fact, they could not have survived at all for that long. In fact, a 4% genetic load in the nuclear DNA of crocodiles would have led to their extinction, which would have happened in less than 14.4 million years.

The same is true for all living fossils. Genetic DNA mutation accumulation does not reset with a new “species”, so all species have accumulated DNA mutation ERRORS from the first living thing through all supposed subsequent species for a span of over 1 billion years. And that comes to well over 100% mutation ERRORS in the DNA code for all individual creatures of all species alive today and even those that supposedly lived over 400 million years ago. That means they would have all died off many eons ago. Evolution, billions of years, and common descent have been irrefutably falsified by the evidence yet again.

Please note that the above only considered mutations to nuclear DNA and not mitochondrial DNA. The later mutates much more quickly (at least 100x faster). Mitochondrial DNA is essential to cell survival since it is what provides much of the cell’s energy. Given its faster mutation rate, the above accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in their mitochondrial DNA would have killed off all species quicker, much less than millions of years. For example, a 4% degradation rate for nuclear DNA would be a disaster for any species. Probably a smaller degradation limit would be a disaster. For

crocodiles, they would have reached 4% in their nuclear DNA in about 19 million years and with the 100x faster mitochondria mutation rate, crocodiles could only have existed for a maximum of 190,000 years. For the lamprey, they would have reached the 4% nuclear DNA error limit in about 14 million years and with the 100x faster mitochondria mutation rate, crocodiles can only have existed for a maximum of 130,000 years. Similar limits can be calculated for all species. Thus, all the major branching in the descent tree of evolution have been falsified. The common descent tree is a mirage. There is no common descent at all, except variation and adaptation within created kinds. And since all species have not reached this degradation limit, living things are no more than 10,000 years old. This of course falsifies the supposed ages of the rock layers. And that proves the long age dating of things to be a false science. Evolution has been falsified. And God must have created all things. The house of cards upon which evolution and billions of years are built upon has collapsed.

Please note that for a 4% nuclear DNA degradation there would be ERRORS in most if not all protein coding genes. For a 20,000- base pairs gene, the average is approximately 800 ERRORS. For a 4,000- base pairs gene, the average is approximately 160 ERRORS.

For people and chimps, there supposedly was a common ancestor about 7 million years ago.
Assume an intergenerational time of about 14 years.
So, there are about 500,000 generations of leading up to mankind in that span.
The size of the DNA code for the mankind is about 3 billion base pairs.
Assume the number of mutations per generation is about 60.
500,000 generations x 60 DNA mutation ERRORS per generation = 30 million mutations.
That is over 1% of the total size of the DNA code for people. So, for a 4% DNA error accumulation, that is about 70 million years, but for a 100x faster mutation rate for mitochondrial DNA, less than 700,000 years. A similar calculation for chimps would show a similar limitation which proves that people and chimps do not have a common ancestor.

Answers to possible objections

Objection: The intergenerational times are assumed and not accurate.
Answer: It really matters little to the final conclusions. For example, an intergenerational time of 30 years for crocodiles still gives 20% degradation in 200 million years and a maximum of 400,000 years for crocodile species with 4% limit degradation and the faster mitochondrial rate.

Objection: The mutation rates given for nuclear DNA given are not accurate.
Answer: It really matters little to the final conclusions. For example, a nuclear DNA mutation ERRORS rate of 40 per generation for crocodiles still gives over 20% degradation in 200 million years and a maximum of less than 400,000 years for the species with 4% limit on degradation and the faster mitochondrial rate.

Objection: DNA degradation resets with a new species.
Answer: Of course it does not reset. There is no mechanism to repair all the DNA accumulated ERRORS because there is no record of the original and all individuals of all species accumulate ERRORS.

Objection: Natural selection or survival of the fittest removes the mutations that are deadly and disadvantageous.
Answer: But that does not help at all in this case because these mutations are neutral or at most slightly disadvantageous. And all induvial creatures of all species have about the same accumulated load. No matter how many individuals are removed from the population through natural selection, all surviving individuals of all species still have the accumulated DNA error load. And all descendant lines from the survivors will continue to accumulate ERRORS with each new generation.

Objection: There are no citations that exist that show random ERRORS in the DNA code or anywhere near that which is quoted in this proof.
Answer: Actually, there are citations of these mutation error rates and from non-creationists sources. The following link is just one and contains the below paragraph. I used only 60 per generation for people. Others have 64 (as shown below), 70, others 100 or more. I also only had the mitochondrial accumulation error rate as 100x nuclear DNA, when the below source has more. This helps the evolutionist case. It is a simple technique which uses a lower bound for the sake of all argumentations.
Please note that I only used the following articles, especially the below paragraph, for the mutations rate. These are evolutionist sites and do not in any way say that this disproves evolution, and God is not mentioned in either.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mutation_rate#:~:text=This%20means%20that%20a%20human,cell%20divisions%20to%20generate%20gametes.

The **human mutation rate** is higher in the male germ line (sperm) than the female (egg cells), but estimates of the exact rate have varied by an order of magnitude or more. This means that a human genome accumulates around 64 new mutations per generation because each full generation involves a number of cell divisions to generate gametes.[12] Human mitochondrial DNA has been estimated to have mutation rates of ~3× or ~2.7×10^{-5} per base per 20 year generation (depending on the method of estimation);[13] these rates are considered to be significantly higher than rates of human genomic mutation at ~2.5×10^{-8} per base per generation.[14] Using data available from whole genome sequencing, the human genome mutation rate is similarly estimated to be ~1.1×10^{-8} per site per generation.[15]

The following source gives rates for other species.

https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-023-05752-y#:~:text=On%20average%2C%20mutation%20rates%20per,95%25%20CI%20of%20the%20mean%20%3D

Objection: DNA ERRORS are the source of new genes and functionality. New genes come into being by a copy of an existing gene being mutated with beneficial changes being selected from a population through survival of the fittest.
Answer: Random ERRORS do not produce any beneficial new function to select, and the DNA of all species will be completely corrupted before any new gene would be plausible. Start with a copy of an existing gene with 9000 nucleotide base pairs. The odds against even 40 new base pairs coming from random ERRORS to make a specific gene are far greater than 10^129 to 1. Now people and chimps are supposedly descended from a common ancestor which lived 7 million years ago. With an average intergenerational time of 14 years for the branch leading to mankind, and a nuclear DNA mutation ERRORS rate per generation of 60, the nuclear DNA degradation would be 30 million ERRORS or 1% of the DNA size. But the mitochondrial DNA would be 100% or completely corrupted. That is mankind would have gone extinct eons ago. Assuming an average population of 20 million for the 500,000 generations leads to a total population of 10 trillion. The odds against even 40 new base pairs in that 9000 base pairs gene coming into being from random ERRORS to make a specific gene are still far greater than 10^116 to 1. For 300 base pairs being randomly changed to a new functional gene, the odds against are far greater than 10^699 to 1, when reduced by the total population. And for the addition of 3000 base pairs to make a new functional gene, the odds against are far greater than 10^1780 to 1, when reduced by the total population. And for the addition of 9000 base pairs to make a new functional gene, the odds against are far greater than 10^5405 to 1, when reduced by the total population. These odds are incomprehensible. So, it is certain that the mankind would have gone extinct, and it is near certain that not one new gene with significant beneficial changes to an existing copy of a gene would have happened. The same reasoning would apply to all individuals of all species and for all 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed.

Objection: Just because living things have not been around for millions of years does not prove the earth is young.
Answer: Of course it does. It has been proven that all living things are less than 10,000 years old. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false.

Objection: Just because God exists and created all things does not show that the God of the Bible is the Creator of all things.
Answer: Of course it does. There is only one book which claims to be authored by the Creator and can stand all tests. And that is the Bible which proves itself in many infallible ways. And all other books, religions and gods are easily proven false. So, what the Bible says is true. And Christ is the Creator of all things and the only Saviour, with the gospel of Christ given in Rom 1:16, 1 Cor 15:1-4, Acts 16:30-31, Eph 2:8-9, Eph 1:13, Rom 3:22-25, Rom 4:1-7, John 6:47, et al. So, you do not have to believe the false sciences of evolution and billions of years but can believe the truth as revealed by God in His book, the Bible. As Christ said, “If the Son therefore shall make you free, ye shall be free indeed.” - John 8:36.

The above proof is just one of many proofs which infallibly prove that evolution and billions of years are false. All science, all evidence, all facts, all math, all logic and all reality prove evolution and billions of years are false. There is no evidence for evolution and billions of years that is logical and scientific. Evolution and billions of years are false sciences and should be completely retracted worldwide immediately.

2. The first creature could not have come into being by random chance. It is impossible. (TOC) (back)

There are many ways to prove that God Almighty exists, that God created all things, that the Holy Bible is the true word of God, and what it says is true. Here is one of those irrefutable proofs.

There is a method of proof that uses the law of non-contradiction. This is how it works. Assume something is not true. Then show that that leads to a contradiction. Therefore, the original assumption must be false. So, what was assumed to be false must be true.

So, **assume that there is no God who created all things**. That will lead to a multitude of contradictions. Therefore, the assumption that God does not exist must be false. Which means that the opposite, that God exists and created all things, must be true.

With the no God assumption, there are many things that will lead to a contradiction. The focus of this proof will be the first living creature. Life from nonliving chemicals is called abiogenesis, which is impossible. Without a first living creature there can be no living things at all. But there are living things. And that is also a contradiction.

One of the smallest known free-living things is a bacterium, called Mycoplasma genitalium, with about 475 protein coding genes and a genome of about 580,000 base pairs. It may be that that is about the same genome size as the supposed first living cell. But assume a simpler cell of just 400 protein coding genes with an average of 9,000 base pairs per gene. After all, there must be a minimum set of features, and thus genes, for a first cell to survive and reproduce. And for many reasons, a much smaller cell is preposterous. The DNA code is a sequence of nucleotide base pairs (designated A, T,

G, and C). Each base in one intertwined strand sequence is paired with a complementary nucleotide base (T, A, C, and G respectively) in the other intertwined strand sequence. RNA sequences are made using the DNA sequences for creatures that use DNA. RNA is a single strand that uses the nucleotide bases A, U, G, and C. RNA sequences are then used to make proteins which are sequences of amino acids. There are many types of proteins, each with a specific sequence of amino acids. There are 20 different amino acids in living things and all must be left-handed. DNA and RNA are all right-handed nucleotides. The sequences of “letters” of these codes must be exact for a first living creature to have survived and reproduced. The proteins make up the body, shape, and structure of the living thing to live and reproduce.

Although the smallest free-living creature is a DNA based creature which has over about 580,000 base pairs, assume a much smaller first living creature with only 400,000 base pairs. The odds against a sequence of 400,000 base pairs (8 kinds counting handedness) coming into existence by random chance is 8^400,000 to 1 or over 10^360,000 to 1. Odds over 10^50 to 1 are considered impossible and people are given the death penalty with DNA odds of 10^15 to 1. Now such a first living creature would also have had at least as many amino acids in it as the number of base pairs in its DNA. The odds against all those amino acid sequences with a total of at least 400,000 amino acids (39 counting all left-handed) is 39^400,000 to 1 or about 10^630,000 to 1. So, the total odds against this tiny DNA sequence and all those proteins are about 10^1,000,000 to 1. And this does not include all the RNA strands that would have to be present. And it does even consider that such a first living creature would have had about 100 billion other atoms in it. Now each atom must be in a certain 3D arrangement with certain elements at each location. Assume 100 possibilities per atom. The odds against that are far greater than 100^100,000,000,000 to 1 or far greater than 10^200,000,000,000 to 1. And this is just for this pathetically small first living creature. Against those odds are all the possibilities that at some point and time in the universe, there was a chance for it to happen. If you take the size of the universe and for all its supposed 13.7 billion years and divide it up into tiny cubes with the size of its size of a Planck length and all that time into tiny intervals of a Planck time, there are 10^250 chances. That reduces the odds to far greater than 10^199,999,999,250 to 1. It would take more than 66,000 books the size of the King James Bible to type out that number. It is beyond the comprehension of anyone.

That could never have happened anywhere in the universe for over the supposed 13.7 billion years of its existence. But it actually is impossible because no concentration of that amount of amino acids would happen by random chance. There are other factors that make abiogenesis impossible. It would be a super great miracle of miracles. It is impossible to have happened by random chance. There is no place where all these amino acids, all left-handed and all these nucleotides, all right-handed, exist is these amounts to make a first living creature. There is no place where there is any concentration of all these in that amount anywhere in all the universe. There is no place where all these other elements have ever come together in the amount needed in such a small space and in such an orderly 3D arrangement at a small finite window of time to form a first living creature. The 2nd law of thermodynamics not only scatters any order,

but it also prevents it from happening. And any first living creature could not have been assembled slowly in pieces because without the whole creature it cannot survive. Therefore, God created all things.

And that is just to get to the first living thing. There would have to have been well over 100 trillion other super great miracles of miracles to produce all living creatures by evolution. That would be about 8000 miracles each year for each of the supposed 13.7 billion years. That comes to over 20 miracles each day for all that supposed time. And of course, it is impossible for the extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly universe to have come into being without God.
So, this is a simple irrefutable proof using the law of non-contradiction.
Assume there is no God.
Show the contradictions.
Therefore, God exists.
The proof that the Bible is the true word of God is also easy. There are very few books that claim to be written by God the Creator of all things and only the Holy Bible proves that it is indeed the word of God.

Thus, the enormity of the following statement found in the Bible should be abundantly clear to all the world, so much so, that they are indeed without excuse.

19 Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. **20** For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, **being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse:** - Rom 1:19-20

Since this wisdom, written about 2000 years ago, comes from the word of God, it proves that the Bible is the true word of God. There are many other things which prove that the Bible is the true word of God. Now the Bible predicted that knowledge shall be increased in the last days in Daniel 12:4. But with all the great advances in biology, the passage in Romans 19-20, has not only withstood the scrutiny of science, but has immensely been verified by it.

Here are some answers to a few objections to this proof.

Some object to the use of the law of non-contradiction. They insist that you cannot use that at all. You must use their way and nothing else. Which means they are insisting that only their way is true. But that is using the law of non-contradiction which contradicts their reasoning. But reality and sanity dictate the use of the law of non-contradiction. Everyone does so all the time.

Some insist that the first living creature is much smaller. But to exist and reproduce, it must have a minimum set of features. If it is only consisted of proteins, then RNA and DNA must have evolved somehow afterwards. But that would require several more super great miracles of miracles in addition to the proteins only miraculous first such creature. It would also be impossible as the creature would have to switch how it

survives and reproduces all at once to the new method of using RNA. Such an event would destroy it. The same goes for an RNA-only based first living creature. DNA must have evolved and that too requires several more super great miracles of miracles in addition to the RNA-only miraculous first such creature. It would also be impossible as the creature would have to switch how it survives and reproduces all at once to the new method of using DNA. Such an event would destroy it.

Some object that to the calculations that are used in this proof. But it is just simple science and math. The technique used calculated a lower bound for the odds against, which is quite valid and used in proofs all the time.

Some object that there are very many DNA, RNA, and protein sequences that would work. Indeed, that would help the odds against quite a bit. But that would still leave the odds as vastly against just for specific DNA sequences. And the above still proves abiogenesis is impossible. Almost all ERRORS would destroy the first living creature or stop reproduction.

Some object that the Bible says that belief in God is a matter of faith. But Romans 1:19-20 says it is so obvious that they are without excuse. And the Bible says “prove all things” in 1 Thess 5:21.

Some object that even though the odds are so vast against, it is still plausible. But the above has proved that abiogenesis is impossible. And there is no record of it having happened in the past or is happening today. Also, there is no plausible mechanism that anyone has put forward that it could have happened and evolved into all the living things that exist today. And it would still take over 100 trillion super great miracles of miracles to produce all living thing that exist today, over 20 per day for every day for all of the supposed 13.7 billion years that the universe has existed. One after another with each as if directed by a super intelligent being, not a non-living unintelligent set of particles. And even if all these over 100 trillion miracles were just extremely implausible, who would risk eternal damnation based on that for you or those that you know and love.

Some object that all this proves nothing, it is not proof. But everything about evolution and billions of years is either impossible or extremely implausible. Yet it is sold as absolutely 100% certain. This may be the greatest deception ever. There is no evidence for evolution and billions of years that is logical and scientific. No one has ever found any, and no one ever will.

The extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly universe coming into being from nothing is impossible. Abiogenesis is impossible. Other impossibilities include asexual to sexual reproduction, prokaryotic cells to eukaryotic cells, multicellularity, mitochondria and chloroplasts from engulfed bacteria, the existence of RNA only viruses, DNA viruses, cells, non-universal transcription for mitochondria DNA and in various types of organisms, flight, vision, etc. And the DNA mutation accumulation rate proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created everything recently. To

account for all the unique genes in all species that have ever lived over 100 trillion implausible miracles at a rate of about 75 per day for the supposed 3.7 billion years since life supposedly came into being on the earth. Each of these in an order as if directed by an Almighty Intelligence, aka God Almighty.

So, the odds that evolution and billions of years are true is 0 out of infinity. And the odds that God created all things is absolutely 100% certain. Yet evolutionists have that completely flipped this around by pretending evolution is certain, and no God is certain. So, the definition of proof used by evolution for evolution is just assume it all happened. And definition of proof required by evolutionists to prove God created everything is that none will ever be accepted no matter how certain.

The same proof of the existence of God Almighty the Creator of all things through the law of non-contradiction can be done by considering the existence of the universe, the existence of time, the existence of the finely tuned orderly laws of nature, the existence of all genes in all species, and the existence of all irreducibly complex functions, organs, etc in all living creatures. Each can be used to show the contradiction. And each proves God created all things and that evolution and billions of years are false.

By the way, what was the first living creature, what features did it have, and where did it come from? Now repeat for the next 1 billion generations along all descent lines.

3. Another irrefutable proof that God exists and created all things. This proof uses the principle that the existence of an intelligently designed object proves the existence of an intelligent being that made it. And this proves that the Bible is the word of God. (TOC) (back)

The following proves that God Almighty exists and created all things.

Suppose that you had never seen a modern cell phone before or knew of its existence. You find such a device. An examination of its incredible uses and how intricately it was made would prove the existence of the intelligent maker(s) of that phone. Other intelligently designed **things** which prove the existence of the intelligent maker(s) are cars, planes, bikes, TVs, laptops, ovens, grills, dishwashers, dryers, heaters, air conditioners, houses, furniture, roads, bridges, buildings, sidewalks, chairs, books, forks, knives, spoons, mixers, bowls, plates, cups, pots, keys, doors, radios, traffic lights, boats, fishing reels, suitcases, movies, artwork, picture frames, vases, lamps, flashlights, signs, and the list goes on and on. All these prove the existence of intelligent maker(s) of these things. It is reality. If you do not believe this to be true, just walk around for a month, and for everyone that you meet point to these things and tell them that those things were not made by any intelligent maker. You might have to explain what you mean because they will probably not understand what you are saying. Do not in any way tell them that you are performing an experiment. Do this to family, friends, neighbors, coworkers, and strangers. The more you encounter a certain person, the more you must do this. Then report your findings and what people said.

Now imagine someone looking at all the information that mankind has ever produced in all books ever written, all notes ever written, all text files, all posts, all comments, all text messages, all blogs, all diaries, all verbal conversations, all other written or typed text, etc. and claim that no intelligent beings were the producers of all that information. If someone claimed such a thing, you would suspect they were just joking or very drunk or had left reality for some reason. But the information in the DNA of all creatures that have ever existed or exists today dwarfs all the information that mankind has ever produced by a vast amount. Yet many evolutionists will deny that all that DNA information must have come from an intelligent Being. Not only that, but many evolutionists will accuse anyone who says that all that information must have come from an intelligent Being as being illogical and unscientific.

Now imagine someone who looking at all the things that mankind has ever made and claiming that they were not produced by intelligent beings. There are a vast multitude of things such as cell phones, cell towers, cell phone networks, satellites, cars, trucks, SUVs, planes, helicopters, drones, rockets, bikes, TVs, laptops, ovens, grills, dishwashers, dryers, heaters, air conditioners, books, libraries, manufacturing plants, houses, furniture, roads, bridges, buildings, sidewalks, chairs, forks, knives, spoons, mixers, bowls, plates, cups, pots, keys, locks, doors, windows, radios, traffic lights, boats, fishing reels, suitcases, movies, artwork, picture frames, door frames, staircases, vases, lamps, flashlights, signs, and the list goes on and on and on. If someone claimed that these things were not made by intelligent beings, you would suspect they were just joking or very drunk or had left reality for some reason. Yet the intelligently designed things in all cells that have ever existed or exist today dwarfs the things that mankind has made by a vast amount in both number and the intricacies of these functional bio molecular micro machines. Yet many evolutionists will deny that these things must come from an intelligent Being. And not only that, but many evolutionists will accuse anyone who says that all these things must have come from an intelligent Being as being illogical and unscientific. And the reason for such denials is to maintain the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

And in reality, of course, evolutionists have no actual explanation for the origin of cells, DNA, RNA, proteins, chromosomes, genes, introns, enzymes, prokaryotic cells, eukaryotic cells, multicellular organisms, sexual reproduction, cell type differentiation in multicellular organisms, hox genes, homeobox genes, ATP, all organelles, any other part of a cell, the extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly universe, the laws of physics, flagella, cilia, flight, sight, protein folding, epigenetics, the supercoiling of DNA, DNA repair, the complete metamorphosis of caterpillars into butterflies, cascading blood clotting in vertebrates, the defense mechanism of the Bombardier Beetle, motor proteins like kinesins, dyneins and myosins, developmental gene regulatory networks, embryonic development, or anything else for that matter. And the list goes on and on and on. You name it, they have no actual explanation for it. Evolution is the theory of nothing because it cannot explain the origin of anything. Not only that, but all these and everything else in existence refute the “no God” assumption, since they are all impossible without God. And that proves God created all things. In fact, biology is the

study of God's amazing creation of living things, and always refutes evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science".

This is an established law of reality. And it is supported by a vast number of instances from the real world with no exceptions. Many evolutionists, in their desperation, claim that somehow information and intelligently design things must be treated completely different, in the exact opposite manner from this established law of reality based on a vast amount of instances with no exceptions. But it is evolutionists that must prove that this great violation of this established law of reality is justified. And of course, they have not and cannot.

At this point, it is absolutely certain that God created all things. And therefore the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" is false. Thus, the "no God" assumption can never be used in determining the origin and long ages of things. Simple common sense and rational thinking are enough to understand this.

Now consider a large modern city. There are very many cell phones in it and a huge host of other intelligently designed things and activities that make that city and its inhabitants functional. An analysis of that city proves the existence of the intelligent beings that made, run, and function in that city. This is even more sure than just the single cell phone case.

Now look at all of civilization. It consists of very many cell phones, many cities, and a multitude of other intelligently designed things. An analysis of that civilization proves the existence of the intelligent beings that made that civilization. This is even more sure than in the single city case.

Finally look at all living things in the world, including people that made that civilization and all the intelligently designed objects in it that are made by people. The intricacies of living things (DNA, RNA, proteins, enzymes, organs, reproduction, the interconnected food chain, etc.) and their irreducible mutual complexity is way beyond anything that mankind has ever made and by an enormous amount. All people have done so far is to try to understand how living things work and even now we are just scratching the surface. Furthermore, just the sheer number of living things dwarfs the number of intelligently made things by mankind. For example, it is estimated that there are over 10^31 cells in the world, each way beyond the complexity of anything mankind has made. Thus, an examination of all living things, especially intelligent people who make things, and how they interact with the rest of the creation proves the existence of Almighty God the Creator of all things. And this surety is way beyond than even the civilization example. So, here is yet another irrefutable proof that God Almighty, the Creator exists and the He created all things. Therefore, we think and make things, so God Almighty exists. This irrefutable proof does not depend on the Bible at all. It does not assume the existence of God the Creator or that the Bible is the true word of God. So, it is not circular reasoning at all. Furthermore, the "no God" assumption can never be used in determining the origin of anything, nor the age of anything. That assumption

has been proven false for all time. It is not logical, and it is not scientific to ever use the "no God" assumption in determining the origin of anything nor the age of anything.

The following is a proof using adaptive machine learning software (in this case R software) and mathematical induction to prove that God created all things. Thus, this is an irrefutable proof that God exists and created all things. Your mind and reasoning are doing the same thing as discussed just prior due to the vast information content and vast number of intelligently designed things in all living things versus that which mankind has made. This application analyzes living things and proves that they are all intelligently designed, thus all created by God. It does this for both before and after the discoveries of modern biology. It even extends this to prove that God must have created all subatomic particles, all of atomic structure including electronic orbitals, and all the elements. It also contains an interactive screen where you can test anything else. To understand what the screens show, what they mean, and how to use the interactive screen to test things, read the supplied documentation. It also provides the source code for the application, and the data sets used for both training and testing. This software application is incredibly accurate in its predictions of whether something is designed by an intelligent being or not. It is based just on facts. It has no agenda at all. And it agrees completely with the established law that information and intelligently designed things must have been designed by an intelligent being. And this classifier will correctly classify almost everything in the universe correctly. So again, it is evolutionists that must prove that this great violation of this established law of reality is justified. And of course, they have not and cannot. This proof is available at the following link and in post 9 of this book.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1VCiJGyL3T/

There are very few books that claim to be written by God the Creator of all things and only the Holy Bible proves that it is indeed the very word of God. It is a corollary to the proof that God Almighty exists and created all things. Thus, the enormity of the following statement found in the Bible should be abundantly clear to all the world, so much so, that they are indeed without excuse.

19 Because that which may be known of God **is manifest in them**; for God hath shewed it unto them. **20** For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, **being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse:** - Rom 1:19-20

Since this wisdom, written about 2000 years ago, comes from the word of God, it proves the Bible is the true word of God. There are many other things which also prove that the Bible is the true word of God.

Now the Bible predicted that knowledge shall be increased in the last days in Daniel 12:4. But with all the great advances in biology, the passage in Romans 19-20, has not only withstood the scrutiny of science, but has been immensely verified by it.

But thou, O Daniel, shut up the words, and seal the book, **even to the time of the end**: many shall run to and fro, and **knowledge shall be increased**. – Daniel 12:4

Now comprehend how incredible this prediction as there are a number of other Biblical predictions with exact details and timing in our time, the last days before the pretribulation rapture of the saved of Jesus Christ. All evolutionists and all atheists fulfill a number of Biblical prophecies with exact timing and exact details many times each and every day. See 2 Tim 3-4, 2 Thess 2:10-12, 1 Tim 6:20-21, 2 Peter 3:5-6, Rom 1:22, 25, 28, et al with the exact timing given by 2 Peter 3:8, Gen 1-2:1, and Hosea 6:2. And even more amazing is that many of these evolutionists and atheists are quite intelligent. And yet they have been deceived. In fact, many prophecies from all parts of the Bible are coming true with exact timing and exact details just like the Bible said would happen in the last days before the rapture, which is our time. This is just one of the proofs that the Bible is the true word of God Almighty.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EpwUo3XsQ/

4. Macro evolution never happened. The fossil record proves this. (TOC) (back)

Evolution cannot make large jumps. To do that would require a super great miracle of miracles. And sexual reproduction will end all such events anyhow due to the problem of chromosome mismatches. But if evolution is gradual, there should be millions of chains of missing links in the fossil record. All of the millions of chains of missing links are still missing. They have not found one chain at all. There are now many tens of millions of fossils that have been discovered. Why are all these chains still missing? They should be finding missing links every day. Why not? The fossil record shows stable disparate species, all fully formed and functional, just as exists today in the world.

Using a simple calculation using combinatorics, the odds against all the millions of chains of missing links still missing from the fossil record are far greater than 10^(10 million) to 1.

And the species today in the world are not making jumps in evolution and are not descended from other species. Like kind produces like kind just as the Bible says. So macro evolution never happened. So almost all those creatures that have been fossilized are just the result of the worldwide flood. The same thing would happen if there were a worldwide flood today. But since there is no macro evolution in the fossil record, it collapses the time that the sedimentary rock layers that contain fossils were supposedly laid down. So, all were laid down in only about a year. But then that means that the earth's surface in only thousands of years old. That also disproves the false science of uniformitarianism and proves the science of catastrophism true. But that proves that all dating techniques, that give an old age for anything on the earth, are incorrect. That also proves that the earth and the moon are only thousands of years old. But that proves that all dating techniques, that give an old age to anything in the solar system, are incorrect. But then that disproves the long age dating of the universe and

refutes the Big Bang. Evolution and billions of years are like a house of cards, pull out one and it all collapses.

5. Not only does the fossil record prove that macro evolution did not happen in the past, but macro evolution is also not happening today. The lack of partially developed organs and functions in all living creatures proves this. (TOC) (back)

Evolution cannot make large jumps. To do that would require a super great miracle of miracles. And sexual reproduction will end all such events anyhow. But if evolution is gradual, there should also be partially developed organs, functions, etc. in all individual creatures alive today and that have ever lived. There are not. Why?

Using simple combinatorics, the odds against all the missing partially developed organs and functions in in all individual creatures alive today and that have ever lived is vast. The odds against are far greater than 10^(10 billion, billion, billion) to 1.

Now combine the fact that macro evolution is not happening today with the fact that the fossil record proves it never happened in the past, and the following can be stated. The species today in the world are not making jumps in evolution and are not descended from other species. Like kind produces like kind just as the Bible says. So macro evolution never happened. So almost all those creatures that have been fossilized are just the result of the worldwide flood. The same thing would happen if there were a worldwide flood today. But since there is no macro evolution in the fossil record, it collapses the time that the sedimentary rock layers that contain fossils were laid down to only about a year. But then that means that the earth's surface in only thousands of years old. That also disproves the false science of uniformitarianism and proves the science of catastrophism true. But that proves that all dating techniques, that give an old age for anything on the earth, are incorrect. That also proves that the earth and the moon are only thousands of years old. But that proves that all dating techniques, that give an old age to anything in the solar system, are incorrect. But then that disproves the long age dating of the universe and refutes the Big Bang. Evolution and billions of years are like a house of cards, pull out one and it all collapses.

6. Yet another irrefutable proof that God created all things, and that evolution and billions of years are false by considering all the cells that exist today and have ever existed. (TOC) (back)

It is estimated that there are over 10^31 cells in the world, and over 10^40 cells that have ever been. Living things come only from living things. And cells only come from cells. This is what is observed, and no exception has ever been observed. There is no record in the past of a cell coming from anything other than a cell. It is impossible for a cell to have come into being without God. A simple analysis proves this.

Cells are irreducibly complex. Not only that, but they consist of irreducibly parts each of which are irreducibly complex down through several levels. Not only that, but these subunits are interconnected in an irreducibly complex manner.

Cells contain information stored in the DNA. This information could never have come into being through random processes. No one writes books or software code just by typing at a computer without knowing which keys to hit. Information comes from intelligent beings. But the DNA is more than comparable to the text of a book. It is like software that carries out functions. But it is way more complex than text in a book or software code. It is used to make proteins. The DNA code is used to make proteins whose amino acid sequence gives them their chemical properties that cause them to function correctly and 3D shapes which also cause them to function.

The DNA is used to make RNA which is used to select amino acids which are chained together into polypeptides which are folded into complex 3D shapes. The sequence of amino acids and the shape of a polypeptide is important for it to function properly. The DNA is required to make the RNA and in effect the proteins. But proteins are needed to replicate DNA, and to repair DNA. Proteins are also needed to make RNA. So, each one is dependent of the other 2. The proteins are bio molecular machines which carry out the functions of the cell.

There are a multitude of single celled creatures, and these cells are completely functional on their own and able to reproduce by themselves. There are many types of these organisms which can have many different shapes. There are also multi celled organisms where the individual cells cannot survive on their own. The cells in these organisms are differentiated to perform different functions for the overall organism to live and reproduce. There are many different shapes that these cells can come in and this is part of what makes them function correctly. And these multitude of cells make up the great variation of multi celled organism we see today, including people who have over 30 trillion cells in each adult. And for all those single celled organisms and all those different kind of cells in those different kinds of multi celled creatures, it is the DNA code that is the master information. And these multi celled organisms have all these irreducibly complex functions, organs, tissues, and systems which are composed of irreducibly complex subunits down to several levels. Each interconnected with other irreducibly complex functions, organs, tissues, and systems which are composed of irreducibly complex subunits down to several levels. And all being controlled by the differentiated cells which are irreducibly complex and composed of irreducibly complex subunits down to several layers which are interconnected and interdependent on other irreducibly complex subunits down to several layers. And of course, all these different single celled and multi celled organisms are part of one worldwide ecosystem built upon an extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly universe obeying the laws of physics which could have only been created by God. In fact, this fine tuning is what allows matter, living things, stars, galaxies, planets to exist. The orderly finely tuned universe is orderly and finely tuned across all space and time.

Evolutionists have no clue how living things came into being through natural processes. And whether they know it or not, they have no research that attempts to show it could. Consider one speculation of the RNA world fairy tale. There is no record of such ever found. There are no RNA organisms coming into existence in the world today. There is

no rational notion of how the first RNA creature could come into existence, survive and replicate in a natural environment. There is not even a description of what such an organism would be or even a list of what functions it would have to have to survive and replicate. Any intelligently designed scientific apparatus with any artificial contrivance would prove that such an organism could only come into being through intelligence, which is just a proof of creation. There does exist today RNA creatures. Many viruses are such organisms. But they cannot survive or replicate on their own. They need an existing living creature. And note that with all those viruses in the world today, and there are a vast multitude of them (over 10^31 today and over 10^40 that have ever existed), none have become anything more than an RNA organism that depends on an existing living creature to survive or replicate. Note also, that no experiment has advanced any virus to become an organism that can survive and replicate on its own and advance to the next needed step of being on its way to an actual cell. And again, if it is done by any intelligently designed scientific apparatus with any artificial contrivance, that would prove that such an organism could only come into being through intelligence, which is just a proof of creation. There is also nothing in existence today or any evidence in the past of any RNA creature.

There are currently attempts to run simulations to show how an RNA creature may have come into being. But simulations are not part of the real world. All simulations are just guesses of what reality may have been. They are at best incomplete. At worst they are just wrong. There is not enough computer power in the world today to even run a real-world simulation of the vast number of chemical reactions involved, which would destroy even a modest first step to an RNA replicant let alone a living cell. A realistic simulation would periodically run a function that wipes out all replicants every second. In the real world, that would happen in less than a fraction of a second. So even an RNA first step is not observed today. There is no record it ever happened. A simple analysis shows it could never happen. Even then it would never amount to anything near a cell. All attempts at some laboratory experiments to do so have failed miserably. Even then it would show intelligence is needed, which proves God created all things. And finally, in a last desperate attempt, nonrealistic simulations are being pawned off as reality.

There probably has been over 5 billion species, with over 100 trillion unique genes since the world began. And almost all the 10^40 cells that have ever existed have a DNA code with a median size of 100s of millions of DNA letters, making all those proteins and bio molecular machines.

Now things that are designed have a creator. Mankind has created an incredible number of things - cellphones, automobiles, planes, rockets, roads, bridges, tunnels, houses, buildings, streetlights, electronics, etc. All clearly designed by intelligent beings. Yet livings including mankind and all cells, far exceed all the things that mankind has created in complexity, information content, and number. So, all living things, including mankind must have a Creator. That is over 10^40 proofs that God created everything, and evolution and billions of years is false.

Evolution and billions of years are the most refuted and easily refuted theories ever. They are in complete contradiction with reality, all evidence, all science, all the laws of biology, physics, chemistry, all math, statistics, probability, all knowledge, and all logic. And belief in evolution and billions of years fulfills a number of Biblical prophecies with exact timing and exact details. And those that defend evolution and billions of years fulfill a number of Biblical prophecies with exact timing and exact details many times each and every day. Billions of times daily counting all evolutionists, thus giving glory to God Almighty the Creator of all things. See 1 Tim 6:20-21, 2 Tim 3-4, 2 Thess 2, 2 Tim 2:25-26, 2 Peter 3, et al.

Evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately. There is no evidence for evolution and billions of years that is logical and scientific. No one has ever found any, and no one ever will. Any attempt by evolutionists and billions of years believers is just evidence for creation, as it is impossible to discuss anything that exists, and not see that God obviously created it. All the evidence, all the science, all logic, all reality, all knowledge, and all things that exist prove God created all things.

7. Kinesins and dyneins are yet another proof that God created all things. See the video. (TOC) (back)

Kinesins are motor proteins which transport things around in cells. They are essential to all eukaryote cells, that includes all animals, plants and fungi. People have over 30 trillion cells and all those cells have many Kinesins. And each of those cells have many kinesins in them. There are many types of kinesins. They are like micro robots which move along roads, called microtubules, to carry cargo, which was manufactured in chemical factories, all programmed by the instructions in the genes of the DNA code. Please look at the following video on YouTube. It shows the awesome design of God Almighty.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gbycQf1TbM0&list=PLI1-pAQgbOGdUZaC29kPWyZVrmTj3fe2R

A similar motor protein is the dynein. They move in an opposite direction to Kinesins. They are essential to almost all eukaryote cells, that includes all animals and fungi. Again, each person has many trillions of these in their bodies.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vbgkFEbmGrU&list=PLI1-pAQgbOGdUZaC29kPWyZVrmTj3fe2R&index=3&pp=gAQBiAQB

I have also provided a link to a Playlist I made on YouTube which shows the incredible workings of living things especially within cells. There are about 120 videos in the Playlist. Each shows the awesome design of God Almighty. When you look at any video, there are other related videos on the right side. You can click and watch those. Many of the videos are only a few minutes and easy to understand. If you have any

suggestions for additions let me know and I may add it to the list. Please feel free to share this post, or this Playlist or any of the videos in it.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLI1-pAQgbOGdUZaC29kPWyZVrmTj3fe2R&si=299CFVqOEgfhtlfp

Now if you consider kinesins and all the other amazing things that these videos show, it absolutely proved that these amazingly designed things must have been designed and created by God Almighty, the Creator of all things. Is it not now time that all the world believes in God Almighty the Creator? Jesus Christ is the Creator of all things, who in the greatest act of love and mercy endured crucifixion to pay for all our sins by His shed blood. And as proof He rose from the dead.

8. There should be new genes popping into existence every day. There should be very many millions of partially mutated genes in all living creatures right now. None of that is observed. So, macroevolution is again falsified forever. (TOC) (back)

It is estimated that there are about 8.7 million species in the world today. Some claim that there may be many more than that. It is also estimated that well over 99.5% of all species have become extinct. It is estimated that there have been over 5 billion species that have ever lived. Again, some claim that there may be many more than that. With an average of about 20,000 genes per species (some claim more), that comes to about 100 trillion genes that have ever existed. And since life has only been around for supposedly about 3.7 billion years on Earth, that comes to over 27,000 new genes per year for 3.7 billion years or about 74 per day. So about 74 new genes per day should be popping into existence every day in the living creatures, each with an average length of over 3,000 nucleotide base pairs. Why is that not being observed?

The odds against a gene that size popping into existence is greater than 10^1,800 to 1, a super great miracle of miracles. And there would need to be 100 trillion of these miracles of miracles to account for all genes that have ever existed, about 70 per day for all the supposed 3.7 billion years that life has existence, in an exact order that could only be directed with an Intelligence and power that is beyond the understanding of mankind. And the odds against all these genes in all species that ever lived coming into being by random chance is greater than 10^(3 x 10^17) to 1. That would take about 100 billion books the size of the King James Bible to write out all the zeros.

Some claim that new genes come about by a copy of an existing gene. First, where did the gene that was copied come from? Obviously, from God because genes do not pop into existence. To get around the impossibility of abiogenesis (life from non-life), some propose a very tiny first living creature with no genes at all. So, they cannot start with a preexisting gene at all. Secondly, a copy of a gene is still the same gene. So, some theorize that the copy then gets random ERRORS, aka mutations. An error on a gene is just a new allele of an existing gene and not a new gene. Note that almost all mutations are deadly, disadvantageous, slightly negative, or neutral. Some claim beneficial mutations do exist. They may list sickle cell anemia (a disease that they are looking for

a cure), or lactose intolerance. Certainly not any new functionality. The odds against the copy and random mutations to make new genes is similar to the odds against genes popping into existence. But if this were the mechanism for new genes, there should be hundreds of millions of these partial mutated genes in all living creature right now. Why is that not observed?

9. God created all things. The following is a proof of that using adaptive machine learning software and mathematical induction. (TOC) (back)

The following is a proof using adaptive machine learning software (in this case R software) and mathematical induction to prove that God created all things. Thus, this is an irrefutable proof that God exists and created all things. Your mind and reasoning are doing the same thing as discussed just prior in post 3 of this book due to the vast information content and vast number of intelligently designed things in all living things versus that which mankind has made. This application analyzes living things and proves that they are all intelligently designed, thus all created by God. It does this for both before and after the discoveries of modern biology and other sciences. It even extends this to prove that God must have created all subatomic particles, all of atomic structure including electronic orbitals, and all the elements. It also contains an interactive screen where you can test anything else. To understand what the screens show, what they mean, and how to use the interactive screen to test things, read the supplied documentation. It also provides the source code for the application, and the data sets used for both training and testing. This software application is incredibly accurate in its predictions of whether something is designed by an intelligent being or not. It is based just on facts. It has no agenda at all. And it agrees completely with the established law that information and intelligently designed things must have been designed by an intelligent being. And this classifier will correctly classify almost everything in the universe correctly. So again, it is evolutionists that must prove that this great violation of this established law of reality is justified. And of course, they have not and cannot.

You can access the interactive application at:

https://lovesr.shinyapps.io/intelligentdesignproof/

Scroll down to the bottom for a description of how the interface works.

As the word of God says,

For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse:
Romans 1:20

This is easy to understand. Mankind creates very many intelligently designed things. Obviously, some being far more intelligent that mankind created all living things including mankind. The following will show that this is true.

There exists today software that preforms adaptive machine learning. R software and Python are some of the software languages that have built in training models of different types. For example, this type of software can be used for medical outcomes to determine whether a patient has or will get various bad medical conditions. A decision threshold can be adjusted based on whether false positives or false negatives are to be minimized. Obviously in this case, false negatives should be reduced because the patient will not seek additional medical tests and may suffer great harm. In false positives, the patient is anxious for a while, but additional tests relieve that.

To train the model, the values for different attributes of a known set of items are gathered and are used to produce a dataset. The outcome for each item is in the data set, in this case whether designed or not designed. In this case, the items would consist of items known to be created by mankind and items which are not created by mankind. Living things would not be included as these are the unknowns.

After the training phase, the system will identify which attributes contribute what portion to the decision. Some attributes will have very little or no relevance. This is the same as the attention mechanism of neural networks. They are just the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the system. This information can then be used to reduce the data collection needs to just those important attributes. In this case, things like functional information content, organization both physical and functional of the information, 3D shape different from random things, chemical composition different from random things, irreducible complexity of not only the living things or cells but composed of irreducible complexity down through several sub levels will stand out. Attributes such as size, weight and density will not have any bearing.

After the initially training phase, a validation phase is performed with a validation dataset of different known items. The validation data set and the training data set can be from a master data set with is split into the validation data set and the training data set. Living things should not be included in the validation data set also as these are the unknowns. This then is used to evaluate how accurate the model is. A failure at this phase would show that the data design phase had flaws.

The trained model is then fed data for unknown items with their attributes. This would be done for living things, cells, etc. The trained system then gives a predicted outcome for each item, whether designed or not, based on the different attributes for each item. It is for sure that all living things will come out as designed.

This model can then also be used as a mathematical function that takes inputs, and the output is one or more intelligent designers or none. That function can then be used to do a proof through simple mathematical induction. The final result will be that all living things are created by God. And based on that, the no God assumption should be retracted from all science worldwide immediately in the areas of origins and the long ages of things.

Proof by mathematical induction using the adaptive model above represented as the function, F(n) defined as
F(n) = 1 for n>=1 with n being an integer >= 0
else F(n) = 0

for n = 1, F(1) = 1
assume F(n) = 1
F(n+1) = 1 by definition of F(n)
End proof.

Now assume a false positive rate of 20% for the above mathematical function that the adaptive model performs. With over 10^40 cells that have ever existed, and with over 10^40 viruses that have ever existed, the odds against that an Intelligent Creator did not create all things are greater than (0.2)^(2x10^40) to 1 or greater than 10^(10^40) to 1. These odds only considered viruses and cells as single units. But they are composed of many intelligently designed subunits down through several layers and interconnected in an intelligently designed way. When including just these, the odds against that an Intelligent Creator did not create all things are far greater than 10^(10^50) to 1.

The learning model can be accomplished using many different software languages. Most software languages have many different learning models available. And any program can employ multiple learning models, even hybrids constructed from a variety of different models. The data for the datasets can be contained in CVS files, or spreadsheets like Excel, or SQL tables. Most software languages have data input packages built in or third-party packages to handle these data sources. The data can be stored locally or made available through the Internet or stored in the cloud. Thus, many different software languages, each with their own learning models, can use the same data sets, thus establishing a very flexible and modular system with a plug and play architecture. The data can be in various formats, in various databases of different providers, in various locations, with data connectors and software languages each with many machine learning packages and thus very many visualization options inherent to each software language. The system can be hosted on a web server deploying the application and retrieval from anywhere in the world. For example, I have used RStudio Shiny, hosted on shinyApps.io to do that.

There are a number of modern AI systems which can be used. However, they can only be used if they are disconnected from “scientific” knowledge available on the Internet as this would bias the outcome. These modern AI systems can just be used with their machine learning packages. And only raw data can be used. It is the learning model which makes the decisions.

One problem that will arise is scaling the system to handle the vast number of possible items. One solution is to use a subset of representative items and adding a corresponding representative number of duplicates for them. I have collected data into 9 tables. Five are for things that are not alive and not made by mankind, things made by mankind which are simpler, things made by mankind which are more complex, things

that are alive but without modern biological knowledge, things that are alive at the multicellular creature level, and cells, with 2 each of the first 3 kinds for the validation step. Note that each of these shows increasing aspects of design and will be identified as such by the machine learning. The system will naturally show the separation of these items if viewed in N space.

In this way, I was able to use the appropriate 5 datasets to match what technology and science has allowed to exist and to be known today. I was also able to use just 3 (non-living things not made by man, made by man but not complicated, and living things). This second option represents the situation that existed around the time of Christ. These options show that the Bible is true when it says “being understood by the things that are made” which would have been true at the time Romans was written but also hold in our modern times when “knowledge shall be increased” as predicted by Daniel for our modern age. For the situation that exists today, and also for at the time of Christ, the results show that the odds against that an Intelligent Creator did not create all things are far greater than 10^(10^12000) to 1 or greater than a googol to the 120th power to 1. And no false classification for any type of item. Thus, the Bible is true under both cases.

But what about things like atoms, subatomic particles, and elements? Do they show design? Consider atoms. They have a definite number of protons and neutrons in the nucleus. Each element has an exact number of protons. For each element, there are different isotopes each which has a specific number of neutrons. Some of these isotopes are stable and some are unstable and are prone to radioactive decay.

Atoms have electrons that reside in electron orbitals. For neutral atoms, the number of electrons equals the number of protons. The electrons obey the Pauli exclusion principle as they fill up the orbitals. This configuration can be predicted if all electrons are in their non excited state. An excited electron can jump to a higher energy orbital if it gets the right amount of energy and will emit a photon of a specific energy if it falls back to a lower energy orbital. The electrons in the outer shell, determine the types of bonds the element makes.

Neutrons and protons are each made of 3 quarks. Electrons are not made of quarks. Protons have a +1 charge, electrons have -1, and the charge of the neutron is 0. Each has a definite mass which corresponds to a specific energy according to the equation E=mc^2 where c is the speed of light. The mass of the neutron is slightly more than the proton. The mass of the proton is about 1836 times that of the electron.

There are 6 types of quarks designated up, down, top, bottom, charm and strange. These are referred to as flavors. They also have a color charge. The electrical charge of quarks is fractional, the only elementary particles that have fractional charges. Each quark also has a definite mass corresponding to a specific energy.

Photos have no mass, have no charge, and are not made of quarks. Photons are the particles of light. They have an energy which is proportional to their wavelength governed by the equation E=hv where h is Planck's constant.

Neutrinos have a mass. They have no electrical charge. They are not made of quarks. They very weakly interact with matter. Every second about 100 trillion neutrinos pass right through our bodies. Electron neutrinos also have a mass and no electrical charge and are not made of quarks. Other particles are muons, muon neutrinos, tau particles and tau neutrinos. These all have mass, no electrical charge and are not composed of quarks.

Almost all subatomic particles have a corresponding antimatter version. Positrons are the antimatter version of the electron and have a +1 electrical charge. Anti protons have an electrical charge of -1, and antineutrons are neutral. Antiprotons and antineutrons are composed of 3 quarks each. The positron, antiprotons, and antineutrons have the same mass as their counterparts.

Almost all subatomic particles have spin which can be a whole number or fractional, some with a positive or negative value of spin. The Higgs boson has no spin.

There are many other subatomic particles. Each has a set of attributes such as an electrical charge or none, a mass or none, a spin or none, composed of quarks or not. Some of these are gluons, gravitons, and bosons.

From the above, particles then have a set of attributes whether a defined mass, spin, electrical charge, matter or antimatter, quark, composed of quarks or not, lepton or not. Some have no mass, no charge and no spin. Element are composed mainly of protons, neutrons, and electrons. Their properties and the electrons in their orbitals are what different molecular compounds are made of and there are many millions of these compounds with different formulas, properties and 3D shapes. All living things are composed of elements organized into molecules, some of which are very large and incredibly deigned.

I made 3 datasets of 20 representative items each. One is atoms and subatomic particles, another of elements, and another of electronic shells. Since testing has less of a scaling problem than training, I have used 10,000 each by making duplicates of these representatives. It is estimated that there are over 10^86 elementary particles in existence in the visible universe.

The results show that all were classified as deigned with no exception and that the probability of against an error was greater than 10^250. Thus, based on these things alone, the results show that the odds against that an Intelligent Creator did not create all things are greater than 10^(10^40,000) to 1 or far greater than a googol to the 400th power. Combined with the odds against for all living things, the odds against that an Intelligent Creator did not create all things are far greater than 10^(10^500,000) to 1 or far greater than a googol to the 5000th power.

And yet there are even more intelligently designed things in the universe, although at this point I have yet to figure out how to characterize this. Things like how gravity operates, and all the other laws of physics, which are extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly for all space and time. And on earth there are global systems which support life, like the nitrogen cycle, the water cycle, the carbon cycle, the oxygen cycle, the interconnectedness of all living things, and others. These obviously would increase the odds even more. Obviously, God created all things.

The major task was the data design, the selection of representative items for each group, the criteria for attribute assignment for a variety of items, and the collection of the data. It is the one that took the most time. The software design was easy since all the built-in packages are designed to facilitate ease of use.

One last point to make. Our own minds have been trained to do this very thing and very quickly. So, you already know what things are manmade and which are not. And you also should know that living things are made by God. God had made man capable to understand this so that people are without excuse (Romans 1). God has also made it so mankind can understand sin, because when you thought it was evil when someone did it to you, it was evil when you did it to others (Romans 2). These 2 things are sometimes referred to as general revelation, as it is available to all people without the written word which is referred to as revealed revelation. Thus, all people should seek out God to worship Him, understand what is right or wrong, and to obtain forgiveness for sins committed. The Bible is easily proven to be the true word of God, and thus what it says is true. Therefore, Christ is the Creator of all things and the only one that can save anyone from judgment. To understand the gospel of Christ, and for resources to understand the Bible and creation, go to

https://www.the-gospel-of-christ.com/post/where-will-you-spend-eternity

How the application and user interface operate

The user interface is divided into tabbed panels. The first panel is the Results displayed as 2 bar graphs for the time of Christ, circa 30 AD and for Now. The results show no mistakes in classification of all 8000 tested results.

The second panel is to Test For Yourself. You can enter the 8 attributes for anything and see the results at the time of Christ and now. The test results also include a percentage as to the percent chance that it was deigned. You can reset all values with the reset button. Obviously all 0s will come out as not designed. If you set all the attributes to 1, the result is about 60% probability designed for both the time of Christ and now. If you set the attributes to 2 for dataSize, sizeDataUnits, and numberPatterns, and 1s for the other 5, the result is 100% designed for both the time of Christ and now.

The learning system does not need much in the way of design attributes to classify an item as designed. For example, if the attributes dataSize, numberStructures, and

numberPatterns are set to 2 and the other attributes are set to 1, an item is classified as designed with a 100% probability. If all are set to 1, an item is classified as designed with about a 60% probability. If the threshold for designed or not is lowered to 30%, just setting numberStructures and functionalityScore to 1 will exceed that threshold and the item will be classified as designed. Finally, if only one attribute is set to 1, almost half of the attributes will cause the probability of designed to reach at least 13%.

The third panel displays the datasets used in the application. It does not show the duplicates for the datasets. You can click on the column names and order by that, both ascending and descending. You can also search through the item names in the search box. Results are shown 10 items per page with navigation controls.

The fourth panel is the Results displayed as a bar graph for time now for atoms and particles, elements, and shells. The results show no mistakes in classification of all 30,000 tested items.

The fifth panel is the R software code used for the application. The 1st is app.R which contains all the code to do the analysis, generate the display, handle the user interface actions, and the user interface itself. The 2nd is createDataFiles.R which takes the representative data and generates all the duplicates. The result is 9 files with 1000 items each and 3 files with 10,000 items each. The 3rd is Test.R which is used to explore the results in detail and does not use a user interface.

The sixth panel displays the Documentation for the application.

Data design

The major task at hand is the gathering of data. That includes determining which items are to be included in the training data set, and what attributes should be gathered for each item. The adaptive model will give the top attributes to be gathered for all unknown data sets. Things like size, weight, density, temperature, latitude, longitude and altitude of the location of the item will have little or no bearing. They can be included but will certainly be eliminated in the training phase.

Now consider the information content of any item. First consider books. The text of a book is organized into words, sentences and paragraphs. This is not only from a conceptual standpoint but actual physical organization on the pages of the book, in lines consisting of a set of characters, going either left to right or right to left. The characters themselves, including punctuation, come from a finite set of different characters printed in a particular font. The book maybe organized into chapters. It may also include pictures and graphs. When someone reads the book, they can understand what it says and follow along, learning things. This is because their eyes process the light reflected off the book and that is processed by the vision system and their brains.
Now compare that to the DNA code in living things.

DNA is functional information organized both conceptually and physically. Thus, it is similar to the text of a physical book. DNA is composed of nucleotides. These bases are

given letter designations, C, A, T, and G. A DNA codon triplet is 3 of these bases which is the unit of transcription. Thus, the codon triplet is equivalent to letters in the text of a book. These nucleotides are all right-handed in living things and they are also molecules of a certain shape corresponding to a particular font.

Helix, genes, chromosomes

DNA can also be likened to software source code. The characters that make up the code are designed so that the code conceptually will carry out the tasks that it is designed for. Source code is organized into files, and multiple files may be grouped together into libraries which provide reusable functionality. Inside the individual files may be a class or classes. This is used on object-oriented programming which is much easier to organize for more complicated programs and better suited for reuse through inheritance. The class will have methods and attributes sometimes called members. Individual objects are created from the class template and have their own members with their own values. The source code is compiled or sent to an interpreter where is translated into machine code with is just bytes of information. These instructions are then fed to the CPU where the instructions are executed.

The details of the datasets used and how each type was modeled are given in the documentation tab of the application.

10. The translation RNA codon table is not universal. Each of the many exceptions to the standard table proves that evolution is false, and God created all things. Also, the supposed origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts is impossible which also prove evolution is false. (TOC) (back)

The RNA translation codon table, which describes how RNA nucleotide triplets are used to select a particular amino acid when building a protein, is different in the mitochondria vs the nucleus of the same species in a vast number of species. It is also different in the mitochondria of vertebrates vs the mitochondria of invertebrates. And there are at least 20+ other types of species whose mitochondria RNA translation codon table is different from the standard table, and many from each other. The following article shows all this.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/DNA_and_RNA_codon_tables

So here is the problem. How did it get to be different in any of these cases?

If the mitochondria transcription/ translation table changes, then all proteins produced by mitochondria that had used any changed RNA triplet codon table entry would contain a changed amino acid in the respective protein. That would render that protein deadly or not doing what the protein was supposed to do. Even entries which are stop codons are sometimes different. And that could be for a number of proteins. That then KILLS the organism. And the RNA to protein mitochondria translation is used all the time for an organism to survive and replicate.

So, the mitochondria DNA code (mtDNA) itself must be changed at the exact instant the mitochondria transcription/translation was changed to not KILL the organism. Each mtDNA triplet that produced the RNA triplet that produced amino acids that were changed must all be changed, edited, at the very same instant, that the transcription was changed. That is a coordinated double super miracle of miracles with exact timing. But wait this must have happened over 20+ times. That is impossible.

But note that the transcription/ translation codon table is different in the mitochondria of vertebrates vs invertebrates. That implies that the very first vertebrate had its transcription/translation table changed in the very same creature that all vertebrates come from, else there should be multiple types of vertebrates, one with a mitochondria transcription/translation codon table matching current vertebrates and one matching invertebrates. So that means a coordinated triple super miracle of miracles with exact timing. That is impossible. This proves evolution is false and God Almighty created all things.

But wait. Why is the transcription/translation codon table different in the mitochondria vs the nucleus of the same organism in a vast number of organisms? The current fairy tale from evolution theory is described as follows.

The following quote is from the linked article below. "The origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts Mitochondria and chloroplasts likely evolved from engulfed prokaryotes that once lived as independent organisms. At some point, a eukaryotic cell engulfed an aerobic prokaryote, which then formed an endosymbiotic relationship with the host eukaryote, gradually developing into a mitochondrion. Eukaryotic cells containing mitochondria then engulfed photosynthetic prokaryotes, which evolved to become specialized chloroplast organelles."

https://www.nature.com/scitable/content/the-origin-of-mitochondria-and-chloroplasts-14747702/

So, a cell engulfed a bacterium and the 2 then worked together in an incredibly different way. This happened not once, which is one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles to ever occur, but twice. Once for mitochondria and once for chloroplasts. But again, each would be a double super miracle of miracles since they creature would have to change how it lives and how it reproduces in the same event. Not sure what kept the bacterium from reproducing when it got engulfed and the number of bacteria growing exponentially when this happened. Further, mitochondria need genes from the nucleus to replicate. Plus, the replication of mitochondria is determined by the cell's energy needs. So that is 2 additional super miracles of miracles besides the other 2 super miracles of miracles for mitochondria giving a total of 4 in the exact same event for the exact same creature. But actually, there would have been more as mitochondria and bacteria have other significant differences meaning the total of simultaneous super miracles of miracles in the exact same creature is even more. The same goes for chloroplasts. So, 2 events happened, each required many simultaneous super miracles of miracles to a particular creature.

Now all this is preposterous. So why even have such a preposterous conjecture? Because that is the least of all other preposterous conjectures they could come up with. Obviously that God created it is not considered a possibly for Atheistic Origin Science. Hopefully, I will post more on the preposterous conjectures on the origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts.

As an aside, I had always assumed that the transcription codon table was universal. But a Christian lady, who is a biology teacher, pointed out that that was not the case. Because of that I found this information.

For a more detailed description of the supposed origin of eukaryotes, introns, mitochondria and once for chloroplasts see the following posts in this book with their associated links.

Mitochondria and chloroplasts completely refute evolution and billions of years found in post 11 of this book and at this link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17Mha62xQ5/

Prokaryotes could never have evolved into eukaryotes. This also proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created all things. This is found in post 12 of this book and at this link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19udBckjma/

Supposedly, evolution produced introns through DNA mutation ERRORS. That is impossible. Introns, the proteins that are involved in processing them, and the requirement to actually edit the DNA of an organism in inserting them, would have to have OCCURRED in the very same organism simultaneously. And this one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles would have happened many times. Introns are just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved from DNA mutation errors. – Parts 1 and 2. This is found in posts 93A and 93B of this book and at the following links respectively.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17ajuuqjud/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Bm9RRJsof/

11. Mitochondria and chloroplasts completely refute evolution and billions of years. (TOC) (back)

A. All evolutionist theories for the origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts are impossible.

How can evolution explain how mitochondria and chloroplasts came into being? There are several theories given by evolutionists for their origin. But why is there not one

definitive answer? The reason is that all proposed theories are preposterous. The fact that they do not have one definitive answer proves that none are even possible. If it were why have other theories? This is the state of evolution for the origin of anything. So, this post will just concentrate on the least preposterous theory.

Each supposedly came into being in separate events when a bacterium was engulfed by a eukaryote or proto eukaryote cell over 1 billion years ago. What does it mean engulfed? Wouldn't the eukaryote cell have repelled, killed or digested it? The theory is that a eukaryote ingested but did not digest it. The eukaryote just partially digested a bacterium. Kind of like indigestion. Then the engulfing eukaryote intelligently selected through foreknowledge what parts were to be incorporate later to be used as part of a complete physical reorganization of almost all parts and a complete reorganization how it survives and operates. This is one of the most preposterous theories ever and this is their best explanation.

But why did the bacterium stop replicating once engulfed? And how did the eukaryote take over making copies of the engulfed bacteria when it needed energy? How did the eukaryote learn to use the bacteria's ATP when it did not have the ability to use it before? And how did the engulfed bacteria become dependent on proteins manufactured from the host eukaryote cell's DNA?

But it gets more preposterous yet. Bacteria have genomes that are over ½ million base pairs and some millions of base pairs long. Yet the engulfed bacteria after supposedly being converted to mitochondria had only about 15,000 base pairs left. Where did the other over ½ million base pairs go? And how did the engulfing eukaryote make the intelligent decision, with foreknowledge of its future use, of which genes to keep and which to discard? It had never encountered such an event before. And the genes that it did transfer from the engulfed bacterium had to be copied and modified because the genes in the engulfed bacterium are circular, but the genes in the nucleus of the eukaryote are linear. And the genes in the engulfed bacterium are grouped and expressed as operons. But the genes of the nucleus of the eukaryote are expressed individually. And the vast majority of the genes that control mitochondria are in the nucleus of the eukaryote. This proof against evolution will focus on mitochondria. A similar proof exists for chloroplasts.

After this, the engulfed bacterium had to change from having a cell wall produced by enzymes in its cytoplasm to the double membrane for mitochondria enclosed in the cytoplasm of the eukaryote that enclosed it. This is an inside out change. Also, this would mean that the enclosed bacterium would have had all its internals spilled out. Furthermore, there is a significant difference in the functionality of a bacteria's cell wall and the double membrane of mitochondrial. The cell wall is the outer part of a cell protecting it from the outside world whereas the double membrane is an internal structure which requires less protection. Therefore, the cell wall is tougher and less permeable than the mitochondria's double membrane. There is a difference in what can be transported through them. The cell wall is permeable to small molecules, and the double membrane of mitochondria is selectively permeable. And there is a difference in

what allows that transport and their makeup. The cell wall is also thicker. The cell wall is metabolically inactive, and the double membrane of mitochondria are metabolically active.

What is also puzzling about eukaryotes supposedly evolved from prokaryotes is eukaryotes do not have circular nuclear DNA like almost all prokaryotes. This is a complete reorganization of DNA both physically and in almost all aspects of how such is processed for an organism to survive and reproduce. This is one of the most preposterous theories ever.

From the following site, it is known that there is a big difference in how prokaryotes and eukaryotes carry out transcription and translation. This is described in the paragraph following the link.

https://bio.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Microbiology/Microbiology_(Boundless)/07%3A_Microbial_Genetics/7.06%3A_Translation-_Protein_Synthesis/7.6C%3A_Prokaryotic_Transcription_and_Translation_Are_Coupled

“Prokaryotic transcription occurs in the cytoplasm alongside translation. Prokaryotic transcription and translation can occur simultaneously. This is impossible in eukaryotes, where transcription occurs in a membrane-bound nucleus while translation occurs outside the nucleus in the cytoplasm.”

In prokaryotes, prokaryotic RNA polymerase performs transcription from DNA to mRNA in the cytoplasm. In eukaryotes, eukaryotic RNA polymerase II performs transcription from DNA to immature pre-mRNA in the nucleus. In eukaryotes, eukaryotic RNA polymerase II makes pre-mRNA from DNA. In eukaryotes, eukaryotic RNA polymerase II reads DNA that is unwrapped from the DNA that is coiled on histones by helper proteins and then is rewrapped onto the histone. The unwrapped segment of DNA in RNA polymerase II is 12-14 base pairs in length. And all these different things would have had to evolve through DNA mutation ERRORS, and all would be impossible.

Here are some of the major physical differences between prokaryotes and eukaryotes. And there are many others. And these physical differences change how each type of cell processes DNA to produce various proteins which carry out all operations of how any eukaryote survives and reproduces.

The following information is from the following site.

https://mytutorsource.qa/blog/surprising-differences-between-prokaryotes-and-eukaryotes/

Prokaryotes do not have a membrane bound nucleus. Eukaryotes do. Prokaryotes do not have any membrane bound organelles. Eukaryotes do. Prokaryotic cells do not have organelles except ribosomes which are not membrane bound. Eukaryotic cells do

have organelles which include the nucleus, mitochondria, possibly chloroplasts, the endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi Apparatus, ribosomes, vesicles, vacuoles, centrioles, centrosomes, and lysosomes. Note that some eukaryotes do not have mitochondria. The cells of eukaryote are much larger than prokaryote cells. Prokaryotes are always single cell organisms. Eukaryotes can be single cell or multicellular. Prokaryotes almost always have a single chromosome with circular DNA which floats loosely in the cytoplasm. Eukaryotes have linear DNA organized in chromosomes which is packed tightly in the nucleus. Prokaryotes lack histones. Eukaryotes have their DNA wrapped around histones. The ribosomes (70S) of prokaryotes are smaller than the larger 80S ribosomes in the nucleus of eukaryotes. Prokaryotes do not reproduce sexually except through gene transfer. Eukaryotes can reproduce both sexually and asexually. The genomes of prokaryotes are in general much smaller than that of eukaryotes. Prokaryotes have little non-coding DNA, but eukaryotes have large amounts of non-coding DNA. Prokaryotes are grouped and expressed as operons. The genes of eukaryotes are expressed individually. For prokaryotes, transcription and translation happen simultaneously in the cytoplasm. In eukaryotes, transcription occurs in the nucleus, and translation occurs many steps later in the cytoplasm. The cell walls and membranes of both are different as mentioned above. Prokaryotes have a primitive cytoskeleton. Eukaryotes have a much more advanced cytoskeleton with microfilaments and microtubules. Prokaryotes lack the classic motor proteins of eukaryotes. The protein coding genes of prokaryotes do not have introns and thus axons. Eukaryotes have introns and axons and thus require complex controlled slicing to produce a variety of proteins from one protein coding gene called isoforms. This is carried out in eukaryotes by spliceosomes which are non-existent in prokaryotes. Prokaryotes have flagella which provides motion for these cells which are not as advanced as the flagella and cilia of eukaryotes. Prokaryotes do not have mitosis or meiosis. Eukaryotes use mitosis and meiosis. Mitosis is carried out in somatic cells of eukaryotes. Meiosis is carried out in germs cells.

Furthermore, there is a difference in how bacteria and mitochondria carry out transcription and translation. This is described in the paragraph following the below link.

https://elifesciences.org/articles/58362#:~:text=In%20bacteria%2C%20ribosomes%20are%20directly,translation%20are%20most%20likely%20compartmented.

“In bacteria, ribosomes are directly associated with RNA polymerase, thus regulating the rate of gene expression (Kohler et al., 2017; Demo et al., 2017). In mitochondria, such coupling does not occur because transcription and translation are most likely compartmented”

Please note that there is no expectation that the transcription/translation table for DNA to mRNA to amino acid selection in prokaryotes should match the transcription/translation table for DNA to mRNA to amino acid selection in eukaryotes. The odds against just that are about 20^64 which is greater than 10^80 to 1. There are several reasons why. First, any assumption that eukaryotes evolved from prokaryotes is at best an unproved assumption. In reality, that assumption has been proved false. And

the proteins that are involved in, and the genes that produce those proteins, have very many significant differences in eukaryotes and prokaryotes. In fact, there is a significant reorganization of several key steps of how this is accomplished in both. These all refute the claim that eukaryotes evolved from prokaryotes. There is also a difference in the transcription/translation codon table between bacteria and mitochondria. This is shown in the following article.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/DNA_and_RNA_codon_tables#Translation_table_1

Now all of the differences above between prokaryotes and eukaryotes would have required massive changes in eukaryotes supposedly evolved from prokaryotes. And every last one of these would be impossible to have happened through DNA mutation ERRORS. Each of the above changes required massive physical reorganization of a cell which affects where certain biochemical processes occur. And each of the above changes would have required new functionality to have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. And each new functionality would have required new enzymes to catalyze these new biochemical processes. Later in this proof, the odds against each of these new functionalities and the time it would have taken for these to have happened will be estimated. Also, the ramifications of each of these will be analyzed. What will be proved is that all of these are impossible.

Furthermoe, common features, whether genetic or anatomical, are not from evolution, but by common creation by God, as inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences refute evolution and prove creation by God. When analyzing the similarities between species, inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences can be used to prove evolution and common descent are false. This is refutation by counterexample which is irrefutable logic. And only 1 counterexample or contradiction is needed for complete refutation. Yet in this case there are a multitude of counterexamples which prove that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false. Any theory that is so refuted and continues to be promoted is not science, but a false science and in essence false. In fact, in this case, it is a false religion with false religious dogma. So, when considering the similarities and differences between species, these are not evidence FOR evolution, billions of years, and common descent at all, but actually evidence AGAINST evolution, billions of years, and common descent. Thus, one of the major supposed pieces of evidence for evolution is not only not evidence for it but actually proof against it. What a great deception by evolutionists who are themselves deceived. This is proved in section 18 of “All the Unique Genes”.

So, to recap, here is what happened that fateful day over 1 billion years ago. A eukaryote or a proto eukaryotic cell, which no one can explain how it evolved from a prokaryote, engulfed and partially digested a bacterium. It then had to get rid of the cell wall of the engulfed bacterium and surround it with a double membrane. It had to do this without the bacterium spilling out its insides. The engulfing eukaryote took control of the bacterium’s replication, discarded over ½ million base pairs of the bacteria, and kept just 15,000 base pairs. And this eukaryote had to selectively choose which base pairs to keep using foreknowledge of how it would use it in the future. The bacterium turned off

its own replication and had to have some of its proteins, the same proteins, made by the engulfing eukaryote with protein coding genes in the engulfing eukaryote that matched the exact set of protein coding genes in the engulfed bacterium. The eukaryote somehow changed how it got most of its energy to using ATP from the engulfed bacterium, since the engulfing eukaryote would have been starved for energy prior to that. This is because the engulfing eukaryote would have been larger and thus had more genes and more proteins since both of which require more energy. This massive change in the engulfing eukaryote is preposterous. The bacterium's codon transcription and translation table were changed, and its DNA selectively edited. Also, how the bacterium did transcription and translation were also changed. And all these super miracles of miracles happened simultaneously in these 2 individual organisms that one fateful moment. Each of these super miracles of miracles had to have least dozens or hundreds of super miracles of miracles happen simultaneously in that moment.

More information on the differences between bacteria and mitochondria are found at the following site.

https://febs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/febs.14692

The origin of nitroplasts has similar problems as mitochondria and chloroplasts. That is then a 3rd preposterous theory.

B. A genetic information exponential decay function collapses the entire evolution timeline and refutes all claims by evolutionists of common descent. This proves that all the theories of how mitochondria and chloroplasts came into being by evolutionists are all false.

Consider all of the following. Start with the first living thing that supposedly came into being from non-living chemicals without God. Posts 104 and 105 found at the below links prove that abiogenesis without God is impossible by any means.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BtmRG7ueK/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1CGdNMd1GU/

Even if the supposed first living cell could have come into being spontaneously, survived and replicated, all first-generation descendants would have already been modified by DNA mutation ERRORS. So, that would mean that they may not have had any offspring that would have survived or replicated even if they could have survived. And any second-generation offspring would have acquired even more DNA mutation ERRORS. Thus, all descent lines would have gone extinct relatively quickly.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. These facts refute evolution, common descent, and

billions of years completely, and prove God created all things recently. This is proved in the following post and in post 72 of this book.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19b6BoWPJA/

Many evolutionists do not understand the ramifications of the fact that all individual organisms of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS. While deadly and disadvantageous mutations are removed by natural selection, natural selection cannot help with the accumulation of the other DNA mutation ERRORS since all individuals of all species have the accumulation, and all descendant lines of all survivors will continue to accumulate more and more with each generation. Some evolutionists even claim that this accumulation does not happen. In fact, if this does not occur then evolution, common descent and billions of years are false, since there would be no change in the genomes of any species. But these rates do exist and they have been measured in many species. These measured rates are given in the above post. This phenomenon leads to things such as increasing genetic entropy, aka increasing genetic load. It also leads to genetic divergence and genetic drift. Evolutionists use these rates to supposedly date when species supposedly branched off from some supposed last common ancestor (LCA).

Now consider the rates of DNA mutation ERRORS in all species. If the DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rates are 0, there is no change in the genome of species and so evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, since there would be no change in the genomes of any species. But if the rates are very small, then it would have taken incomprehensibly vast ages to produce any new species, a far greater time than the supposed about 13.8 billion years than the universe existed. But even under this case, the extinction of all species would have happened long before 10s of millions of years. And any rate about 1/10 of today's actual rates or more will lead to extinction relatively quickly. DNA mutation ERRORS destroy information. DNA mutation ERRORS do not create information. Thus, under no value for the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS will evolution be true. So, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" have been falsified again. Thus, God created all things.

The supposed first cell would not have had as an advanced DNA error correction, DNA error repair system, and DNA duplication system as exists today. So, the DNA of these early generations would have exponentially decayed quickly. The number of generations from that supposed first living cell along all descendant lines to extinction would have been probably 10 or less but certainly at most 10,000. And there is no chance of any new functionality coming into being at all. This is proved many times in this book, as any new functionality would have required a number of simultaneous super great miracles of miracles in the very same creature as to how it survives and replicates. Even after very many generations, no new functionality would have emerged at all because DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce any new functionality. This is why for many reasons, a much smaller supposed first living cell is preposterous.

Any first cell coming into existence spontaneously in an abiogenesis event would have produced a vast leap in genetic information content. And that information includes all the DNA, RNA, proteins and all structures for such. That is impossible. But from then on, DNA mutation ERRORS would have caused a degradation of the information of that species and all individual organisms along all descent lines. And the decrease of that information is approximately proportional to the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS and to the amount of total information. Thus, the information content can be modeled, using a first order approximation, as having a constant decay constant. So, the solution for the amount of information over time is the exponential decay function given below. This equation is applicable to all species and descendant lines from that first living cell.

$I(t) = I(0)\, e^{(-kt)}$

where I(t) is the amount of information in species or descendant lines from the first living cell, I(0) is the initial amount of information in the first cell due to abiogenesis, k is the decay constant due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, and t is the time since abiogenesis.

Also, note that any supposed pre-DNA thing could have never come into being spontaneously, survived, and replicated, and evolved into a living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins. And even if it did, all descendant lines would have gone extinct within just a few generations as the mutation ERRORS rate would be even larger in any RNA organism. And of course, there is no possibility to have made the jump to a living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins no matter what kind it was. This was also proved in posts 104 and 105 of this book.

Now at first it must be the case that that first living cell would have had a much more fragile system of DNA error correction, DNA error repair, and DNA duplication as exists today. This is simply because anything more robust, sophisticated and accurate would require a much more complex first creature from abiogenesis. That would make abiogenesis even more preposterous. So, this abiogenesis event would not last many generations at all, maybe not past the first one or a few. Thus, the supposed time since abiogenesis of the first living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins to extinction of that species and all descendant lines would have been significantly less than 10,000 years. This refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

Note that there is no source of additional information as DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce any new information. For eukaryotes, the decay of genetic information is an even faster decay rate due to the larger mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rate. Mitochondrial DNA accumulates DNA mutation ERRORS at a rate at least 100x that of nuclear DNA. Thus, all eukaryote species must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, this situation will certainly not lead to an increase to many millions of times the amount of information from the first eukaryote. Thus, the supposed time since

abiogenesis must be less than 10,000 years as will be proved below. And again, this refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

But there are yet even more problems for evolution. The following discusses 3 events claimed by evolutionists to have happened in the ancient past and required to have happened in the ancient past for evolution to be true. These events are the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes. Now, all 3 of these have been proved false many times and in many irrefutable and infallible ways, including by the many proofs in my 2 books. So, these refute the theories of evolution. Consider the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria. Mitochondrial DNA accumulates DNA mutation ERRORS at a significantly higher rate than nuclear DNA. Based on measured rates today, the rate for mitochondrial DNA is at least 100x that of nuclear DNA. But the accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS at the time of the endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria must have been greater than the measured rates today. Thus, due to this even greater rate, the time from this supposed endosymbiotic event to the extinction of mitochondria and thus any eukaryotes that contained such must be significantly less 10,000 years. The same argument holds for the other required supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes. All 3 would have had similar exponential decay functions as given above for the first living cell each with their own decay constant. And due to all of these rates being even significantly greater than the current large accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS measured today, then the time from each of these events to the extinction of eukaryotes must be significantly less than 10,000 years. Thus, the entire timeline since abiogenesis to extinction, and the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, and the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes all collapse to significantly less than 10,000 years. Also note that at the time of these 3 supposed events, the rate accumulation of nuclear DNA mutation ERRORS in prokaryotes would have also been significantly higher than the current large accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS measured today. The reason is that mitochondria and chloroplasts supposedly came from an engulfed prokaryote and they got their DNA from the supposed engulfed prokaryotes. So, prokaryotes would have gone extinct in significantly less than 10,000 years since the time of the 3 events mentioned above. So again, these refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

Furthermore, there exists similar genetic information decay functions for all nodes of the supposed evolution descent tree. And each of these very many genetic information decay functions refute each node, the supposed node time since some supposed last common ancestor, and all the subtrees from that node. This absolutely destroys

evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” and proves that God created all things recently.

For each node of the supposed evolution descent tree, there exists a genetic information decay function given by

$I(t) = I(0)\, e^{(-kt)}$

where I(t) is the amount of information in species or descendant lines from the last common ancestor associated with that node, I(0) is the amount of genetic information in that LCA, k is the decay constant due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS for the LCA, and t is the time since that LCA. Similar genetic information decay functions also exist for all functionality that has ever existed.

C. The vast odds against a prokaryote to have supposedly evolved into a eukaryote.

The following calculations are based on post 101 of this book.

Now the odds against and the time it would have taken for a prokaryote to have supposed evolved into a eukaryote, that was a diploid that used sexual reproduction, can be estimated. The least number of genes in a free-living prokaryote is about 500. And the least number of genes in a free-living eukaryote is at least 8,000. Thus at least 7,5000 new unique genes must have come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. Then total odds against that are far greater than 10^(3.3 trillion) to 1. However, very many things would have been impossible, so the odds against any eukaryote having evolved from a prokaryote are infinite. And the time it would have taken is far greater than 10^(3.3 trillion) years. However, very many things would have been impossible, so the time it would have taken for any eukaryote having evolved from a prokaryote are infinite.

Furthermore, the total odds against and the time it would have taken for a prokaryote to have supposedly evolved into mankind can be estimated. People have about 100,000 genes. This estimate does not include all the intergenic regions. Thus at least 99,5000 new unique genes must have come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. Then total odds against that are far greater than 10^(590 trillion) to 1. However, very many things would have been impossible, so the odds against any mankind having evolved from a prokaryote are infinite. And the time it would have taken is far greater than 10^(590 trillion) years. However, very many things would have been impossible, so the time it would have taken for mankind having evolved from a prokaryote are infinite. And there are many other eukaryote species that are diploids that reproduce sexually. So, the odds against and the times they would take for each of these species would be anywhere from the odds calculated in the above paragraph to even greater than those in this paragraph.

Furthermore, it is estimated that there are maybe over 1 million species that exist today that are eukaryote species that are diploids that reproduce sexually. And it is estimated

that over 99.9% of all species have gone extinct. So, it is estimated that there have been over 1 billion species that are eukaryote species that are diploids that reproduce sexually that have ever existed. And some estimate that the number of species that exist today may be over 1,000x these numbers. The following estimates of the total odds against and the time it would have taken will use an average of only 8,000 genes, which is the least number of genes for a free-living eukaryote species that reproduces sexually. Then total odds against over 1 billion of these species that have ever existed are far greater than 10^(3.3 billion trillion) to 1. However, very many things would have been impossible, so the odds against any eukaryote having evolved from a prokaryote are infinite. And the time it would have taken is far greater than 10^(3.3 billion trillion) years. However, very many things would have been impossible, so the time it would have taken for any eukaryote having evolved from a prokaryote are infinite.

Finally, these estimates of the odds against and times it would have taken did not include other species that are not eukaryote species that are diploids that reproduce sexually. Nor did they include intergenic regions. To estimate odds against and times it would have taken when considering intergenic regions just multiply the exponents of all numbers by 10.

If evolutionists object to the calculations and the equations I used in my 2 books of the odds against evolution producing anything by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS, then they must simply show the calculations and the equations to counter all of mine. Or if they object to any of the many proofs in my 2 books that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and that God created everything recently, then they must refute every last one of these proofs. And that must include all the very many proofs against evolution by very many young earth creationists. And they must show all of these things with very detailed descriptions of how all things came into existence, and not just that they claim it all must have happened with absolute certainty because they ASSUME it all must have happened with absolute certainty. And all these detailed explanations must be according to the requirements outlined in section 9 of “All the Unique Genes” and according to the information required for any abiogenesis hypothesis in sections 2 and 34 of All the Unique Genes”. And they must perform all refutation tests successfully as given in section 27 of “All the Unique Genes”. These have never been done by evolutionists, which proves their theories are just religious dogma of a false religion. None of these things outlined above will ever be done. And they cannot do these things ever because all their origin theories are false. And they do not want to do that because any calculations of the odds against these things by evolutionists prove all their claims are false. And they have no possible explanation of the origin of anything, as all of their explanations are false and preposterous. Please note that there is no evidence for evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” that is scientific, logical, and rational. And until they do all these required things, all their theories should be retracted worldwide immediately, with all courses, courseware, books, and papers changed to reflect their retraction. And there should be announcements in all media worldwide immediately that all their theories are false. Furthermore, the entire peer review system for the origin of things and the dating

of when that occurred should be modified as outlined in section 41 of “All the Unique Genes”.

D. All falsification tests prove that mitochondria, chloroplasts, eukaryotes, modified codon tables, introns, exons, isoforms, and spliceosomes could have never come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. In fact, all these tests prove that evolution cannot account for anything in living things and is thus false.

There are no observations of any new intron, exon, isoform, or any spliceosome coming into existence now. And there is no record of any mitochondria, chloroplast or nucleus coming into existence from some engulfed prokaryote in an endosymbiosis event. And there is no record of any of these having come into existence in the past. And all analyses prove that all of these are impossible as proved above.

As proved in this book, and in “All the Unique Genes”, and by many others many times, all observations prove evolution, billions of years and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently. So observational science refutes evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Living things always come from existing living things. Cells always come for existing cells. Like kind always produces the same kind and is never produced by any other kind. These are proved in section 23 and 25 of “All the Unique Genes”. No living cell has ever come from non-living chemicals. No living thing has ever come from non-living chemicals. No prokaryote has ever evolved into a eukaryote. And there are very many other laws of biology that also refute evolution and all its claims. No exception to any of these laws of biology have ever been observed. And these observations are repeatable all the time. There is no record of any exception to any of these laws of biology in the past. All analyses of all the claims by evolutionists prove that every single one of them are false. And all observations refute all the claims by evolutionists. And these observations are repeatable all the time. Science should be observable, testable, and repeatable with all its predictions observable. So, evolution does not count as science at all. It is just a false religion, with false religious dogma as is atheism.

So, the only thing left, which evolutionists must demonstrate, is actual tests that prove each of their claims. Yet all current tests refute its central claims. And all these tests are repeatable all the time. And there are many other tests that can be carried which refute all of their claims. And they will also be repeatable all the time.

Experiments by intelligent scientists using intelligent interventions and controlled environments are being done by intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. Thus, it does not reflect what has happened in the past or in the present in the wild without intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. So, what scientists can accomplish does not show what happened without scientists and thus is no proof at all.

Thought experiments and philosophical speculations are of course worthless. And any simulation is just based on a model. There is no way to accurately carry out any

simulation for what happened in the past to make a first living cell. And there is no way to design any simulation to show how all these new unique genes coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS that would be valid. All such simulations would be based on many false assumptions.

Scientists could conduct experiments which have minimal intervention. Although still not a reflection of what happened in the wild without scientists using intelligently designed procedures, they could shed some light on these issues.

Here are some examples of experiments with minimum intervention. The first set of tests have to do with new unique introns and exons. Construct an intron composed of random DNA base pairs. Make the size about 1000 base pairs. Now insert that into a haploid prokaryote at a random location in its DNA. Then insert it into a random location in a protein coding gene. Now repeat these 2 tests but this time use an actual existing intron from some eukaryote species. Now repeat the above 4 tests using an exon with a size of about 200 base pairs. Also, try various sizes of introns and exons from ½ to 2x the initial sizes given. Now repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Now for each of these 8 tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these 8 tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no new intron or exon could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

Now repeat the above 8 experiments, but this time for a eukaryote that is a diploid that reproduces sexually. First do all 8 tests but only insert the intron or exon into just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then repeat these tests by inserting the intron or exon into both chromosomes of the homologous chromosome pair. Now repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Now for each of these 16 tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these 16 tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no intron or exon could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

Now perform even more variants of these 24 tests. Take several introns or exons or both. They can be in any combination of up to 10 introns or 10 exons, or 20 total introns and exons. They can be done all at once, or if such an organism were to survive and reproduce for a number of generations, they can be done in various orders. Now repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Now for all of these tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no intron or exon could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

Various tests can be run to see if there is any mechanism to produce new introns or exons. These tests would only make sense for eukaryotes. Tests that can be tried are frame shifts, exon shuffling, exon duplication, intron shuffling, intron duplication, copying

a random non-coding gene into a random location in a protein coding gene, and copying a random intergenic region into a random location in a protein coding gene. Do all these for diploids that reproduce sexually but to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then do all these for both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Now for both 1 chromosome and both chromosomes of a homologous pair, do these testes with multiple combinations of introns or exons or both at once, and in any random order to a descent line of an organism if such can survive these tests. Splice genes or exons or introns, fuse genes or exons or introns, add extra base pairs to the end of an intron, or the end of an exon, truncate a gene or an exon or an intron, remove one of more sections of a gene or an exon or an intron, copy pieces of exons or introns into other exons or introns, fuse chromosomes, do base pair insertions into exons or introns, and do base pair deletions from exons or introns. Do all these for diploids that reproduce sexually but to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Run every conceivable combination of these. Now for all of these tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no intron or exon could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

The following tests have to do with the deletion of introns or exons or both. Randomly delete an exon or an intron from a eukaryote diploid that reproduces sexually. First do these to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then do all these tests for both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Keep running these tests with different random selections of what is to be deleted. Now, try all manner of variants of these tests deleting up to 10 introns, or 10 exons, or 20 of them in total, with random sets. Now for all of these tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no intron or exon could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

The following tests have to do with how easy it is to generate new isoforms. All of these tests should be done to eukaryote diploids that reproduces sexually. Add introns or exons from any source. First do all these tests to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then do all these tests for both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Choose genes with at least 2 introns, 2 exons, and 2 isoforms. Later chose genes with even more of all these. Then add multiples of these at once or in various orders to a descent line if any descent line can survive. Add exons or introns or both and see what happens to the organisms, and the current set of isoforms that it has. Repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Did any new functionality or isoforms emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality or isoform emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no isoform could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

The following tests are to determine if spliceosomes could have come into existence by any means, whether all at once or in a stepwise manner. These tests must be done to prokaryotes. Take any number of genes from a gene set that produces a spliceosome in any eukaryote and insert all of these at once in the target prokaryote species. Randomly select any combination up to and including the entire gene set. Also randomly select any source spliceosome of any source species and any target species. Now repeat all variations of these tests but this time do these in a stepwise manner by randomly selecting the order which these will be inserted in a descent line of an organism, if such a descent line can survive. And make sure all possible variants are tested. See what happens to the organisms. Evolutionists can repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Did any new functional spliceosome emerge? If the creature died or no new functional spliceosome emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no spliceosome could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

Now the following tests are to determine if spliceosomes are irreducibly complex. Or to determine if they could have come into existence in a stepwise manner. First do all these tests to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then do all these tests for both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Take any species with a spliceosome and begin removing genes from its gene set. This can be done by randomly picking which gene to remove. Then begin removing sets of genes up to at least 90% of the gene set. This can be done all at once or in any order in a descent line of an organism, if such a descent line can survive. See what happens to the organisms. Evolutionists can repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Did it even survive and replicate? If the creature died or could not replicate, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no spliceosome have come into existence in any stepwise process and that they are all irreducibly complex.

Please note, that an irreducibly complex thing does not mean all of its parts are essential. Removing just 1 part or several parts and claiming that proves that it was not irreducibly complex is just a straw man argument. There are many men who are bald and survive and can reproduce. There are people who have lost fingers, toes, or limbs and survive and can reproduce. In an irreducibly complex thing, there are a core set of parts that are essential to survival or reproduction for living things, or to operate for non-living things. And in many cases especially living things it is most if not all or almost all of the parts. And any claim that 1 kind evolved into another kind shows that both were not irreducibly complex is based on ASSUMING that common descent and evolution are true and using that ASSUMPTION as evidence that common descent and evolution are true. That is PURE CIRCULAR “reasoning” based on false assumptions. In fact, many of these falsification tests prove that all living things are irreducibly complex.

Take a prokaryote and transfer a protein coding gene that is found in a eukaryote but not in a prokaryote. Try any single gene. Do this multiple times with different genes, always transferring 1 gene at a time. See if it becomes a eukaryote. After all these all fail, then transfer 2 genes at once. Try any pair of genes. Do this multiple times with a

different combination of genes. When these all fail, move on to 3, 4, 5, 6, up to 20 or even 100 genes. Pick any combination. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as these failures happen. This type of experiment can be carried out to test any major evolutionary step in the supposed evolution descent tree. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as these failures happen.

The following tests will refute the claim that mitochondria and chloroplasts came into existence when a eukaryote engulfed a bacterium. Take any eukaryote of any species and make it engulf any bacterium of any species. See if any organelle similar in characteristics to any mitochondria or chloroplasts comes into existence as described above for such an event. Pick any combination of species of eukaryote and bacterium. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as these failures happen. This type of experiment can be carried out to test any major evolutionary step in the supposed evolution descent tree. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as these failures happen.

The following tests show that mitochondria could not be the result of an engulfed bacterium. Change either or both of the following in any mitochondria of any eukaryote species. Change the codon transcription table for any mitochondria to match either the mitochondria the codon transcription table of vertebrates or invertebrates. Then change the DNA for any mitochondria to match the DNA codons that would match such changes. Then do both. Pick any combination of species of eukaryote and do all 3 variants. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as these failures happen. This type of experiment can be carried out to test any major evolutionary step in the supposed evolution descent tree. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as these failures happen.

Now many of these tests, which use preexisting DNA code from some source, go beyond the minimum intervention condition. Thus, that would not necessarily be a valid test. Furthermore, even if some of these tests are successful, then that would not prove that evolution is possible. It would only prove that that particular mechanism was possible howbeit with some intervention which would not have been available in the wild in the past. And for those tests that do not have a minimum intervention, a successful test would not even count.

Now, evolutionists may object to all these tests and that they do not prove evolution is false. But there are no observations of introns or exons or spliceosomes or any other thing coming into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS by any means. And there is no record of any of these ever happening in the past. And all analyses show that they are all impossible. So, they only thing left is to try and show that they are possible through a rigorous set of tests which have to be evaluated by groups of young earth creationists. That must be part of any valid peer review system. There is nothing else left for evolution to support any of its claims. And these failures will eliminate the last claim that evolution is science. If these tests fail or evolutionists refuse to carry out all these tests, then evolution is false. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately. And all courses, courseware, book, papers must be modified accordingly. And a worldwide announcement should be made in all media worldwide immediately. And that God created all things recently has been proved. And as each of these tests fail, these failures should be reported in all media worldwide immediately with all of their implications.

For a complete discussion of current falsification tests that refute evolution and very many proposed falsification tests that also refute evolution see section 27 of “All the Unique Genes”. Science should be observable, testable and repeatable. Evolution fails on all 3, because no observations match any of its claims, and all tests refute all of its claims, and all these refutation tests are repeatable all the time.

<u>E. The following prove that all these new functionalities could have come into being to evolve a prokaryote into eukaryote through DNA mutation ERRORS.</u>

It was established in section 16, that it is impossible for new functionality to come into existence by any means since the transition from old functionality to new functionality many times requires that the during the transition the old and new must coexists at the same time. And the old functionality has to be removed at some point, but such removal had never been tried before, so it is virtually impossible to have succeeded without being selected against by natural section. And the new functionality would only work if all or almost of the new unique genes for that new functionality were all present. But that new functionality had never been tried before. So, it is virtually impossible to have succeeded when that new functionality was first tried without it being selected against by natural section. And any new functionality is precarious for any organism or any species for the same reasons. Furthermore, at some time during the long time leading up to all the new unique protein coding genes of that new functionality being mutated into their final form, one or more of them would not have been expressed or for all intents and purposes not expressed. But that leads to those protein coding genes, that are at some times were not expressed or for all intents and purposes not expressed, would have misplaced stop codons which would persist until now. This is due to the great persistence of misplaced stop codons scattered through a protein coding gene. That is not observed in any species today. All these refute the theory of evolution.

Furthermore, if any of the genes for any new functionality came into existence spontaneously or by any other means, then the odds against any being a functional new gene are vast. So, these would end up as “junk” DNA. And this is true of all new functionality over the vast eons and trillions of generations since the first living cell. That amount of “junk” DNA percentage would be greater than 90% or even 99% since “junk” DNA does not reset with “new species”. This is an absolute requirement and prediction of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. But there is no or very little “junk” DNA in any organism of any species living today. Certainly, it is far less than the greater than 90% or even 99% predicted and required by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Furthermore, the removal of the old functionality would have left lots of “junk” DNA in the genome of the species, that should be still there today. And this is true of all previous removals of all old functionality over the vast eons and trillions of generations since the first living cell. That amount of “junk” DNA percentage would be greater than 90% or even 99% since “junk” DNA does not reset with “new species”. This is an absolute requirement and prediction of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. But there is no or very little “junk” DNA in any organism of any species living today. Certainly, it is far less than the greater than 90% or even 99% predicted and required by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And it illogical and unscientific to label the last small percentage of DNA as “junk” DNA. Just because a function has yet been found does not prove there is no functionality. Also, there should be some form of vestigial systems, subsystems, organs, tissues, etc. from the removal of all previous removals of all old functionality over the eons and trillions of generations since the first living cell, since vestigial things do reset with “new species”. That is also not observed in any species today. All these refute the theory of evolution. Furthermore, this should be also observed in the fossil record. But the fossil record is missing millions of chains of missing links. No chain has ever been found. The fossil record shows disparate fully formed species. All these refute the theory of evolution.

F. The far greater case against all evolutionist theories for the origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts.

For a more detailed description of the supposed origin of eukaryotes and introns see the following posts in this book with their associated links.

Prokaryotes could never have evolved into eukaryotes. This also proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created all things. This is found in post 12 of this book and at this link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19udBckjma/

Supposedly, evolution produced introns through DNA mutation ERRORS. That is impossible. Introns, the proteins that are involved in processing them, and the requirement to actually edit the DNA of an organism in inserting them, would have to have OCCURRED in the very same organism simultaneously. And this one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles would have happened many times. Introns are

just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved from DNA mutation errors. – Parts 1 and 2. This is found in posts 93A and 93B of this book and at the following links respectively.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17ajuuqjud/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Bm9RRJsof/

The transcription RNA codon table is not universal. Each of the many exceptions to the standard table proves that evolution is false, and God created all things. Also, the supposed origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts is impossible which also prove evolution is false. This is found in post 10 of this book and at the following link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EdoTb3Yod/

https://www.visiblebody.com/learn/biology/cells/prokaryotes-vs-eukaryotes

12. Prokaryotes could never have evolved into eukaryotes. This also proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created all things. (TOC) (back)

Introduction

In a previous proof

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/oiWwCcx26J5eGRqM/

it was shown that multicellular organisms could never have arisen from a single celled prokaryote that supposedly evolved into multicellular eukaryotes, since there are no prokaryote multicellular organisms, only eukaryote multicellular organisms. And so, multicellularity must be dependent on single cell prokaryotes having evolved into single cell eukaryotes first. It was also shown that a single cell organism evolving into a multicellular organism is impossible.

Supposedly over 1 billion years ago, prokaryotic single celled organisms must have evolved into single cell eukaryotes. The following analyzes this claim and shows just how ludicrous this claim is.

Prokaryotic cells do not have organelles except ribosomes which are not membrane bound. Eukaryotic cells do have organelles which include the nucleus, mitochondria, possibly chloroplasts, the endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi Apparatus, ribosomes, vesicles, vacuoles, centrioles, centrosomes, and lysosomes. Note that some eukaryotes do not have mitochondria.

Eukaryotic cells are typically tens of times larger (20-100x) in size than prokaryotic cells and thus would need way more energy to carry out the increased cellular functions. But they get the vast majority of their energy from ATP which is produced by one or more mitochondria or chloroplasts depending on the type of eukaryotic cell and its needs.

Thus, they would have been starved to death for energy before capturing the bacterium that would supposedly become either mitochondria or chloroplasts. This has great ramifications for the proof against this impossibility as discussed later.

The following organelles and other biological things use ATP for energy either directly or indirectly: the nucleus, Gogi Apparatus, the Endoplasmic Reticulum, microtubules, vacuoles, lysosomes, vesicles, cytoskeleton, peroxisomes, all nano “robots” made of polypeptides, and indirectly, centrosomes, and centrioles. Eukaryotes have significantly more DNA, a greater number of genes and non-coding DNA regions than prokaryotes.

That means that eukaryotes must have gained a tremendous amount of new DNA code, many more genes, and a large amount of non-coding DNA regions when it supposedly evolved from a prokaryote. But new DNA and genes cannot just pop into existence. It is impossible. And that amount of DNA would also require significantly more ATP to support its energy needs.

Mitochondria and Chloroplasts

How can evolution explain how mitochondria and chloroplasts came into being? There are several theories for their origin. But why is there not one definitive answer? The reason is that all proposed theories are preposterous. This is the state of evolution for the origin of anything, the theory of nothing because it can’t explain the origin of anything. So, this post will just concentrate on the least preposterous theory.

Each supposedly came into being when a bacterium was engulfed by a eukaryote cell or proto eukaryote cell over 1 billion years ago. What does it mean engulfed? Wouldn’t the eukaryote cell have repelled it or digested it? The theory is that a eukaryote ingested but did not digest it. The eukaryote just partially digested a bacterium. Kind of like indigestion.

But why did the bacterium stop replicating once engulfed? And how did the eukaryote cell take over making copies of the engulfed bacteria when it needed energy? How did the eukaryote cell learn to use the bacteria’s ATP when it did not have the ability to use it before? And how did the engulfed partially digested bacteria become dependent on proteins manufactured from the host eukaryote cell’s DNA?

But it gets way stranger yet. Bacteria have genomes that are over 1 million base pairs and some millions of base pairs long. Yet the engulfed bacteria when supposedly converted to mitochondria have only about 15,000 base pairs. Where did the other over 1 million base pairs go? And how did the engulfing cell know how to selectively keep the sections needed for ATP and other genes needed for the mitochondria or chloroplasts? Also how did it learn to transfer select genes needed to control the mitochondria into its nucleus?

After this, the engulfed bacterium had to change from having a cell wall produced by enzymes in its cytoplasm to the double membrane for mitochondria enclosed in the cytoplasm of the eukaryote that enclosed it. This is an inside out change. Also, this

would mean that the enclosed bacterium would have had all its internals spill out. So how did anything survive from the enclosed bacterium when its internals were spilled out? Furthermore, there is a significant difference in the functionality of a bacteria's cell wall and the double membrane of mitochondrial. The cell wall is the outer part of the cell protecting it from the outside world whereas the double membrane is an internal structure which requires less protection. Therefore, the cell wall is tougher and less permeable than the mitochondria's double membrane. There is a difference in what can be transported through them. The cell wall is permeable to small molecules, and the double membrane of mitochondria is selectively permeable. And there is a difference in what allows that transport and their makeup. The cell wall is also thicker. The cell wall is metabolically inactive, and the double membrane of mitochondria are metabolically active.

What is also puzzling is that eukaryotes are supposedly descended from prokaryotes. Yet eukaryotes do not have circular nuclear DNA like most prokaryotes. Some prokaryotes do but then how did prokaryotes end up with some with linear DNA organized as chromosomes and most with circular DNA? This is yet another super great miracle of miracles.

From the following site, it is known that there is a big difference in how prokaryotes and eukaryotes carry out transcription and translation. This is described in the paragraph following the link.

https://bio.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Microbiology/Microbiology_(Boundless)/07%3A_Microbial_Genetics/7.06%3A_Translation-_Protein_Synthesis/7.6C%3A_Prokaryotic_Transcription_and_Translation_Are_Coupled

"Prokaryotic transcription occurs in the cytoplasm alongside translation. Prokaryotic transcription and translation can occur simultaneously. This is impossible in eukaryotes, where transcription occurs in a membrane-bound nucleus while translation occurs outside the nucleus in the cytoplasm."

Here is another article which describes the differences in how bacteria and mitochondria carry out transcription and translation. This is described in the paragraph following the below link.

https://elifesciences.org/articles/58362#:~:text=In%20bacteria%2C%20ribosomes%20are%20directly,translation%20are%20most%20likely%20compartmented.

"In bacteria, ribosomes are directly associated with RNA polymerase, thus regulating the rate of gene expression (Kohler et al., 2017; Demo et al., 2017). In mitochondria, such coupling does not occur because transcription and translation are most likely compartmented"

There is also a difference in the transcription/translation codon table between bacteria and mitochondria. This is shown in the following article. That too leads to a number of impossibilities.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/DNA_and_RNA_codon_tables#Translation_table_1

I posted why this too proves evolution and billions of years false in another post

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/gG19vimFooafugCT/

So, to recap, here is what happened that fateful day over 1 billion years ago. A eukaryotic cell or proto eukaryotic cell, which no one can explain how it evolved from a prokaryote, engulfed and partially digested a bacterium. It then had to get rid of its cell wall and surround it with a double membrane. It had to do this without the bacterium spilling out its insides. The engulfing eukaryote took control of the bacterium's replication, discarded over 1 million base pairs of the bacteria, and kept just 15,000 very specific base pairs. The bacterium turned off its own replication and had to have some of its proteins transferred and made by the engulfing eukaryote. The eukaryote somehow changed how it used energy to using ATP created by the mitochondria or chloroplasts. The bacterium's codon transcription and translation table were changed, and its DNA selectively edited to keep the change from destroying it. Also, how the bacterium did transcription and translation were also changed. And all these super great miracles of miracles happened simultaneously in these 2 individual organisms that one fateful moment. Each of these super miracles of miracles had to have least dozens or hundreds of super great miracles of miracles happen simultaneously in that moment. And this did not happen just once but twice as a similar preposterous theory is used to explain the origin of chloroplasts.

More information on the differences between bacteria and mitochondria are found at the following site.

https://febs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/febs.14692

The nucleus

The nucleus of a eukaryotic cell is an organelle bound by 2 membranes. It performs transcription, DNA replication, RNA processing with only translation occurring outside of it, and many other essential functions which control most functions of the cell. The supposed origin of the nucleus is still a mystery. One theory has that it evolved from inside the cytoplasm. The other that a cell engulfed an endosymbiont similar to the leading theories of the origins of mitochondria and chloroplasts. The second of these is truly preposterous following the same reasoning used to show how the leading theory for the origin for mitochondria and chloroplasts, which was an engulfed bacterium.

Summing it all up

In summary, for this super great miracle of miracles to have happened, there had to be a total reorganization and redistribution of a number of functions in the cell that ingested but did not digest a bacterium which somehow became mitochondria as outlined above. But the nucleus would have to have already existed in the engulfing cell. The reason is it is the nucleus that took control of the engulfed bacterium and converted it into mitochondria. So, the nucleus must have changed how it got its energy to use the ATP created by the engulfed bacterium. But now the contradiction is that the nucleus would have been starved for energy before it enslaved the bacterium and turned it into mitochondria. But what about the other organelles besides the nucleus? Most would already have had to exist before this miraculous event. So, they too would have had to switch how they got their energy at that time. But they too would have been starved for energy prior to the event. So that means there are further contradictions. Not only that but how did it evolve the nucleus and most of the other organelles before it enslaved the bacterium and converted it to mitochondria or chloroplasts since it was limited by energy before it had mitochondria or chloroplasts?

In this very same event, the nucleus of the engulfing cell must have had a massive gain of new DNA code and genes. The reason is that there is no way for the engulfing cell to have had that much DNA and genes because it too would have required more energy than was available to it before it harnessed the ATP energy produced by the engulfed bacterium turned into mitochondria.

https://www.britannica.com/science/eukaryote

It is impossible for a prokaryotic cell to have evolved into a eukaryotic cell. There is no record that it happened in the past. It is not happening now. And it could never happen by simple analysis of the genetics involved. But if anyone claims that this did indeed happen, they must show that all of these hundreds of simultaneous miracles of miracles happened by DNA mutation ERRORS which produced these super great miracles of miracles through all generations with actual DNA code, because that is the only option for evolution. For each of these, they must start with the code and functionality of an existing creature and then trace the path through successive generations showing all code changes per generation and all functionality of these intermediate steps. This will never be done ever by those that promote the evolution fairy tale. By the way, this uncovers a great fallacy for evolution. They have never shown actual evolution by tracing actual DNA mutations with real DNA code over as many generations as needed to achieve the new functionality. Instead, the reasoning process used is Lamarkian where the desire of end goal made the changes in the creature. And this is even worse than the darks days of Lamarkian thought, since they did not understand the complexity of DNA code back then. Very many buy these fairy tales due to this faulty reasoning.

<u>There is no common descent and there is no time for any of this to have happened.</u>

Please note that the rate of accumulation of DNA ERRORS in all species, especially in mitochondrial DNA, proves that all species are less then 10,000 years. So, when did these great miracles of miracles occur? Therefore, it has been proved that all living

things are less than 10,000 years old. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and fossil bearing sedimentary rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false.

All reasoning based on the already refuted argument that common things are from common descent have been shown to be false and never to have happened. A Common Creator is the only explanation. And all common descent arguments are based on the already proven false “no God” assumption and lots of circular reasoning. Also note that inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences prove common descent false also.

Why are there always different theories for every aspect of evolution? Because none of the theories is the answer to any of the origin questions. But why are all these ludicrous theories even believed? Obviously, these people are brilliant in their respective fields, and many important discoveries have been made, some with great future potential. So why are they so deceived in the areas of origins and the long age dating of things? The Bible predicts this would happen with exact detail and exact timing in our time. See Genesis 1, 2 Peter 3, 1 Tim 6:20-21, 2 Tim 3-4, 2 Thess 2, Psalm 1, etc. for details.

Now combining this impossibility as part of the below list results in 7 super great miracles of miracles counting the over 50 times the supposed jump from single celled creature to a multi-celled creature and there are now over 55 impossibilities to refute evolution.

RNA only creatures, that is RNA viruses which are not living.
DNA/RNA prokaryotes that use asexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA prokaryotes that use sexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, single celled, that use asexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, single celled, that use sexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, multi celled, that use asexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, multi celled, that use sexual reproduction.

Each of these requires a super great miracle of miracles to have come into existence. Almost all of these require multiple, in most cases many dozens or hundreds of super

great miracles of miracles, which must have happened simultaneously in the very same creature, as the change effects both how the creature functions and reproduces.

These miraculous events are therefore greater miracles of miracles than even abiogenesis is.

But there are way more impossibilities for evolution to have happened. I hope in future posts to address these. Here is a small list of some of them - asexual reproduction supposedly evolving into sexual reproduction, and many irreducibly complex functions, organs and systems such as vision, the eye, hearing, speech, flight, the circulatory system, the nervous system, the digestive system, the immune system, the respiratory system, and many more.
Evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately and completely. Why not do something meaningful instead and maybe discover real solutions for the real problems of people? Maybe God will bless the works of your hands.

13. Supposedly multicellularity has evolved over 50 times in the past. All are impossible. This, all these also prove evolution is false. (TOC) (back)

A single cell organism has, of course, only one cell which must carry out all the functions required for survival and replication. A multicellular organism has specialized cells which carry out all the functions required for survival and replication. However, each cell does not carry out all functions required for survival and replication for a multicellular organism. And if a cell is removed from a multicellular organism, that cell will die but not the multicell organism. If the one cell of a single cell organism dies, the single celled organism of course dies.

Unicellular organisms do not have cell differentiation, but multicellular organisms do. Thus, the division of functionality which is confined to just the single cell in a single celled creature is then distributed amongst a large number of different kinds of cells, which must coordinate and communicate to carry out all the distributed functions for survival and replication. This differentiation is carried out through gene expression.

Multicellular organisms replicate from one cell, a zygote, and not from each cell in the organism. But most cells in a multicellular organism can duplicate in mitosis for tissues to grow. In a single cell organism, the one and only cell of each of these can replicate.

Prokaryotes do not have organelles at all. Eukaryotes do. The impossibilities of prokaryotes evolving into eukaryotes will be discussed in a future post which will also include the origin of organelles amongst other impossibilities. Organelles of single cell eukaryotes carry out the functions in a single cell organism. But this is carried out by the organs, systems, and tissues in a multicellular organism, each of the unique cell types using their organelles to carry out their specialized functions besides functions common to all cells in the multicellular organism. Each of these organs, systems, and tissues are irreducibly complex and are themselves composed of irreducibly complex things down

through several levels and interconnected and interdependent in an irreducibly complex manner.

For a single cell organism, the total cell body is exposed to the outside environment but for the cells of a multicellular organism, only the outer cells are. The cells of the outer layer for a multicellular organism are what keep it all together. Many cell types in the multicellular organism are organized into tissue which gives the organism shape and some rigidity.

In a unicellular organism, if the cell dies, the organism dies. For a multicellular organism, death of one cell does not result in death for the organism.

There are no multicellular prokaryotes. So unicellular eukaryotes must have supposedly evolved into multicellular creatures. So, the existence of multicellular organisms depends on this required step. This will be discussed in a future post.

To understand the impossibility of multicellular organisms having evolved from unicellular organisms, here is a summary of 2 possibilities as to how this happened. In the first impossibility, multiple eukaryote single cell eukaryotes joined together. One of them developed into the zygote cell which would be how the multicell organism would reproduce. The others stopped replicating completely and forever more. These multiple cells somehow differentiated into a variety of cells. One group became the external layer that would hold this miracle of cells together. The others divided up to a variety of cell types. Each type required a very large number of cells for each of their new functionality. Gene expression just happened to kick into high gear with different genes being expressed as different types. For each large number of a particular type, they all had to have the same novel gene expression and to cluster together to form tissue of the same cell type. Now all these new types had to achieve brand new functionality at the same time as completely shutting down some older functionality.

Further, each cell type had to change into a novel shape to perform the functionality of the new tissue. Novel neurotransmitters also had to come into being which of course required a number of new genes which had to find a home on some chromosome. New enzymes would also have popped into being. The outer wall of each of these cells had to change also. They needed to become specialized to selectively allow molecules to pass through the wall which would have to developed gating control to control the flow based on certain concentrations of ions.

And each of the new cell types would have their own nuances. These are controlled by new proteins and enzymes which also would have required new genes, which had to find a home on some chromosomes. This new multicellular creature would need to develop an extracellular matrix for most of these newly differentially cells and probably more than one extracellular matrix type. The extracellular matrices would be composed of various new proteins, thus requiring new genes, which had to find a home on some chromosomes. And this is just a high-level summary of the massive changes that would have been required. And all these many dozens of miraculous events must have

happened simultaneously in the very same creature, as the change affects both how the creature functions and reproduces.

The second impossibility sort of reverses the order from the first scenario. In this scenario, a single cell eukaryote or multiple single cell eukaryotes changed how it or they replicated and instead made new types of differentiated cells all ready to be combined into one multicellular creature. All has to be accomplished in one event, and all have to remain very close so that the combining could be carried out, each having to go to the required position for the combing to work. It is hard to even compare which impossibility is more impossible, but I am confident that it is this one, leaving the first ludicrous scenario as the only option.

Supposedly a single celled creature evolved into a multicell creature over 50 times in the past.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10611430/

Multicellularity from single celled creatures is not happening now. There is no record that it happened in the past. And it could never happen by simple analysis of the genetics involved. But if anyone claims that these things did indeed evolve, they must show that all of these happened by DNA ERRORS which produced these great miracles through all generations with actual DNA code, because that is the only option for evolution. For each of these, they must start with the code and functionality of an existing creature and then trace the path through successive generations showing all DNA code changes caused by DNA mutation ERRORS per generation and all functionality of these intermediate steps.

Please note that the rate of accumulation of DNA ERRORS in all species, especially in mitochondrial DNA, proves that all species are less then 10,000 years. So, when did these great miracles of miracles occur?

Now combine supposed evolution of multicellularity with the below list of these 7 super great miracles of miracles with over 50 times the jump from single celled creature to a multi-celled creature and there are now over 55 impossibilities to refute evolution.

RNA only creatures, that is RNA viruses which are not living.
DNA/RNA prokaryotes that use asexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA prokaryotes that use sexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, single celled, that use asexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, single celled, that use sexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, multi celled, that use asexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, multi celled, that use sexual reproduction.

Each of these requires a super great miracle of miracles to have come into existence. Almost all of these require multiple, in most cases many dozens of super great miracles

of miracle, which must have happened simultaneously in the very same creature, as the change effects both how the creature functions and reproduces.

But there are very many more problems for evolutionist speculations. But that is for other threads. One is prokaryotes supposedly evolving into eukaryotes. A second is asexual reproduction evolving into sexual reproduction. Plus, many more.

14. Amazingly modern evolutionists have fallen back into Lamarckian thinking even with the modern knowledge of DNA and genetics. This logical fallacy is one of the greatest scientific embarrassments, if not the greatest scientific embarrassment, of all time. (TOC) (back)

In the early dark age of evolutionist thought, albeit all evolutionist thought is in darkness, someone named Jean Baptiste Lamarck theorized that beneficial traits were acquired by individual creatures during their lifetimes, and that these changes were passed onto to their offspring. This is directed or goal-oriented evolution. As a creature adapted to its environment, its behavior changed its organs, and these changes were then inherited by their offspring. A good example of this discredited theory was the explanation of the origin of the long neck of giraffes. The giraffes started to stretch their necks to reach leaves higher up in trees and this gave them an advantage. The offspring having acquired this slightly longer neck stretched their necks a bit further, and so on until the long necks of modern giraffes emerged.

Now of course with modern biology and the discoveries of DNA and genetics, it is obvious that such a theory is preposterous and has been abandoned. But actually, it has not been abandoned. And here is one of the greatest logical ERRORS of all time that many have been deceived with. It is one of the greatest scientific embarrassments, if not the greatest scientific embarrassment, of all time.

The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long

before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

First all decent trees are a mirage as deep time, the false god of evolution, is a mirage also. All individual creatures of each species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at certain rates per generation. The mitochondrial DNA mutation error rate is 100-1000x that of nuclear DNA and sets the maximum age. Based on these rates, it has been proved that all living species are less than 10,000 years old. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as all species have only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false.

And natural selection cannot help at all in this case because these mutations are neutral or at most slightly disadvantageous. And all individual creatures of each species have about the same accumulated load. No matter how many individuals are removed from the population through natural selection, all surviving individuals of each species still have the accumulated DNA error load. And all descendant lines from the survivors will continue to accumulate ERRORS with each new generation.

Furthermore, random DNA mutation ERRORS do not produce any beneficial new function to select, and the DNA of all species will be completely corrupted before any new gene would be plausible, except in the case of species which have vast populations like bacteria.

By the way, antibiotic resistance in bacteria is just from shared genetic material or already existed in the bacteria population. The strains that have it in the wild are less fit and thus their population is kept in check. However, when the more fit strains are killed off by antibiotics, those with the resistance can grow in population. That is why they are a problem in some hospitals and why antibiotics can cause gut problems in people because in reducing good bacteria, the bad bacteria can grow in population. And any supposed experiment that tries to prove otherwise, the initial conditions are not known as all the bacterium in the starting culture must have all their DNA known including the DNA in all their plasmids, and all the DNA code in all DNA fragments outside of all the bacteria in the testing environment must be known. Also, all viruses must have all their genetic known. And even then, that may not be enough. And it would be impossible to

do this simple task of knowing the initial conditions. And even if bacteria, which have a vast population and a short intergenerational time, could possibly produce some new functionality, it is not a big deal and would not explain over 100 trillion genes that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever lived. Nor would this mechanism work for multicellular species. Remember all species and the earth are less than 10,000 years. The above is true no matter what biased intelligence says.

There have actually been experiments which verify this. For many years scientists have studied fruit flies for many generations of fruit flies. Fruit flies are chosen because their intergenerational age is only about 10-12 days, they have a large number of offspring, are inexpensive and their genetics are well known. The flies are given mutagenic chemicals which induce DNA mutation ERRORS at a much higher rate. This is done to test the mutagenic damage of the chemical under testing. But the fruit flies themselves have their changes monitored to understand the damage from the chemical under testing. Experiments on fruit flies have been going on for over 100 years now. Very many flies have had their DNA mutated at an accelerated rate. No new functionality of any significance has been observed and the flies in general die or are greatly disadvantaged. Now it is true that the number of DNA mutation ERRORS induced into the fruit flies is large compared to its natural rate and the total population of flies is small compared to the population of all fruit flies. But it is still a large enough population sampling size to make calculations based on observed population statistics of the results. And the total DNA ERRORS are still small compared to the total DNA so that it is not an extreme amount of total change per generation. In the future, such experiments should be aimed at looking at DNA mutation ERRORS with the goal of seeing if any beneficial mutations arise and what is the fate of the accumulated degradation on this species. It will verify the above calculations.

So now getting back to the giraffe's long neck. The main reason that evolution could not have caused the long neck of giraffes to come into existence is that there are other changes that must have occurred simultaneously. It is irreducibly complex. The giraffe must not only reach high up, but it must lower its head to the ground to drink water. This large change in the position and orientation of the neck and head creates problems. The giraffe has a system of valves in its neck. This system is quite complex and controls blood flow and blood pressure so that these 2 extremes of position and orientation are even possible and the transition between the 2 is also handled. There are other changes that facilitate the long neck of the giraffe.

In the dark days of evolution, Lamarck speculated that as a creature adapted to its environment, its behavior changed its organs, and these changes were then inherited by their offspring. With modern DNA and genetics knowledge, this is known to be impossible. And yet everyone who is deceived by evolution is using Lamarckism in their thinking despite modern knowledge of DNA and genetics. Thus, the modern evolutionary era is even in more darkness than the dark days of Lamarckism. And this logical fallacy is used in all acceptance of all evolutionist speculation for any supposed evolution of one creature to another. Evolutionists must abandon this false thinking and produce actual DNA mutation ERRORS starting with a certain creature with an actual

specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene came into being. And this must be done for all things in all species.

A similar embarrassment is also present with speculating that abiogenesis is possible. Back in the early dark days of evolution without modern knowledge of DNA and genetics, such speculation was not nearly as absurd as it is today. The great Christian creationist Louis Pasteur showed that such was impossible with a simple scientific experiment. Back then it was called spontaneous generation. Yet today with modern knowledge of DNA and genetics, abiogenesis is assumed to have happened with certainty. This is a result of eliminating the only possible explanation, creation of all things by God. So, in this too, modern evolutionist thought is even in more darkness than the early days of evolution.

All evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

15. Censorship, indoctrination, propaganda and deceit are some of the means used to promote evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science". (TOC) (back)

Apparently, many atheists and evolutionists use censorship, propaganda, indoctrination and deceit. They also use every debate 101 technique imaginable. These all prove that the theories of evolution, atheism, billions of years, and common descent are false since why resort to all these. This proves a losing position. The following is a summary of the censorship, bullying, harassment, false accusations, lies about me. I have been cursed at, demeaned, threatened very many times. These have happened to me probably over 100,000 times. And the number of mocking emojis must be well over that number. I endure all that and have no animosity toward any of those that do this. I have been told my many Biblical YEC that they too have encountered such things. I have posted many times in many groups. For groups that are run by atheists or evolutionists, most of my posts have been declined, aka censored, by most of these groups. The total membership of these groups is about 1/2 million. Some of these groups do approve of some or all of these posts, but that may be because there are some creationists that are administrators or moderators.

None can refute any of my posts which are all well researched. The reason is that they are indeed irrefutable and infallible.

Evolution is a false religion. Thus teaching evolution, especially as required by the ciriculum, in any public school or college violates the established clause of the first amendment of the US constitution. And that means it cannot be the part of any curriculum in any public school, college, or university. And all government funding of it, whether funding it or preparation of materials for course should also be stopped. This is true of funding from all levels of government. What's more is evolution, atheism, billions

of years, and common descent have all been proved false forever. So these are known lies. Why teach that these or force these on anyone? And every organization of any kind should retract these immediately. I suppose people can have evolution schools which should be treated as false religion schools.

Some estimated statistics.
For evolutionist or atheist groups, all of my posts or almost all of my posts have been rejected in groups with a total membership of over 500,000. The total membership of those that have approved all of my posts or most of them is over 250,000.
For creationists groups, total membership of those that have accepted all of them or almost all of them is over 450,000. Total membership of those that have rejected all of my posts or most of them is over 200,000. The majority of that is from groups that are not YEC. As to why the rejection in the other creationists groups, there are several reasons. One of the strangest is that some of my posts are flagged because the checking software claims there is a phone number in those posts even though there are none. 3 of the groups that rejected them have a rather large number of members.
So I have posted about 100 posts in groups with total membership of over 1.4 million. And all of or almost all of them have been accepted in groups with total membership of over 700,000.

For atheist and evolutionist groups, the rate of rejection, which is censorship, is almost 70%. For YEC groups, the rate of rejection is only about 10%. And there are a number of reasons for most of these. So censorship by evolutionist, atheist, and OEC groups is about 7x that of YEC. And the YEC rejection is mostly driven by various group objectives. So, that is not censorship at all.

That is a robust peer review system. The number of groups that have approved of some of my pots is well over 100 groups with most of those approving most of my posts. The administration and moderators that have approved these post are in general more likely to be informed of the issues at hand. And many members in these groups are also well informed. No one has ever refuted any of the proofs in any of those posts.

As to discussions between evolutionists, I have invited many evolutionists and atheists to a one on one open HONEST discussion in a PUBLIC Facebook group I manage. Participants must abide by clearly stated and enforced rules. None have accepted this invitation. Here is the reason for this particular set of terms for this discussion. A public group means that anyone can see the discussion without even having to be a member of the group. A Facebook group is chosen because the posts and comments are recorded in clear text. A one on one discussion is chosen because it is easier to keep the discussion focused and clear train of reasoning will be seen. The requirement of honesty goes without saying. And the rules ensure that. As to why it should be in a group I manage, it is quite clear that evolutionists use lots of censorship, propaganda, indoctrination and deception. So, it would not make sense that they would control any such discussion or even have a say in it. This is proved by my experiences as described above and that of very many other Biblical YEC.

I have even suggested that they can have anyone take their place in this discussion. This includes the greatest evolutionists skilled in formal debates. I will tell you I have never been in any formal debate nor have a I ever been trained in such. So, I would be at a disadvantage in such discussions. And according to evolutionists, I have no knowledge in science, math and logic. So according to evolutionists, I would have a significant disadvantage in such discussions. Of course, I would of course win. And the reason that would happen in the face of such a significant disadvantage is that my position is the truth and is supported by all facts, all evidence, all biology, all chemistry, all physics, all mathematics, all statistics and probability, all logic, all rational thought, all of history, all archaeology, and just about everything else. Their position is just false, aka a lie. And there is no evidence for any of their claims at all. For such a discussion, I will only need to ask 2 questions. If they answer both questions honestly, then that will lead to the throwing out of all supposed peer review papers for evolution, billions of years, common descent and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. And it they answer dishonestly to either of these 2 questions, then they have lost the discussion.

Of course, there is a valid and robust peer review system in origin science. And that is maintained by a number of creationist organizations. If you remove all references to evolution, billions of years and common descent from the secular peer system what is left is part of the peer review system also.

List of fallacies and deceptions
Summary of proofs

Atheists say that do not believe in no God. They claim they have an unbelief in any god. But do they believe in that unbelief? That would make it a belief If not then they must have an unbelief in their unbelief which means they believe in God.
Atheists claim they do not have a burden of proof because they make no claims and, no theists have proved God exists. That is 3 claims. If someone will not accept a burden of proof, then they are not entitled to make any claims.
Claim evolution is not a religion, but it is
Establishment clause against evolution in public schools and colleges and no government funding allowed
Claim the existence of the universe is not part of evolution
Claim abiogenesis is not part of evolution
Claim common features, whether genetic or anatomical prove evolution and common descent
Atheists claim evolution has nothing to do with atheism even though almost all atheists are evolutionists and very many evolutionists are atheists
Claim that adaptations and variations in created kinds is proof that non living chemicals became a living cell which evolved into all species today

In several atheists run groups, administrators have told be that atheism has nothing to do with biology. It has nothing to do with science. It has nothing to do with mathematics.

It has nothing to do with logic. It has nothing to do with any facts. It has nothing to do with reality.

Define a scientist as one who believes in evolution. Then note that all scientists believe in evolution, and none in creation.
Define a scientific organization as one which believes in evolution. Then note that all scientific organizations believe in evolution, and none in creation.
Will only allow papers, that do not contradict evolution but espouse it, in the peer review system. Then note that all papers in the scientific peer system do not contradict evolution but espouse evolution.

16. It is impossible for sexual reproduction to have evolved from asexual reproduction. And this supposedly happened 3 times. So, evolution is falsified yet again by these 3 and many other things discussed below, besides all the other multitude of proofs against it. (TOC) (back)

There is a significant difference between how an organism reproduces asexually and sexually. Is it possible that an organism which reproduced asexually could have evolved into one which reproduced sexually? A simple analysis will prove that is not possible.

There are at least 6 super great miracles of miracles to consider on this topic. Many single cell prokaryotes reproduce asexually and many also reproduce sexually, albeit in pseudo ways. Many single cell eukaryotes reproduce asexually, and many reproduce sexually. Finally, many multicellular eukaryotes reproduce asexually and many sexually. So, the question arises, what evolved from what to produce these 6 combinations? For each type, it would require a multitude of super great miracles of miracles to have happened.

Abiogenesis, which eventually produced single celled prokaryotes supposedly coming into being from chemicals, is covered in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/FoXqWyHFkyEiuTK1/

Prokaryotes supposedly evolving into eukaryotes is covered in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/iNAAfgE8v7FPyf5s/

Single cell eukaryotes evolving into multicell eukaryotes is covered in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/gqBg9eBBRn7eSsXu/

This is a lengthy proof and quite detailed. I urge you to take the time to read it. It is very important to understand that God did indeed create all things.

If you want to skip some of the less consequential parts, skip down to the part marked True sexual reproduction.

The least preposterous path to produce these 6 combinations is as follows. The first living creature was a single cell prokaryote, or something that eventually evolved into one, that used asexual reproduction that came into being somehow from chemicals. Supposedly one of them eventually evolved into a single cell prokaryote that used sexual reproduction, albeit is pseudo ways. Supposedly another single cell prokaryote that used asexual reproduction eventually evolved into a single cell eukaryote that used asexual reproduction. Then supposedly a single cell eukaryote that used asexual reproduction eventually evolved into a single cell eukaryote that used sexual reproduction. Supposedly another single cell eukaryote that used asexual reproduction eventually evolved into a multicell eukaryote that used asexual reproduction. There are 2 options for the emergence of the last type. I will use the following although I will address the second option later. Supposedly a single cell eukaryote that used sexual reproduction eventually evolved into a multicell eukaryote that used sexual reproduction. This proof will address the three of these that are underlined above.

As a note, the following will show just how diverse all these things are. At the end of this proof, this itself will be used as evidence against evolution and billions of years.

Single cell prokaryotes that used asexual reproduction could not have evolved into single cell prokaryotes that used sexual reproduction.

Prokaryotes mostly reproduce through asexual reproduction. Asexual reproduction types include binary fission, which is the most common, budding, fragmentation, spores, and hermaphroditism. But some can exchange genetic material in pseudo forms of sexual reproduction. There are 3 methods used to exchange genetic material. These are conjugation, transformation and transduction. In transformation, a DNA fragment from a lysed dead bacterium is taken up and incorporated into another bacterium. In transduction, the DNA fragment is transferred from one bacterium to another bacterium by a bacteriophage, which is a virus which infects bacteria. Consider conjugation first. In conjugation, a small amount of genetic material is transferred from one cell to another in a unidirectional manner through contact between the 2 cells. This is quite different from true sexual reproduction. The genetic material is usually transferred in plasmids which are circular DNA molecules similar to bacterial chromosomes but smaller. Plasmids are self-replicating and usually not essential to the survival or replication of bacteria and are not part of the bacterium’s chromosome. This genetic material may be incorporated into the recipient bacterium’s chromosome through homologous recombination. Now of the 3 types of supposed evolution of asexual reproduction to sexual reproduction, this one is by far the simplest. But could it have possibly happened through evolution? An analysis of it will show that the answer is no.

The following link describes these 3 types of exchanges of genetic material between bacteria.

https://bio.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Microbiology/Microbiology_(Kaiser)/Unit_2%3A_Bacterial_Genetics_and_the_Chemical_Control_of_Bacteria/3%3A_Bacterial_Genetics/3.1%3A_Horizontal_Gene_Transfer_in_Bacteria

Plasmids must go through the cell wall of the recipient bacterium in conjugation. This is typically facilitated by a physical bridge called a pilus which protects the plasmid as it is moved from the donor to the recipient. The pilus is how one cell draws the other near enough for this transfer to happen. The donor bacterium grasps the recipient bacterium with a pilus and then retracts it to pull it closer. The pilus is made of proteins which are made from protein coding genes in the donor bacterium. Some plasmids contain both a poison and its antidote. In general, it costs energy to maintain and copy plasmids. This poison/antidote pair forces bacteria to retain some plasmids. If not, the cell or its offspring dies from the poison and there is no antidote. But this presents a contradiction. If the poison developed first, then the bacteria would have died because there is no antidote, and it would never survive until the antidote developed enough to work. But how did the antidote, which works for the specific poison, develop if there was no poison? Also, most eukaryotes do not have plasmids. So, how did they learn to beat the trap that the specific poison and its matching antidote set up, since eukaryotes have supposedly evolved from prokaryotes?

Eukaryotes do not have pili. So why did they lose that since it certainly was beneficial? Most eukaryotes do not use conjugation. And the ones that do use conjugation have yet another form of it. So, they would have had to switch how they performed conjugation by losing their pili and developing yet another form of conjugation. And why would many eukaryotes have lost conjugation since this is beneficial? But if evolution were true, they all should use conjugation and pili since single cell eukaryotes supposedly evolved from single cell prokaryotes as I noted before when I noted that that was the least preposterous path. For eukaryotes, transduction by viruses is more complicated. The DNA must not only pass through the cell wall, but through the membrane of the nucleus. As an aside, prokaryotes can exchange genetic material with eukaryotes, which is not well understood and quite strange because there are significant differences between the 2 and it would have to be quite selective as to what is transferred and not destroy the recipient. So how did that evolve?

Asexual reproduction is more efficient than sexual reproduction. It happens more quickly, produces more offspring, and eliminates the time required to find a mate. However, sexual reproduction does provide variation in genetic material which can make a species more fit for survival under challenging environments. Conjugation, transformation and transduction provide this variation for prokaryotes. So, what need would there be for actual sexual reproduction when these options already achieved that? And why wouldn't eukaryotes have used the same simple ones since they supposedly evolved from prokaryotes?

Not all bacteria can make a pilus. However, a donor bacterium may transfer the genes to make a pilus through a plasmid. Then the receiving bacteria can then transfer plasmids to other bacteria. This itself is a super great miracle of miracles.

Now consider how ingenious this all is. The pilus is an incredibly irreducibly complex structure which is made from the protein coding genes in the donor bacterium. There are other functions that a pilus will carry out. Now a pilus may need up to 40 genes to be produced and carry out its functionality. The odds against that many genes coming from DNA mutation ERRORS are way beyond astronomical, easily about 10^2400 to 1 assuming a modest 100 base pairs per gene. Also consider that there are multiple types of pili that would have come into being with similar odds. So, the total odds against should include all of these.

Transduction occurs when a virus transfers DNA from one bacterium to another. A virus may transfer a plasmid from one bacterium to another or a DNA fragment which can be incorporated into the infected bacterium's chromosome DNA through homologous recombination. It may transfer random or select genes between the 2 bacteria. Now there are several major problems right here. First, where did viruses evolve from or come into being since they cannot replicate without a host cell? Secondly, how did they even evolve to use a host cell for replication? Thirdly, how are they able to work with the bacteria's genetic apparatus to transfer DNA material between bacteria? Each of these would require many super great miracles of miracles possibly occurring simultaneously in one event.

Transformation occurs when a bacterium takes up a DNA fragment from the remains of a lysed dead bacterium in the environment. If the DNA fragment is double stranded it may lose one strand, and the other strand will pass through the cell membrane of the bacterium. However, double stranded DNA may also pass through the bacterium's membrane. Note the difference between plasmids and this method which can use single stranded or double stranded DNA. Thus, bacteria must have evolved 3 different mechanisms to incorporate DNA through transformation. The DNA fragment may end up as a plasmid or in a chromosome. Again, these would each require a number of super great miracles of miracles.

Now prokaryotes mostly reproduce asexually through binary fission. This would have had to come into being supposedly either through abiogenesis, which is impossible, or in some eventual evolution from some primitive first living thing. The later choice itself has a least 2 super great miracles of miracles. The steps for binary fission are DNA replication, cell elongation, DNA segregation to opposite poles of the cell, formation of a septum down the middle, then the cell splitting into two separate daughter cells. This is described in the following article.

https://bio.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Introductory_and_General_Biology/General_Biology_(Boundless)/10%3A_Cell_Reproduction/10.05%3A_Prokaryotic_Cell_Division/10.5A%3A_Binary_Fission

For DNA replication, there are 3 substages. So, for binary fission, there are 7 steps/substages, each of which would be a super great miracles of miracles to have come into being through DNA mutation ERRORS. And each of these would be

composed of many sub steps, again each of which would be a super great miracle of miracles to have come into being through evolution. That would then be at least 100 things. Assuming a modest 5 genes per, the odds against that many genes coming into existence through random mutations are greater than (10^150,000) to 1. All the over 100 super great miracles of miracles would have had to come into existence simultaneously in one creature because it does not work until all are in place and functioning.

But there are variations of binary fission. For example, some bacteria form not 2 daughter cells through binary fission, but multiple daughters. In some bacteria the mother bacterium forms offspring internally. And there are other variations in other types of bacteria. All these would be super great miracles of miracles with vast odds against. And of course, budding, fragmentation, spores, and hermaphroditism, which are different from binary fission, would each also have to have come into being through a set of super great miracles of miracles.

Eukaryotes do not use binary fission for asexual reproduction. They use mitosis which is quite different and will be discussed in detail later. So, if prokaryotes using asexual reproduction supposedly evolved into eukaryotes using asexual reproduction, they would have had to changed how they reproduce all at once simultaneously in one creature. This would then have had to be a multitude of super great miracles of miracles occurring simultaneously in the same creature with all the other multitude of simultaneous super great miracles of miracles required for a prokaryote organism supposedly having evolved into a eukaryote. I hope to delve into this and binary fission in detail in the future.

Prokaryotes supposedly evolving into eukaryotes is covered in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/iNAAfgE8v7FPyf5s/

True sexual reproduction

Single cell eukaryotes that used asexual reproduction could not have evolved into single cell eukaryotes that used sexual reproduction.

Much of this is discussed in the next part. Although both are preposterous, this would be less preposterous. But this too would have required a multitude of super great miracles of miracles to have come into being through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Single cell eukaryotes that used sexual reproduction could not have evolved into multicell eukaryotes that used sexual reproduction. And multicell eukaryotes that used asexual reproduction could not have evolved into multicell eukaryotes that used sexual reproduction.

From the following article, the below differences between asexual reproduction and sexual reproduction in multicell eukaryotes can be established.

https://byjus.com/biology/sexual-and-asexual-reproduction/

The big differences between asexual reproduction and sexual reproduction come from the fact that in asexual reproduction there is only one parent and in sexual reproduction there are two parents. For asexual reproduction, there is no fertilization, although some organisms can self-fertilize. There is fertilization for sexual reproduction. For asexual reproduction, there are no gametes. But for sexual reproduction there are gametes. In asexual reproduction there are no sex organs but there are for sexual reproduction. In asexual reproduction the somatic cells of the parent are involved. For sexual reproduction, it is the germ cells of the parents that are involved. For asexual reproduction, only mitosis type of cell division occurs. But for sexual reproduction in multicellular creatures, both meiosis and mitosis types of cell division occurs. In asexual reproduction, the genes and genetic material are just multiplied and passed on to new organisms from the parent. But in sexual reproduction, the genetic material undergoes intermixing from both parents to form a new set of genetic material in the offspring.

Now each of these differences are a major difference. And each can be used to disprove the conjecture that creatures that used asexual reproduction evolved into creatures that used sexual reproduction.

Single celled organism does not have sex organs as those organs are composed of multiple cells and a single cell creature is itself only one cell. Thus, this would be a super great miracle of miracles involving multiple simultaneous miracles in the same creature as it would need to change how it reproduces all at once. And there is no proto sexual structure in eukaryotes because they have no pili. Remember that multi celled eukaryotes that used sexual reproduction must have come from either a single cell or multicell eukaryote, and not a prokaryote.

Now consider how true sexual reproduction supposedly evolved at all from a creature that used asexual reproduction. This must have been one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles involving multiple simultaneous super great miracles of miracles in the same creature and it would need to change how it reproduces all at once. This is because in asexual reproduction, the DNA is just copied whereas in sexual reproduction, DNA must be passed on from 2 parent organisms and recombined in the offspring. And this may have happened a second time in eukaryotes if the second option of a multi celled eukaryote using asexual reproduction evolved into a multicell eukaryote using sexual reproductive occurred. But the other option is that a single cell eukaryote that used sexual reproduction evolved into a multicell eukaryote that used sexual reproduction. And this too would require multiple simultaneous super giant miracles of miracles.

For asexual reproduction, the DNA must be copied. Now this in itself is one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles. As an aside, there is no possibility that a creature that consisted of and used DNA, RNA, and proteins could have ever evolved or

come into being in abiogenesis. In sexual reproduction, each parent must donate half of its DNA. This in itself is a super great miracle of miracles as it would have never existed before. And there would be no reason for this to happen as it would serve absolutely no purpose until the switch over to sexual reproduction was complete. But that could not have happened as it would require the second step which is to recombine the DNA for the offspring. But that recombination could never have evolved because it too has no purpose without the first step existing. So, each is dependent on the other. Thus, this is yet another one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles. But wait, there is another problem yet. There must exist 2 organisms which can donate half of their DNA in existence at the same time for this to have worked. But wait it gets stranger. These 2 bacteria would have to meet. Remember there must be at least 2, and there are only 2 in all existence. If they do not meet, they will die off and produce no offspring unless they produce offspring through asexual reproduction. And one of these would have to meet an offspring which has the new sexual reproduction capability, which would be very difficult to do since there are at most a small number in a vast population of organisms. And there is no reason for this new line to outcompete the other organisms which only used asexual reproduction. Furthermore, offspring from these organisms with the new sexual reproduction capability must both pass on all needed genes so the offspring can have the capability. And any sexually reproduced offspring would not survive or thrive unless the recombination of DNA was close to perfect. So, this new capability would go extinct.

Now compare mitosis and meiosis. A good graphic representation of these is shown in the following link.

https://biobeat.nigms.nih.gov/2021/09/make-like-a-cell-and-split-comparing-mitosis-and-meiosis/#

In mitosis, the order of steps is interphase, prophase, prometaphase, metaphase, anaphase, telophase, and cytokinesis. Ok. Each of these steps is extremely complicated and each must produce the desired products which feed the corresponding next step. In meiosis, these steps are repeated 2 times, called meiosis 1 and meiosis 2. First in meiosis 1, there are interphase, prophase 1, prometaphase 1, metaphase 1, anaphase 1, telophase 1, and cytokinesis. Each of these steps is also extremely complicated and each must produce the desired products which feed the corresponding next step. Then there is a second set of steps in meiosis 2, designated interphase, prophase 2, prometaphase 2, metaphase 2, anaphase 2, telophase 2, and cytokinesis. Again, each of these steps is extremely complicated and each must produce the desired products which feed the corresponding next step. So, for mitosis, there are 7 incredibly irreducibly complex steps, each depending on the corresponding previous step to perform its task. For meiosis, there are an additional 6 steps. The first phase of meiosis has 7 incredibly irreducibly complex steps, each depending on the corresponding previous step to perform its task. This then feeds into the next phase of meiosis which has 6 incredibly irreducibly complex steps, each depending on the corresponding previous step to perform its task.

Now some of these stages are actually divided into substages, the number of which is dependent on how detailed a person gets. Here are the number of substages for each stage with multiple substages.
Interphase – 3
Prophase - 5
Anaphase – 2
Prometaphase - 3
Cytokinesis – 4
Prophase 1 - 5
Cytokinesis 1 – 4
Interphase 1 – 3
Interphase 2 – 3

So, the following list is the total number of stages/substages for mitosis, meiosis, meiosis 1, and meiosis 2.
43 for all 3.
Mitosis – 19
Meiosis 1 - 16
Meiosis 2 – 8
Meiosis - 24

So, for mitosis to have evolved, it would have required 19 stages and substages. But even these are composed of incredibly irreducibly complex steps, each depending on the corresponding previous step to perform its task or in parallel. That would then be at least 100 super great miracles of miracles. Assuming a modest 5 genes per, the odds against that many genes coming into existence through random mutations are greater than (10^150,000) to 1. All of the at least one hundred super great miracles of miracles would have had to come into existence simultaneously in one creature because it does not work until all are in place and functioning correctly. And this would have happened simultaneously when the first prokaryote supposedly evolved into a eukaryote. That ludicrous theory was discussed in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/iNAAfgE8v7FPyf5s/

with additional information in these posts.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/45DugXvsoUJgjfpT/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/3mjvx2xeWaFJVRVL/

For meiosis, the number of simultaneous super great miracles of miracles and odds against are even greater. Probably over 100 super great miracles of miracles for each of meiosis 1 and meiosis 2, with total odds against greater than 10^300,000) to 1. All of these at least 200 super great miracles of miracles would have had to come into existence simultaneously in one creature because it does not work until all are in place and functioning correctly. And this would have happened simultaneously when other

things that were needed for sexual reproduction to have supposedly evolved from asexual reproduction.

As a note, there are differences in mitosis in animal and plant cells. So yet more super great miracles of miracles for that too with vast odds against.

Now at this point evolution and its side kick billions of years have been refuted forever.

Now there are even more unexplained things that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS cannot hope to explain. I will just list some of these for now. Maybe I will post about some of these at a future time.
Prokaryotes that use asexual reproduction and pseudo sexual reproduction with no plasmids, using both transformation and transduction.
Prokaryotes that use asexual reproduction with no pseudo sexual reproduction with no plasmids, that do not use transformation or transduction.
Prokaryotes that use asexual reproduction and pseudo sexual reproduction with no plasmids, that do use transformation but not transduction.
Prokaryotes that use asexual reproduction and pseudo sexual reproduction with no plasmids, that do not use transformation but do use transduction.
Prokaryotes that use asexual reproduction and pseudo sexual reproduction with plasmids, using conjugation, using both transformation and transduction
Prokaryotes that use asexual reproduction and pseudo sexual reproduction by plasmids, that do not use transformation or transduction.
Prokaryotes that use asexual reproduction and pseudo sexual reproduction by plasmids, that do use transformation but not transduction.
Prokaryotes that use asexual reproduction and pseudo sexual reproduction by plasmids, that do not use transformation but do use transduction.

Plus, all these physical arrangements of DNA and RNA listed below.
Prokaryotes with one single circular double stranded DNA molecule
Prokaryotes with one single linear double stranded DNA molecule
Prokaryotes with up to 4 circular double stranded DNA molecules
Prokaryotes with up to 4 linear double stranded DNA molecules
Plasmids in prokaryotes as multiple small circular double stranded DNA molecules
Plasmids in prokaryotes can be single stranded DNA
Plasmids in prokaryotes can be double stranded RNA
Plasmids in prokaryotes can integrate into the host's DNA in prokaryotes
Prokaryotes without plasmids
Plasmids in eukaryotes can be circular or linear
Plasmids self-replicating, not essential with poison/antidote pair, except some plasmids must be present in a bacterium due to the poison/antidote pair trap
Plasmids self-replicating, not essential without poison/antidote pair
Prokaryotes with chromids
Prokaryotes without chromids
Viruses having single stranded RNA but no DNA
Viruses having double stranded RNA but no DNA

Viruses having single stranded DNA
Viruses having double stranded DNA
Eukaryotes with multiple double stranded DNA linear molecules and with mitochondria with a double stranded DNA circular molecule
Eukaryotes with multiple double stranded DNA linear molecules and with mitochondria and chloroplasts with a double stranded DNA circular molecule
Eukaryotes, some without, and some with plasmids in mitochondria or in chloroplasts

All of the above combinations of types of creatures are based on current biological knowledge. There may exist even be more than these.

Also consider the following. Most organisms do not have sex determined by chromosomes. Animals have various systems of sex chromosomes. Most mammals have the XY system. Birds have a ZW system. There are also XO and ZO systems. Some animals use haplo-diploidy. The platypus has 10 sex chromosomes. Each of these combinations also disprove evolution and billions of years. I may post further about this in the future. The more you look at living things, the more you find things that refute evolution and billions of years. It never ends.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially in mitochondrial DNA, of all living creatures of all species proves that all species are less than 10,000 years old, as is even the earth. So, all supposed evolutionarily decent trees are fictitious. This is discussed in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1w3KhDi5iVPCXprx/

In analyzing the fossil record, it is clear that macro evolution never happened in the past as all the millions of chains of missing links are all still missing.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/yHt66hW1xPviAyRo/

The fact that there are no partially developing functionality, organs and systems in any living creatures today proves that macro evolution is not happening now. All that is observed is adaption and variations in created kinds, which is sometimes mistakenly referred to as micro evolution or just evolution.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/UC5kYmCiqeF1FPJy/

And an analysis of genetics shows that macro evolution is impossible. DNA mutation ERRORS could never produce the over 100 trillion unique genes that have existed in all species that have ever lived and are alive today.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/3WJ23FQeSYR7dk21/

The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system,

tissue or gene were to come into being, it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of identified genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computer software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus on multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be completely corrupted long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times. Therefore, there exists no DNA mutation ERRORS paths to produce all these diverse types of organisms. Natural selection cannot help because DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce anything useful to select in any creature, except possible a small amount of such in bacteria which have vast populations. All these vast multitudes of super great miracles of miracles are just fairy tales. This is discussed in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/j9dtBbWsgb3Lpkku/

In summary, all species are less than 10,000. So there is no deep time to have produced all these variations of prokaryotes, eukaryotes, single cell, multicell, creatures or abiogenesis, asexual reproduction supposedly evolving into sexual reproduction, prokaryotes supposedly evolving into eukaryotes, single cell organisms supposedly evolving into multicell organisms, or any of the many physical arrangements of DNA and RNA or the over 100 trillion genes in all living creatures that have ever lived. But even a trillion times a trillion times … years still will not accomplish any of these. And certainly not in the billion years framework of the geological column thus collapsing this house of cards forever. The odds against each of these are either incomprehensibly vast or impossible. Not only will none of these arise for DNA mutation ERRORS, but all species would have gone extinct long before any would have evolved because the genome of all would be completely corrupted. Evolution is the theory of nothing because it cannot explain the origin of anything in existence. It is the most refuted scientific theory ever.

In analyzing evolution and billions of years, all evidence, all facts, all physics, all chemistry, all biology, all mathematics, all statistics, all probability, all knowledge, all creation, all logic, and all reality prove evolution and billions of years are false, and that that God Almighty created everything in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution and that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah. And that the Bible is the true word of God Almighty the Creator of all things. There is no evidence, without an assumption, for evolution and billions of years that is scientific, logical and rational. No one has ever found any, and no one ever will. So, the question

arises why is evolution and billions of years believed by so many with all the information from modern science? And why do so many brilliant people believe it? This is one of the greatest delusions of all time, and the greatest delusion in science of all time.

It is easy to prove God exists and created all things. The following prove this using intelligent design.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/KhL5SjmAzWDEnfBm/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/xUhJiSC2jzfbUxcQ/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/qrupNzZzXh1cbX5g/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/zFbFJ89n2CUuKLVX/

Further information with over 2500 posts from many creationists can be found at the following Facebook page – 6-day creation about 6000 years ago. I will hopefully add more posts in the future.

https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=61556140114922

All of my proofs can be found at the following Facebook page – Proofs against evolution and billions of years. The most recent ones are mostly complete with the earlier ones being a work in progress. I will hopefully add more posts in the future. You can follow these pages.

This is a link to a YouTube Playlist about cells and the other incredibly irreducibly complex things in them.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLI1-pAQgbOGdUZaC29kPWyZVrmTj3fe2R&si=I-auIpDaw90sV0JS

This is a link to a YouTube Playlist about Amazing Creatures which evolution could not have produced.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLI1-pAQgbOGc_gKj7cup_6fpQE9shUrqF&si=VOLnhGbvN_LT9ind

The Holy Bible, which has been proved to be the true word of God by many people in many infallible ways. A brief summary is described here.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/zFbFJ89n2CUuKLVX/

The Bible gives the answer about this modern delusion. The Bible predicted that such an unimaginable thing would occur in our time with exact details and exact timing. Daniel 12:4 says knowledge shall increase in these last days before the pretribulation

rapture, and the return of Jesus Christ to rule the world. The Bible also says that considering the creation proves the existence of the Creator in Romans 1:19-20. Amazingly with all the discoveries of modern science, this is true even more so. 2 Peter 3:2-9 predicts that many will deny the worldwide flood in the last days. 2 Thess 2 predicts this great delusion in our times. 2 Tim 3-4 predicted the great deception in our times. 1 Tim 1:20-21 predicted this false science in the last days. That false science is based on the false “no God” assumption and circular reasoning. The no God assumption is not only unscientific, illogical and irrational, it is irrefutably false. Thus, anything derived from it is not only unscientific, illogical and irrational, it is irrefutably false. The timing of the last days is given by Genesis 1-2:3, Hosea 6:2, 2 Peter 3:2-7, et al. There are very many other predictions from the Bible which have come true with exact details and exact timing as God said He would do this. Yet very many are completely unaware of this as the Bible also predicted.

So, the Bible has been proven true with many infallible and irrefutable proofs. Thus, what it says is true. Therefore, it will be an infinite loss for anyone who does not get saved before they die. And this is true for you and all whom you know and love. To be saved forever, you need to believe the gospel of Christ. See 1 Cor 15:1-4, Romans 1:16, Acts 16:30-31, Eph 2:8-9, Eph 1:13, Rom 3:22-25, Rom 4:4-5, et al. If you ever doubt that how much God loves you, look to the cross of Jesus Christ. See the following for the preaching of the gospel of Christ.

https://www.the-gospel-of-christ.com/post/where-will-you-spend-eternity

If the Son therefore shall make you free, ye shall be free indeed. – John 8:36

Evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

17. The Bible is the true word of God Almighty the Creator of all things. And thus, what it says is true. (TOC) (back)

This is a high-level summary that proves all the claims that it contains. It uses just a few of the reasons, facts and evidence which support all these claims.

Proof that God created the universe. Therefore, the no God assumption is proven false. So, it is illogical and unscientific to ever use it again in origins or in the very old dating of things.

The universe could not have come into being from nothing. That violates the 1st law of thermodynamics, the law of conservation of energy. It also violates all other conservation laws of physics. It also violates the law of cause and effect, and reality. The universe could not have always existed. That violates the 2nd law of thermodynamics which states that the entropy, that is disorder, of any closed system increases over time, and thus order decreases over time. If the universe always existed, all order would have vanished eons ago. So, all matter, even time itself must have had a beginning. This is true no matter how many variants the evolutionists

conjure up, whether multiverses, extra dimensions, dark energy, dark matter, etc. Therefore, the universe could not have had a beginning, and the universe must have had a beginning. So, the only possibility is that God, who is not constrained by physical laws, must have created it. This also proves the existence of God the Creator who created all things including time. This matches Genesis 1:1.

The universe obeys a set of physical laws. But these laws prove the existence of the Creator would made a universe to do so. The universe is extremely orderly in many ways, throughout time and space. But that again proves the existence of the Creator would made the universe that way. The universe is extremely fine-tuned. This fine tuning is what allows matter, living things, stars, galaxies, planets to exist. The orderly finely tuned universe is orderly and finely tuned across all space and time. This fine tuning also proves the existence of the Creator that made it that way.

Proof that God created all things in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution, and that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA ERRORS and pass them down to their descendants. Based on the accumulation rates of mutation ERRORS in all species, especially mitochondrial DNA, this limits all species to being less than 10,000 years old. Natural selection cannot stop this as all individual creatures of all species have them and the descendants of all survivors continue to accumulate them. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as that would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent tree of all species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is also proved false.

The evidence furthers show that almost all “clocks” show that the earth, the universe, and everything else, are not billions of years old, or millions of years old, but thousands of years old. This further proves that anything that shows a very old age must be wrong. The only explanation for these inconsistencies is some miraculous events like 6-day creation, the fall in the garden, and the worldwide flood must have occurred. There are many things which show that everything is not very old. Not enough salt in the oceans, not enough erosion of the continents, no erosion between the fossil bearing rock layers, no perturbations and soil buildup between the rock layers, not enough helium in the

atmosphere, polystrate fossils and trees, rock layers folded too far without breakage, presence of short period comets, spiral galaxies still with spiral arms, fast moving stars in dwarf galaxies, the faint young sun paradox, missing population 3 stars, evidence of recent volcanic activity on the moon, presence of magnetic fields on Uranus and Neptune, presence of magnetic field on Mercury and some of Jupiter's moons, evidence for recent volcanic activity on some of Jupiter's moons, living fossils, the Cambrian explosion, rock layers out of order in many places, C-14 in coal, diamonds, oil, fossilized wood, parentless polonium halos in specific granites with a specific coarse grain, elliptical polonium haloes concentric with circular ones in multiple rock layers in the same location, evidence that coal, opals, fossils can form rapidly, presence of ephemeral markings (raindrop marks, ripple marks, animal tracks) at the boundaries of paraconformities, river deltas size and growth rates, decay rate of the earth's magnetic field, soft dinosaur tissue and bio molecules supposedly over 60 millions of years old, not enough sediment on the sea floor, and many other things all point to the young age of everything.

See the link below for more information on all the evidence from all these "clocks".

https://creation.com/age-of-the-earth

Proof that God created all living things.

Many things prove that God created all things. Abiogenesis is impossible. There is no record of it happening in the past, it is not happening now, and there is no way it could ever have happened because any first living creature that could survive, and replicate is way too complex to come into being from natural processes. Over 10^40 cells that have ever existed prove this beyond all doubt.

Macro evolution is also false. The fossil record shows that all of the millions of chains of missing links are all still missing. That proves that gradual evolution never happened. Since evolution cannot jump, and gradual evolution did not happen, macro evolution did not happen. The same is true because there are no partially developing organs and functions in any creature. And genetics proves it could not have happened, especially with creatures that use sexual reproduction.

There is no way for evolution to account for the over 100 trillion unique genes that have existed in all species that have ever lived. Genes cannot pop into existence from DNA mutation ERRORS as they are too complex, and a copy of an existing gene is just a copy, so no new gene there. So, where did the existing gene come from that was copied? There are no partially developing genes in any creature. And simple analysis shows that DNA ERRORS cannot take a copy and create a new useful gene. Natural selection cannot select from things that cannot have come into being by mutation ERRORS. Survival of the fittest does not explain the arrival of the fittest.

All of creation proves that God the Creator of all things exists. All intelligently designed things have a creator. It would be ludicrous to deny that all the things that mankind has

made could have come into being without an intelligent creator, in this case mankind. But living things are way more intelligently deigned than any of the things that mankind has made in both sheer number and the intricacies of living things and the things in them.

All living things have many irreducibly complex organs, functions and systems which themselves are composed of irreducibly complex things down through several levels and all these are irreducibly interconnecting with each other. There is no way that any of these could have evolved from DNA mutation ERRORS. The following are just some of these. Flight, the eye, vision, hearing, the nervous system, the circulatory system, the digestive system, the immune system, the nucleus of the cell, mitochondria, multicellularity, eukaryotes, sexual reproduction, DNA/RNA/proteins, the respiratory system, the brain, speech, bird's eggs, supposedly dinosaurs evolved into birds and many other things are just too irreducibly complex to come from DNA mutation ERRORS. Also, the fact that the transcription and translation codon table is not universal with the one for mitochondrial DNA and a different one for nuclear DNA, that vertebrates and invertebrates having different ones, and with a number of variations in the mitochondrial codon table of invertebrates all prove evolution is false.

There is no evidence for evolution and billions of years that is scientific, logical and rational. No one has ever found any, and no one ever will. All of it is a house of cards built upon circular reasoning and false assumptions.

All these prove what the word of God says. This has remained true, even more so, as knowledge has increased in modern times as predicted by Daniel (Dan 12:4).

19 Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them.
20 For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse:
Romans 1:19-20

Other facts which prove the earth was created recently

The first languages were more sophisticated than the languages of today. That makes no sense unless mankind was created with the gift of language. Many ancient cultures had sophisticated building skills right from the start. This is recorded in the early chapters of Genesis. Many ancient cultures built ziggurat type structures all over the world which can be dated to just after the scattering of people from the Tower of Babel, just as the Bible says.

Many ancient cultures have stories that match 8 people in the ark and the fall on the garden. These match the Bible and proves that these indeed are historical events. Population statistics match creation about 6000 years ago and a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago. They refute mankind evolving over 200,000 years. And where are all

the bones of people who lived for over 100,000 years? Where are all their artifacts? Where are all their buildings and cities? There are many ancient artifacts, paintings, carvings etc., that depict people and dinosaurs living at the same time. God describes a sauropod in the book of Job. Since mankind and dinosaurs are supposedly separated by over 60 million years, how could they have depicted them? This proves that the earth is young. There are no running calendars more than 6000 years old. And there is no recorded history beyond 6000 years ago

Many things which are supposedly ancient are not C-14 dead. Fossil graveyards also prove evolution and billions of years are false. And there are many other things which do too. So, the Bible and science are in complete agreement, and against billions of years and evolution.

The Bible has been proven true with many infallible proofs. Therefore, what is says must also be true.

The archaeological evidence proves the Bible is true. There are many things that have been discovered and all match the Biblical record. More are being discovered in our time. Much of the Bible has been verified already. Nothing has ever been discovered that conflicts with the Bible. Luke, the author of “the gospel accounts according to Luke” and “the Acts of the apostles”, is an historian of world class caliber.

The Bible contains many prophecies that have been fulfilled. The Lord Jesus Christ fulfilled over 400 prophecies from the Old Testament.

https://www.amazon.com/Prophecies-Appearances-Foreshadowings-Christ-Testament/dp/0985516909

https://www.newtestamentchristians.com/bible-study-resources/351-old-testament-prophecies-fulfilled-in-jesus-christ/

https://glimpseofinfinity.com/my-case-for-god-my-case-for-christ/the-prophecies-about-jesus/

There are hundreds of other Biblical prophecies from both the Old Testament and New Testament that have been fulfilled. Many have been fulfilled with exact timing and exact detail. This is also happening in our times just before the rapture of the church. Prophecies from all over the word of God are coming true with exact detail and exact timing. God said that He would do this so that it would bear witness that the God of the Bible is the one true God. The word of God says that the Lord will do nothing lest He reveals it to His servants the prophets (Amos 3:7). Well, all the writers of the Bible were prophets as the Bible says that the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man, but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Spirit (2 Peter 1:21). So, Christ cannot rapture His church and then bring in the tribulation which precedes His return unless these prophecies scattered throughout the Bible come true. And of course, this warning is predicted as it says God sent His prophets, rising early to warn of things to

come (Jeremiah 7:25). These were all predicted between about 3500 to 2000 years ago. And not only that they would occur in some undetermined future time, but in our time. The exact timing in our times is given by Genesis 1-2, 2 Peter 3:2-8, Amos 6:2, Genesis 5 and 11, and other passages, with the prophecies about deception and delusion is passages such as 1 Tim 6:21, 2 Tim 2-3, 2 Thess 2, 2 Peter 3, et al.

Here are some of those prophecies. The United Nations, worldwide government, worldwide financial and commerce system, many religions joint together to bring about a worldwide religion, the vast increase of population, the vast increase of knowledge, the vast increase in travel including worldwide travel, the vast increase in technology to be able to implement the mark of the beast, the rise in economic power of Asian nations, the rise in military power of China, calls for peace and safety, Israel reborn as a nation, many nations against Israel, the rise of falsely called science and the denial of the worldwide flood, delusion in many parts of society even in once Christian nations and denominations, the falling away from faith in once Christian nations and denominations, and many more things.

One area of prophecy is that the Bible predicted the entire 2000-year history of the church since the resurrection and with exact details and exact timing. Part of this is found in Revelation 2 and 3.

The Bible also contains advanced scientific knowledge that has only become known in modern times. This also shows that the Bible is the word of God.

The Bible is backed up by over 80,000 manuscripts and other written witnesses. They are in very good agreement with each other. These come from many languages, over many centuries, over a vast geographical region. This is a testament to the power of the Holy Spirit and to the great speed at which Christianity spread in the first few centuries, even against great persecutions.

The Bible is like no other book ever written. It was written by 40 authors over a period from about 1500 BC to about 70 AD, and yet all in great agreement without any contradiction.

The Bible has no ERRORS. Very many have tried to claim some, but none have ever been proved. When studied in context, and using precept upon precept (see Isaiah 28), there are none. In fact, some are super intelligently done so that a person who hates the truth will be convinced they are ERRORS.

The Bible is seamlessly interwoven and interconnected in a vast number of ways. This shows that it is written by an Intelligence far above anything mankind could produce. God speaks to the saved through His word about everything that is going on in their lives and in the world around them. Very many can attest to this. And very many can also attest that there are many things which, even though they have read a certain passage a number of times over the years, now reveal new things when they need to know that. While the word of God is crystal clear in what it says so that even a child can

understand much of what it says, it is as a book that is sealed so that even a multitude of scholars that have studied it for years cannot understand it.

God has answered many prayers from His children, and many times. Again, very many of the saved can attest to these miracles. The saved can also attest that when they understood and believed the gospel of Christ, and trusted in Christ as their Saviour, they became a new creature as it clearly describes in the word of God. For me, it was like a great light had shown. There have been very many millions that have experienced this. Each a proven miracle from God.

The New Testament is an historical record of the birth, life, miracles, crucifixion, burial and third day resurrection of the Lord Jesus Christ. It is not only backed up by over 80,000 written witnesses, but there are other non-Biblical historical accounts of Christ which establish these facts. For the first 30 years, Jesus lived a private life. After that, his ministry lasted a little more than 3 years. He never traveled more than 100 miles from where He grew up. He had no office, no wealth, and no kingdom. He desired none. In fact, He “hath not where to lay his head”. Yet He predicted: “my words shall not pass away”, “I am the light of the world”, “I have foretold you all things” and “if I be lifted up from the earth, will draw all men unto me”. These all have come true. He spoke the most awesome ethics and had the greatest insight into the very hearts of men. “Never man spake like this man” and “his word was with power”. Christ raised the dead, miraculously fed multitudes, walked on water, calmed storms, and cured the lame, blind, deaf, dumb, lepers, all manner of diseases, and devil possessed. He is the central person in all history and His crucifixion and resurrection the most important event. He literally changed the world from barbarous to where love, mercy, and charity became possible. More has been written and sung about Him than any other in history. He is the most loved person in all history. More knees have bent in worship at His name than any other. The Bible, His word, is the most quoted, studied and read book of all time. He is God in the flesh and is “alive for evermore”. He is the Creator and Saviour and in the greatest act of love ever was crucified on the crose for all our sins. He even forgave those that crucified Him. You can trust Him for your salvation for “neither was guile found in his mouth”

<u>All other religions and gods are false.</u>

Since Christ came, the OT is not true by itself anymore because why is there no temple and why were the Israelites mostly scattered aboard for about 2000 years? Simple. Because they were wrong about Jesus Christ.

The NT and OT prove to be true with many infallible proofs.

The Koran has a number of fundamental contradictions including those that come from any 3rd book or writings that claim to be based on the OT and NT and then contradicts the OT and NT in fundamental ways. The Koran also contains other fundamental contradictions.

All reincarnation religions are false for a number of reasons. One example is that billions have verifiable evidence they were never alive before.

All pagan religions are falsified because they worshipped inanimate objects which are not gods.

All universal religions or religions which claim all religions are the same are falsified because it is just not true.

All anonymous gods are false because they do not even exist. It makes no sense that God Almighty would not have revealed Himself. Why would God not reveal what is good or evil, and what is the basis of judgment? All attempts by mankind have led to very evil practices - child sacrifice, exterminations, wars, etc.

Since the Bible has been proved true through many infallible proofs, what it says is true. And what it says is that the Lord Jesus Christ is God Almighty, the Creator of all things, and that the only way to be saved is to believe the gospel of Christ (see Rom 1:16, 1 Cor 15:1-4, Acts 16:30-31, Eph 2:8-9, Eph 1:13, Rom 3:22-25, Rom 4:4-5). That is saved forever through grace through faith alone in the crucified and risen Lord Jesus Christ and His shed blood as the complete, finished and only payment for all our sins, and not by any works of any kind.

28 Come unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest.
29 Take my yoke upon you, and learn of me; for I am meek and lowly in heart: and ye shall find rest unto your souls. - Matt 11: 28-9

1 Moreover, brethren, I declare unto you the gospel which I preached unto you, which also ye have received, and wherein ye stand;
2 By which also ye are saved, if ye keep in memory what I preached unto you, unless ye have believed in vain.
3 For I delivered unto you first of all that which I also received, how that Christ died for our sins according to the scriptures;
4 And that he was buried, and that he rose again the third day according to the scriptures: - 1 Cor 15:1-4

For I am not ashamed of the gospel of Christ: for it is the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth; to the Jew first, and also to the Greek. Rom 1:16

18. All reasoning used to support evolution and billions of years is just circular reasoning and not science nor logical. (TOC) (back)

This is what those that believe in evolution and billions of years say or think in a nutshell.

We know evolution and billions of years are true (the assumption is the conclusion) and since we know evolution and billions of years are true (the assumption is the

conclusion) and such and such exists, it must have evolved because we know evolution and billions of years are true (restating the assumption as the conclusion). Furthermore, any evidence that contradicts evolution and billions of years must be false because we know evolution and billions of years are true.

Not only that, but if there is any organization or person that show evolution and billions of years are false, they are labeled as unscientific or even uneducated or even have their integrity questioned. This also extends into the peer review system, where papers that refute evolution and billions of years are not accepted by organizations and publications which alone count as reputable scientific organizations. Those organizations and people that have shown evolution and billions are false are not counted as reputable scientific organizations. And if any publication or organization that accepts evidence against evolution and billions of years, it is not counted as a proper peer review organization. The same goes for those that fact check. And in all this, the conclusion that evolution and billions of years is true is just assumed to be true. And that is just circular reasoning.

It has even morphed into various forms of censorship, propaganda, and indoctrination. Now most of those who believe in evolution and billions of years are not being purposefully dishonest at all. There may be a few who do so. But even those that are purposefully deceptive do so because they believe that it is important enough to so. Some may use certain debate 101 techniques, which just proves that they have a losing position which they cannot defend.

The Bible says that Satan deceives the whole world (Rev 12:17) and that would include scientists who are using the false no God assumption in this area of knowledge.

Now a theory can be refuted by just one fact.
Here is an example of a false theory: the sum of any 2 numbers is 100.
And here is the fake reasoning used to “prove” this fake theory with facts: 10+90, 54+46, pi + 100-pi, 55 ½ + 44 ½ all add up to 100.
There are an infinite number of pairs of numbers that match the theory.
However, there are an infinite number of pairs of numbers which refute this fake theory.
Falsified by 1+1=2, 10+10=20, ½+½=1
And for each pair of numbers that match the theory, there is an infinite number of pairs that refute it.
Evolution and billions of years can and has been refuted using this simple irrefutable technique called falsification by counter example.
But evolutionists will not accept this irrefutable technique because they know that evolution and billions of years are true (the assumption is the conclusion).

There are many reputable scientific organizations that defend 6-day creation about 6000 years ago. These can be used as sources of information. There are also many publications, books, etc. that also can be used.

19. Don't be conned by the no God assumption of Atheistic Origin "Science". Satan is real and he deceives very many with the no God assumption of Atheistic Origin Science. (TOC) (back)

When determining the age of the earth and the universe or whether man evolved from primitive life forms, Atheistic Origin "Science" (AOS) uses the no God assumption.

The No God Assumption used by Atheistic Origin Science is that there is no God or that He has done nothing miraculous in the last 6000 years.

Why would anyone who believes in Jesus Christ be conned into such thinking? The problem is that as they project back in time, Atheistic Origin Scientists refuse to consider that God Almighty did anything. So, they are able to convince many that the earth and universe are billions of years old. But 6-day creation, the fall in the garden, and the worldwide flood were miraculous events by God Almighty which violate the laws of nature. As were all the miracles of Jesus Christ including His resurrection.

Some claim that “science” does not take any position on whether God exists or not. But they adamantly use the no God assumption in terms of origins and the long dating of things. There is no mention of God at all.

So, what about you? Do you use the no God assumption? Many believe that they do not use the no God assumption, or they do not take any position on whether God exists or not. Here are a few tests for yourself.

Is it possible that God created everything including the universe, the earth, and all living things?
Is it possible that God created all things in 6 days as described in Genesis 1?
Is it possible that God created all things recently, maybe only about 6000 years ago?
If you answered no to all 3 then you are using the no God assumption. If you answered no to any then you are using the no God assumption in that particular area of knowledge.

Many do use the no God assumption completely. They are absolutely sure that God was not involved at all. But most atheists will admit that there is no way to prove that God does not exist, even though they are very convinced that there is no God. In fact, it is impossible to logically prove that there is no God. So, it is therefore illogical to assume that there is no God. In fact, since science is knowledge, and no one can know that there is no God, that is a false science that proceeds with the no God assumption. As the word of God says:

And even as they did not like **to retain God in their knowledge** … - Rom 1:28

20 O Timothy, keep that which is committed to thy trust, avoiding profane and vain babblings, **and oppositions of science falsely so called: 21** Which some professing have erred concerning the faith. Grace be with thee. Amen. – 1 Tim 6:20-21

So, since it is impossible to say that there is no God, then it is illogical and unscientific to ever use the no God assumption in terms of origins and the long dating of things. This is a great and glaring logical error in the thinking of all those that have bought into the false theories of evolution and billions of years. These false theories are the theories of nothing because they fail to answer the question of the origin of anything and there is no evidence for them that is logical and scientific. No one has ever found any or ever will. And this false logic leads many to be convinced of evolution and billons of years, since the alternative, creation by God Almighty, the only viable explanation especially when considering the overwhelming evidence of the intelligent design of living things by an Intelligence beyond out comprehension, has been banned from the minds of very many. Thus, the most illogical, naturalism, is the only choice allowed.

Consider the following. Everything about evolution and billions of years is either impossible or extremely implausible. Yet it is sold as absolutely 100 % certain. This may be the greatest deception ever. It completely turns reality of its head. There is no evidence for evolution and billions of years that is logical and scientific. No one has ever found any, and no one ever will.

The extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly universe coming into being from nothing is impossible. Abiogenesis is impossible. Other impossibilities include asexual to sexual reproduction, prokaryotic cells to eukaryotic cells, multicellularity, mitochondria and chloroplasts from engulfed bacteria, the existence of cells, non-universal transcription for mitochondria DNA and in various types of organisms, flight, vision, etc. And the DNA mutation accumulation rate proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created everything recently. To account for all the unique genes in all species that have ever lived over 100 trillion implausible miracles at a rate of about 75 per day for the supposed 3.7 billion years since life supposedly came into being on the earth. Each of these in an order as if directed by an Almighty Intelligence, aka God Almighty.

So, the odds against evolution and billions of years being true is 0 out of infinity. And the odds that God created all things is certain. Yet evolutionists have that completely flipped

this reality upside down and make evolution certain and no God also certain. So, the definition of proof used by evolution for evolution is just assume it all happened. And definition of proof used by evolution to prove God created everything is that none will ever be accepted no matter how certain.

Even if you do not accept that quite a number of steps are impossible, then there are still many things which are extremely implausible. The total odds against evolution and billions of years and that God did not create all things is far greater than googolplex to the googol to several powers to 1. And yet some will say that there is a chance

So, any paper, textbook, or organization that uses the no God assumption in determining origins and the long dating of things is illogical. In all fairness and honesty, they should carry a warning that they use the no God assumption or be retracted immediately worldwide and marked as containing misinformation.

Here are 3 proposals for this warning. Obviously, proposal #1 is the truth.

1. The following uses the no God assumption which is known to be absolutely untrue. Thus, it is dangerous. For the truth consult the King James Bible.
2. The following uses the no God assumption which is known to be absolutely untrue or almost certainly absolutely not true. Thus, it is dangerous. For the truth consult the King James Bible.
3. The following uses the no God assumption. No one ever has or can prove the no God assumption. Thus, it may be dangerous. For the truth the reader is advised to consult the King James Bible and decide wisely.

The fool hath said in his heart, There is no God. They are corrupt, they have done abominable works, there is none that doeth good. - Psalm 14:1

T**he fool hath said in his heart, There is no God.** Corrupt are they, and have done abominable iniquity: there is none that doeth good. - Psalm 53:1

20. Challenge for those that believe in billions of years for the age of things. Give real evidence of anything that is more than about 6000 years old or for evolution that is logical and scientific. NO ASSUMPTIONS ALLOWED. (TOC) (back)

Here is simple challenge for those that believe in billions of years for the age of things. Give real evidence of anything that is more than about 6000 years old or for evolution that is logical and scientific. NO ASSUMPTIONS ALLOWED.

Scientists have looked everywhere. They have used amazing telescopes, even from space, and have peered into the far recesses of the universe. They have probed into matter and living things at the smallest of scales. They have built large particle colliders to smash particles together with enormous energies and analyzed the results. Mankind has been to the moon, landed probes on Mars, sent probes past all the planets, had close ups of comets, asteroids, and meteors. They have searched the very depths of

the oceans, been to the mountaintops, drilled into the earth, been to Antarctica, searched deserts, rain forests, and drilled into glaciers. They have studied the sun, moon, earth, the planets, asteroids, comets, stars, galaxies, pulsars, quasars, star clusters, nova, supernova, neutron stars, dwarf stars, and black holes. They have analyzed proteins, enzymes, organs, and DNA from countless creatures. They have dug up many millions of fossils. They have searched for signs of aliens. They have analyzed tree rings, varves, ice cores, sediments, the spreading of the continental floor, and studied all the radioactive isotopes. They have very many equations, even used computers, and now will try AI. And for all that, the only thing they have proved is that there is no evidence of anything older than about 6000 years old or for evolution that is logical and scientific without an assumption. On the contrary, all the evidence is consistent with that God created all things about 6000 years ago in 6 days without evolution, and that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah as the Bible says.

No one has ever met this challenge, and no one ever will.

21. Proof that all long age dating of anything in existence is false. And why this removes all supposed evidence for evolution and billions of years. (TOC) (back)

The assumptions used for radioactive dating

The following are the 8 assumptions for every single step of any decay chain for any radioactive isotope:
The initial amount of the parent.
The initial amount of the daughter.
That nothing is added to the parent amount.
That nothing is subtracted from the parent amount.
That nothing is added to the daughter amount.
That nothing is subtracted from the daughter amount.
That the decay rate has not changed.
That God did nothing miraculous.

These are the 3 additional assumptions for each additional step in a multi-step decay chain:
That nothing is added to the intermediate isotope.
That nothing is subtracted from the intermediate isotope.
That the decay rate for that step has not changed.

So, for a 5-step decay chain, there are 20 assumptions.

There is no way to know that these assumptions hold for any long age dating of anything using radioactive decay dating. In fact, an analysis will show that they absolutely have not held.

All measurement techniques need to be calibrated against a known set of samples. There is nothing magical about radioactive dating to get around this.

Linear projection beyond measured and observed ranges is a false science and almost always fails at some point. There is then no way to calibrate radioactive dating beyond about 6000 years. Only historical events can be used, and they only go back about 6000 years.

C-14 dating can be used up to about 3500 years ago. Calibration curves using historical events exist with different ones for the northern and southern hemisphere.

There are many “clocks” that show that the earth is much less than billions of years, even most showing the earth is much less than millions of years. And many showing the earth is only thousands of years old.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially to mitochondrial DNA, in all species proves that the earth and everything in it or on are less then 10,000 years old.

All the long age dating techniques have been proven to be false, even isochron radioactive isotope dating. Evolution and billions of years has been proven to be false with many infallible proofs. Thus, the fossil containing rock layers are not many millions of years old with the fossils showing descent through evolution but proof of 6-day creation about 6000 years ago and a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago.

An analysis of results shows that radioactive dating of things with a known young age yield vastly large and inconsistent dates. That shows they are unreliable with an error range of up to +- 100% of the measured value. The evidence shows also that radioactive dating using either the same isotope or different isotopes, even using isochrons, yield very different dates on the very same things. This they are inconsistent and again show an error range of up to +- 100% and the measured value. The evidence also shows that many things that are supposed to be very many millions of years old are not C-14 dead and thus only thousands of years old.

The evidence furthers show that almost all “clocks” show that the earth, the universe, and everything else, are not billions of years old, or millions of years old, but thousands of years old. This further proves that anything that shows a very old age must be wrong. The only explanation for these inconsistencies is some miraculous events like 6-day creation, the fall in the garden and the worldwide flood. See the link below for more information about the evidence from all these “clocks”.

https://creation.com/en/articles/age-of-the-earth

Things with a known young age yield vastly large and inconsistent dates. This by itself shows that no old date can be accepted from radioactive dating. It is impossible to verify and calibrate it for known ages. Furthermore, the rock layers cannot be dated by these techniques and using the fossils they contain, including index fossils, is just pure circular

reasoning with no data at all. The rock layers are dated by the assumed age of the rock layers and the assumed age of the fossils they contain. And the fossils are dated by the assumed age of the rocks that contain.

Also, note that the accumulation of DNA mutations ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA, by all species proves species are less than 10,000 years old. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. This eliminates all supposed evidence for evolution from any common characteristic. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false.

Even the sun and the solar system are not old.

https://creation.com/the-young-faint-sun-paradox-and-the-age-of-the-solar-system

And the Big Bang Theory is false. Here is just some of the evidence against it. Of course, the Big Bang theory was always preposterous. But that is for another post.

https://answersingenesis.org/big-bang/

22. Evolutionists very many times quote very unscientific dates. Why? It is a sign of their deception. (TOC) (back)

When looking at many articles from evolutionists and those that believe in billions of years, you will see that many dates are quoted without any error ranges. To see this for yourself, just enter the following into google, where xxx can be any number.

“million years ago” xxx
Or “million years old” xxx

Some dates to try: 518, 1.45, 539, 113, 252, 19, 84, 2.6, 890, 260, 299, 510, 210, 160, 460, 139, 247, 313, 108, 104, 103, 112, 116, 129, 133, 193, 232, 41, 183, 122, 213, 207, and 301. And other numbers will give more results. Try it for yourself.

And even if an error range is given, there are no calculations to substantiate the error range given. The problem is that when giving any scientific value derived from any measurement, the error range must also be provided else it is not a scientific value. This is from high school science labs in chemistry, physics, and biology and repeated in college. A lab report that does not do this would probably receive a failing grade. If some value is derived from the quantity being measured through some set of equations, then the error ranges must also be carried through with the equations. If there are multiple measured values, each will then contribute to the final error range, each potentially with its own set of equations. Even some constants are actually from measured values and may contribute to the final error range. Another approach would be to do a statistical analysis of the values and report an error range based on that.

Now some articles will use phrases such as “about …” or “some …” or “roughly …” or “starting …” or “… to …”. These articles are not giving an exact age as the phrase certainly indicates. But they still use exact numbers with no error ranges and without a calculation as to where the numbers come from. And sometimes they have more significant digits that would be appropriate given the error range.

So why is there no error range? And where are the calculations to determine the error range?

So, these values are not scientific at all. **All publications containing such should be retracted worldwide immediately. And no publication should be allowed that does not meet this simple scientific standard**. Of course, that would be a major problem for evolution and billions of years. First because all their papers containing any supposedly ancient dates would be retracted, leaving them with none. And secondly, because the real error ranges are extremely large. Things that come from known historical events are dated as very old, some over billions of years old. That means that the error range is up to +- 100% of the measured value. So, these dates would include about 4500 years ago for things from the flood and about 6000 years ago for things from the 6-day creation. And in measuring the age of the same things, the dating methods disagree with each other with widely varying results. Things that are supposedly ancient are not C-14 dead and are therefore not ancient. And since the only way to calibrate a dating technique is to use things of a known age has proved to be a complete failure, there is no dating technique that can be used for evolution and billions of years. Projection outside the measured and observed range is pseudo-science.

So why do they report these exact dates which is known to be completely unscientific? First consider that the source of these reported dates is from scientists who are using the no God assumption. They are therefore unaware that their long age dating techniques are false and the long ages false. They do not consider the effects from 6-day creation, the curse due to the fall from Adam and Eve’s sin, or the effects of what God did during the flood. They do not understand these will cause systematic ERRORS in these dating techniques. So, the error ranges are inaccurate, as are the error range calculations. Then others use this information to make reports to a larger audience. Now those that do this may not just copy and paste the reported error ranges. This they may

consider it not to be important or that their audience would consider the article cluttered which trivial information. They may even feel that their audience would not understand these error ranges. I believe that all of their audiences would understand them perfectly. Many have claimed that those that prepare these articles do not know what they are doing or are not trained in science. I also do not believe that at all. Almost all, if not all, are professional journalists who understand the content that they are working with. But they may leave out a word like “about” and they may not include the error ranges. And it is doubtful they will include the error calculations. So, a source paper may have “about 388 million years ago” will be changed to “388 million years ago”. And a source paper that has 388 +- 20 millions of years ago will be reported are 388 million years ago. But why is this allowed at all?

So, the deception ends up being propagated worldwide and many believe that the “science” of dating things is just so exact, due to this. But all dates should be reported with very large error ranges (+- 100%). And that becomes a true disaster for the house of cards that evolution and billions of years rests on. For example, if the date for a species that descended from another species overlaps with the ancestor, which they would, then how can anyone claim that one species descended from another? They can’t claim that. But that claim is needed because the descent tree of supposed new features requires it. And if the date for a species that descended from another species overlaps with the ancestor species, which it would, how can someone claim that the supposed ancestor species is in an older, lower rock layer? They can’t claim that. See how the house of cards collapses. Also note that the accumulation of DNA mutation error rates for all species, especially the mitochondrial DNA mutations error rates, proves that all species, the earth, the earth’s surface, all fossils and all rock layers are less than 10,000 years old. This all dating of things beyond 10,000 years old are false and by very large amount.

Now there is an even deeper deception than scientists trying to line up all their dating on some supposed timeline. From the Bible it is known that Satan deceives the whole world. So, the exact dating is Satan mocking all those that are supposedly scientific to use exact dates which are not scientific at all. The movie “Inherit the Wind” was a propaganda piece based on a play written Jerome Lawrence and Robert Lee who wrote the play specifically as a propaganda piece. At the end of the movie, the press only wants to hear from the evolutionists as they now have the microphone. But the writers themselves were completely deceived by Satan because Satan now had the microphone. Both have died and went on to judgment.

<u>All publications containing such unscientific dates should be retracted worldwide immediately. And no publication should be allowed that does not meet this simple scientific standard</u>.

This is to restore integrity in science in this area of knowledge. And of course, all things supposedly over 6000 years old should be C-14 tested.

Here are some links which give exact dates. There are many more.

https://www.psu.edu/news/research/story/paleonursery-offers-rare-detailed-glimpse-life-518-million-years-ago/

https://www.cnn.com/2022/08/23/us/dinosaur-tracks-discovered-texas-park/index.html

https://phys.org/news/2023-06-humans-evolutionary-butchered-million-years.html

https://www.upi.com/Science_News/2018/12/13/Climate-change-also-wiped-out-life-on-Earth-252-million-years-ago/5791544714950/

https://www.ndtv.com/science/scientists-find-worlds-oldest-fossil-gnat-from-247-million-years-ago-3877467

https://www.iflscience.com/mushrooms-evolved-to-become-psychedelic-67-million-years-ago-but-why-72393

https://polarjournal.ch/en/2021/07/29/first-animal-life-as-early-as-890-million-years-ago/

https://www.businessinsider.com/earth-tipped-over-84-million-years-ago-study-2021-6

https://www.universetoday.com/140856/a-supernova-2-6-million-years-ago-could-have-wiped-out-the-oceans-large-animals/

https://www.futurity.org/plants-land-ordovician-2466602/

https://www.kidsnews.com.au/animals/giant-sea-slayer-with-massive-jaw-ruled-ocean-170-million-years-ago/news-story/9e14f5f5da39bd53e37c26cb8d38ca0d

https://www.smithsonianmag.com/smart-news/these-large-flesh-eating-lampreys-lived-160-million-years-ago-180983182/

https://www.livescience.com/animals/extinct-species/edward-scissorhands-creature-that-lived-230-million-years-ago-discovered-in-brazil

https://www.sci.news/paleontology/cambrian-green-algae-12401.html

https://www.newsweek.com/moon-photo-tycho-crater-asteroid-108-million-years-radar-green-bank-observatory-1631460

https://www.iflscience.com/first-fossil-evidence-of-deep-sea-invertebrates-from-104-million-years-ago-70580

https://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/112-million-year-old-dinosaur-tracks-utah-damaged-construction-equipme-rcna22714

https://english.elpais.com/science-tech/2022-04-07/the-limping-dinosaur-who-roamed-spain-129-million-years-ago.html

https://bigthink.com/the-past/dinosaurs-social-behavior/

https://www.dailymail.co.uk/sciencetech/article-11058371/Marine-animals-dating-183-million-years-ago-unearthed-farmers-field-Gloucestershire.html

https://www.amnh.org/explore/news-blogs/research-posts/ancient-shark-carl-mehling

http://esciencenews.com/sources/science.daily/2010/09/14/frosty.times.dinosaurs.major.fall.temperature.137.million.years.ago.during.cretaceous.greenhous

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sturddlefish

http://archives.news.yale.edu/v31.n12/story3.html

https://www.thearchaeologist.org/blog/fossilized-prehistoric-teeth-from-97-million-years-ago-could-rewrite-human-history

https://penntoday.upenn.edu/news/menefeeceratops-sealeyi-dinosaur-new-mexico

23. Creation by a Common Creator explains all similarities between creatures and not evolution. (TOC) (back)

When intelligent creators design things, they will reuse design elements and solutions. This makes sense and all intelligent creators do this. In fact, it is a hallmark of intelligence. All people do this throughout their lives many times and in many ways.

Examples of this are almost endless. The wheel has been used in very many scenarios. Software programs reuse similar architectures, design patterns, and routines. Architects and builders use many of the same elements, materials, and designs to build homes, buildings and roads. Educators will follow well tried methods to develop educational materials and to implement standard methods in the classroom. The assembly line has increased productivity substantially.

So, if people do that, why wouldn't God, who is infinitely more intelligent, do the very same? Of course, God would. And that explains why there are so many similarities between diverse creatures. This is thus a proof that God created all things.

But what about evolution? Evolutionists will claim that similarities prove common descent. Unfortunately, they have removed the only viable option, creation by God, to make that claim. Even atheists will admit that it is impossible to prove there is no God. Yet evolutionists will not even consider that option. Instead, because they will only consider evolution as the answer, then similarities are used as supposed proof for

evolution. And yet, inexplicable differences and inexplicable similarities also refute common descent.

The following list some of these inexplicable differences and inexplicable similarities. There are differences in the transcription and translation of DNA to amino acid selection between nuclear DNA and mitochondrial DNA, and between different mitochondria of different species. This difference exists between vertebrates and invertebrates and even within invertebrates. But any change in transcription and translation would require a simultaneous miracle in the very same creature as the DNA itself would require specific edits so as not to produce a number of protein changes. Although there are similarities between prokaryotes and mitochondria, there are inexplicable differences. The same is true between prokaryotes and chloroplasts. For both cases, the theory is somehow a eukaryote engulfed a prokaryote and the engulfed prokaryote and the nucleus of the eukaryote both had coordinated simultaneous dozens of miraculous changes. And it happened twice, once with mitochondria and once for chloroplasts. There are many similarities between prokaryotes and eukaryotes yet many inexplicable differences. Most prokaryotes have circular DNA while eukaryotes have linear DNA organized in chromosomes. And the number of chromosomes varies widely in species. There are many similarities between unicellular creatures and the cells of multicellular creatures yet also some inexplicable differences. And the list goes on and on.

But the problem is that the claimed evolutionary descent trees are a mirage and the age of species and the earth itself must be less than 10,000 years old. This is proved by the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in all species, especially mitochondrial DNA, among many other proofs.

So, when you consider that all species, everything on the earth and the earth itself are less than 10,000 years old and that there is no common descent, there is almost nothing left for supposed evidence for evolution and billions of years.

24. The false science of Uniformitarianism. (TOC) (back)

When evolutionists discuss topics related to evolution and billions of years, the false science of Uniformitarianism will be part of their thought process. Uniformitarianism is the idea that the rates of macro processes measured today are the same as they were in the past. This is the use of projection outside of measured and observed ranges. And in this case, it is linear projection outside of measured and observed ranges. But that is just pseudo-science. And it almost always fails in the real world, aka reality. Here are some examples of how this false science fails.

In physics, if a force is applied to a spring, it will stretch. The equation F = kx determines the relationship, where F is the force applied to the string. But as the spring is stretched enough it breaks.

Charles Lyell used this same false science when calculating the age of Niagara Falls. The falls recedes at a certain rate per year and thus it must have started so many years

ago based on that rate. But no one was there to monitor the rate for the past 1000s of years. The drainage of the waters from the flood would have moved it back much more quickly in the years after the flood.

Mutations in DNA cause changes to species. So, using projection this supposedly shows, that given enough time, a single cell creature evolved into all the life forms today, even mankind. This has never been observed. The genetics of each species can be viewed as variation and adaptation around a stable minimum in a N dimensional space of disconnected manifolds. It is impossible to move one kind to change into another. Too many changes will destroy a creature.

Another instance of using this false science is in calculating the rate of buildup of sediment layers. Supposedly the layers built up at a certain rate per year. Supposedly this is how dead animals become fossilized and polystrate trees and fossils are buried. But when an animal dies it decays and is scavenged quickly. So, it must be buried quickly else there will be nothing left to fossilize. The same goes for polystrate trees and fossils.

Catastrophism is real science. The 1980 eruption of Mount Saint Helens is a perfect example of this. The aftermath of that eruption has been studied and has refuted all conjectures using the false science of Uniformitarianism. But as large as Mount Saint Helens was, it pales in comparison with the catastrophic effects of the worldwide flood.

Uniformitarianism should be abandoned as a means to determine the age of things beyond recorded history.

25. The evidence will show that radioactive dating of things with a known young age yield vastly large and inconsistent dates. Thus, the long age dating techniques have been proven to be false, even isochron radioactive isotope dating – part 1. (TOC) (back)

Evolution and billions of years has been proven to be false with many infallible proofs. Thus, the fossil containing rock layers are not many millions of years old with the fossils showing descent through evolution but proof of 6-day creation about 6000 years ago and a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago.

This is the first in a series of threads that will now refute all dating techniques which supposedly show very old ages of things. The first part will concentrate on dating things by using radioactive dating techniques even isochron dating. The evidence will show that radioactive dating of things with a known young age yield vastly large and inconsistent dates. That shows they are unreliable with an error range of up to +- 100% of the measured value.

The evidence will show also that radioactive dating using either the same isotope or different isotopes, even using isochrons, yield very different dates on the very same

things. This they are inconsistent and again show an error range of up to +- 100% of the measured value.

The evidence will also show that many things that are supposed to be very many millions of years old are not C-14 dead and thus only thousands of years old. The evidence will further show that almost all “clocks” show that the earth, the universe, and everything else, are not billions of years old, or millions of years old, but thousands of years old. This further proves that anything that shows a very old age must be wrong. The only explanation for these inconsistencies is some miraculous events like 6-day creation, the fall in the garden and the worldwide flood.

The following links show that things with a known young age yield vastly large and inconsistent dates. This by itself shows that no old date can be accepted from radioactive dating. It is impossible to verify and calibrate it for known ages. Furthermore, the rock layers cannot be dated by these techniques and using the fossils they contain, including index fossils, is just pure circular reasoning with no data at all. The rock layers are dated by the assumed age of the rock layers and the assumed age of the fossils they contain. And the fossils are dated by the assumed age of the rocks that contain.

Also, note that the accumulation of DNA mutations ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA, by all species proves species are less than 10,000 years old. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false.

https://www.icr.org/article/iconic-isochron-radioactive-dating

https://creation.com/radioactive-dating-anomalies

https://www.icr.org/article/436

https://answersingenesis.org/geology/radiometric-dating/more-and-more-wrong-dates/

26. Part 2 - All the long age dating techniques have been proven to be false as they vastly vary in measuring the age of the same thing. (TOC) (back)

From part 1 of this series of threads, the evidence shows that radioactive dating of things with a known young age yield vastly large and inconsistent dates. So, the technique is unreliable. In these cases, the error range is as large as the measured value which means an age 6000 years or less is within the error range. If a measuring technique fails in the only calibration range, then it is invalid for all ranges. Some of these measurements have a percent error approaching 40 billion percent of the actual age.

But the evidence also shows that radioactive dating using either the same isotope or different isotopes, even using isochrons, yield very different dates on same things.

Also, note that the accumulation of DNA mutations ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA, by all species proves species are less than 10,000 years old. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false.

Here is the evidence.

https://answersingenesis.org/geology/radiometric-dating/does-radiometric-dating-prove-the-earth-is-old/

https://creation.com/radiometric-dating-and-old-ages-in-disarray

https://answersingenesis.org/geology/radiometric-dating/radioisotope-dating-of-rocks-in-the-grand-canyon/

https://www.icr.org/article/42/

https://creationmoments.com/article/the-faith-of-radiometric-dating/?print=print

27. Many things that are supposed to be very old are not C-14 dead, even diamonds which resist contamination, proving the earth is only 1000s of years old. (TOC) (back)

From part 1 of this series of threads, the evidence shows that radioactive dating of things with a known young age yield vastly large and inconsistent dates. So, the technique is unreliable. In these cases, the error range is as large as the measured value which means an age 6000 years or less is within the error range. Some of these measurements have a percent error approaching 40 billion percent of the actual age. And there is no way to calibrate any of these dates with a known age sample. And it is pseudo-science to extrapolate outside the measured or observed range.

From part 2 of this series of threads, the evidence also shows that radioactive dating, using either the same isotope or different isotopes, even using isochrons, yields very different dates on same things, which renders it useless.

But very many things that are supposed to be very old are not C-14 dead, even diamonds which resist contamination, proving the earth is only 1000s of years old, not billions or even millions of years old.

They show the extent of how many things are not C-14 dead – wood, coal, fossils, dinosaurs, and diamonds. It also shows that they come from many different rock payers and locations around the world. These have been tested in many very reputable laboratories. The amount of C-14 is way above any background amount and way more than any possible contamination amount. They are prepared in very careful and professional ways.

Here is the evidence for C-14 which proves these are not ancient.

https://newgeology.us/presentation48.html

https://answersingenesis.org/geology/carbon-14/so-old-you-can-see-it/

https://answersingenesis.org/geology/carbon-14/a-creationist-puzzle/

https://answersingenesis.org/geology/carbon-14/radiocarbon-dating/

https://answersingenesis.org/geology/carbon-14/carbon-14-in-fossils-and-diamonds/

https://www.icr.org/article/Radiocarbon-in-yet-another-dinosaur-fossil

https://www.icr.org/article/carbon-14-found-dinosaur-fossils

https://www.icr.org/article/carbon-dating-fossils/

https://creation.com/c14-dinos

https://www.evolutionisamyth.com/dating-methods/carbon-14-dating-has-a-half-life-of-only-5730-years-yet-it-is-found-in-dinosaur-bones-coal-and-even-dimonds/

https://www.sciencelearn.org.nz/resources/3203-radiocarbon-calibration-curves

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carbon_dioxide_in_Earth%27s_atmosphere#:~:text=Concentrations%20of%20CO%202%20in,the%20last%20two%20million%20years.

So, if the worldwide flood is true, and it is, why are the ages in the ranges of 10,000 to 50,000 years and not all 4500 years? Simple. The pre-flood world had a much lower ratio of C-14 to C-12 because the total amount of carbon dioxide was much greater, the amount of c-14 production less, and the amount of c-14 in the atmosphere had not reached equilibrium. Taking all these into account, the ratio of c-12 to c-14 in the atmosphere was anywhere from 2 to 500 times or more than 1960 levels. This would add anywhere from 1 to 8 half-lives (5730 years for C-14). And that adds 5730 to over 45,000 years, bringing the total expected age of the samples to the 10,000 to 50,000 years range as the samples show.

So, why is there such a variance in all these ages? C-14 to C-12 ratios are not the same. For example, the northern hemisphere and southern hemisphere have different calibration curves. And there are different amounts for marine and terrestrial environments. And the C-14 to C-12 ratio was not constant in the years before the flood.

28. There is direct irrefutable evidence from Polonium halos that the rock layers of the Grand Canyon where all formed within a short time during the worldwide flood. This not only proves the worldwide flood which occurred about 4500 years ago, but a recent creation of the earth. (TOC) (back)

Robert Gentry has made several startling discoveries about certain granites, certain coalified wood samples, and polonium radio halos which he found in both these granites and coal. These have shown that the earth was formed instantly and that the rock layers of the Colorado Plateau where all formed in about 1 year, the result of the worldwide flood. This is all documented in his book “Creation’s Tiny Mystery”.

The part that shows that the rock layers of the Colorado Plateau where all formed within a short time, the result of the worldwide flood, is documented in chapter 4 of the book. In particular, Gentry has documented that there was a single event that produced these rocks layers, which evolutionist claim took supposedly took about 50 to 200 million years. Coalified wood samples from each of 3 separate rock layers have a set of secondary polonium 210 halos, some elliptical and some circular. The elliptical ones were at one time circular but were flattened by the weight of the above layers while the lower layer containing the polonium 210 halos was still wet and in a gel like state. The circular ones formed after when those layers were hardened. This was documented in these 3 layers.

Please read that chapter as well as the rest of the book if you can so that you can understand this completely.

You can read of his work with primordial polonium 210, 214, and 218 halos which proves that the earth was created instantly. You can also read about why these granites were not formed from a cooled molten state. You can read about a falsification test challenge that Gentry has given for both of these. You can read about the polonium 210 halos that prove that the worldwide flood happened. This evidence not only proves the worldwide flood about 4500 years ago, but also a recent creation of the earth by God. You can read about the ratio of U-238 to Pb-206 that is off by a factor of 10,000 in these samples. You read about the discrimination and censorship he faced due to the implications of his discoveries. And a lot more.

The following is a link to an online version of Gentry's book "Creation's Tiny Mystery"

https://www.halos.com/book/ctm-toc.htm

29. Evolutionists claim that flight developed independently 4 times – birds, bats, insects and pterosaurs. But each of these is impossible. (TOC) (back)

It is impossible for flight to have evolved as it is too irreducibly complex. And sexual reproduction ends all attempts. This is especially true for birds.

https://creation.com/the-evolution-of-feathers-a-major-problem-for-darwinism

Not only are the feathers uniquely designed for flight, but other changes in the bodies of the birds are required so that it could fly. They have hollow strong bones, a keeled sternum which allows for the attachment of flight muscles, and their humerus is relatively short, strong and thick. Over 50 muscles are involved in their flying, coordinated to control all aspects of flight. Even how they breathe is designed for flight. Some of this is described in the below links.

https://www.schlitzaudubon.org/2022/09/19/the-physics-of-flight/

https://www.lyricbirdfood.com/birding-hub/basics/how-do-birds-breathe/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex system, organ, tissues, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation changes. It would require a significant number of irreducibly complex sub pieces which are composed of irreducibly complex sub pieces down through several levels and coordinated with other changes that are interconnected with other parts of the organism. There is no advantage until the complete functionality is working and would probably be a disadvantage until then.

Now all of these things require many genes which could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific

DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

All individual creatures of species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA for genes shows that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, the longer the time that goes by, the more likely by far the extinction of any species. So deep time does not help. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time to less than about 10, 000 years, thus eliminating deep time. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent.

Some evolutionists will try to argue that there are less evolved versions of similar functionality and try to imply that they are not irreducibly complex. But in all cases are they fully functional and irreducibly complex. So, this just adds to the proofs against evolution. Obviously, evolution and billions of years should be retracted immediately.

So here are some of the other super great miracles of miracles which evolutionists claim must have happened for their theory to be true.

RNA only creatures, that is, RNA only viruses.
DNA/RNA prokaryotes that use asexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA prokaryotes that use sexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, single celled, that use asexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, single celled, that use sexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, multi celled, that use asexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, multi celled, that use sexual reproduction.

The over 50 times that a single celled creature became a muti celled creature.

Th supposed 40, 50, 65, 100, dozens and 100s of times that the eye has evolved.

And the 4 supposed times that flight has evolved.

Just for these alone would require at least 99 to 100s or super great miracles of miracles.

And do not forget the 100 trillion unexplained genes, the millions of chains of missing links in the fossil record, the many millions of missing partially developing organs and functions, the millions of missing vestigial organs and functions, the fact that these cannot be produced with primordial soup experiments, and other things. So, evolution (abiogenesis and macro evolution) could never have happened, there is no record that it did happen and is not happening today. And macro evolution did not happen also.

All these things are impossible. So why are evolutionists so sure that it must have happened? This is very simple. The fundamental circular reasoning of evolutionists, which is as follows. Assume that evolution and billions of years are true. Therefore, all these must have happened, because evolution and billions of years are true. Therefore, evolution and billions of years are true. And the god of evolution, lots of time, is to their minds almighty and can do all things. But that which is impossible is always impossible no matter how much time.

But there are more problems for evolutionist speculation. But that is for other threads.

30. Supposedly the eye has evolved 40, 50, 65, 100, dozens and 100s of times. That is impossible and why don't evolutionists know? (TOC) (back)

Evolutionists do not seem to know how many times the eye has evolved. Here are some of the answers: 40, 50, 65, 100, dozens and 100s of times. First why no definitive answer? After all they have the official tree of life and know which species evolved from which species and which had eyes, and which did not. And if there is no official tree of life, how can they claim any other evidence fits the tree of life since there is no tree of life? After all they claim one gene may have evolved from another and chromosome counts may have changed. Also, the dates of when species lived would be determined by the tree matched to the rock layers. What happens when the descendant species is buried below its ancestors? And do not forget the exact dates given for rock layers and species. Those dates are given as exact numbers are therefore not even scientific since no error ranges have been provided and no calculation to substantiate the error ranges. So, the whole circular reasoning of rock layers dating the fossils and the fossils dating the rock layers collapses too. Which would refute uniformitarianism and the dating methods themselves.

Secondly the eye cannot have evolved as it is too irreducibly complex. And sexual reproduction ends all attempts.

https://creation.com/did-eyes-evolve-by-darwinian-mechanisms

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex system, organ, tissues, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation changes. It would require a significant number of irreducibly complex sub pieces which are composed of irreducibly complex sub pieces down through several levels and coordinated with other changes that are interconnected with other parts of the organism. There is no advantage until the complete functionality is working and would probably be a disadvantage until then.

Now all of these things require many genes which could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA for genes shows that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, the longer the time that goes by, the more likely by far the extinction of any species. So deep time does not help. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time to less than about 10, 000 years, thus eliminating deep time. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent.

For further details see the following Facebook post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/rpt2C1pmkyyMVUBX/

Some evolutionists will try to argue that there are less evolved versions of similar functionality and try to imply that they are not irreducibly complex. But in all cases are they fully developed, functional and irreducibly complex. So, this just adds to the proofs

against evolution. Obviously, evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

31. Polystrate fossilized trees and other polystrate fossils are found in many places around the world and prove that the fossil bearing rock layers were formed by a worldwide flood quickly and not laid down over hundreds of millions of years. Thus, this also refutes evolution and billions of years. (TOC) (back)

Here are some links to a number of articles that describe this. For some of them I have included select excerpts underneath the link. I have added the underlining which is not in the original articles.

https://www.creationresearch.org/crsq-2007-volume-43-number-4_polystrate-fossils-require-rapid-deposition

"Coffin points out that most of the trees do not have roots reaching into the coal seams. Instead, the roots are usually extended behind the tree as if they had been drug along with it through a swift current. There are also several trees which are upside down showing that they had to have been moved into that position by a massive force. Coffin presents several additional observations such as the fact that many of the tree fossils extend through a layer of coal which, on Dawson's view, would have required a large portion of a dead tree to have been exposed to the elements for hundreds of years without decomposition. The evidence that Coffin presents is devastating to the old earth explanation, but it is overwhelmingly supportive of the claims of young earth geologists."

http://www.increasinglearning.com/blog/polystrate-trees

"Polystrate fossils are fossils that cross two or more layers of strata. These fossils would be impossible if the layers had been laid down through the gradual accumulation of sediment that is proposed by old earth geologists. The fact that such fossils exist supports the creation model in which many layers of sediment were laid down quickly during the flood and its aftermath."

https://creation.com/polystrate-fossils-evidence-for-a-young-earth

This is how Derek Ager, Emeritus Professor of Geology, University College of Swansea, trained under strict Lyellian uniformitarianism,1 describes some polystrate fossil tree trunks that he illustrated in his book:
'If one estimates the total thickness of the British Coal Measures as about 1000 m, laid down in about 10 million years, then, assuming a constant rate of sedimentation, it would have taken 100 000 years to bury a tree 10 m high, which is ridiculous.
'Alternatively, if a 10 m tree were buried in 10 years, that would mean 1000 km in a million years or 10 000 km in 10 million years (i.e. the duration of the coal measures). This is equally ridiculous and we cannot escape the conclusion that *sedimentation was*

at times very rapid indeed and at other times there were long breaks in sedimentation, *though it looks both uniform and continuous*'2 [*emphasis*added].

https://www.icr.org/article/445

1. A distinctive soil level is missing. Only a few of the trees arise from the organic coal layers. Often the trees rest on top of a coal seam, but roots seldom penetrate into it as they would if the tree grew in a peat bog. Those stumps arising from non-organic layers have no possible soil present.
2. The vertical stumps often penetrate two or more strata, including thin seams of coal. Often they overlap other trees, arising from overlying layers. A dead, hollow, and submerged stump could not persist for the long period of time necessitated for a second forest to grow and collect as peat.
3. Segments of roots are often found inside the once-hollow trunks, while other fossil roots are normally detached and buried in the surrounding soil. This seems to be a very unlikely scenario for any growth *in situ* hypothesis.
4. Leaves seldom remain on a forest or swamp floor for long periods without decay, yet well preserved fossil leaves are abundant, thus indicating rapid burial.
5. Some of the fossilized trees are inclined, not directly in vertical growth positions. A few are found upside down. None of the tree root systems are complete; all have been truncated.
6. The marine tubeworm, *Spirorbis*, frequently found in fossilized association with the fossil trees, implies that all were exposed to seawater.
7. The surrounding sandstones are crossbedded, implying rapidly moving water.
8. The hollow vertical trees are typically filled with different sediments than the surrounding matrix. The internal sediments are themselves crossbedded.
9. The long axis of both the partial roots and the rootlets have a preferred orientation as would result from movement, not growth in place. The direction parallels current direction as discerned from ripple marks and crossbedding.

https://www.creationsciencetoday.com/19-Polystrate_Fossils.html

Other types of fossils bear witness to the same conclusion. Obviously, sediment could not have collected slowly around a dead animal that would have decayed in weeks or months. Once the animal is protected by rapid burial, the process of fossilization can begin. Instead of uniform, gradual deposition over vast ages, what fossils in the geologic column show are signs of rapid burial. Polystrate plant and animal fossils are classic proof of a rapid catastrophic flood event and young earth.

https://apologiaway1.wordpress.com/2020/09/04/21-reasons-to-believe-the-earth-is-young-2/

Many polystrate tree trunk fossils have been discovered, as well as a baleen whale, swamp plants called calamites, and catfish.9 Polystrate fossils prove that both the rock layers of the geologic column and the surfaces between them do not require millions of

years of slow and gradual accumulation and lithification. After all, how could a tree escape its inevitable decay while sticking out of the ground for millions of years with its roots dead and lithified, while it waited to be slowly covered with sediment? Polystrate fossils provide evidence that the rock strata have formed rapidly—fast enough to preserve organic materials before their decay.

https://thecreationclub.com/polystrate-trees-upright-trees-are-evidence-of-catastrophe/

But there are a few things that make these very significant. Besides the excellent preservation, even down to the texture of the leaflet pods along the trunk, the first thing that stands out is the fact that these trees are fossilized in the UPRIGHT position! The second and most significant feature is the fact that these trees can be seen extending through multiple layers of strata!
If a secular geologist saw the same layers of strata anywhere else, they would conclude that each layer was laid down slowly over time, taking several million years for what we see here to be laid down through gradual deposition, then lithified, or turned to stone. But wait! How could a tree remain upright for millions of years while sediment slowly builds up around the trunk, preserving it from decay?
There is only one way to explain what is seen at this site and other similar sites all around the world… Catastrophism.

In some cases, root structure can be found, like this stigmaria (or root segment) I'm holding, while in other specimens, it appears as if the trees may have simply been snapped off by force, again, fitting with the account of the fierce global deluge described in the book of Genesis.

From the above articles, it is evident that it is impossible for Uniformitarian processes of any kind to have produced these polystrate fossilized trees and other polystrate fossils. Obviously, a tree could not have remained in an upright position as it was slowly buried by accumulating sediments over tens or hundreds of thousands of years. It would have rotted away or been consumed by the elements before then. And obviously a dead animal's carcass would have been scavenged or decomposed before years passed for sediment to have slowly accumulated and buried it. These must have been buried rapidly. But all alternate explanations used by evolutionists and billions of years believers are problematic as the above articles showed and explained.

Obviously, these things were not left exposed to the elements for many years even 100,000 years or more before they were buried. So, some have proposed that these trees grew in bogs and were buried in place. But the evidence shows that these trees were transported by rapidly moving water as evidenced by some being upside down and their root systems either missing or showing that they were dragged into their position from somewhere else. Obviously, these trees did not walk into the bog and jump in headfirst. That makes no sense at all. Nor could they have plunged into already hardened rock whether vertically upright or upside down. Now many evolutionists will admit that they are from a flood, although they claim that they are from a bunch of local floods. So, this admits that these are from a flood of some kind. However, a look at all

the facts shows that it was not from some local flood or floods, but they are the result of a single very large flood spread over a large geographic region. Many show that they were buried by a saltwater flood since “The marine tubeworm, *Spirorbis*, frequently found in fossilized association with the fossil trees, implies that all were exposed to seawater”. Note that the trees which grew on dry land are associated with fossilized marine remains. And these are located far from any coast that ever supposedly existed. And they are buried in layers which spread across a large geological area. Further these layers are remarkably flat across such an area and show little or no erosion in between these layers. None of this matches a bunch of localized disparate floods. But it completely matches the results of the worldwide flood.

But there is another amazing phenomenon which the evidence shows. Consider a polystrate tree that spans 2 consecutive layers and number those layers 1 and 2. These 2 layers must have been laid down together in a very short time and not over hundreds of thousands of years. Now somewhere else there is another polystrate tree that spans another 2 consecutive layers numbered the same 2nd layer and another layer numbered layer 3. This then ties layer 3 with layers 1 and 2. Thus all 3 of these layers were laid down together in a very short time. Now continue this technique working horizontally to find another polystrate tree that spans layer 3 and with another consecutive layer numbered 4. Continue this until all the rock layers have been tied together so that they were all laid down in one large flood event. And this can be done in very many places around the world. Thus, there was one giant worldwide flood that created all these rock layers and these polystrate fossilized trees in a very short time. It is a worldwide phenomenon. Therefore, the only possible explanation is the worldwide flood. And this is consistent with all other evidence about all the fossil bearing sedimentary rock layers.

Also note that the same technique can be done used with the evidence of multiple sedimentary rock layers bent together beyond their fracture point but showing little or no fracturing. This was described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17iAP7E2b6/

There is little or no erosion between certain rock layers even though they were supposedly laid down with very many years to have passed between them. This is described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15YfMd2CVf/

The false science of Uniformitarianism that permeates evolutionists’ thoughts was described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15LzWXACBn/

Almost all dating techniques prove a young earth and that all dating techniques which supposedly yield old ages have been shown to be invalid. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DK6jsQtHC/

Many things which are supposedly ancient are not C-14 dead. This also refutes evolution and billions of years of years. This was shown in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/189bRezAjH/

The following series of posts further proves that dating techniques which supposedly yield old ages are invalid.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1AwW2fiWDv/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/198CmTmcjY/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17vxFsF7PZ/

In this post the rate of accumulation of salt in the oceans proved the oceans are not billions of years old but are consistent with a recent worldwide flood.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Epwf2aat2/

The presence of cold sub ducted slabs in the lower mantle proved refute billions of years and its sidekick evolution but are consistent with a recent worldwide flood as proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DC3VLgAJa/

The evidence furthers show that almost all “clocks” show that the earth, the universe, and everything else, are not billions of years old, or millions of years old, but thousands of years old. This further proves that anything that shows a very old age must be wrong. The only explanation for these inconsistencies is some miraculous events like 6-day creation, the fall in the garden and the worldwide flood. See the link below for more information on the evidence from all these “clocks”.

https://creation.com/age-of-the-earth

The rates of accumulation DNA mutation error in all species, especially for mitochondrial DNA, proves that all species are less than about 10,000 years, thus for the earth and its surface too. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1T56zaD2hF/

The presence of soft dino tissue and bio molecules which are not 50-50 racemic nor denatured in supposedly ancient rock layers also prove that the earth is not billions of years old but is consistent with a recent worldwide flood.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DY8Es7Qjm/

Many have been deceived by the already proven false no God assumption used to determine origins and the age of things as described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18CquKL5KD/

Then many false conclusions are the result of the no God assumption compounded with circular reasoning as described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18B13jzfBs/

Polystrate fossilized trees and other Polystrate fossils are found in many places around the world and prove that the fossil bearing rock layers were formed by a worldwide flood quickly and not laid down over hundreds of millions of years. Thus, this also refutes evolution and billions of years.

https://www.icr.org/article/what-are-polystrate-fossils/

Obviously, evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

32. The fossil graveyards also disprove evolution and billions of years. (TOC) (back)

Here is link that describes why these fossil graveyards prove evolution and billions of years false.

https://www.genesispark.com/exhibits/fossils/graveyards/

I have included several paragraphs from this site as of 10/15/2024.

“One of the more challenging realities of the fossil record for Darwinian apologists to explain are the vast graveyards of animal remains that are found throughout the world. Ongoing excavations in the Gobi Desert tell of one such sight that has become an embarrassment to evolutionists. Twenty-five theropod dinosaurs have been discovered along with 200 skulls of mammals. There is no evidence of the several million year evolutionary gap or of the iridium boundary that is thought to delineate when the dinosaurs became extinct.”

“The Gobi Desert of Central Asia is one of the earth’s desolate places. Yet the Gobi is a paradise for paleontologists. …Our expeditions, jointly sponsored by the Mongolian Academy of Sciences and the American Museum of Natural History, have excavated dinosaurs, lizards and small mammals in an unprecedented state of preservation.

Freshly exposed skeletons sometimes look more like the recent remains of a carcass than like an 80-million-year-old fossil. In yet another ironic twist, the rocks of the Gobi appear to be missing precisely those strata that currently hold the greatest public interest: no sections found thus far include the Cretaceous-Tertiary (K-T) boundary, when the dinosaurs became extinct. Whatever cataclysm wiped out the dinosaurs (and many other species then on the earth), its mark on Central Asia seems to have been erased." (Novacek, Michael J., Mark Norell, Malcolm C. McKenna, and James Clark, "Fossils of the Flaming Cliffs," *Scientific American,* vol. 271, 1994, pp. 60-69, as cited in Morris, 1997.)

One of the most fascinating fossil graveyard of all was located in the southern United States. The Ashley Beds was an enormous phosphate graveyard that contained mixed remains of man with land and sea animals, notably crocodilians, ichthyosaurs, hadrosaurs, plesiosaurs, whales, sharks, zeuglodon, monkeys, rhinos, horses, mastodons, mammoths, porpoises, elephants, deer, pigs, dogs, and sheep. This catalogue of fossils from the phosphate beds was given in the records of Major Edward Willis who displayed them at multiple national events, including the South Carolina Department of the New Orleans Exposition (Willis, "Fossils and Phosphate Specimens," 1881.) Professor F.S. Holmes (paleontologist and curator of the College of Charleston's Natural History Museum) described the fossil graveyard in a report to the Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia: "Remains of the hog, the horse and other animals of recent date, together with human bones mingled with the bones of the mastodon and extinct gigantic lizards." (Keener, J.C., *The Garden of Eden and the Flood*, 1901.) There can be little doubt what extinct gigantic lizard he referenced for he pictured a Hadrosaurus on the front of his 1870 book *The Phosphate Rocks of South Carolina* and captioned it: "Skeleton of a Fossil Lizard eighteen feet in Length." Moreover, on page 31 he wrote, "It was in this Post-Pleiocene age, the period when the American Elephant, or Mammoth, the Mastodon, Rhinoceros, Megathereum, Hadrosaurus, and other gigantic quadrupeds roamed the Carolina forests, and repaired periodically to these Salt-lakes…" The back of the book shows phosphate fertilizer advertisements, including pictures of ichthyosaurs skeletons.

The mixing of these remains was pell-mell throughout the roughly 200 square mile area of this deposit around Charleston, South Carolina. Some of the material came from dredging river bottoms as well as mining the land layers. Holmes wrote of Mosasaurus vertebrae obtained in the marl of the Ashley River. (Holmes, F.S., "Notes on the Geology of Charleston, S.C.," *The American Journal of Science and Arts*, vol. 3, 1849, p. 197.) By one estimate, bones made up 65% of the extraordinary phosphate deposits in the region of the Ashley River basin before it was largely mined out. (Keener, J.C., *The Garden of Eden and the Flood*, 1901, p. 244.) Evolutionists have cast about trying to propose a credible mechanism for mixing creatures from Cretaceous to Holocene in this stratum, but none has been satisfactory and the matter has been expunged from current references to this site. (Watson, John Allen, *Man, Dinosaurs, and Mammals Together*, 2001, p. 7.)
Another massive repository of fossils is found in South Africa. We can only speculate how many creatures are contained in this graveyard. One mind-boggling analysis

estimates “The Karoo formation in South Africa alone contains fossil remains of about 800 billion animals.” (Milner, Richard, The *Encyclopedia of Evolution: Humanity’s Search for Its Origins*, 1990, p. 330.) Only a catastrophe operating on the scale of the Genesis Flood would be sufficient to account for this!

This last paragraph is found by clicking on “Marine Organisms in Dinosaur Fossil Layers” at the right bottom of the page.

The geological layers can be divided into megasequences, packages of sedimentary rock bounded top and bottom by erosional surfaces. The first three of these (Sauk, Tippecanoe, and Kaskaskia) contain mostly ocean-dwelling organisms. The higher three (Absaroka, Zuni, and Tejas) contain many terrestrial animals. Dinosaur fossils are particularly plentiful in the Absaroka and Zuni rock units. But throughout these fossil layers there are also marine organisms. For example, the Hell Creek Formation of the American west has yielded an abundance of famous dinosaur fossils. But less attention is given to the six species of sharks and 14 species of fish found buried alongside them. (Clarey, Tim, *Carved in Stone,* 2020, p. 303.) The mixing of marine and terrestrial environments is not a rare occurrence isolated to the northwest part of America. Sharks, sawfish, and coelocanths were found in the same rock layers as *Spinosaurus* in Morocco. (Durham, Louise, “Shell Makes Large Discovery on Deepwater GoM,” *AAPG Explorer 39,* 2018, pp. 24-25.) Dinosaur fossil in Europe occur in marine deposits alongside the preserved remains of marine invertebrates. Dinosaur fossil buried in obvious marine sediments is the rule, not the exception. This is powerful evidence of a worldwide Flood catastrophically laying down the vast fossil-bearing layers that cover our continents.

Also, note that the accumulation of DNA mutations ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA, by all species proves species are less than 10,000 years old. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. This eliminates all supposed evidence for evolution from any common characteristic. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false.

33. There is too little sediment on the ocean floor which limits the age of the oceans to much less than many 10s of millions of years. (TOC) (back)

Here are some links that describe this. This is just one of many global clocks that disprove evolution and billions of years.

https://creation.com/oceans

https://creation.com/sea-floor-sediment-and-the-age-of-the-earth

https://answersingenesis.org/creation-vs-evolution/evidence-for-young-earth-creation/

https://www.icr.org/article/oceans-young-earth

34. There are many places where thick rock layers are folded and many are bent way beyond their fracture point, yet with little or no fracturing. They must have been soft and laid down during the flood. (TOC) (back)

These are found in very many places. Here are some links that document this.

https://answersingenesis.org/geology/rock-layers/2-bent-rock-layers/

https://www.icr.org/article/there-geological-evidence-for-young-earth/

https://creationanswers.net/geology/youngearth2.htm

https://www.growingchristians.org/christian-evidences/when-did-creation-occur

https://creation.com/folded-rocks

https://thebiblecanbeproven.com/even-more-scientific-evidence-for-a-global-flood-part-3-of-series/

35. Fossils supposedly over about 60 million years old have soft tissue and many biomolecules still intact. That shows they were buried recently in the flood. (TOC) (back)

The tissue in question is soft and stretchy. These tissues have blood vessels, blood cells, collagen and various other proteins, DNA chains, amino acid chains still intact and not 50-50 racemic. There are very many of these from all kinds of creatures, land or sea, from many rock layers.

Here are some links that describe this is some detail.

https://answersingenesis.org/creation-vs-evolution/evidence-for-young-earth-creation/

https://answersingenesis.org/dinosaurs/bones/two-those-not-so-dry-bones/

https://answersingenesis.org/dinosaurs/bones/more-soft-tissue-in-old-fossils/

https://www.icr.org/article/six-biological-evidences-for-a-young-earth

https://creation.com/dinosaur-soft-tissue

https://creation.com/dna-dating-positive-evidence-that-the-fossils-are-young

https://www.icr.org/article/six-biological-evidences-for-a-young-earth/

https://dl0.creation.com/articles/p028/c02813/j8_1_7-10.pdf

https://creation.com/age-of-the-earth

https://answersingenesis.org/dinosaurs/when-did-dinosaurs-live/solid-answers-soft-tissue/

36. Supposedly, evolution produced the nervous system in a multitude of creatures. That is also impossible. It is just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. (TOC) (back)

It is impossible that the nervous system could have evolved in any creature. It is just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved. All these are just a multitude of super great miracle of miracles in addition to the multitude of other super great miracles of miracles that evolution cannot explain through the only mechanism that evolution has - DNA mutation ERRORS. Almost all multicellular organisms have a nervous system. For the nervous system of mankind, there are about 45 miles of nerves, and almost 100 billion nerve cells in the brain. The nervous system is used to connect all function and organs in the body to the brain in people. Electrochemical control signals are sent from the brain to various organs and tissues. And electrochemical signals from various organs and tissues are sent back to the brain where it is processed. Nerve cells are called neurons, and they come in many different sizes and shapes.

Here are some links that describe the incredible nervous system.

https://apologeticspress.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/0508.pdf

https://reasonandscience.catsboard.com/t2581-the-human-nervous-system-evidence-of-intelligent-design

Now there is great variation in the complexity and makeup of the nervous systems of various species as described in the following article.

https://organismalbio.biosci.gatech.edu/chemical-and-electrical-signals/nervous-systems/#:~:text=Diversity%20of%20Nervous%20Systems&text=Nervous%20systems%20throughout%20the%20animal,neurons%20called%20a%20nerve%20net.

Single cell organisms, plants, and sponges do not have nervous systems.

Neurons use neurotransmitters to send and receive electrochemical signals. Neurotransmitters are made by the neuron cells. There are a number of different types of neurotransmitters. Neurons have a shape and composition that allows them to receive signals, process signals, produce signals, and send signals. Now at this point there are many things that disprove evolution, which only has DNA mutation ERRORS to make new things. Why have neuron cells at all? There is no purpose to have neurons unless it can process signals and that there is even a purpose for the transmission of signals. So there has to be organs and tissues that respond to these signals that are sent. There also must be something like the brain which can send out signals to those organs and tissues. But that control system must also be capable of receiving signals from various organs and tissues so that it can determine what signals to send out. This is an extremely intelligently designed control system because the feedback and decisions made are essential to survival of the multicell organism. Where did the brain get the ability to receive signals from distant organs and tissues and learn to make those decisions and send out all the appropriate signals to all the organs and tissues? Furthermore, why would there even be these neurotransmitters at all? Afterall, they serve no purpose at all unless neurons exist, that can process both sending and receiving of them, that have a design, shape and organization to produce, send, receive and process these neurotransmitters. Not only that but neurons are not haphazardly connected but they are connected similar to a wiring harness but in this case a very complex and intricate harness. Unless it is connected correctly the multicell organism would have died. And all these things would require many genes all working together in many interconnected ways. Thus, this would require very many super great miracles of miracles all happening simultaneously in one creature. Each of these super great miracles of miracles has odds against that are way beyond astronomical. In fact, the entire thing is impossible because that organism would have to have changed completely how it functions and survives. And the only mechanism available is DNA mutation ERRORS.

Not only that but there a large number of variations the nervous system in many species. Each of these would require a large number of super great miracles of miracles with odds against that are way beyond astronomical.

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation changes, aka ERRORS. It would require a significant number of irreducibly complex pieces which are composed of irreducibly complex sub pieces down through several levels and coordinated with other changes that are interconnected with other irreducibly complex intelligently designed parts of the organism. There is no advantage until the complete functionality is working and would probably be a disadvantage until then. Furthermore, analysis of these reveals that they show more feature of intelligent design than anything that mankind has made. The transcription/ translation of DNA code to RNA sequences to proteins composed of specific amino acid sequences has high information content and creates

what can be described as intricate physical micro machines that carry out intricate functionality. Complexity, whether in information content, physical makeup, or functionality is part of intelligent design. And this is very much so in biological systems. Irreducible complexity is a subset of intelligent design. It is always the case in biological systems because the only source of new design is DNA mutation ERRORS, which have no possible goal, no intelligence to look to the final operational product, and no benefit until at least a majority of parts are operating to give actual functionality. Only intelligence can do that. And in the case of all biological systems, an Intelligence far greater than all of mankind put together. Obviously that Intelligence is God Almighty the Creator of all things. This is real science and reality itself.

It is easy to prove God exists and created all things. See these posts for further information and proofs of intelligent design.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/KhL5SjmAzWDEnfBm/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/xUhJiSC2jzfbUxcQ/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/qrupNzZzXh1cbX5g/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/zFbFJ89n2CUuKLVX/

Now all of these things require many genes which could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

There exist no DNA mutation ERRORS paths to produce all these diverse types of nervous systems in all these species. Natural selection cannot help because DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce anything useful to select in any creature, except

possibly a small amount of such in bacteria which have vast populations. All these vast multitudes of super great miracles of miracles are just fairy tales.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA for genes shows that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, the longer the time that goes by, the more likely by far the extinction of any species. So deep time does not help. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time to less than about 10,000 years, thus eliminating deep time. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent.

For further details see the following Facebook posts,

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1w3KhDi5iVPCXprx/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/j9dtBbWsgb3Lpkku/

Also note that all these various nervous systems must have come into being after one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles which is single cell organisms supposedly evolving into multicellular organisms. This is described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/gqBg9eBBRn7eSsXu/

And of course, this is dependent on single cell prokaryotes supposedly evolving into single cell eukaryotes after yet another one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles. This is covered in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/iNAAfgE8v7FPyf5s/

Some evolutionists will try to argue that there are less evolved versions of similar functionality and try to imply that they are not irreducibly complex. But in all cases are they fully developed, functional and irreducibly complex. So, this just adds to the multitude of irrefutable proofs against evolution.

Evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide. My advice to any individual, any organization, especially any educational organization is to abandon it forever. The evidence for evolution and billions of years and for creation by God is overwhelming and undeniable. More and more evidence is coming out as more discoveries are made. It is like a giant tsunami. Sticking your finger in the dyke will not stop it washing away evolution and billions of years. Studying God’s design can lead to things that help people and society. But it is important that God bless the works of your hand and not curse that work.

37. Supposedly, evolution produced the circulatory system in all creatures that have a circulatory system. That is also impossible. It is just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved from DNA mutation ERRORS.
(TOC) (back)

It is impossible that the circulatory system could have evolved in any creature. It is just way too irreducibly complex to have evolved. It is just another super great miracle of miracles, in addition to the other 100s of super great miracles of miracles, that evolution cannot explain. In people, it consists of the heart, arteries, including the aortic arch in many species, veins, capillaries, hemoglobin, and many organs that clean the blood, provide oxygen and other nutrients, remove carbon dioxide and other waste products, control blood pressure, control blood flow, and the concentrations of many ions. The circulatory system of an adult person has over 60,000 miles of blood vessels and for most people, the heart will beat over 2 billion times by the time the reach the age of 70. The circulatory system carries blood to the rest of the body and its organs and is the very life blood of the organism.

So which parts of the circulatory system came first? Note all the variations of the circulatory system across all species, which many variations of the parts of the circulatory system. Also note that no single cell organism has a circulatory system. Plants also do not have one, but a vascular system composed of xylem and phloem.

The following links give descriptions of this.

https://bio.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Introductory_and_General_Biology/General_Biology_(Boundless)/40%3A_The_Circulatory_System/40.03%3A_Overview_of_the_Circulatory_System_-_Types_of_Circulatory_Systems_in_Animals

https://www.thoughtco.com/circulatory-system-373576

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Circulatory_system

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex system, organ, tissues, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. It would require a significant number of irreducibly complex pieces which are composed of irreducibly complex sub pieces down through several levels and coordinated with other changes that are interconnected with other parts of the organism. There is no advantage until the complete functionality is working and would probably be a disadvantage until then. Furthermore, analysis of these reveals that they show more intelligent design than anything that mankind has made. The transcription/ translation of DNA code to RNA sequences to proteins composed of specific amino acid sequences has high information content and creates what can be described as intricate physical micro machines that carry out intricate functionality.

Now all of these things require many genes which could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA

mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

There exists no DNA mutation ERRORS paths to produce all these diverse types of circulatory systems in all these species. Natural selection cannot help because DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce anything useful to select in any creature, except possible a small amount of such in bacteria which have vast populations. All these vast multitudes of super great miracles of miracles are just fairy tales.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA for genes shows that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, the longer the time that goes by, the more likely by far the extinction of any species. So deep time does not help. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time to less than about 10, 000 years, thus eliminating deep time. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent.

For further details see the following Facebook post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/rpt2C1pmkyyMVUBX/

Some evolutionists will try to argue that there are less evolved versions of similar functionality and try to imply that they are not irreducibly complex. But in all cases are they fully developed, functional and irreducibly complex. So, this just adds to the multitude of irrefutable proofs against evolution. Obviously, evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

38. Hemoglobin and its variations in a number of species, as well as other types of oxygen carrying proteins in blood in a number of species, cannot be explained by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. Only creation by God can explain it. (TOC) (back)

Hemoglobin is a protein containing iron in red blood cells which carries oxygen from the lungs to the tissues of the body and returns carbon dioxide from those tissues back to the lungs. It is the iron in hemoglobin that gives blood its red color. However, not all animals have blood and not all those that do have blood have red blood cells. The following article describes some of the variations.

https://www.reconnectwithnature.org/news-events/the-buzz/not-all-animals-bleed-red/

Here is an excerpt from that article. I added the underlining, which is not in the original,

"To understand why red blood is not universal in the animal kingdom, it helps to understand why our blood — and the blood of virtually all vertebrates, including mammals, reptiles, amphibians, birds and fish — is red. We have red blood because our blood includes iron-containing hemoglobin protein molecules, which are red. The iron is what gives hemoglobin its red color, according to the National Institute of General Medical Sciences
. Hemoglobin is a key component of our blood because it is what is responsible for transporting oxygen throughout the body.
Animals that don't rely on hemoglobin for oxygen transport don't have red blood because they don't have hemoglobin in their blood. Take squid, octopuses and some kinds of crustaceans, such as horseshoe crabs. These creatures have blue blood because they rely on the protein hemocyanin, which contains copper, to transport oxygen throughout their bodies, National Geographic
reports. The hemocyanin in their blood is actually colorless, but because it contains copper, it turns blue when it binds with oxygen. Yet another protein called hemerhythrin gives the blood of mollusks, such as sea squirts, a purplish-pink color.
And while virtually all vertebrates have red blood, as is often the case in science, there are exceptions — or at least one known exception. The blackfin icefish, an Antarctic species also known as the Scotia Sea icefish, has been discovered to have white blood, making it the only known vertebrate on Earth without red blood, according to Quanta magazine
. These fish, which live in the frigid waters around Antarctica, don't have red blood because they do not rely on red blood cells or hemoglobin to transport oxygen throughout their bodies. Instead, oxygen diffuses into their blood plasma from the surrounding waters through their gills and skin, the magazine reports.
Not even blood itself is universal in the animal kingdom, at least not blood like we have. Vertebrates all have a closed circulatory system that uses arteries and veins to transport blood throughout the body, but insects lack such as circulatory system. Instead, they have an open system that allows fluid to flow throughout their bodies without arteries and veins, according to Scientific American

Instead of blood, insects have a fluid called hemolymph that transports nutrients and waste and keeps their tissues lubricated. Just like in vertebrates, an insect's heart pumps the fluid throughout their bodies, but their hearts are structurally much different than a vertebrate's heart, Scientific American reports.
Hemolymph is mostly made of water, and it also contains hormones, lipids, carbohydrates, glycerol, amino acids, ions and pigments. The pigments give the fluid a color, but the color is often mostly clear or tinged with yellow or green. Grasshoppers, for example, have green hemolymph."

There are animals with red blood, blue blood, green blood, violet blood, yellow blood, or no blood at all. Red blood has hemoglobin. Blue blood has hemocyanin. Green blood has chlorocruorin. Violet blood has hemerythrin. Yellow blood has hemovanadin. Now hemocyanin uses copper to bind oxygen. Hemovanadin uses vanadium to bind oxygen. The others use iron. This is described in the following articles which also shows the chemical structure of these oxygen carrying proteins.

https://www.thebloodproject.com/did-you-know-13/

https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/news/what-do-snails-spiders-octopods-and-queen-england-have-common

Many invertebrates have an open circulatory system that uses a fluid called hemolymph and circulates in the interior of the creature and is in direct contact with tissue. Some invertebrates have a closed circulatory system, which does have blood. And some invertebrates have no circulatory system at all. All vertebrates have a closed circulatory system. From this, the following can be discerned. Invertebrates at first had no circulatory system. Then supposedly some evolved to have an open circulatory system. And then some invertebrate supposedly evolved to have a close circulatory system. For invertebrate with a circulatory system, some use hemoglobin. Some use hemocyanin. Some use chlorocruorin. Some use hemerythrin. Some use hemovanadin. Now at some point, an invertebrate with a closed circulatory system supposedly evolved into a vertebrate with a closed circulatory system. And since almost all vertebrates use hemoglobin, it probably was from an invertebrate that used hemoglobin.

At this point there a number of significant problems for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. For these variations, the first super great miracle of miracles is for invertebrates to have supposedly evolved an open circulatory system that used hemolymph. All hemolymphs contain many nutrients and also specialized cells called hemocytes. So, this super great miracle of miracles required the super great miracle of miracles that produced these. The second super great miracle of miracles is for invertebrates to have supposedly evolved a closed circulatory system that used blood. This is impossible because it would change how the creature lives and thus would kill it. Then whatever color that first invertebrate blood was, it would require 4 other super great miracles of miracles to have produced the other 4 oxygen carrying proteins.

After that one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles supposedly happened when an invertebrate with a closed circulatory system evolved into a vertebrate with a closed circulatory system. The following post shows that there is a major problem in this supposed evolution of invertebrates to vertebrates which the mitochondrial transcription codon table.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1GD8g4LsYE/

And that one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles was supposedly followed by the one known exception of a vertebrate which does not use hemoglobin.

There is a large amount of variations in hemoglobin in vertebrate red blood cells of many species.

https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4393193/

There are also a large amount of variations in hemoglobin, hemocyanin, chlorocruorin, hemerythrin and hemovanadin in invertebrates. These variations in both invertebrates and vertebrates exist not only in primary the sequence, but also within secondary, tertiary and quaternary structures. Each of these large number of variations would require a super great miracle of miracles to have come into being through DNA mutation ERRORS. In all, there are many hundreds of these super great miracles of miracles to account for all these variations.

And an analysis of genetics shows that macro evolution is impossible. DNA mutation ERRORS could never produce the over 100 trillion unique genes that have existed in all species that have ever lived and are alive today.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/3WJ23FQeSYR7dk21/

Now all these things require many genes which could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that

phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA for genes shows that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, the longer the time that goes by, the more likely by far the extinction of any species. So deep time does not help. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time, the false god of evolution, to less than about 10,000 years, thus eliminating deep time forever. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent.

For further details see the following Facebook posts,

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1w3KhDi5iVPCXprx/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/j9dtBbWsgb3Lpkku/

Hemoglobin and its variations in a number of species, as well as other types of oxygen carrying proteins in the blood in a number of species, cannot be explained by evolution through DNA mutations.

https://medlineplus.gov/ency/image65- /19510.htm

Nor can evolution explain a multitude of amazing features that exist in the species in the world. Evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

39. The extraordinary capabilities of flagella defy evolution and could have never evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. Instead, they prove that the God the Creator created all things by His Almighty power. (TOC) (back)

It is impossible that flagella or cilia could evolved. They are just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved. This is just another super great miracle of miracles, in addition to multitude of other super great miracles of miracles, that evolution could not have produced by DNA mutation ERRORS.

Here are some links to a number of articles that describe this. For some of them I have included select excerpts underneath the link. I have added the underlining which is not in the original articles.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/128XeGG5HDu/

“The flagellum is a little motor-driven propeller. …. It spins at 100,000 rpm and can change direction in a quarter turn. The intricate machinery in this molecular motor - including a rotor, a stator, O-rings, bushings, and a drive shaft - requires the coordinated interaction of approximately forty complex protein parts.”

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19JX8F2d5h/

“A HIGH-TECH BIOLOGICAL MACHINE THAT HUMANS CANNOT DUPLICATE: THE BACTERIAL FLAGELLUM Here is the "bacterial flagellum." Many kinds of cells within our body use this tiny propulsion machine to move in any direction: to move toward food and away from threats. It enables the cell to move 20 times the length of its body in one second through a liquid more viscous than water. For a six-foot person to move 20 body lengths (120 feet) every second through AIR, he would have to run at 82 miles per hour; and imagine if he had to do it through something like Half-and-Half! This little whip-like propellor can turn at 100,000 rpm in one direction, and within only one-QUARTER of a turn, reverse itself to 100,000 rpm in the opposite direction, in a fluid more viscous than WATER! Our advanced technology has done marvelous things, but nothing like this, and at a MICROSCOPICALLY small scale! Should we expect that "Mother Nature" - whose IQ for producing anything is an absolute ZERO - could somehow "stumble" into fabricating such intricately designed machinery, something that the greatest HUMAN IQ's have not been able to do using high-tech machinery and great intelligence? Well then, which existing IQ DID bring this into being? If we humans insist on worshiping amazing machines, then why not swap our cell phones for our own flagellum - and then switch from the flagellum to its CREATOR! “

Bacterial Flagella—Icon of the Intelligent Design Movement

“The bacterial flagellum is an irreducibly complex process. An irreducibly complex system is one that requires several interlacing parts to be present at the same time, where the removal of one or more parts causes the whole system to malfunction. Destroy one part and the whole system falls apart. The purported mechanism of evolution, on the other hand, is that a new trait will confer a selective survival advantage, and thus enable its possessors to compete better than organisms without the trait. In neo-Darwinian evolution, a new trait would have to be completely developed—no halfway measures would do. Given this requirement, new features are so complex that neo-Darwinian gradualism is very improbable because an incompletely developed trait would offer no selective advantage.”

From the above articles, it is obvious that flagella are an engineering marvel. And it is not even their moving parts or incredible capabilities, but that they are so intricate, small micro machines, composed and controlled by proteins which are produced by information rich genes, and are controlled to produce their functionality. Not all prokaryotes have flagella. Many bacteria have them but not all. And they are not the same in all bacteria that have them. Many archaea have them but not all. And they are not all the same in all archaea that have them. Prokaryotes can have one or more flagella, if they have any. Some eukaryotes have flagella, but they have a different

structure than that of the ones in prokaryotes. A wide range of eukaryotes have cilia. The flagella of eukaryotes are elongated cilia. And those with them have 1 or 2 per cell. Prokaryotes do not have cilia. Cilia are shorter. They allow for faster, more efficient motion. Eukaryotic cells that have cilia may have one main cilium or many even as many as 200-300 of them. The following article describes cilia and flagella and the differences beyond them. Note that cilia beat in a synchronized rhythm and flagella beat independently.

https://unacademy.com/content/neet-ug/study-material/biology/what-is-the-difference-between-flagella-and-cilia/#:~:text=Cilia%20are%20short%2C%20hair%2Dlike,only%20found%20in%20eukaryotic%20cells.

There can be up to 50 or more genes involved in the flagella of bacteria and in the flagella of archaea. Now the following observations can also be made. Since not all bacteria and not all archaea have flagella, then flagella must not have been present in the first living cell. While this does not make abiogenesis more ludicrous, although still impossible, it does add to the super great miracles of miracles for bacteria and archaea to each having supposedly evolved flagella separately thus adding to the number of super great miracles of miracles to have produced them. The odds against DNA mutation ERRORS having produced the flagella just in bacteria are far greater than 10^(20,000) to 1. Those odds are mind boggling. To give an idea of the number of chances that are possible to reduce the odds again against this coming into being by DNA mutation ERRORS, the number of prokaryotic cells that have ever existed is about 10^41. So the odds against are still far greater than 10^(20,000) to 1. And it would have required up to 50 super great miracles of miracles to have done so.

The following post proved abiogenesis is impossible.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/t1UgxkoW2MvcwYok/

There can be up to 200 or more genes involved for cilia with over 400 genes for cilia in people. Many of these are over 10k base pairs long. The odds against DNA mutation ERRORS having produced the cilia just in people are far greater than 10^(2 million) to 1. Those odds are mind boggling. And to have produced all the genes involved with cilia in people would have required over 400 super great miracles of miracles. But consider also the number of variations of flagella in bacteria, the number of variations of flagella in archaea, the number of variations in flagella in eukaryotes which are structurally different than the flagella in either bacteria or archaea, and then the number of variations in cilia across all eukaryotes that have them. The total number of all super great miracles of miracles combined for all these is greater than 60,000 each with mind boggling odds against.

But there are more problems for evolution to have produced flagella and cilia in eukaryotes. Not all eukaryotes have flagella. And prokaryotes do not have cilia. So then when eukaryotes supposed evolved from prokaryotes it was from a prokaryote without

flagella and the newly produced eukaryote did not have flagella. While this does not make the supposed evolution of prokaryotes to eukaryotes more ludicrous, although still impossible, it does add to the super great miracles of miracles for eukaryotes to have supposedly evolving both flagella and cilia, thus adding to the number of super great miracles of miracles to have produced flagella and cilia.

The following posts show that prokaryotes could not have evolved into eukaryotes.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1PEpb1tbg1zXSV4N/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/qRofoA2saDjGDW1B/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/uXeVsY4WbRW5jRbD/

Now this presents an even larger problem for evolution. Currently evolutionists are not sure how any of these flagella and cilia evolved. Nor are they definitive which of these variations of flagella evolved from which and therefore how many times they supposedly independently evolved. This is because it depends on which descent tree that is used. But that leads to a cascading problem. Any descent tree used for this must match other functions that these species have and how they supposedly evolved these other features. Trying to optimize for one to reduce the very many super great miracles of miracles for a specific set of related features leads to any increase in the number of independent super great miracles of miracles for all other features. These independent ones are truly ludicrous because somehow the DNA mutation error paths either followed very similar paths independently more than once or achieved the same goal independently more than once. But this then becomes a problem for the supposed ages of any rock layers that these are found in as the house of cards that evolution and billions of years rest upon precariously. And this is not just limited to the flagella of bacteria and cilia but to all species and all functionality, organs, tissue, systems and genes. Which leads to the obvious conclusion that evolution and billions of years are the theory of nothing because it cannot answer definitively the origin of anything. And as such it does not qualify as a theory at all since it is difficult logically to disprove something that absolutely does not even exist. But since it does not exist, it is not true at all. An any attempt at any definitive answer to the origin of anything by evolution can easily be disproved by all the evidence, science, math, logic, reality and knowledge.

And an analysis of genetics shows that macro evolution is impossible. DNA mutation ERRORS could never produce the over 100 trillion unique genes that have existed in all species that have ever lived and are alive today.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/3WJ23FQeSYR7dk21/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation changes, aka ERRORS. It would require a significant number of irreducibly complex pieces which are composed of irreducibly complex sub pieces down through several levels and coordinated with other

changes that are interconnected with other irreducibly complex intelligently designed parts of the organism. There is no advantage until the complete functionality is working and would probably be a disadvantage or deadly until then. Furthermore, analysis of these reveals that they show more features of intelligent design than anything that mankind has made. The transcription/ translation of DNA code to RNA sequences to proteins composed of specific amino acid sequences has high information content and creates what can be described as intricate physical micro machines that carry out intricate functionality. Complexity, whether in information content, physical makeup, or functionality is part of intelligent design. And this is very much so in biological systems. Irreducible complexity is a subset of intelligent design. It is always the case in biological systems because the only source of new design is DNA mutation ERRORS, which have no possible goal, no intelligence to look to the final operational product, and no benefit until at least a majority of parts are operating to give actual functionality. Only intelligence can do that. And in the case of all biological systems, an Intelligence far greater than all of mankind put together. Obviously that Intelligence is God Almighty the Creator of all things. This is real science and reality itself.

Now all these things require many genes which could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

Some evolutionists will try to argue that there are less evolved versions of similar functionality and try to imply that they are not irreducibly complex. But in all cases are they fully functional, intelligently designed, and irreducibly complex. So, this just adds to the proofs against evolution. Obviously, evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA for genes shows

that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, the longer the time that goes by, the more likely by far the extinction of any species. So deep time does not help. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time, the false god of evolution, to less than about 10,000 years, thus eliminating deep time forever. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent.

For further details see the following Facebook posts,

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1w3KhDi5iVPCXprx/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/j9dtBbWsgb3Lpkku/

There is no possible DNA mutations ERRORS path to have produced the many variations of flagella and cilia in any organism.

In analyzing the fossil record, it is clear that macro evolution never happened in the past as all the millions of chains of missing links are all still missing.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/yHt66hW1xPviAyRo/

The fact that there are no partially developing functionality, organs and systems in any living creatures today proves that macro evolution is not happening now. All that is observed is adaption and variations in created kinds, which is sometimes mistakenly referred to as micro evolution or just evolution.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/UC5kYmCiqeF1FPJy/

And an analysis of genetics shows that macro evolution is impossible. DNA mutation ERRORS could never produce the over 100 trillion unique genes that have existed in all species that have ever lived and are alive today.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/3WJ23FQeSYR7dk21/

So, there is no evidence of evolution ever happening in the past, no evidence it is happening in the present, nor any possibility that it could ever happen. It is easy to prove God exists and created all things. The following prove this using intelligent design. All evidence, all facts, all physics, all chemistry, all biology, all mathematics, all statistics, all probability, all knowledge, all creation, all logic, and all reality prove evolution and billions of years are false, and that that God created everything in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution and that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah. And that the Bible is the true word of God Almighty.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/KhL5SjmAzWDEnfBm/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/xUhJiSC2jzfbUxcQ/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/qrupNzZzXh1cbX5g/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/zFbFJ89n2CUuKLVX/

The extraordinary capabilities of flagella defy evolution and could never have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. Instead, they prove that the God the Creator created all things by His Almighty power.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Flagellum_base_diagram-en.svg

Evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

40. Supposedly, evolution produced cascading blood clotting in vertebrates. That is impossible. It is an irreducibly complex intelligently designed mechanism that could not have been produced by DNA mutations ERRORS. (TOC) (back)

It is impossible that cascading blood clotting in vertebrates could evolved. It is just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved by DNA mutation ERRORS. It is just another set of super great miracles of miracles, in addition to all the other multitudes of super great miracles of miracles, that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS cannot explain. The following articles give a high-level description of this.

https://www.osmosis.org/answers/coagulation-cascade

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coagulation

https://byjus.com/neet/mechanism-of-blood-coagulation-notes/

https://www.britannica.com/science/bleeding/Intrinsic-pathway-of-blood-coagulation

https://evolutionnews.org/2023/08/why-the-blood-clotting-cascade-challenges-evolution/

https://jonathanmclatchie.com/the-incredible-design-of-vertebrate-blood-clotting/

To form a clot in vertebrates, a number of polypeptides must be created. These polypeptides consist of a number of proteins. And those proteins are created by the controlled expression of a number of genes. There are 13 factors and 39 genes that perform this in people. Each of these would have to have been a super great miracle of miracles for evolution to have produced through DNA mutation ERRORS, for a total of at least 39 super great miracles of miracles, for evolution to have produced through DNA mutation ERRORS.

https://maayanlab.cloud/Harmonizome/gene_set/Blood+coagulation/PANTHER+Pathways

Some of these genes are quite large. APP is about 290kb in size, and almost all the factor genes are more than 10kb long with F8 being about 186kb long. The odds against that many coordinated genes coming into being by DNA mutation ERRORS are far greater than 10^600,000 to 1.

Note that it is cascading blood clotting, also called the coagulation cascade. This means that a sequence of actions must all work correctly or the organism dies either from excessive bleeding or thrombosis. These include identifying the need for a blood clot, determining where a clot is needed, the formation of a temporary plug, the formation of a number of polypeptides to form the blood clot, the inhibition of clots forming in other places, and the dissolving of the blood clot in such a way as to not kill the creature when it is no longer needed. An incorrectly dissolved blood clot can travel to the lungs and cause a pulmonary embolism, or a stroke or heart attack.

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation changes, aka ERRORS. It would require a significant number of irreducibly complex pieces which are composed of irreducibly complex sub pieces down through several levels and coordinated with other changes that are interconnected with other irreducibly complex intelligently designed parts of the organism. There is no advantage until the complete functionality is working and would probably be a disadvantage or deadly until then. Furthermore, analysis of these reveals that they show more features of intelligent design than anything that mankind has made. The transcription/ translation of DNA code to RNA sequences to proteins composed of specific amino acid sequences has high information content and creates what can be described as intricate physical micro machines that carry out intricate functionality. Complexity, whether in information content, physical makeup, or functionality is part of intelligent design. And this is very much so in biological systems. Irreducible complexity is a subset of intelligent design. It is always the case in biological systems because the only source of new design is DNA mutation ERRORS, which have no possible goal, no intelligence to look to the final operational product, and no benefit until at least a majority of parts are operating to give actual functionality. Only intelligence can do that. And in the case of all biological systems, an Intelligence far greater than all of mankind put together. Obviously that Intelligence is God Almighty the Creator of all things. This is real science and reality itself.

Now all these things require many genes which could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA

mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

Some evolutionists will try to argue that there are less evolved versions of similar functionality and try to imply that they are not irreducibly complex. But in all cases are they fully functional and irreducibly complex. So, this just adds to the proofs against evolution. Obviously, evolution and billions of years should be retracted immediately.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA for genes shows that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, the longer the time that goes by, the more likely by far the extinction of any species. So deep time does not help. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time, the false god of evolution, to less than about 10,000 years, thus eliminating deep time forever. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent.

For further details see the following Facebook posts,

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1w3KhDi5iVPCXprx/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/j9dtBbWsgb3Lpkku/

There is no possible DNA mutations ERRORS path to have produced cascading blood clotting in vertebrates

https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Graphical-representation-of-the-blood-coagulation-cascade-model-considered-in-this-work_fig1_263125933

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK580494/figure/article-1Ferosion39939.image.f1/

Evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide.

41. God created all things, the universe, and the earth in 6 days, about 6000 years ago, without evolution. The Bible and real science prove this is true. (TOC) (back)

God created man by a direct act without evolution from lower life forms. This is clear from the following passages.

26 And God said, **Let us make man in our image, after our likeness**: and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth. **27** So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them. - Gen 1:26-27

7 And **the Lord God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul**.**21** And the Lord God caused a deep sleep to fall upon Adam, and he slept: and he took one of his ribs, and closed up the flesh instead thereof;**22 And the rib, which the Lord God had taken from man, made he a woman, and brought her unto the man**.**23** And Adam said, This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh: she shall be called Woman, because she was taken out of Man. – Gen 2:7,21-23

The age of the earth is easily determined from the Bible, which is the true word of God. In Genesis 1, it is clear that God created all things, including the earth, the universe, the sun, the moon, the stars, and all life in 6 days. Adam and Eve were created on the 6th day. To determine the age of the earth, extensive genealogies are given along with the age at which each father begat the named son. These are found in Genesis 5 and 11. There are also some scriptures which give large passages of time. The Israelites were in Egypt for 430 years until the exodus (Exodus 12:40). Then from the time they left Egypt until Solomon started the temple was 479 years (1 Kings 6:1). Then 37 years until the end of Solomon's reign (1 Kings 11:42). Then 390 years for the punishment revealed by Ezekiel (Ezekiel 4:4-6). Historical kings are mentioned in the book of Daniel and elsewhere in the Old Testament. The command to rebuild the temple and the 70-week prophecy in Daniel gives 70x7=490 years, where Christ is crucified after 483 years from that decree. The Biblical precept of a day is a year is found in Numbers where the Israelites were punished to wander 40 years in the desert, a year for each day since they spied out the land of the Canaanites and did not believe what God could do. That takes it to when Christ was crucified, which is circa 30 AD, almost 2000 years ago.

Ussher and Isaac Newton followed the same logic, and both determined the earth to have been created about 6000 years ago. There are others who have done the same thing. All the logic, all the real science and all the facts also confirm that the earth and everything in it is about 6000 years old.

Light is created early on the first day and separated from darkness. Thus, there is directional light from the very beginning. This is even before the sun is created on the 4th day. Directional light plus a rotating earth gives night and day. Thus, the days below

are 24 hours each. That is shown by the 6x “the evening and the morning” are used. The ordinal count of days also proves that these are 24-hour consecutive days.

5 … And the evening and the morning were the first day. **8** … And the evening and the morning were the second day. **13** And the evening and the morning were the third day. **19** And the evening and the morning were the fourth day. **23** And the evening and the morning were the fifth day. **31** And God saw every thing that he had made, and, behold, it was very good. And the evening and the morning were the sixth day. Gen 1:5,8,13,19,23,31

God created all things in 6 days. God did it, He knows how He did it and knows when it was done. So, God’s eyewitness testimony is 100% true. All supposed “science”, which disagrees, is therefore false. God spoke with His mouth 2x and wrote with His finger 2x the following. Christ may have written the 10 commandants with His finger in the sand in John 8. He certainly used that to teach as it says “all taught of God” in John 6:45.

1 And God spake all these words, saying, **11 For in six days the Lord made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is**, and rested the seventh day: wherefore the Lord blessed the sabbath day, and hallowed it. - Exo 20:1,11

17 It is a sign between me and the children of Israel for ever: **for in six days the Lord made heaven and earth**, and on the seventh day he rested, and was refreshed. **18** And he gave unto Moses, when he had made an end of communing with him upon mount Sinai, **two tables of testimony, tables of stone, written with the finger of God**. - Exo 31:17-18

And **the tables were the work of God, and the writing was the writing of God, graven upon the tables**. - Exo 32:16

And the Lord said unto Moses, Hew thee two tables of stone like unto the first: **and I will write upon these tables the words that were in the first tables, which thou brakest**. - Exo 34:1

The Lord Jesus Christ, who created all things in 6 days about 6000 years ago, was there, did it and knows when it happened. The following passages shows that Jesus Christ believed in 6-day recent creation. Many times, throughout the Bible, creation, the fall, Cain and Abel, and the flood are spoken as real events.

4 And he answered and said unto them, **Have ye not read, that he which made them at the beginning made them male and female**, **5** And said, For this cause shall a man leave father and mother, and shall cleave to his wife: and they twain shall be one flesh? - Matt 19:4-5

6 But from the beginning of the creation God made them male and female. **7** For this cause shall a man leave his father and mother, and cleave to his wife; **8** And they

twain shall be one flesh: so then they are no more twain, but one flesh. **9** What therefore God hath joined together, let not man put asunder. - Mark 10:6-9

As he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began: - Luke 1:70

50 **That the blood of all the prophets, which was shed from the foundation of the world**, may be required of this generation; **51** From the blood of Abel unto the blood of Zacharias which perished between the altar and the temple: verily I say unto you, It shall be required of this generation. - Luke11:50-51

Paul refers to it in this passage.

For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; **so that they are without excuse**: - Rom 1:20

Paul “feared” about “another Jesus” being “preached in 2 Cor 11:1-4. The real Lord Jesus Christ created all things in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution. Evolution and billions of years by Jesus is another Jesus. So we should not “bear with them”.

Henry Morris, a creation scientist, said that if someone denies 6-day recent creation, they will also have to deny the worldwide flood in Noah’s day. The Atheistic Origin “Scientists” (AOS), with their “no God” assumption, will just not allow God, period. Don’t be conned with the no God Assumption of Atheistic Origin Science (AOS). When determining the age of the earth and the universe or whether man evolved from primitive life forms, Atheistic Origin "science" uses the no God assumption. **The No God Assumption used by Atheistic Origin Science is that there is no God or He has done nothing miraculous in the last 6000 years.** Why would anyone who believes in Jesus Christ be conned into such thinking? Instead of determining whether God Almighty exists and created all things first, they proceed with circular reasoning and the false assumption of no God Almighty in determining the age of things and thus end up with false conclusions.

Almost all the sedimentary layers with their fossils are the result of the worldwide flood during the time of Noah, which occurred about 4500 years ago and its aftermath. A real scientific study of those layers proves it. There are many other scientific proofs of the 6-day recent creation and the worldwide flood. Six-day creation, the fall in the garden due to the sin of Adam and Eve, and the worldwide flood and its aftermath produced many things that Atheistic Origin Science would wrongly interpret as taking billions and many millions of years.

42. The existence of RNA only creatures (viruses) and DNA/RNA creatures which use either asexual or sexual reproduction disproves evolution. (TOC) (back)

Today very many organisms use both DNA and RNA, and very many (viruses which are not considered living) use just RNA but not DNA. For the DNA/RNA organisms, many reproduce using asexual reproduction and many reproduce using sexual reproduction. But that reveals an enormous problem for evolution. How does one account for the following 3 types of organisms - RNA only, DNA/RNA with asexual reproduction, and DNA/RNA with sexual reproduction?

Here are conjectures which all prove to be impossible. There is no explanation that is possible.

Conjecture 1
RNA creatures (the supposed ancient RNA world) developed first out of nothing, that is, RNA abiogenesis.
Then an RNA thing evolved into DNA/RNA creatures that use asexual reproduction.
Then one of those DNA/RNA things evolved into DNA/RNA creatures that use sexual reproduction.

Conjecture 2
DNA/RNA creatures, using asexual reproduction, developed first out of nothing, that is, DNA/RNA abiogenesis.
Then a DNA thing evolved into RNA creatures.
And one of those DNA things also evolved into DNA/RNA creatures using sexual reproduction.

Conjecture 3
RNA creatures developed **independently** out of nothing.
DNA/RNA creatures using asexual reproduction also developed **independently** out of nothing.
Then one of those DNA things evolved into creatures with DNA/RNA using sexual reproduction. This is double abiogenesis.

Conjecture 4
All 3 kinds came into being **independently** from nothing. This is triple abiogenesis.

Additionally, there are Conjectures 1a, 2a, and 3a, which are just like conjectures 1, 2, and 3 respectively with the order of asexual and sexual reproduction reversed.

So, all conjectures require at least 3 super great miracles of miracles, one for each type of creature. Switching from one type of creature to another type of creature would indeed be a super great miracle of miracles. Because that new creature has to actually carry out the functions of survival, life and how it reproduces in a completely different manner than before. And all this has to be switched in just one event in one creature. Switching from RNA only to DNA/RNA with asexual or sexual reproduction would be a greater super great miracle of miracles than DNA/RNA abiogenesis. And switching from DNA/RNA with asexual or sexual reproduction to RNA only would also be a greater super great miracle of miracles than DNA abiogenesis. Also switching from DNA/RNA

with asexual reproduction to sexual reproduction, or the reverse, would also be a super great miracle of miracles also.

An RNA creature developing out of nothing is indeed a super great miracle of miracles. And a DNA/RNA creature developing out of nothing is indeed an even greater super great miracle of miracles than the RNA abiogenies conjecture.

But abiogenesis is not the only impossibility for evolutionary speculation. In all scenarios at least 2 greater impossibilities exist and in some other scenarios, a total of 4 or 5 super great miracles of miracles are required. Also, for RNA to DNA/RNA with sexual reproduction, or for DNA/RNA asexual reproduction to sexual reproduction, or DNA/RNA sexual reproduction abiogenesis, there is no creature to mate with the new creature and so DNA/RNA sexual reproduction is impossible. And do not forget the 100 trillion unexplained genes, the millions of chains of missing links in the fossil record, the many millions of missing partially developing organs and functions, the millions of missing vestigial organs and functions, the fact that these cannot be produced with primordial soup experiments, and other things. So, evolution (abiogenesis and macro evolution) could never have happened, there is no record that it did happen and is not happening today. The no God assumption is utterly false and can never be used in terms of origins and the ages of things. Instead, the only questions are how did God do it and when. But it is easy to show the Bible is the true word of God. Therefore, God created everything in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution, with a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago.

All these things are impossible. So why are evolutionists so sure that it must have happened? This is very simple. The fundamental circular reasoning of evolutionists, which is as follows. First assume that evolution and billions of years are true. Therefore, all these must have happened, because evolution and billions of years are true, therefore evolution and billions of years are true. And their god, lots of time, is to their minds almighty and can do all things. But that which is impossible is always impossible no matter how much time.

But there are more problems for evolutionist speculation. But that is for other threads.

43. There is little or no erosion between certain rock layers even though they were supposedly laid down with very many years to have passed between them.
(TOC) (back)

Here are some links that explain this with select passages from that link below the link. Some of these articles have more evidence for a young earth. I encourage you to read those too. The underlining, to draw attention to important phrases, is added by me and is not part of the original text.

https://creation.com/flat-gaps

"Flat gaps', generally known as paraconformities, are contacts within sedimentary sequences where layers of sediment representing many millions of years are said to be

missing. Flat gaps are remarkably flat and the sedimentary layers either side of the gap are parallel and relatively thin compared with their enormous geographical extent. Over the alleged long periods of time indicated by the gap, erosion is expected to remove vast depths of sediment and produce a highly irregular land surface. Such evidence of erosion, however, is not found. Flat gaps are common throughout the geologic column and around the world. They are very difficult to explain within the long-age uniformitarian paradigm and severely challenge the concept of millions of years. On the other hand, flat gaps provide strong evidence for a young earth and are easily explained within the paradigm of the global biblical Flood, authenticating the truthfulness of the Bible."

"The problem these flat gaps pose for the long geologic ages is the lack of erosion of the underlayer. Over the many millions of years postulated for these gaps, you would expect pronounced irregular erosion as illustrated in figure 1 by the irregular pale line. The gaps should not be flat. In fact, according to average erosion rates, many or all of the underlying layers should be gone. The existence of flat gaps therefore indicates that the millions of years postulated for these gaps never occurred.1 These flat gaps are so common that they pretty much challenge the validity of the whole geologic time scale."

https://www.icr.org/article/four-geological-evidences-for-a-young-earth

"Secular scientists often place hundreds of thousands or millions of years between parallel sedimentary units, such as the boundary between the Hermit Shale in Grand Canyon and the overlying Coconino Sandstone.7 But when you examine the contact between these particular layers, it's nearly perfectly planar in all directions for tens of miles. There may be small, smooth undulations of a few feet in some locations, but for the most part it's level with sharp contacts from one rock type to the next. Where are the gullies and the uneven topography that should have resulted from erosion over hundreds of thousands of years? The contact looks like brick-upon-brick with no evidence of any time delay whatsoever across the entire expanse of Grand Canyon and beyond."

"Many other sedimentary units are also supposed to have vast amounts of time missing between their boundaries in Grand Canyon and elsewhere. Examples include the base of the Redwall Limestone where it rests on the Muav Limestone, supposedly missing 160 million years, and the base of the Tapeats Sandstone where it rests on the crystalline basement in western Grand Canyon, supposedly missing one billion years."

"The Redwall Limestone and the Tapeats Sandstone were deposited nearly perfectly flat across Grand Canyon. And recall that the Tapeats and equivalent sandstones extend over much of North America. Nearly everywhere across the expanse of North America, the base of the Tapeats Sandstone layer is a near planar surface. How could so much time for erosion to take place have left such flat surfaces? Instead, the evidence indicates rapid scouring and erosion, creating a planar surface, followed almost immediately by the deposition of new sedimentary layers as tsunami-like waves advanced across the continents"

https://apologeticspress.org/21-reasons-to-believe-the-earth-is-young-5641/

"When making a multi-layer cake, the adjoining surface between layers is smooth. If you made your cake outside over several weeks, waiting several days between new layers and leaving the cake open to the elements in the meantime, the surface of each layer would exhibit the indicators of time—decay, loss of cake from scavengers, erosion from rain water, etc. Similarly, if geologic strata are formed over millions of years, the surface between adjoining layers would not be smooth, but would exhibit proof of time passing in the form of, for instance, erosional and depositional surfaces. However, the layers, by in large (e.g., at the Grand Canyon), display smooth contact surfaces—indicating rapid deposition without enough time for erosion.39 Those surfaces which show evidence of erosion match the type of erosion that would be predicted if the lower surface had not yet lithified when a rapid erosion event occurred above the surface, prior to further rapid deposition. Bottom line: the Grand Canyon exhibits evidence of a young Earth."

https://isgenesishistory.com/how-do-rock-layers-reveal-a-young-earth/

"take the Coconino Sandstone. We can trace it from here right across New Mexico, Colorado right over towards Kansas and Oklahoma or even into Texas. We're talking at least 200,000 square miles for this one rock unit that's consistent for mile after mile after mile. That's not the scale that we see today with localized sedimentation. And to get it flat lying like this over such a large area it's like you have to make your pancake all at once, very rapidly. And so these layers show evidence of rapid sedimentation, the extent of these layers."

"that's the Schnebly Hill Formation. It's not in the Grand Canyon. In the Grand Canyon we go from the Coconino into the Hermit Formation. There's that knife edge boundary and there's no evidence of erosion there, which means that the Hermit Formation was rapidly deposited and then immediately the Coconino was deposited on top of it. But here we've come 70 miles from the Grand Canyon and we've got this Schnebly Hill Formation between the Coconino and the Hermit. And this Schnebly Hill Formation - 800-1000 feet thick over an area of 1000 square miles – had to have been formed very rapidly. If that took millions of years, we ought to see evidence of millions of years of erosion back in the Grand Canyon at that same boundary. We don't. So that means that this Schnebly Hill Formation in this area had to have formed in a matter of hours. So, it tells you that only is there a lack of erosion but there's no time between those boundaries. The whole sequence of layers was very rapidly deposited."

https://answersingenesis.org/creationism/young-earth/most-compelling-scientific-evidence-of-young-earth/

"There is an almost complete absence of evidence of erosion or soil layers or the activity of living things (e.g., plant roots and burrow marks) at the upper surfaces of the various strata. This lack of evidence of erosion or of biological life shows that each

stratum did not lie there for thousands or millions of years before the next layer was deposited."

The top surface of each surface should not be flat. There are no places on the surface of the earth that is a flat as these gaps. The ocean bottom is also not that flat. Thus, it is absolutely impossible that they were exposed for very many years and not show massive signs of erosion. Nor could any overlying layers have been eroded over very many years and not leave behind massive signs of erosion. This is seen in many places around the world. And there are many photos of this phenomenon to see with your own eyes. It is absolute proof that evolution and billions of years are false. It is also absolute evidence that God created all things recently without evolution and of the worldwide flood in the days of Noah.

Evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

There is also no soil buildup between these layers. There is also little or no perturbations between these layers. But there are ephemeral markings. I hope to post about why all these also refute the long ages of evolution theory in the future.

44. More impossibilities that disprove evolution, in addition to RNA only creatures and DNA/RNA with either asexual or sexual reproduction creatures. (TOC) (back)

For DNA creatures, there are eukaryotes and prokaryotes. Eukaryotes have membranes around their organelles which includes the nucleus. Prokaryotes do not have organelles or a nucleus. Of the eukaryotes many are single celled, and many are multicellular. And for both types of Eukaryotes, there are many that use asexual reproduction and many that use sexual reproduction.

So here is a list of 7 types, 6 living things plus viruses which are not counted as living things:
RNA only (viruses exist but are not counted as living).
DNA/RNA prokaryotes that use asexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA prokaryotes that use sexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, single celled, that use asexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, single celled, that use sexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, multi celled, that use asexual reproduction.
DNA/RNA eukaryotes, multi celled, that use sexual reproduction.

Each of these requires a super great miracle of miracles to have come into existence. Some of these are double great miracle of miracles which must have happened simultaneously in the very same creature, as the change effects both how the creature functions and reproduces.

And do not forget the 100 trillion unexplained genes, the millions of chains of missing links in the fossil record, the many millions of missing partially developing organs and functions, the millions of missing vestigial organs and functions, the fact that these

cannot be produced with primordial soup experiments, and other things. So, evolution (abiogenesis and macro evolution) could never have happened, there is no record that it did happen and is not happening today.

All these things are impossible. So why are evolutionists so sure that it must have happened? This is very simple. The fundamental circular reasoning of evolutionists, which is as follows. They assume that evolution and billions of years are true. Therefore, all these must have happened, because evolution and billions of years are assumed to be true. Therefore, evolution and billions of years are assumed to be true. And the god of evolution, lots of time, is to their minds, almighty and can do all things. But that which is impossible is always impossible no matter how much time.

So, the no God assumption has been irrefutably and infallibly forever and ever. It can never be used in the determination of the origin and age of things. Therefore, God created all things.

45. Proof that God created the universe. Thus, the no God assumption is again proven false. So, it is illogical, unscientific, and irrational to ever use it in origins or in the long dating of things. (TOC) (back)

The universe could not have come into being from nothing. That violates the 1st law of thermodynamics, conservation of energy. It also violates all other conservation laws of physics. It also violates the law of cause and effect, and reality. The universe could not have always existed. That violates the 2nd law of thermodynamics. It could not have always existed since the 2nd law of thermodynamics for an isolated, closed system means that entropy, that is disorder, would have always been increasing. If the universe always existed, all order would have vanished eons ago.

The universe obeys a set of physical laws. But these laws prove the existence of the Creator would made a universe to do so. The universe is orderly in many ways, throughout time and space. But that again proves the existence of the Creator would made the universe that way. The universe is extremely finely tuned. This fine tuning is what allows matter, living things, stars, galaxies, planets to exist. The orderly finely tuned universe is orderly and finely tuned across all space and time. This fine tuning also proves the existence of the Creator that made it that way.

All matter, even time itself must have had a beginning. This is true no matter how many variants the evolutionists conjure up, whether multiverses, extra dimensions, dark energy, dark matter, etc. This also proves the existence of God the Creator who created all things including time. This matches Genesis 1:1.

All physical things had a beginning even time itself

Graph any physical quantity of anything against time with time as the horizontal axis. That time axis is shown below.

-infinity <—- now —-> +infinity

Now look at the right time axis with the arrow pointing to positive infinity. It is infinitely far from now. We will never get there. Now look at the left time axis with the arrow pointing to negative infinity. It is infinitely far. We were never there. So, all physical things even time had a beginning. This is true whether evolutionists propose multiple universes, anti-universes, parallel universes, any number of dimensions, dark matter, dark energy, etc. The word of God declares this is its first verse.

In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth - Genesis 1:1

By the way, God is not a thing so the above does not apply to Almighty God who created all things.

Where did the extremely finely tuned orderly universe come from?

If the universe were not extremely fined tuned then no galaxies, no stars, no planets, no planet Earth, no atoms, no protons, no neutrons, no electrons, no light, no elements, no molecules, and certainly no living things would exist. Or some combination of these would not exist. And if it were not extremely orderly, then things that did exist would pop in and out existence all the time. It would be utter total chaos everywhere. The universe is extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly throughout all space and for all time. If you divide all the universe into tiny cubes whose side is a Planck length and divide all the supposed 13.7 billion years for the age of the universe into segments a Planck time, the time it takes for light to travel a Planck length, you get about 10^250 space-time “points”. Now the extreme fine tuning of the universe has odds against it coming into being by random chance far greater than a googolplex to 1. And that holds for all those space-time “points”. So that raises the odds against the universe being extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly across all space and time far greater than a googolplex to the googol squared to 1.

But there is no expectation that any universe would even have the same laws as ours, both in number and kind. Nor is there any expectation that there would be the same laws or numbers of laws throughout different regions of the universe. This then makes the total possibilities infinite.
So it is impossible for any universe to ever come into existence. And it would have taken an infinite number of these impossibilities to have occurred, for our particular universe to have come into existence. So, this proves an infinite number of times that our universe is impossible to have come into existence without God.

The following link shows 209 finely tuned parameters.

https://robertcliftonrobinson.com/evidence-for-fine-tuning-of-the-universe/

The following link calculates the odds against a finely tuned universe existing.

https://letterstonature.wordpress.com/2012/05/02/in-defence-of-the-fine-tuning-of-the-universe-for-intelligent-life/

46. Supposedly, evolution produced the immune system in a multitude of creatures. That is impossible. All are irreducibly complex and intelligently designed and could never have been produced by DNA mutation ERRORS. (TOC) (back)

The following links describe the immune system.

https://my.clevelandclinic.org/health/body/21196-immune-system

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Immune_system

https://creation.com/immune-system-antibody-diversity

https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/320101#immune-system-disorders

All living organisms have an immune system. It is simpler in bacteria than in multi cell creatures, but it is still highly sophisticated. The following articles describe some of the variations in the immune system of different organisms at a high level. Unfortunately, the articles give the evolutionary interpretation of the facts, which is false. But they do present the evolutionist case and valuable information. This will be used later to further disprove evolution and billions of years.

https://www.cell.com/fulltext/S0092-8674(06)00152-8

https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/immunology/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2013.00122/full

https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3805090/

https://www.uchicagomedicine.org/forefront/research-and-discoveries-articles/apes-show-different-early-immune-responses

https://journals.plos.org/plosgenetics/article?id=10.1371/journal.pgen.1001249

Now the following observations can be made which prove that immune systems for any organism could never have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. Take the case of bacteria. The bacteria's immune system fights against viral infections. So, when the first bacteria were infected by viruses, they must have all died because there was no defense at all. What was going to stop the virus from replicating until it consumed enough resources from the bacteria? Now there are several major problems right here. First, where did viruses evolve from or come into being since they cannot replicate without a host cell, like that of bacteria? Secondly, how did they even evolve to use a host cell, such as that of bacteria, for replication? Thirdly, how are they able to work with the bacteria's genetic apparatus to transfer DNA material between bacteria? Each of these would require many super great miracles of miracles.

It makes no sense that any bacteria already had an immune system against a pathogen that they had never encountered before. And the immune system response of bacteria to viral infection is irreducibly complex and intelligently designed so it could never have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. The following contains a description of the immune system of bacteria.

https://www.cell.com/trends/immunology/abstract/S1471-4906(23)00208-9#:~:text=Bacteria%20encode%20a%20complex%20repertoire,infection%20via%20diverse%20molecular%20mechanisms.

The immune systems of bacteria can involve over 100 different genes. The odds against all those coordinated genes coming into being by DNA mutation ERRORS is far greater than 10^60,000 to 1. This calculation used about 1000 base pairs per gene which is typical of the size of genes involved in the immune system of bacteria. Those odds dwarf astronomical odds. These genes would have taken over 100 super great miracles of miracles to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. Now either this advanced immune system of bacteria came into being after abiogenesis or as part of abiogenesis. If it came into being after abiogenesis, then these over 100 super great miracles of miracles are in addition to one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles, abiogenesis. And if the immune system came into being during abiogenesis, then it adds to the vast case against abiogenesis.

The following post proves abiogenesis is impossible.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/t1UgxkoW2MvcwYok/

Now single cell eukaryotes have different immune systems than single cell prokaryotes. And how pathogens like a virus infect single cell eukaryotes is different from how viruses infect single cell prokaryotes. Single cell eukaryotes have a different physical internal organization. This even includes their DNA. The main reason is that eukaryotes have a variety of organelles including the nucleus itself. And so, its immune system would have had to be reorganized if eukaryotes supposedly evolved from prokaryotes.

The following posts prove that prokaryotes could not have evolved into single cell eukaryotes through DNA mutation ERRORS. In these proofs, I did not consider all these other many super great miracles of miracles for the immune system differences that must have occurred if single cell eukaryotes supposedly evolved from prokaryotes. Thus, to account for the differences in the immune systems and their physical organization would require hundreds of super great miracles of miracles besides the very many hundreds of super great miracles of miracles for single cell eukaryotes to have supposedly evolved from prokaryotes. Not only that, but there are a variety of immune systems in single cell eukaryotes. All these would have required a number of super great miracles of miracles which could not have come into being through DNA mutation ERRORS in additional to all these other ones.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1PEpb1tbg1zXSV4N/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/qRofoA2saDjGDW1B/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/uXeVsY4WbRW5jRbD/

There is a major difference in the immune systems of multi cell eukaryotes and single cell eukaryotes. The immune system in multi cell eukaryotes is actually distributed among many types of differentiated immune cells and not confined to a single cell as it must be for single cell organisms.

The following post proves that single cell eukaryotes could not have evolved into multi cell eukaryotes through DNA mutation ERRORS. In this proof, I did not consider all these other many super great miracles of miracles for the immune system differences that must have occurred if multi cell eukaryotes supposedly evolved from single cell eukaryotes. Thus, to account for the differences in the immune systems and their physical organization would require hundreds of super great miracles of miracles besides the very many hundreds of super great miracles of miracles if single cell eukaryotes evolved into multi cell eukaryotes. Not only that, but there are a variety of immune systems in multi cell eukaryotes. All these would have required a number of super great miracles of miracles which could not have come into being through DNA mutation ERRORS in additional to all these other ones.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/u28T4RamYDpf8Jq3/

Now consider the immune system of people. There have been identified over 1500 genes involved in the immune system of mankind.

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0888754305000236

Here is a list of those genes.

https://www.immport.org/shared/genelists/details/GO:0002218

These over 1500 genes represent 7% of the genome of people. That comes to over 220 million base pairs. The odds against all those genes coming into existence by DNA mutation ERRORS are over far greater than 10^(130 million) to 1. Those odds are incomprehensible. Many of these genes are quite large. And many are over 10,000 base pairs. Thus, there would have been over 1500 super great miracles of miracles for all these gene to have come into existence by DNA mutation ERRORS.

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation changes, aka ERRORS. It would require a significant number of irreducibly complex pieces which are composed of irreducibly complex sub pieces down through several levels and coordinated with other

changes that are interconnected with other irreducibly complex intelligently designed parts of the organism. There is no advantage until the complete functionality is working and would probably be a disadvantage or deadly until then. Furthermore, analysis of these reveals that they show more features of intelligent design than anything that mankind has made. The transcription/ translation of DNA code to RNA sequences to proteins composed of specific amino acid sequences has high information content and creates what can be described as intricate physical micro machines that carry out intricate functionality. Complexity, whether in information content, physical makeup, or functionality is part of intelligent design. And this is very much so in biological systems. Irreducible complexity is a subset of intelligent design. It is always the case in biological systems because the only source of new design is DNA mutation ERRORS, which have no possible goal, no intelligence to look to the final operational product, and no benefit until at least a majority of parts are operating to give actual functionality. Only intelligence can do that. And in the case of all biological systems, an Intelligence far greater than all of mankind put together. Obviously that Intelligence is God Almighty the Creator of all things. This is real science and reality itself.

Now all these things require many genes which could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

Some evolutionists will try to argue that there are less evolved versions of similar functionality and try to imply that they are not irreducibly complex. But in all cases are they fully functional, intelligently designed, and irreducibly complex. So, this just adds to the proofs against evolution. Obviously, evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA for genes shows

that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, the longer the time that goes by, the more likely by far the extinction of any species. So deep time does not help. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time, the false god of evolution, to less than about 10,000 years, thus eliminating deep time forever. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent.

For further details see the following Facebook posts,

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1w3KhDi5iVPCXprx/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/j9dtBbWsgb3Lpkku/

There is no possible DNA mutations ERRORS path to have produced the immune system in any organism.

In analyzing the fossil record, it is clear that macro evolution never happened in the past as all the millions of chains of missing links are all still missing.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/yHt66hW1xPviAyRo/

The fact that there are no partially developing functionality, organs and systems in any living creatures today proves that macro evolution is not happening now. All that is observed is adaption and variations in created kinds, which is sometimes mistakenly referred to as micro evolution or just evolution.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/UC5kYmCiqeF1FPJy/

And an analysis of genetics shows that macro evolution is impossible. DNA mutation ERRORS could never produce the over 100 trillion unique genes that have existed in all species that have ever lived and are alive today.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/3WJ23FQeSYR7dk21/

So, there is no evidence of evolution ever happening in the past, no evidence it is happening in the present, nor any possibility that it could ever happen. It is easy to prove God exists and created all things. The following prove this using intelligent design. All evidence, all facts, all physics, all chemistry, all biology, all mathematics, all statistics, all probability, all knowledge, all creation, all logic, and all reality prove evolution and billions of years are false, and that that God created everything in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution and that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah. And that the Bible is the true word of God Almighty.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/KhL5SjmAzWDEnfBm/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/xUhJiSC2jzfbUxcQ/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/qrupNzZzXh1cbX5g/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/zFbFJ89n2CUuKLVX/

https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/320101#the-immune-system

47. The Bombardier Beetle has an amazing defense mechanism which could never have come into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. The following will show why this, and many other things related to this absolutely refute evolution and billions of years. (TOC) (back)

Here is an article that describes this amazing defense mechanism with some select excerpts. Any underlying has been added by me and is not part of the article.

https://creation.com/bombardier-beetle

"The bombardier beetle's mixture of chemically heated steam and noxious chemicals is emitted out of its back end (see fig. 2) through a special 'turret' which can be moved in any direction (even twisting over its back and pointing forwards—see fig. 3). The whole system is used to ward off predators such as ants, birds, spiders, and frogs—usually successfully, stunning its opponent."

"Before the two chemicals react, they travel down a very thin tube together where the catalysts are either secreted or possibly are in crystalline form."

"The catalysts catalase and peroxidase act on the hydrogen peroxide and the hydroquinone. The hydrogen peroxide then converts to water/steam, thus liberating an oxygen atom for every molecule of peroxide and this then combines with hydrogen molecules released from the hydroquinone. The heat from the strong hydrogen/oxygen reaction causes the rest of the chemicals to react, and the expanding steam causes a vapour explosion."

"The valve system is a passive response system, such that the valves are operated by changes in pressure. When the combustion chamber is empty (see fig. 4 above, left panel) and at atmospheric pressure, the inlet tube is open allowing the reactants to enter the chamber, and the exit tube is closed by a membrane that blocks the bottom part of the tube. Once the chamber is full and the chemicals react (see fig. 4 middle) the extremities of the chamber itself, which is shaped like a boxing glove, pinch the inlet tube shut. As the chemical reaction in the chamber progresses, heat is generated and the pressure in the chamber increases until the membrane is forced open near the bottom of the exit tube (see fig. 4 right)."

“The chemicals do not come out as a continuous stream. Professor Tom Eisner in 1999 co-authored a seminal paper on the beetle and showed that a series of explosions is produced by combining the two chemicals hydroquinone and hydrogen peroxide in the presence of two catalysts: catalase and peroxidase.1 (A catalyst makes a reaction go much faster but is not consumed in the reaction.) In a clever experiment, Eisner filmed a tethered firing African bombardier beetle, and then played it back in slow motion. Through this he showed that about 500 explosions were given off per second and that, similar to a machine gun fired in repeated bursts, these were emitted in brief bursts of 2–3 seconds each. It can do this repeatedly, sometimes 4–5 times before the now-depleted chemical system takes a few minutes to recover.”

“Initial investigations of the chamber itself suggest that the chamber structure is of special heat-resistant material so that the beetle does not cook itself. Both tubes leading in and out of the combustion chamber, as well as the chamber itself, are totally separate to the digestive tracts of the beetle.”

“When the hot fluid is ejected, the pressure in the chamber drops, the inlet reopens, allowing more reactants into the chamber, and the process is repeated until all of the reactants have been exhausted.”

Here is why evolution cannot explain this defense mechanism. First, the defense does not work until all or almost all parts are in place. Until then, natural selection does not favor those Bombardier beetles with the partially developing mechanism over those without. But in this case, there is a major problem because this mechanism is an actual explosion. And natural selection cannot select it because it would destroy any Bombardier beetles that generate this explosion without the internal control of this explosion and the internal body changes that keep that explosion from destroying the beetles. To produce this actual defense mechanism, these beetles must produce the 2 chemicals that cause the explosion. But that is not enough. It also needs 2 catalysts to speed up the chemical reaction else it will be too slow to provide any defense. And each of these specific catalysts are what is required for these reactants. And of the 2 reactants which cause the explosion, one is derived from a toxic precursor, and the other one is toxic. So, the beetles must have developed a resistance to these before they were produced. But how could they have done that until these were produced? And this precursor must also have come into existence before any explosive reaction could possibly occur. But the beetle must have the ability to have these produced in a separate part of its body and to have separate feeds to the chamber where the explosion occurs. And it must have the ability to regulate and coordinate the flows of these reactants. Furthermore, it must be able to hold the reaction within the chamber for a short amount of time so that the toxic substance released can have enough reach to be effective. Furthermore, it must be able to control the rapid firing of the toxic substance since that is what it actually does. It also must be able to generate a new supply of reactants quickly to continue to have this defense mechanism available enough times to ward off or kill other attackers. But now there is still a very large problem. The chamber where the reaction occurs, must have evolved a “special heat resistant material” to keep the beetle from destroying itself. But why would such have

evolved without the explosive reaction existing that this would be needed? And how could it have not existed to protect the beetle from the explosive reaction that would have destroyed any beetle that has this explosive reaction? Then of course the beetle must have developed the special turret which enables the beetle to direct the spray in the correct direction for deterrence.

Other insects have supposedly evolved similar defense mechanisms by producing the same types of toxic chemicals, sometimes the very same toxic chemicals, independently. Now of course evolutionists have no actual answer as to how any of these supposedly evolved. Each of these thus requires a large number of super great miracles of miracles which are composed of a number of super great miracles of miracles, each of which have odds against that are far greater than astronomical odds. Thus, to account for all these, there would have to have been tens of thousands more super great miracles of miracles, each with odds against greater than 10^2000 to 1. It is thus absolutely preposterous. Some of these genes are very large. MTHFD1 is about 72k bp long and MTHFD2 is about 31k bp long.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382169116_THE_MOLECULAR_MECHANISMS_OF_DEFENSIVE-GRADE_ORGANIC_ACID_BIOSYNTHESIS_IN_GROUND_BEETLES

Now this presents an even larger problem for evolution. Currently evolutionists are not sure how any of these toxic chemical defense mechanisms evolved. Nor are they definitive which of these toxic chemical defense mechanisms evolved from which and therefore how many times they supposedly independently evolved. This is because it depends on which descent tree that is used. But that leads to a cascading problem. Any descent tree used for this must match other functions that these species have and how they supposedly evolved these other features. Trying to optimize for one to reduce the very many super great miracles of miracles for a specific set of related features leads to any increase in the number of independent super great miracles of miracles for all other features. These independent ones are truly ludicrous because somehow the DNA mutation error paths either followed very similar paths independently more than once or achieved the same goal independently more than once. But this then becomes a problem for the supposed ages of any rock layers that these are found in as the house of cards that evolution and billions of years rest upon precariously. And this is not just limited to insects but to all species and all functionality, organs, tissue, systems and genes. Which leads to the obvious conclusion that evolution and billions of years are the theory of nothing because it cannot answer definitively the origin of anything. And as such it does not qualify as a theory at all since it is difficult logically to disprove something that absolutely does not even exist. But since it does not exist, it is not true at all. An any attempt at any definitive answer to the origin of anything by evolution can easily be disproved by all the evidence, science, math, logic, reality and knowledge. And an analysis of genetics shows that macro evolution is impossible. DNA mutation ERRORS could never produce the over 100 trillion unique genes that have existed in all species that have ever lived and are alive today.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/3WJ23FQeSYR7dk21/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation changes, aka ERRORS. It would require a significant number of irreducibly complex pieces which are composed of irreducibly complex sub pieces down through several levels and coordinated with other changes that are interconnected with other irreducibly complex intelligently designed parts of the organism. There is no advantage until the complete functionality is working and would probably be a disadvantage or deadly until then. Furthermore, analysis of these reveals that they show more features of intelligent design than anything that mankind has made. The transcription/ translation of DNA code to RNA sequences to proteins composed of specific amino acid sequences has high information content and creates what can be described as intricate physical micro machines that carry out intricate functionality. Complexity, whether in information content, physical makeup, or functionality is part of intelligent design. And this is very much so in biological systems. Irreducible complexity is a subset of intelligent design. It is always the case in biological systems because the only source of new design is DNA mutation ERRORS, which have no possible goal, no intelligence to look to the final operational product, and no benefit until at least a majority of parts are operating to give actual functionality. Only intelligence can do that. And in the case of all biological systems, an Intelligence far greater than all of mankind put together must be the answer. Obviously that Intelligence is God Almighty the Creator of all things. This is real science and reality itself.

Now all these things require many genes which could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

Some evolutionists will try to argue that there are less evolved versions of similar functionality and try to imply that they are not irreducibly complex. But in all cases are

they fully functional and irreducibly complex. So, this just adds to the proofs against evolution. Obviously, evolution and billions of years should be retracted immediately.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA for genes shows that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, the longer the time that goes by, the more likely by far the extinction of any species. So deep time does not help. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time, the false god of evolution, to less than about 10,000 years, thus eliminating deep time forever. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent.

For further details see the following Facebook posts,

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1w3KhDi5iVPCXprx/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/j9dtBbWsgb3Lpkku/

There is no way that DNA mutation ERRORS could have produced the explosive defense mechanism of the Bombardier Beetle.

https://answersingenesis.org/creepy-crawlies/insects/bombardier-beetle-arsenal-insect/?srsltid=AfmBOoqI_ojO0uRQKL0gX8cnH2OZrtVWmlcfBDm1ms7zFSpERGKYdPPW

Nor can evolution explain a multitude of amazing features that exist in the species in the world. Evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

48. There is not enough salt in the oceans for the oceans to be many 100s of millions of years old. And certainly not the 3.8 billion years claimed by long age evolutionary theory. (TOC) (back)

The age of the earth's oceans can be estimated by taking the current total volume of the earth's oceans times the current salinity of the earth's oceans and divide that by the current measured rate of salt input minus output. This calculation leads to an estimated age for the oceans far less than 60 million years. This calculation gave the most generous rates for evolutionary deep time. Obviously, this is far less than the billions of years from the deep time evolutionary model.

But why is this estimate still high for the about 6000-year young earth creationist model? One reason is that during the worldwide flood and its aftermath, there would have been a period of very high input rate of salt into the oceans. Thus, it is consistent with the 6000-year young earth creationist model. This estimate also does not include any salt

that would have been there at the time the oceans were created. This too would lower the age of the oceans toward the about 6000-year age given by the Biblical creation model.

So according to the long age model of evolution, the oceans are about 3.8 billion years old which is about 60-200x the age estimated from salt input and output rates. In fact, in the old earth model, the oceans would have eons ago become too salty and anything to have survived in the oceans. The input and output rates of global accumulation of salt in the ocean is in general well understood. And remember, generous values for both for the old earth case were used in the estimates. So, there is no way that the estimated rate could be off by 60-250x in the age of the earth calculation. Therefore, this cannot be disregarded. This is a global clock and disproves evolution and billions of years.

Here are links that describe this.

https://creation.com/salty-seas-evidence-for-a-young-earth

https://www.icr.org/article/oceans-young-earth

Almost all dating techniques of the age of the earth show a recent creation. Those that do not can be shown to be erroneous. This is proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/oDL9dHuhdT63NKjJ/

And the rate of accumulation of DNA mutations ERRORS, especially in mitochondrial DNA, of all individual creatures of all species, proves that all species, the oceans, the earth and everything in it are less than 10,000 years old. This is proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/pV2LNwJHZcETaB3s/

In summary, this is real evidence, along with all the other multitudes of other real evidence, that refutes evolution and billions of years forever. Trying to discard this fact is illogical and unscientific. Here is an example of why.

Suppose an evolutionist proposes a theory that the sum of any 2 numbers is 10. Now an infinite number of pairs of numbers match the theory.
2 and 8
pi and (10 - pi)
22/7 and 10 - 22/7)
5.4 and 4.6
-25 and 35
all match the theory.
Yet just one counterexample proves the theory false forever. In this case for each pair of numbers that match the theory, an infinite number of pairs of numbers prove it false forever. And only one is needed as a counterexample to refute this theory. For example, 50+50=100.

In the case of evolution and billions of years, there is no evidence that matches the theory but multitudes of evidence that refute these conjectures. For a theory to be plausibly true, it needs to be falsifiable. Well evolution and billions of years have been falsified by all the evidence. So, it is falsified forever. Holding onto it, is thus illogical and unscientific.

49. There is not enough erosion of the continents for them to be many 10s of millions of years old. (TOC) (back)

Here are some links that show this.

https://www.icr.org/article/five-global-evidences-for-a-young-earth

https://creation.com/eroding-ages#20120328

https://www.icr.org/article/four-geological-evidences-for-a-young-earth

50. There is not enough soil buildup or perturbations between the rock layers for it to have taken millions of years to be laid down. In fact, all must have been laid down in a very short time. (TOC) (back)

51. There is too much helium in zircons for the earth to be ancient. An analysis shows this limits the age of the earth to about 6000 years. (TOC) (back)

Here are some links that prove this.

https://www.icr.org/article/11098

https://creation.com/helium-evidence-for-a-young-world-continues-to-confound-critics

https://answersingenesis.org/creation-vs-evolution/evidence-for-young-earth-creation/

https://www.defendingthechristianfaith.org/evidence-for-a-young-age-of-the-earth.html

https://www.icr.org/article/five-global-evidences-for-a-young-earth

52. The Cambrian explosion proves that evolution and billions of years are false. (TOC) (back)

Near the bottom of the fossil bearing rock layers is the Cambrian layer. In this layer, all body plans start. Above it there are no new body plans. But what is amazing is that there is no evidence that they evolved from anything in lower strata. They all just seem to come into existence out of nothing. And according to evolutionists they do this in a relatively short time. That is why it is called the Cambrian Explosion. These creatures are complex and fully developed. For example, some have advanced complex eyes.

Here are a number of links which describe this.

https://creation.com/cambrian-explosion

https://www.icr.org/article/chengjiang-cambrian-explosion

https://www.icr.org/article/the-fossils-still-say-no-the-cambrian-explosion

https://answersingenesis.org/theory-of-evolution/evolution-timeline/cambrian-explosion-or-creation-week-key-to-vertebrate-success/

https://answersingenesis.org/theory-of-evolution/evolution-timeline/cambrian-explosion-was-the-culmination-of-cascading-causes-evolutionists-claim/

53. Why aren't there multiple trees of life? Afterall if abiogenesis is such a slam dunk, it should have occurred multiple times. (TOC) (back)

Why aren't there multiple trees of life? Afterall if abiogenesis is such a slam dunk, it should have occurred multiple times in the billions of years in the history of the earth. Each of these trees would have found their own niche so that each would have had their own descent tree.

If indeed there were multiple trees, it would not refute 6-day creation by God Almighty as God can do anything. But the lack of any other tree shows that abiogenesis is not a slam dunk. Actually, it is impossible.

So, what was the first living creature and what features did it have?

54. Even if by some miracle a first living creature were to come to be, it is for naught. It will be destroyed and have no offspring. (TOC) (back)

So, to recap, the first living creature could not come to be by random chance.
Where would such an amount of amino acids even occur in nature to even make a first creature? They must be in very near proximity to where the first creature came to be.
In water they would immediate diluted and chemical reactions would destroy it. And above ground or in space, it would be destroyed by the sunlight.
So the first creature is impossible.

The poor creature will not survive long at all. It is not protected from its environment. Chemical reactions will begin to destroy it within seconds. Which is just another problem. It would take too long to assemble itself. Destruction will happen faster than construction.
The poor creature cannot feed itself. It will also not be able to repair itself.
It will not be able to have any offspring. So, it could never exist. So even if it did come into existence, it would die quickly and could not have offspring.

55. Evolution falsified yet again. See how genes in DNA are used as the information rich instructions which are transcripted into RNA which is then translated by taking 3 RNA base pairs and selecting a specific amino acid from the codon table which are then chained together to form proteins. These proteins may be joined together to produce polypeptides, which must be folded into very exact shapes by other proteins else they will not function correctly. (TOC) (back)

Here is just a high-level description of this amazing design which could only have come to be by God Almighty the Creator.

https://bio.libretexts.org/Courses/University_of_Arkansas_Little_Rock/Genetics_BIOL3300_(Fall_2023)/Genetics_Textbook/02%3A_Central_Dogma/2.03%3A_Genetic_Code_and_Translation

Here is a short video of the process.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gG7uCskUOrA&list=PLI1-pAQgbOGdUZaC29kPWyZVrmTj3fe2R&index=3

There is no way that evolution could have produced this irreducibly complex, 3 level system run by DNA instructions which produce biomolecular "robots" constructed from proteins. Is it not now time that all the world believes in God Almighty the Creator? Jesus Christ is the Creator of all things, who in the greatest act of love and mercy endured crucifixion to pay for all our sins by His shed blood. And as proof He rose from the dead.

For more videos on the awe-inspiring wonders of God's creation, see some of the videos on this playlist.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLI1-pAQgbOGdUZaC29kPWyZVrmTj3fe2R&si=299CFVqOEgfhtlfp

56. Evolutionists have God Almighty envy. (TOC) (back)

They just hate when you tell them that God Almighty created all things and there is nothing impossible with God. So, they came up with their own version of god. Their god is "enough time". According to evolutionists, all things are possible given "enough time". But that is not true. Those things that are impossible will remain impossible no matter how much time is given. So, their god is nothing and our God is God ALMIGHTY the Creator of all things.

57. Proof that the Big Bang is false and that all matter and time had a beginning, and that Go created all things. Thus, the "no God" assumption is again proven false. So, it is illogical, unscientific, and irrational to ever use it in origins or in the long dating of things. (TOC) (back)

The universe could not have come into being from nothing. That violates the 1st law of thermodynamics, conservation of energy. It also violates all other conservation laws of physics. It also violates the law of cause and effect, and reality. The universe could not have always existed. That violates the 2nd law of thermodynamics. It could not have always existed since the 2nd law of thermodynamics for an isolated, closed system means that entropy, that is disorder, would have always been increasing. If the universe always existed, all order would have vanished eons ago.

The universe obeys a set of physical laws. But these laws prove the existence of the Creator would made a universe to do so.

The universe is orderly in many ways, throughout time and space. But that again proves the existence of the Creator would made the universe that way.

The universe is extremely finely tuned. This fine tuning is what allows matter, living things, stars, galaxies, planets to exist. The orderly finely tuned universe is orderly and finely tuned across all space and time. This fine tuning also proves the existence of the Creator that made it that way.

All matter, even time itself must have had a beginning. This is true no matter how many variants the evolutionists conjure up, whether multiverses, extra dimensions, dark energy, dark matter, etc. This also proves the existence of God the Creator who created all things including time. This matches Genesis 1:1.

All physical things had a beginning even time itself

Graph any physical quantity of anything against time with time as the horizontal axis. That time axis is shown below.

-infinity <—- now —-> +infinity (time)

Now look at the right time axis with the arrow pointing to positive infinity. It is infinitely far from now. We will never get there. Now look at the left time axis with the arrow pointing to negative infinity. It is infinitely far. We were never there. So, all physical things even time had a beginning. This is true whether evolutionists propose multiple universes, anti-universes, parallel universes, any number of dimensions, dark matter, dark energy, etc. The word of God declares this is its first verse.

In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth - Genesis 1:1

By the way, God is not a thing so the above does not apply to Almighty God who created all things.

Where did the extremely finely tuned orderly universe come from?

If the universe were not extremely fined tuned then no galaxies, no stars, no planets, no planet Earth, no atoms, no protons, no neutrons, no electrons, no light, no elements, no molecules, and certainly no living things would exist. Or some combination of these would not exist. And if it were not extremely orderly, then things that did exist would pop in and out existence all the time. It would be utter total chaos everywhere. The universe is extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly throughout all space and for all time. If you divide all the universe into tiny cubes whose side is a Planck length and divide all the supposed 13.7 billion years for the age of the universe into segments a Planck time, the time it takes for light to travel a Planck length, you get about 10^250 space-time "points". Now the extreme fine tuning of the universe has odds against it coming into being by random chance far greater than a googolplex to 1. And that holds for all those space-time "points". So that raises the odds against the universe being extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly across all space and time far greater than a googolplex to the googol squared to 1.

But there is no expectation that any universe would even have the same laws as ours, both in number and kind. Nor is there any expectation that there would be the same laws or numbers of laws throughout different regions of the universe. This then makes the total possibilities infinite.
So, it is impossible for any universe to ever come into existence. And it would have taken an infinite number of these impossibilities to have occurred, for our particular universe to have come into existence. So, this proves an infinite number of times that our universe is impossible to have come into existence without God.

The following link shows 209 finely tuned parameters.

https://robertcliftonrobinson.com/evidence-for-fine-tuning-of-the-universe/

The following link calculates the odds against a finely tuned universe existing.

https://letterstonature.wordpress.com/2012/05/02/in-defence-of-the-fine-tuning-of-the-universe-for-intelligent-life/

There are many other things which refute the Big Bang Theory. That is left for future posts.

58. The speed of light, red shift and stretched out or inflated space. (TOC) (back)

God created the heaven and the earth at the beginning of day 1. God then stretched out the universe and created the stars, galaxies, etc. in their various places throughout stretched out space. Thus, light reaches the earth from vast distances throughout the universe so that mankind can see the glory of God even though these things which are very far away yet created only about 6000 years ago.

The original universe was probably only about 1 to 2 light-days in diameter initially. Then God stretched out the universe to its present size right after He created it. Then

the multitude of stars are created in their places throughout the vastness of space. So, light does not need to travel faster than c since space itself is stretched out. The red shift may have been set so that the vast distances to things in the cosmos can be measured through a simple linear calculation. Or God may have left the universe at its present expansion rate after He had stretched it out. The redshift then being from Doppler shift.

This is exactly the work around employed by cosmologists to rescue the Big Bang. The universe supposedly went through a great expansion in size shortly after the Big Bang. This supposedly expanded the size of the universe by a factor of 10^78 or 10^26 times for each dimension.

Please note that the Bible has the creation of the universe, then it being stretched out by God and then the creation of the stars, galaxies, etc. after the expansion. Modern cosmologists have the creation of the universe in the Big Bang, it being stretched out during Inflation, and the stars, galaxies, etc. forming after expansion. My theory is that Satan knew exactly what God had done and in what order God had done these things and inspired men to come up with theories that match what God had done.

59. The finite speed of light is a problem for atheistic origin science and not for God Almighty. (TOC) (back)

The size of the OBSERVABLE universe is 93 billion lights years across. That is 46 billion light years from earth in any one direction.
The universe is supposedly 13.7 billion years old.
Do you see any problem?

The James Webb Space Telescope can see galaxies that are supposedly only 500 million years from the time of the Big Bang.
Do you see any problem?

The actual universe is larger than the observable universe. The exact size of the universes is unknown, although some estimate it to be 23 trillion light years in diameter.
Do you see any problem?

Some even claim that the universe is infinite in size with a non zero energy density.
Do you see any problem?

The universe supposedly went through a great expansion in size shortly after the Big Bang. This supposedly expanded the size of the universe by a factor of 10^78 or 10^26 times for each dimension. During this time, the universe expanded far faster than the speed of light.
Do you see any problem?

There is no reason for the universe to have started to inflate and no reason for it to have stopped. The following are all fudge factors for the Inflation. The time after the Big Bang

it started, how long it lasted, how much did it expand, was the expansion constant during that time. Some have theorized of the existence of an inflation particle, possibly more to have caused Inflation. Based on estimates of its energy, they have looked for such a particle and have found none in its energy range.
Do you see any problem?

The supposed expansion of the universe is accelerating, even though gravity should be slowing down.
Do you see any problem?

The Cosmic Background Radiation (CMB or CMBR) is supposedly a relic leftover from the Big Bang. There are several things that can be measured from the CMBR. Its temperature, its smoothness and Its polarization are areas of questions as to the validity of the Big Bang. Measurements of its smoothness show that it is too smooth for the Big Bang without Inflation. This is due to the finite speed of light. That was one of the reasons for Inflation theory with its fudge factors. With inflation, space is stretched out and the CMBR would be smoothed out too, removing this discrepancy. Technically, you can use the fudge factors of Inflation and get infinite inflation which would give a perfect smoothness in the CMBR and an infinite size to the universe.

<u>The Big Bang is false</u>

The universe could not have come into being from nothing. That violates the 1st law of thermodynamics, conservation of energy. It also violates all other conservation laws of physics. It also violates the law of cause and effect, and reality. The universe could not have always existed. That violates the 2nd law of thermodynamics. It could not have always existed since the 2nd law of thermodynamics for an isolated, closed system means that entropy, that is disorder, would have always been increasing. If the universe always existed, all order would have vanished eons ago.

The universe obeys a set of physical laws. But these laws prove the existence of the Creator would made a universe to do so. The universe is orderly in many ways, throughout time and space. But that again proves the existence of the Creator would made the universe that way. The universe is extremely fine-tuned. This fine tuning is what allows matter, living things, stars, galaxies, planets to exist. The orderly finely tuned universe is orderly and finely tuned across all space and time. This fine tuning also proves the existence of the Creator that made it that way.

All matter, even time itself must have had a beginning. This is true no matter how many variants the evolutionists conjure up, whether multiverses, extra dimensions, dark energy, dark matter, etc. This also proves the existence of God the Creator who created all things including time. This matches Genesis 1:1.

<u>All physical things had a beginning even time itself</u>

Graph any physical quantity of anything against time with time as the horizontal axis. That time axis is shown below.

-infinity <—- now —-> +infinity

Now look at the right time axis with the arrow pointing to positive infinity. It is infinitely far from now. We will never get there. Now look at the left time axis with the arrow pointing to negative infinity. It is infinitely far. We were never there. So all physical things even time had a beginning. This is true whether evolutionists propose multiple universes, anti-universes, parallel universes, any number of dimensions, dark matter, dark energy, etc. The word of God declares this is its first verse.

In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth - Genesis 1:1

By the way, God is not a thing so the above does not apply to Almighty God who created all things.

Where did the extremely fine-tuned orderly universe come from?

If the universe were not extremely fined tuned then no galaxies, no stars, no planets, no planet Earth, no atoms, no protons, no neutrons, no electrons, no light, no elements, no molecules, and certainly no living things would exist. Or some combination of these would not exist. And if it were not extremely orderly, then things that did exist would pop in and out existence all the time. It would be utter total chaos everywhere. The universe is extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly throughout all space and for all time. If you divide all the universe into tiny cubes whose side is a Planck length and divide all the supposed 13.7 billion years for the age of the universe into segments a Planck time, the time it takes for light to travel a Planck length, you get about 10^250 space-time “points”. Now the extreme fine tuning of the universe has odds against it coming into being by random chance far greater than a googolplex to 1. And that holds for all those space-time “points”. So that raises the odds against the universe being extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly across all space and time far greater than a googolplex to the googol squared to 1.

But there is no expectation that any universe would even have the same laws as ours, both in number and kind. Nor is there any expectation that there would be the same laws or numbers of laws throughout different regions of the universe. This then makes the total possibilities infinite.

So, it is impossible for any universe to ever come into existence. And it would have taken an infinite number of these impossibilities to have occurred, for our particular universe to have come into existence. So, this proves an infinite number of times that our universe is impossible to have come into existence without God.

The following link shows 209 finely tuned parameters.

https://robertcliftonrobinson.com/evidence-for-fine-tuning-of-the-universe/

The following link calculates the odds against a finely tuned universe existing.

https://letterstonature.wordpress.com/2012/05/02/in-defence-of-the-fine-tuning-of-the-universe-for-intelligent-life/

The Big Bang is unscientific

https://www.lppfusion.com/science/cosmic-connection/plasma-cosmology/the-growing-case-against-the-big-bang/

Hubble tension
Galaxies observed in the early universe show signs of being much older.

The speed of light, red shift and stretched out or inflated space

God created the heaven and the earth at the beginning of day 1. God then stretched out the universe and created the stars, galaxies, etc. in their various places throughout stretched out space (see Isaiah 45:12). Thus, light reaches the earth from vast distances throughout the universe so that mankind can see the glory of God (see Psalm 19:1) even though these things which are very far away yet created only about 6000 years ago.

The original universe was probably only about 1 to 2 light-days in diameter. Then God stretched out the universe to its present size right after He created it. So, light does not need to travel faster than c since space itself is stretched out.

The red shift may have been set so that the vast distances to things in the cosmos can be measured through a simple linear calculation. Or God may have left the universe at its present expansion rate after He had stretched it out. The redshift then being from Doppler shift.

This is exactly the work around employed by cosmologists to rescue the Big Bang. The universe supposedly went through a great expansion in size shortly after the Big Bang. This supposedly expanded the size of the universe by a factor of 10^78 or 10^26 times for each dimension. During this time, the universe expanded far faster than the speed of light.

Please note that the Bible has the order of things as the creation of the universe, then it being stretched out by God, and then the creation of the stars, galaxies, etc. after the expansion. Modern cosmologists have the order as the creation of the universe in the Big Bang, it being stretched out during Inflation, and the stars, galaxies, etc. forming after expansion. So, it is the same order. My theory is that Satan knew exactly what God had done and in what order God had done these things and inspired men to come up with theories that match what God had done.

Why the original 1-2 light days size? God created the stars of day 4 and Adam and Eve on day 6. So, they had to see all visible stars on day 6 only 2 days after they were created to see the glory of God.

60. Follow-up post on buried bio molecules that are supposedly ancient. (TOC) (back)

Here is the original post. This follow-up will concentrate on the bio molecules.

Fossils supposedly over about 60 million years old have soft tissue and many bio molecules still intact. That shows they were buried recently in the flood. The tissue in question is soft and stretchy. These tissues have blood vessels, blood cells, collagen and various other proteins, DNA chains, amino acid chains still intact and not 50-50 racemic. There are very many of these from all kinds of creatures, land or sea, from many rock layers.

Here are some links that describe this is some detail.

https://answersingenesis.org/creation-vs-evolution/evidence-for-young-earth-creation/

https://answersingenesis.org/dinosaurs/bones/two-those-not-so-dry-bones/

https://answersingenesis.org/dinosaurs/bones/more-soft-tissue-in-old-fossils/

https://www.icr.org/article/six-biological-evidences-for-a-young-earth

https://creation.com/dinosaur-soft-tissue

https://creation.com/dna-dating-positive-evidence-that-the-fossils-are-young

https://www.icr.org/article/six-biological-evidences-for-a-young-earth/

https://dl0.creation.com/articles/p028/c02813/j8_1_7-10.pdf

https://creation.com/age-of-the-earth

https://answersingenesis.org/dinosaurs/when-did-dinosaurs-live/solid-answers-soft-tissue/

First many evolutionists tried to deny that this phenomenon existed. Why? Because evolution and billions of years are falsified by it. But there is just too much of it from too many places, and more being discovered all the time.

Then many evolutionists tried to claim contamination. Why? Because evolution and billions of years are falsified by it. But there is just too much of it from too many places, and more being discovered all the time.

Mary Schweitzer found such evidence that refutes evolution and billions of years. She unfortunately misinterpreted the implications of what she found. Now many evolutionists try to make her the only interpreter of this kind of evidence. Why? Because evolution and billions of years are falsified by it. But there is just too much of it from too many places, and more being discovered all the time.

There are many people who have discovered such evidence from many places. To try and use Schweitzer's opinion and dismiss all the work of these scientists and their many findings is a classic debate 101 technique and borders on censorship. That indicates that evolution and billions of years is a false position.

Now many evolutionists are trying to claim that such tissue and bio molecules can be preserved for over 65 million years, even over 100 million years. Why? Because evolution and billions of years are falsified by it. But there is just too much of it from too many places, and more being discovered all the time. And evolutionists have no way to even begin to prove this preservation claim.

Please note, that yet again evolutionists and billions of years promoters can't get their story straight. Thus, showing that evolution and billions of years is the theory of nothing because it does not have a definitive answer to the origin of anything. So, by now trying to explain it away by using a mechanism of preservation, they have refuted the first 2 attempts and have admitted that indeed there is such evidence, and it is not from contamination. Also note that no one can claim such preservation is possible for tens of millions of years until an actual experiment that runs for such a time is carried out. Evolutionists project back in time billions of years and are now projecting forward for hundreds of millions of years. Yet this is a false technique, and shows they have no evidence of any of their claims in the here and now.

There is no way to preserve it because elapsed time and higher temperatures destroy it no matter what supposedly seals or preserves it.

The bio molecules are not 50-50 racemic. Racemization is caused by elapsed time besides other things. In fact, the degree of racemization is used to date things, but that is for things tens at most 3 million years old and not tens of millions of years old. So, for the worldwide flood, very little racemization is expected, but for evolutionary long ages, complete racemization is expected. The difference in time for evolutionary long ages and the worldwide flood is about 100 million years vs about 4500 years. That is an immense difference, and the ratio is over 22,000 to 1. So, for evolution, that is impossible. Racemization is also caused by increased temperature. However, the following information shows that this supposed up to 3-million-year range is only valid for a span of time that is much less.

From the following scientific article, the following pertinent facts can be established.

https://dl0.creation.com/articles/p157/c15744/j36_2_72-80.pdf

Not only does elapsed time cause racemization, but the racemization half-lives become shorter as the surrounding temperature increases. This is a pronounced effect. From table 1, just going from 0 C to 25 C, reduced half-lives by a factor of about 100x. And from table 2, going from 0 C to 50 C, there is a reduction in half-lives by a factor of about 1500x. Also from table 2, going from 0 C to 77 C, there is a reduction in half lives by a factor of about 30,000x. The fact that there have half-lives proves that elapsed time is what eventually leads to 50-50 racemic for all amino acids. Now these specimens would have been exposed to surrounding temperatures of at least 50 to 70 C for many millions of years in the evolutionary model. This will be shown below. From table 2 of the article, the half-lives in the range of 50 to 70 C, would be typically less than 50 years with 50 million years representing 1 million half-lives, leading to complete racemization. So, this is a disaster for the long ages of the evolutionary model but fits the worldwide flood model of about 4500 years ago since they would not have been exposed to that temperature range at all in the worldwide flood model. The long age evolutionary model is off by a factor of over 1 million times.

Protein denaturing is caused by increased temperature besides other things. The reason is that at higher temperatures, the vibration energy increases, breaking the molecular bonds. Now the further underground something is, the greater the temperature. The geological temperature gradient is about 25 C per km of depth. Ambient temperatures today are about 15 C. For something 1.4 km deep, the increase in temperature is about 35 C above ambient leading to a temperature of 50 C. For something 2.2 km deep, the increase in temperature is about 55 C above ambient leading to a temperature of 70 C. Many proteins denature at temperatures above 60 to 70 C and some at 50 C. The presence of some iron preservative is therefore meaningless since that cannot shield the specimen from its surrounding temperature.

Now consider the temperatures that the fossils would have been exposed with the evolutionist long age model vs the worldwide flood model. There are many places where the top sediment layers of the geological column are missing. This was supposedly caused by erosion of the top layers over vast amounts of time. But the older layers would have been buried deep before these top layers were eroded away and for vast amounts of time. And these are the places where many dinosaur fossils and bio molecules are found. They are near the surface or buried not to deep. So, for the flood model, they were never buried deep. But for the evolution and long ages model, they were buried very deep and for a long time. So, the proteins would be completely denatured and racemic under the evolution and long ages model, but not so under the worldwide flood model. Fossils are also found in the sides of cliffs. They may be many feet below the top of the cliff. Now in the worldwide flood model, the cliff was mostly eroded during the flood year or soon after. And the temperature of the side of a cliff is ambient temperature even if the location is 1000s of feet below the top of the cliff. For the evolution and long ages model, that location was not exposed as the side of a cliff until many millions of years of erosion had occurred. So, they would have been buried deep for many millions of years and exposed to high temperatures. So, the proteins

would be completely denatured and racemic under the evolution and long ages model, but not so under the worldwide flood model.

In summary, this is real evidence, along with all the other multitudes of other real evidence, that refutes evolution and billions of years forever. Trying to discard this fact is illogical and unscientific. Here is an example of why.

Suppose an evolutionist proposes a theory that the sum of any 2 numbers is 10. Now an infinite number of pairs of numbers match the theory.
2 and 8
pi and (10 - pi)
22/7 and 10 - 22/7)
5.4 and 4.6
-25 and 35
all match the theory.
Yet just one counterexample proves the theory false forever. In this case for each pair of numbers that match the theory, an infinite number of pairs of numbers prove it false forever. And only one is needed as a counterexample to refute this theory. For example, 50+50=100.

In the case of evolution and billions of years, there is no evidence that matches the theory but multitudes of evidence that refutes these conjectures. For a theory to be plausibly true, it needs to be falsifiable. Well evolution and billions of years have been falsified by all the evidence. So, it is falsified forever. Holding onto it, is thus illogical and unscientific.

Evolution and billions of years, along with all its other false theories, should be retracted worldwide immediately. My advice is that all organizations of any kind, including all educational organizations, and all individuals should renounce it immediately for the sake of scientific integrity. It is time to move on.

61. Update on sedimentary rock layers that are bent beyond the fracture point and yet have little or no fracturing. (TOC) (back)

Here is the original post.

There are many places where thick rock layers are folded and many are bent way beyond their fracture point, yet with little or no fracturing. They must have been soft and laid down during the flood.

These are found in very many places. Here are some links that document this.

https://answersingenesis.org/geology/rock-layers/2-bent-rock-layers/

https://www.icr.org/article/there-geological-evidence-for-young-earth/

https://creationanswers.net/geology/youngearth2.htm

https://www.growingchristians.org/christian-evidences/when-did-creation-occur

https://creation.com/folded-rocks

https://thebiblecanbeproven.com/even-more-scientific-evidence-for-a-global-flood-part-3-of-series/

First many evolutionists tried to deny that there are hardened sedimentary rock layers, which are bent beyond their fracture point, but have little or no fracturing. But there are many photos of this, so you can see it with your own eyes. So, it is an absolute fact. And there are many reports which report this. So why do they deny facts? Because evolution and billions of years are falsified by this evidence. And there is just too much of it from too many places, and more being discovered all the time.

Then many evolutionists claimed that sedimentary rock, which would have been hardened at the time they were folded according to the deep time model of evolutionary theory, does not fracture when bent beyond its fracture point. Hardened sedimentary rock breaks easily, fractures easily and crumbles easily. This is a known scientific fact. So why do they deny facts? Because evolution and billions of years are falsified by it.

https://www.nps.gov/wica/learn/education/learning-about-rocks.htm#:~:text=Sedimentary%20rocks%20are%20the%20result,break%20apart%20or%20crumble%20easily.

Then many evolutionists tried to propose different mechanisms to explain how these hardened sedimentary rock layers, which are bent beyond their fracture point, have little or no fracturing. But that now admits that those in their own camp that denied that there are hardened sedimentary rock layers, which are bent beyond their fracture point, with little of no fracturing, were denying the facts. And that now admits those in their own camp that claimed that somehow hardened sedimentary rack does not fracture, were denying facts. Which is it? Also note that there are multiple mechanisms that are proposed. Why multiple ones? Don't they even know which one it is?

Please note, that yet again evolutionists and billions of years promoters can't get their story straight, thus showing that evolution and billions of years is the theory of nothing because it does not have a definitive answer to the origin of anything.

Here are the facts then. There are hardened sedimentary rock layers, which are bent beyond their fracture point, but have little or no fracturing. Many times, there are multiple hardened sedimentary rock layers all bent together. Many times, these are thick layers. Thus, they must have all been folded beyond their fracture point in the same event while still soft. And these multiple hardened sedimentary rock layers are folded with all of them showing little or no fracturing. And the fact is that hardened sedimentary rock does fracture easily when bent beyond its fracture point. Here then is the real

problem then for evolution and billions of years. All these instances of multiple hardened sedimentary rock layers bent together must have each been folded in at least their own same event. But piecing all these multiple hardened sedimentary rock layers that are folded with all of them showing little or no fracturing together shows that one can tie almost all the fossil bearing sedimentary rock layers to one global event. And that event must have been the worldwide flood in the days of Noah about 4500 years ago.

So, what are the proposed mechanisms for this claimed by evolutionists? One article went on and on that it is possible that rocks can be deformed without fracturing. But they did not say sedimentary rocks. Why? It is sedimentary rocks that is the subject at hand and not rocks in general. One proposed mechanism is that the rocks were somehow subjected to intense heat and high pressure when they deformed. The problem is that they would no longer be sedimentary rock. They would have been changed into metamorphic rock or some other rock. But they are indeed sedimentary rock.

From the following link, there is this paragraph which explains this.

https://answersingenesis.org/geology/rock-layers/2-bent-rock-layers/

“Heat and pressure can make hard rock layers pliable, so they claim this must be what happened in the eastern Grand Canyon, as the sequence of many layers above pressed down and heated up these rocks. Just one problem: the heat and pressure would have transformed these layers into quartzite, marble, and other metamorphic rocks. Yet Tapeats Sandstone is still sandstone, a sedimentary rock!”

A few have tried to even claim these layers are from lava flows which then cooled. But again, such would be easy to identify as they would not be still sedimentary rock layers.

The following post proves that all species, the earth, everything in the earth, including all rock layers are less than 10,000 years old. This is because all individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA, at rates which would eventually have corrupted the genomes of all species.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/pV2LNwJHZcETaB3s/

Based on an analysis of the current volume of the earth’s oceans, currency salinity, and the rates of inflow and removal of salt, the earth’s oceans cannot be billions of years old. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/Ue2yxYoHvdWMc7QV/

The discovery of bio molecules in layers of rocks which supposedly are ancient but not denatured nor 50-50 racemic refutes evolution and billions of years. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/2WC79F2F3RejLd2F/

Cold subducted slabs exist in the mantle. This proves that they have not been there for a vast amount of time. Instead, they must have been subducted recently. This refutes the long ages of evolutionary theory and is evidence of the Biblical flood in the days of Noah about 4500 years ago. They are actually in the mantle and not on top of the mantle. Thermodynamics proves that that should not be still cold if they were 100s of millions of years old. All rock types conduct heat and all rock types in in lithosphere plates have melting points less than the temperature of the deep mantle. Some evolutionists try to deny the laws of thermodynamics in explaining this, proving that evolution and billions of years is completely anti science. This is described in the post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/jPvL5QDGP1wALQdT/

Almost all dating techniques prove a young earth and that all dating techniques which supposedly yield old ages have been shown to be invalid. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/oDL9dHuhdT63NKjJ/

Many things which are supposedly ancient are not C-14 dead. This also refutes evolution and billions of years of years. This was shown in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/MK67YatTuxBiawuM/

The following series of posts further proves that dating techniques which supposedly yield old ages are invalid.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/29XUm761HgiK1y1z/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/byEtLY4s6L2e6WSt/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/gGknpBzagqs88bH7/

The following link gives 101 proofs the earth and universe are young.

https://creation.com/age-of-the-earth

There is ample evidence of multiple layers of folded hardened sedimentary rock layers, bent way beyond their fracture point, but with little or no fracturing. Look with your own eyes.

https://dl0.creation.com/articles/p156/c15689/folded-gyprock.jpg

62. Cold subducted slabs exist in the lower mantle. This proves that they have not been there for a vast amount of time. Instead, they must have been subducted

recently. This refutes the long ages of evolutionary theory and is evidence of the Biblical flood in the days of Noah about 4500 years ago. (TOC) (back)

Seismic tomography imaging has revealed relatively cold slabs of subducted lithosphere deep in the mantle. This is just another strong piece of evidence against evolution and billions of years. Not only that but it is also a very strong piece of evidence of the worldwide flood about 4500 years ago.

The following article describes this evidence in more detail.

https://www.icr.org/article/four-geological-evidences-for-a-young-earth

Here are 2 paragraphs from the article which aptly describes the dilemma for the long age evolutionary model.

“One of the strongest evidences to support catastrophic plate tectonics, runaway subduction, and rapid plate movement during the Flood is the seismic tomography imaging of cold subducted oceanic lithosphere deep in the mantle. If these lithospheric slabs were really moving just a few centimeters per year as secular scientists claim, then they should have assimilated into the hot mantle long ago and not show such strong density contrasts (indicating a much cooler temperature) with the surrounding material. Instead, the coldness of the lithospheric slabs indicates they were rapidly emplaced just thousands of years ago.”

“An imaging process called *seismic tomography* has revealed a ring of dense rock at the bottom of the mantle. Since its location corresponds approximately to the perimeter of the Pacific Ocean, it appears to represent subducted ocean crust. Located inside this ring of cold rock is a blob of less-dense rock that appears to have been squeezed upward toward the crust. If one assumes that the density of the cold ring is comparable to that of the surrounding material, which is the most straightforward assumption, this ring is 3,000 to 4,000°C colder than the inner blob. This is completely unexpected in the conventional plate tectonic model since it can take about 100 million years for a slab to descend all the way to the base of the mantle. In that time, one would expect any such temperature differences to have evened out. However, in the catastrophic plate tectonics model, such a temperature difference is to be expected if the slab rapidly subducted into the mantle just a few thousand years ago.”

Here are 3 articles which go into more detail about this observed phenomenon. The 3rd article gives information on Catastrophic Plate Tectonics which is the true science.

https://www.icr.org/article/cold-slabs-indicate-recent-creation

https://creation.com/focus-392

https://creation.com/images/pdfs/cabook/chapter11.pdf

If these pieces of plates had been subducting for hundreds of millions of years, then these subducted slabs should have been heated up matching the temperature of the surrounding mantle where they have been detected. But the irrefutable evidence is that that is not the case at all. Thus, this must have occurred recently. Here is what is the accepted history. At one time all the land masses were all together. Then they began to break apart and are moving. This motion causes plate tectonics, where plates collide, mountains are raised, and some plates are subducted underneath overriding plates. However, the undeniable evidence of cold slabs in the mantle, absolutely refutes the long ages attributed by evolution theory to the ages of these processes. But this completely matches the Biblical record and timeframe.

Cold subducted slabs exist in the mantle. This proves that they have not been there for a vast amount of time. Instead, they must have been subducted recently. This refutes the long ages of evolutionary theory and is evidence of the Biblical flood in the days of Noah about 4500 years ago. They are actually in the mantle and not on top of the mantle. Thermodynamics proves that that should not be still cold if they were 100s of millions of years old. All rock types conduct heat. Some evolutionists try to deny the laws of thermodynamics in explaining this, proving that evolution and billions of years is completely anti science.

63. Evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS could never have produced the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. This proves that evolution, billions of years, common descent are false, and that God created all things recently. (TOC) (back)

In the book that I wrote entitled, “Evolution is false because it cannot explain all the unique genes that have ever existed”, there were many precepts that were proved that it is impossible for any new functionality, organs, tissues, systems, subsystems, etc. to come into existence by evolution through DNA mutations ERRORS. That book will be called “All the Unique Genes”. Consider all the following which will be applicable to any new functionality having supposedly evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. And this is especially true for diploids that reproduce sexually.

Sections 1 through 7 of “All the Unique Genes” established 2 models and their respective equations to approximate the odds against any new unique genes coming into existence by any means through DNA mutation ERRORS. To produce a new unique gene there are in essence only 3 possibilities. Either some code from some source is copied, either directly or indirectly, to produce a gene which is then mutated by DNA mutation ERRORS, or an existing gene is mutated by DNA mutation ERRORS, or the DNA code for a gene comes into existence spontaneously. That book proved that the odds against all 3 of these possibilities are so vast that it would require very many trillions of times the age of the universe to produce any significant number of them, let alone over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. Those models took into account that there are associated controls for protein coding genes which are contained in non-coding genes, intergenic regions, and epigenetics. Those models also took into account that there

must have been a coordinated DNA mutations ERRORS path of the complete set of the protein coding gene of its associated controls, if that were even possible. Furthermore, those models also took into account that not all genes would be functional within any particular organism. In fact, the vast majority would be rejected by natural selection. This is just simple probability for the case when there are such large numbers of combinations and permutations, which is the case with large amounts of DNA code, as explained in section 7 of that book. The odds are extremely vast against any new unique genes, especially for diploids that reproduce sexually. Those odds are vastly greater than 10^6000 to 1 for an average sized gene by any means. These odds were greatly underestimated in that book. The technique used was lower bound mathematics, which ensures that if something is impossible for the lower bound it is impossible for any number greater than that.

In section 12 of "All the Unique Genes", it was shown that even if there is only an average of a 1 in a trillion chance against these new unique genes, then evolution is false. This is a surprising result which has significant implications. The average odds against for each of the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed would by themselves make the average odds against exceed this required threshold for evolution. Thus, each of these 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes are proofs against evolution. And the total odds against vastly exceeds this required threshold for evolution. In fact, if evolution cannot account for a single unique gene of these over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes, then evolution is false. If there is just 1 of these, it is a contradiction to the theory of evolution and that proves that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science". And that also proves these are all false. And that also proves the God created all things recently.

If evolutionists claim that such genes did indeed come into existence because they assumed 2 species had a common ancestor, that is just a claim based on an already proven false assumption that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption are true. Thus, that claim is just circular reasoning, and is cannot be used as evidence. This is discussed in detail later.

Please note that this particular refutation of evolution, billions of years, and common descent using all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, does not claim that NOT A SINGLE unique gene could have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. Nor does it claim that not many or even very many could not have done so. The claim is that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS cannot have produced a vast multitude of unique genes in the supposed 3.8 billion years that life supposedly existed on earth or even for the supposed 13.8 billion years since the supposed Big Bang. And certainly not any number of such remotely approaching the over 100 trillion (possible over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. So, in this case, showing any number of

such unique genes coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, does not refute this refutation of evolution but is just a straw man argument against this refutation.

In fact, evolutionists must prove that each and every one of the over 100 trillion (possible over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species with absolute certainty came into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And this must be done as discussed in the section 8 of “All the Unique Genes” entitled “DNA mutation ERRORS path requirement”. If they cannot account for 1 of these unique genes then evolution is false. Furthermore, from section 12 of that book, it was proved with simple mathematical equations that the genome, including all its genes and intergenic regions, of any of the supposed species from supposedly more than about 6,000 years ago is not known, and cannot be known. Thus, evolutionist cannot meet the 1 in a trillion chance required threshold. Nor can they establish that for many trillions of genes, that any were even possible.

Consider the following which is in section 2 of “All the Unique Genes”. Spontaneously created genes must have been the first protein coding genes, as an existing gene that is copied and then mutated or an existing gene that is just mutated requires a previously existing gene. This was proved prior. And spontaneously created protein coding genes must have come into existence with no misplaced stop codons. This also was proved in that book. If the first living cell had at least 400 of these with an average of 9,000 base pairs, the odds against all having no misplaced stop codons are far greater than 10^25,020 to 1, with each having average odds against of greater than 3x10^62 to 1. So even if, for all the other unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, it was 100% sure that they could have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, then the average odds against are far greater than 10^50 to 1. This is 10^62 times greater than the average of only a 1 in a trillion chance that on average a unique gene could not come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And even that threshold proved evolution was false. So, even with this great gift to evolution, the odds are vastly against evolution and prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently.

Not only that, but even if there were only 1 spontaneously created gene with an average of 9,000 base pairs that came into existence with no misplaced stop codons, and all the other unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, it was 100% sure that they could have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, then the average odds against are far greater than 10^47 to 1. And this is a lower bound result as the odds against a spontaneously created genes are far greater. This is 10^59 times greater than the average of only a 1 in a trillion chance that on average a unique gene could not come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And even that threshold proved evolution was false. So, even with this great gift to evolution, the odds are vastly against evolution and prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently.

A theory is just a conjecture that may be true or it may be false. There are ways to prove a theory is true and there are ways to prove a theory is false. One method to prove a theory is false is to find data that contradicts the theory. Just 1 piece of data that does not match the theory proves that the theory is false and false forever. This is sometimes called refutation by counterexample or contradiction. For example, someone proposes a theory that the sum of any 2 numbers is 10. But 100 + 100 = 200, so the theory is false and false forever. That is a contradiction that refutes the theory.

One method to prove a theory is true, is to first assume it is false. If that assumption leads to at least one contradiction, then the original assumption must be false, and thus its opposite must be true. So, assume that God does not exist and did not create all things. This will lead to a vast number of contradictions, although only 1 contradiction or counterexample is needed. So, the assumption of “no God” must be false. And the opposite of the “no God” assumption must be true. Thus, God exists and created all things.

Please note that this type of proof that God exists and created all things does not assume that God exists or that the Bible is true. In fact, this type of proof assumes that God does not exist, and by necessity, that the Bible is false. So, it is in no way circular reasoning at all. And this type of proof can be used with a vast number of things as the counterexample or contradiction and thus prove that God exists and created all things. Thus, there are a vast number of proofs that God does exist and created all things. Now many atheists will falsely claim that these proofs assume that the Bible is true or that God exists. The opposite is the case. And it is atheists that assume that God does not exist. And it is evolutionists that assume that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true, which are just false assumptions and circular reasoning.

For all of the above claims, section 27 of “All the Unique Genes” gives a large number of refutation tests which refute all evolutionist claims for all these things. Thus, evolution does not count as observable science since its claims are against all observations and have never been observed. And all observations are against all its claims. Science should be observable, testable and repeatable. Evolution fails on all 3 accounts. In fact, all observations and tests refute evolution, and these refutation tests are repeatable all the time.

In section 101 of this book, it was proved that it is impossible for any new functionality, organs, tissues, systems, subsystems, etc. to come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. The odds against are incomprehensibly vast, and time it would take is unimaginable. That is also available in the Facebook post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EAsu5nGid/

Very many people have been deceived into believing that natural selection somehow produces new species and new genes, and new functionality, tissues, organs, systems, etc. But natural selection can only select from what DNA mutation ERRORS produce. And these DNA mutation ERRORS will never produce any new information,

functionality, tissues, organs, systems, etc. for natural selection to select from. This was proved in the Introduction of “All the Unique Genes” where a simple analogy to text was given. So, not only will ERRORS never produce any significant useful information whether for DNA or text, they will absolutely destroy any significant useful information that does exist whether for DNA or text. In fact, the destruction rate caused by the accumulation of ERRORS, whether the DNA or text, is vastly greater than the miniscule or non-existent rate of the creation of information of either kind. And the accumulation of these DNA mutation ERRORS will eventually destroy the functionality of all genes, and all biomolecules that they produce. And of course, that includes all organs, tissue, functions, system, subsystems, etc. in all species. This is similar to how all things made by mankind eventually end up useless and eventually end up completely destroyed with enough time. So vast amounts of time do not help evolution at all. In fact, more time is the mortal enemy of the theory of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS.

And the rate of accumulation of DNA mutations ERRORS, especially in mitochondrial DNA, of all individual creatures of all species, proves that all species, the oceans, the earth and everything in it are less than 10,000 years old. This is proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/pV2LNwJHZcETaB3s/

Part 2 of “All the Unique Genes” gives many proofs that prove that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and that God created all things recently. So, that assumption can never be used in determining the origin of things or how long ago they occurred. In fact, that false assumption should be retracted worldwide immediately.

64. Living fossils prove evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created all things recently. (TOC) (back)

The term “living fossil” refers to a species that exists today, thus currently living, and looks like a fossilized version of that species found in rock layers that are supposedly millions, tens of million, or hundreds of millions of years old. There are dozens of living fossils. The problem for the theory of evolution is that each one of these living fossils proves evolution, common descent and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently.

The following article lists 12 living fossils. They are goblin sharks, the coelacanth, the horseshoe crab, the duck-billed platypus, the amami rabbit, the nautilus, the Komodo dragon, the purple frog, the ginkgo trees, aardvarks, Laotian rock rat, and the cockroach.

https://www.livescience.com/animals/living-fossils-creatures-that-look-the-same-now-as-they-did-millions-of-years-ago

Other living fossils include crocodiles, lampreys, the elephant shrew, whale shark, koala, hagfish, the red panda, the sandhill crane, fig wasps, and the tuatara. And there are many others depending on whose list.

Evolutionists claim that there have been at least 5 major mass extinction events in the supposed past 500 million years. The following is a list of these, including when they supposedly occurred, and what percent of species supposedly went extinct. Exact numbers vary somewhat dependent on the source.
End Ordovician (about 444 million years ago; mya) over 85% of all species went extinct
Late Devonian (about 365 mya) over 70% of all species went extinct
End Permian (about 252 mya) over 95% of all species went extinct
End Triassic (about 208 mya) over 75% of all species went extinct
End Cretaceous (about 66 mya) over 75% of all species went extinct

During such events, global climate changes were massive, and these massive changes would have affected all ecosystems worldwide. Thus, there was no such thing as a stable environment, aka a niche, where a species was perfectly adapted to, that stayed the same, as that environment would have changed dramatically. This would force all creatures to adapt to the drastically changing environment during the mass extinction event and eventually to the new environment after the extinction event. Horseshoe crabs for example supposedly went through all 5 of these great mass extinction events. Mass extinction events would have forced change through evolution where supposedly DNA mutation ERRORS produced new functionality that were selected by natural selection due to survival of the fittest. Thus, living fossils which supposedly went through these mass extinction events and did not change prove evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false. Mass extinction events force change.

According to evolution, a species will evolve over time due to survival of the fittest within the species. But each species is in a competition with other species which are also evolving due to survival of the fittest. Thus, the food supply of a particular species will be evolving, other species which compete for that same food supply will be evolving, and predators that prey on that particular species will be evolving. So, that particular species must evolve, or it will eventually go extinct. Thus, it cannot remain unchanged for long ages. And again, there is no such thing as a stable niche environment for long ages especially during mass extinction events. The food supply for a particular species evolves through survival of the fittest, thus the niche containing that food supply has changed. The competitor species for the food supply of that particular species in the niche would have also evolved through survival of the fittest. Thus, the niche containing those competitor species has changed. And the predators of that particular species in the niche would have also evolved through survival of the fittest. Thus, the niche containing those predators has changed. All of these force change through evolution where supposedly DNA mutation ERRORS produced new functionality that was selected by natural selection due to survival of the fittest. Thus, living fossils which supposedly went through long ages of competition for survival and did not change prove evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false.

All individual creatures of all species will accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS in their genome. Each generation will accumulate even more mutations at a species-specific average rate. While deadly or disadvantageous mutations are removed from the population by natural selection, those that are neutral or only slightly disadvantageous are not removed since all individual organism of all species accumulate them. With each generation more and more of those DNA mutation ERRORS will accumulate. This phenomenon leads to things such as increasing genetic entropy, aka increasing genetic load. It also leads to genetic divergence and genetic drift. So, the genome of the living version of the living fossil species must have diverged over time from the fossilized version of the species. After about 100 million years, greater than 10% of the genome would have changed. And in some cases, depending on DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rates possibly to a point where each base pair would have been changed on average of about one time or more. After about 500 million years, greater than 50% of the genome would have changed. And in some cases, depending on DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rates possibly to a point where each base pair would have been changed on average of about 5 times or more. Thus, there should no correlation between the genomes of the living version of the living fossil and the fossilized version from supposedly 100s of millions of years ago. And there is no way then that the living version of the fossil could look like the fossilized version from supposedly 100s of millions of years ago. The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS over long times forces changes.

Please note that evolutionists claim that people and bananas have a common ancestor. And then they note that there is a 50% similarity in DNA between bananas and mankind. So, a 50% difference. Now, a living horseshoe crab should have had each base pair in its genome changed on average at least 5x since the fossilized version from supposedly 500 million years ago. And that is a greater difference than between people and bananas. Yet the horseshoe crab looks the same, and people and bananas not at all.

Further note, that during the supposedly about 500 million years that the horseshoe crab refused to change, a fish supposedly evolved into an amphibian, and then all reptiles, all mammals, and all birds came into existence supposedly through evolution.

Also note, that some living fossils do not appear in the fossil record except in layers that are supposedly tens to hundreds of millions of years old. They are missing in all supposedly newer rock layers since then. But there are living versions alive today. So, they must have been swimming around without dying for supposedly tens to hundreds of millions of years else they would have appeared in the supposed newer rock layers. Or they must have just spontaneously came back into existence and perfectly matched the fossilized version from supposedly tens to hundreds of millions of years ago. But wait, both of these are impossible.

So, species missing in a rock layer or rock layers cannot be used to say that they did not exist during that supposed time. This proven fact is a disaster for all evolutionist speculations on the interpretation of the fossil bearing rock layers.

Premise: Evolution is forced change over time.
Fact: A living fossil did not change over vast amounts of time. That is, it did not evolve. There are usually many fossils of each living fossil species and many individuals of the living version of each living fossil. So, there are a multitude of instances of this fact for each living fossil.
That fact is a counterexample which proves that that premise is false.
Thus, evolution, common descent, and billions of years have been falsified forever.
This is an irrefutable proof using logic, science, math, and facts.
And there are dozens of living fossils. Each of these living fossils disproves evolution and billions of years.

And there are many other proofs that refute evolution, common descent, billions of years, and the false interpretation of the age of the fossil bearing rock layers.

https://nationalzoo.si.edu/animals/news/10-incredible-horseshoe-crab-facts

Evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

65. There are areas in various places in the world which have "overthrusts" or "thrust faults". These are regions where older rock layers are on top of younger rock layers. Many of these are characterized by thick layers extending over areas that are many square miles in size. Some extend over hundreds and thousands of square miles. These disprove evolution and billions of years. (TOC) (back)

Here are some links to a number of articles that describe this. For some of them I have included select excerpts underneath the link. I have added the underlining which is not in the original articles. I encourage you to read through these articles because they have lots of other evidence that proves that evolution and billions of years are false.

https://biblestudying.net/origins20.html

"However, if we don't assume that an entire series of rock layers were flipped upside down, the fact that some fossils are found out of evolutionary order would indicate that these organisms did not evolve and live at different periods of time separated by long intervals. Moreover, the fact that fossils are found in orders that contradict evolution demonstrates once again that the same fossils are not found in the same order all around the world. Furthermore, the admission that fossil sequences can be and sometimes are flipped over and in the reverse order adds a considerable difficulty for constructing a single, worldwide geologic column. The problem is that unless we assume the evolutionary order of species we cannot determine which fossil sites are turned over and which are normal. How do we know if the sites evolutionists think are "normal" have actually become "flipped" or vice versa? How do we know if some sites where fossils are in the evolutionary order have not also been flipped over as a result of whatever geological processes can cause such a phenomenon? Put simply, the

criterion that is being used to designate some sites as “flipped over” and other sites as “normal” is whether or not the fossils appear in an order consistent with evolution.”

https://bnugent.org/fossils-out-of-order-evolutions-embarrassment/

“The Matterhorn is the most beautiful mountain in the Alps. Its distinctive hooked peak is immediately recognizable. The Matterhorn has been called “a mountain upside down” by creationists since this mountain is where we find a supposed 200 million year old fossil layer (Mesozoic) on top of a supposed 40 million year old (Cenozoic) layer. The above mentioned sequence is opposite of what one would expect given old earth Darwinist assumptions. It’s a geologic column in reverse order. If evolution were true, the Mesozoic should be beneath the Cenozoic layer of stone.”

https://www.evolutionisamyth.com/geological/flat-layers-flat-gaps-indicate-extinction-and-not-evolution/

“View of the Morcles Nappe in the valley of the Rhone in Switzerland. The 45 Ma gap (probably more) is at the bottom of the slightly darker layer you can follow across the figure from the tip of the arrow. Here much of the Upper Cretaceous and Paleocene are missing. Due to the recumbent folding of the Morcles Nappe, the layers at this locality are in reverse order but the contact is still flat.”

https://clearingthepath.info/i-the-genesis-flood-1

“A more difficult problem for uniformitarian geology is the existence of formations found actually in reverse order, with presumed older rocks lying on top of younger rocks. The theory of the “thrust fault” is commonly advanced to explain this. This theory states that rocks which originally were flat-lying and contiguous were suddenly separated by a vertical or sloping fault. The rocks on one side of the fault rise with respect to those on the other. Then the upper rocks were thrust horizontally over the lower, leaving the older rocks resting on top of the younger rocks.”

“Terms such as “overthrust”, “low-angle fault,” “nappe,” or “detachment thrust” are also used to describe these processes. It is recognized that phenomena of this sort have taken place, on a small scale, in certain localities where there is ample evidence of intense past faulting and folding. However, examples are definitely on a small scale, usually in terms of a few hundred feet, whereas many of the great overthrust areas they are trying to explain away occupy hundreds or even thousands of square miles. It seems almost fantastic to conceive of such huge areas and masses of rocks really behaving in such a fashion, unless we are ready to accept catastrophism. Certainly the principle of uniformity is inadequate to account for them as there are no processes going on today that even come close to these processes.”

https://www.creationsciencetoday.com/24-Standard_Geologic_Column.html

"Furthermore, even where superposed strata are exposed, it rather often happens that the fossils appear to be in reverse order from that demanded by the evolutionary history, which paradox is commonly explained by the assumption that the strata have been folded or faulted out of their original sequence (p. 135)."

https://truthnet.org/creation/genesisflood/

"Uniformitarianism, together with the Geologic Column presupposed by Lyell, have been disproved by geologic features such as poly-strata fossils, misplaced fossils, missing layers and misplaced layers (including layers in reverse order or "ancient" layers found above "modern" layers)."

The evidence from the above articles proves that evolution and billions of years are false. One of the fundamental principles upon which evolution and billions of years rests, called superposition, is that older rock layers are below younger rock layers. In fact, the entire geological column icon is based on it, where each successive lower layer is older than the layer above it. Yet the above evidence shows that there are many places around the world where this is not the case at all. And these are not just localized anomalies but unexplainable contradictions to this fundamental law thus falsifying it and evolution and billions of years forever. The reality is that there are large areas extending over hundreds even thousands of square miles where the order of several layers is reversed. And an analysis of the boundaries of these layers and the surrounding areas shows that the overthrust theory of these layers over vast eons is untenable.

But the case against evolution and billions of years is far worse by these unexplainable anomalies. This is due to the fact that the fossils of ancestor species must be found in rock strata that are not above the rock layers that contain descendant species. And this is especially true of index fossils. Each rock layer has its assigned fossils. But if these out of sequence rock layers are indeed out of sequence, then their supposed assigned fossils should be out of sequence also. But that is not always the case as the fossils in these out of sequence rock layers are sometimes in correct positional order vertically. This alone refutes evolution and billions of years. And there are places where the rock layers are in their supposed order, but fossils are out of order. This also refutes evolution and billions of years. Which then brings up an interesting point. What is the order of species supposed evolutionary descent since there are in a different order in the rock layers in various places on the earth? What is the definitive answer for evolution and billions of years, which is the theory of nothing since it does not have a definitive answer to the origin of anything. Thus, it is not even a theory at all.

But there are even more problems for evolution and billions of years. The evolutionist explanation for these overthrusts is that somehow one or more younger layers slid under one or more older layers, kind of like the shuffling of a deck of cards. But these anomalies sometimes extend for hundreds or even thousands of square miles. And an examination of the boundaries between them reveal that they are without any sign of having done so. Some even have a knife edge boundary. There is no erosion or any

hint of any collision. And the area around these anomalous areas do not show any boulder debris that should have been left behind as would be the case if such enormous rock layers, which have an enormous weight, had slid across surfaces on their way to the collision area. They should have left lots of discernible debris in their wake. Not only that, but some must have slid from tens to even thousands of miles to get to the collision area, if it could even be called a collision. And worse yet is that many times these younger layers must have slid up a high angle incline for hundreds or even thousands of feet to get to get to the impact spot. Walter E. Lammerts has published eight lists totaling almost 200 wrong-order formations in the United States alone.

But wait, there are even more problems for evolution and billions of years. The entire geological column is actually fictitious as it only exists in evolutionist drawings and nowhere on the face of the earth. If it did, it would be many tens of miles thick. Everywhere there are several layers missing and different layers in different places. Each of these missing layers represent millions of years. And as discussed above there are many layers which are out of order and many places where the fossils are out of order even index fossils.

There are many “living fossils” alive today which show little or no change from fossilized ones supposedly tens or even hundreds of millions of years old. Some of these, like the coelacanth, are called discontinuous fossils. At one time coelacanths were thought have gone extinct about 65 million years ago based of the fossil and rock layers record until one was found alive and well. Living fossils are discussed in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19WmFnN3jo/

Polystrate fossilized trees and other polystrate fossils are found in many places around the world and prove that the fossil bearing rock layers were formed by a worldwide flood quickly and not laid down over hundreds of millions of years. Thus, this also refutes evolution and billions of years. This is described in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15Rz2D6sH3/

There are many places where multiple sedimentary rock layers are bent together beyond their fracture point but showing little or no fracturing. This was described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17iAP7E2b6/

In fact, the polystrate fossilized trees and other polystrate fossils, as well as the multiple bent rock layers show all the rock layers were laid down within a short time by a worldwide flood recently. This is explained in these 2 previous posts.

There is little or no erosion between certain rock layers even though they were supposedly laid down with very many years to have passed between them. This is described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15YfMd2CVf/

Also, fossil graveyards proof evolution and billions of years are false as described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18B7kS5MdC/

The false science of Uniformitarianism that permeates evolutionists' thoughts was described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15LzWXACBn/

Almost all dating techniques prove a young earth and that all dating techniques which supposedly yield old ages have been shown to be invalid. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DK6jsQtHC/

Many things which are supposedly ancient are not C-14 dead. This also refutes evolution and billions of years of years. This was shown in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/189bRezAjH/

The following series of posts further proves that dating techniques which supposedly yield old ages are invalid.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1AwW2fiWDv/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/198CmTmcjY/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17vxFsF7PZ/

Parentless polonium halos both in granites and flattened elliptical polonium halos concentric with circular ones in multiple rock layers show an instantaneous creation of the earth and that all rock layers were laid down within a short time recently by the flood. This is discussed in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17e7qE9Eo3/

In this post the rate of accumulation of salt in the oceans proved the oceans are not billions of years old but are consistent with a recent worldwide flood.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Epwf2aat2/

The presence of cold sub ducted slabs in the lower mantle proved refute billions of years and its sidekick evolution but are consistent with a recent worldwide flood as proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DC3VLgAJa/

The evidence furthers show that almost all “clocks” show that the earth, the universe, and everything else, are not billions of years old, or millions of years old, but thousands of years old. This further proves that anything that shows a very old age must be wrong. The only explanation for these inconsistencies is some miraculous events like 6-day creation, the fall in the garden and the worldwide flood. See the link below for more information on the evidence from all these “clocks”.

https://creation.com/age-of-the-earth

The rates of accumulation DNA mutation error in all species, especially for mitochondrial DNA, proves that all species are less than about 10,000 years, thus for the earth and its surface too. Thus, all the major branching in the descent tree of evolution have been falsified. The common descent tree is a mirage. There is no common descent at all, except variation and adaptation within created kinds. And since all species have not reached this degradation limit, living things are no more than 10,000 years old. This of course falsifies the supposed ages of the rock layers. And that proves the long age dating of things to be a false science. Evolution has been falsified. And God must have created all things. The house of cards upon which evolution and billions of years are built upon has collapsed. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1T56zaD2hF/

The presence of soft dino tissue and bio molecules which are not 50-50 racemic nor denatured in supposedly ancient rock layers also prove that the earth is not billions of years old but is consistent with a recent worldwide flood.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DY8Es7Qjm/

Many have been deceived by the already proven false no God assumption used to determine origins and the age of things as described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18CquKL5KD/

Then many false conclusions are the result of the no God assumption compounded with circular reasoning as described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18B13jzfBs/

Evolution and thus its sidekick billions of years has been disproved by many things including the circulatory system, the nervous system, the eye, flight, the Bombardier

Beetle, DNA, RNA, proteins, cells, the impossibly of abiogenies, the fossil record where all the millions of chains of missing links are still missing, the lack of partially developed organs and functionality in all living creatures, the inability to account for any of over 100 trillion genes, the non-universal codon transcription table, chloroplasts, mitochondria, flagellum, the impossibility of asexual reproduction evolving into sexual reproduction, the impossibility of prokaryotes evolving into eukaryotes, the impossibility of single cell creatures evolving into multi cell creatures, hemoglobin, chrysalis, metamorphosis, cascading blood clotting in vertebrates, the extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly universe across space and time, and a multitude of many other things. Some of these are described in the following Facebook page.

https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=61567130998189

Further information with over 2,500 posts from many creationists can be found at the following Facebook page – 6-day creation about 6000 years ago. I will hopefully add more posts in the future.

https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=61556140114922

Obviously, evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

66. The complete metamorphosis of caterpillars into butterflies disproves evolution and its sidekick billions of years. It also proves God created all things recently. During metamorphosis, a caterpillar changes into a butterfly. It does this by forming a chrysalis where much of its body breaks down and then reassembles itself into another shape. There are many other insects that also undergo complete metamorphosis. (TOC) (back)

This is described in the following articles. I have included select excerpts underneath the last link. I have added the underlining which is not in the original articles.

https://ansp.org/exhibits/online-exhibits/butterflies/lifecycle/#:~:text=Butterflies%2C%20moths%2C%20beetles%2C%20flies,eats%20different%20types%20of%20food.

https://animals.howstuffworks.com/insects/caterpillar3.htm

https://www.snexplores.org/article/explainer-animal-metamorphosis

https://www.quantamagazine.org/insect-brains-melt-and-rewire-during-metamorphosis-20230726/

https://answersingenesis.org/creepy-crawlies/insects/metamorphosis/?srsltid=AfmBOorpY_u7mT8ThYeQAn077WjNYJ2wfgIeMLwHMaVVWaYFvMg-Mv0_

“A huge remodel is going on inside. Somehow, six stubby legs must transform into long, slender ones. Leaf-munching jaws should be replaced by a nectar-sucking straw (the proboscis); simple eyes must become compound ones; and, yes, the creature must sprout four wings, which it never had before. (By the way, each species of butterfly creates its own unique color patterns by making myriads of minute scales of different colors in unique arrangements. Even the shapes of the wings differ from species to species.) An adult butterfly also requires a whole new breathing system, new innards, a new thorax, and a new abdomen.

The main behind-the-scenes workhorses are tiny packets of cells, called imaginal discs. The body begins forming scores of them on a set schedule. The discs appear throughout development in very specific places just inside the skin. They form throughout the caterpillar—wherever new body parts will be needed—before the molt into a pupa.

These imaginal discs get really busy after the pupal exoskeleton is completed. They begin to produce many cells that form totally new structures, which never existed before in the larva. The imaginal disc cells become connected to the existing skin. Some of the larval skin remains intact, but it is now under new orders to produce a radically different adult exoskeleton.

Different discs follow distinct growth patterns, like members of a marching band moving in very different ways but accomplishing the drum major's overall marching orders. Where are these orders found? These cells “read” the parts of their genetic blueprints appropriate for that section of the adult exoskeleton. (Previously and in the same way, they “read” different parts of their genetic blueprints to build the larval and the pupal exoskeleton).

At the macro level the different body parts are produced. The disc cells multiply, conform to a certain shape and make the appropriate body part with its corresponding exoskeleton. One disc makes a wing, while other discs team up to form the adult head and thorax, and so on.

The remodel can be loosely compared to a drastic home remodel. During this “quiet” pupal stage, much of the caterpillar’s digestive tract, muscles, and nervous system are demolished like a house being gutted down to the studs. Meanwhile, new adult counterparts are made. The old nervous system undergoes drastic reorganization (the nerve cells that aren’t destroyed are rearranged) resulting in a very different nervous system. This would correspond to the rewiring of the house.

The comparison to a remodel, however, eventually breaks down. After the demolition of a house, all the debris is hauled to the dump, and new building materials brought in. Not so in the pupa. The pupa doesn’t eat, so all parts must come from the materials accumulated during the larval stages. The liquefied caterpillar parts provide the building materials for the adult parts.

The imaginal discs must also make a new, much more complicated, exoskeleton. The new exoskeleton includes more than just the basic body wall. It forms the outward-projecting body parts and some of the inward-projecting body parts. The former includes legs, antennae, siphoning mouthparts, and scale-covered wings. The latter includes first and last parts of the digestive tract (foregut and hindgut) and the complex new breathing system that will power flight."

The problem with this comes down to how did a creature have its body break down and survive to reassemble itself. There is no advantage to what would certainly lead to death for natural selection to have selected. Therefore, natural selection had no options to select from. For any creature to have done this through evolution would just die. And how did the new form evolve since it could never have evolved since all creatures that die cannot then evolve to being able to the reassemble itself. This is such a glaring impossibility that it is amazing how evolutionists have not seen this.

But there are yet even more super great miracles of miracles as the caterpillar must make a chrysalis. The chrysalis is actually a 3rd form in addition to being a caterpillar before metamorphosis and a butterfly after. And there is no advantage to have the ability to make a chrysalis unless all other parts exist all the way to an adult butterfly which can mate. This is described in the following article.

https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/caterpillar-butterfly-metamorphosis-explainer/#:~:text=The%20caterpillar%2C%20or%20what%20is,molts%20into%20a%20shiny%20chrysalis.

There are many types of insects that go through complete metamorphosis. Butterflies, bees, moths, beetles, wasps, mosquitoes, ladybugs, house flies, and fleas are some of the insects that go through complete metamorphosis. And there are variations in some of the details for each. And that leads to another major problem for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS as to complete metamorphosis. These are flying insects. So not only did two of the greatest super great miracles of miracles had to have occurred to account for the creature to disassemble itself without dying and be able to reassemble itself into another form, but different variations of flying insects had to each evolve through DNA mutation ERRORS, each also a super great miracle of miracles. It is hard to say what they even evolved from what except a disassembled dead mess.

But wait there is something more astonishing. Butteries mate as butterflies. So, they had to have gone through complete metamorphosis to mate and survive as a species. And this is through sexual reproduction as a diploid. So even if somehow one had miraculously dissembled itself and then reassembled itself, it has no one to mate with. But adult butterflies have a life span of only 1 to 2 weeks. So, it only has a few days to 2 weeks for its mate to have gone through the same two of the greatest super great miracles of miracles and be in the vicinity of the first butterfly. And both had to do this in a close geographical area. Then they must find each other and mate. But even more incredible than that is that not only must these sequences of impossibilities have

happened for butterflies but also for bees, moths, beetles, wasps, mosquitoes, ladybugs, houseflies, fleas, and other types.

But wait there is something more astonishing yet. Any potential butterfly must have gone through the chrysalis phase also. And there is no mating during that phase. So, even if somehow one had miraculously dissembled itself, it had to form a chrysalis and then reassembled itself. And even then, it has no one to mate with. But adult butterflies have a life span of only 1 to 2 weeks. So, it only has a few days to 2 weeks for its mate to have gone through the same 3 of the greatest super great miracles of miracles and be in the vicinity of the first butterfly. And both had to do this in a close geographical area. Then they must find each other and mate. But even more incredible than that is that not only must this impossibility have happened for butterflies but also for bees, moths, beetles, wasps, mosquitoes, ladybugs, fleas, and other types.

In the book that I wrote entitled, “Evolution is false because it cannot explain all the unique genes that have ever existed”, there were many precepts that were proved that show that this process is even more preposterous yet. That book will be called “All the Unique Genes”. Consider all the following which will be applicable to any new functionality having supposedly evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. And this is especially true for diploids that reproduce sexually.

Sections 1 through 7 of “All the Unique Genes” established 2 models and their respective equations to approximate the odds against any new unique genes coming into existence by any means through DNA mutation ERRORS. Those models took into account that there are associated controls for protein coding genes which are contained in non-coding genes, intergenic regions, and epigenetics. Those models also took into account that there must have been a coordinated DNA mutations ERRORS path of the complete set of the protein coding gene of its associated controls, if that were even possible. And there are twice as many associated controls for diploids that reproduce sexually. Furthermore, it also took into account that not all genes would be functional within any particular organism. In fact, the vast majority would be rejected by natural selection. This is just simple probability for the case when there are such large numbers of combinations and permutations, which is the case with large amounts of DNA code, as explained in section 7 of that book. The odds against are extremely vast especially for diploids that reproduce sexually. Those odds are vastly greater than 10^6000 to 1 for an average sized gene by any means. These odds were greatly underestimated in that book. The technique used was lower bound mathematics, which ensures that if something is impossible for the lower bound it is impossible for any number greater than that.

In section 12 of “All the Unique Genes”, it was proved that even if there is only an average of a 1 in a trillion chance against these new unique genes, then evolution is false. Now any new functionality would require at least 10 or 100 or 1000 or many more new unique protein coding genes plus their associated controls. This was proved in section 16 of that book. And each of the 2 transitions for the progression of a caterpillar to a chrysalis to a butterfly would require (over 800 of for chrysalis and over 12,000 for

the butterfly) with a total the over 12,000 new unique genes. Thus, the total for each of these would require over 12,000 new unique genes would have odds against that would cause the average odds against to greatly exceed this required threshold of a 1 in a trillion chance as established in section 12. Thus, that is more than 12,000 proofs against evolution just for the complete metamorphosis of caterpillars to butterflies. And each of the following would also have 10,000 or more proofs against evolution: - bees, moths, beetles, wasps, mosquitoes, ladybugs, fleas, and other types.

Now any new functionality would require at least 10 or 100 or 1000 or many more new unique protein coding genes plus their associated controls. This was proved in section 16 of that book. Thus, each of these at least 10 or 100 or 1000 or many more new unique genes would have odds against that would cause the average odds against to greatly exceed this required threshold of a 1 in a trillion chance as established in section 12. Thus, that is 10 or 100 or 1000 or many more proofs against evolution just for any new functionality.

Furthermore, it was established in section 16, that it is impossible for new functionality to come into existence by any means since the transition from old functionality to new functionality many times requires that the during the transition the old and new must coexists at the same time. And the old functionality should be removed at some point, but such removal had never been tried before, so it is virtually impossible to have succeeded without being selected against by natural section. And the new functionality would only work if all or almost of the new unique genes for that new functionality were all present. But then the new functionality had never been tried before. So, it is virtually impossible to have succeeded when that new functionality was first tried without it being selected against by natural section. Furthermore, at some time during the long time leading up to all the new unique protein coding genes of that new functionality being mutated into their final form, one or more of them would not have been expressed or for all intents and purposes not expressed. But that leads to those protein coding genes, that are at some times were not expressed or for all intents and purposes not expressed, would have misplaced stop codons which would persist until now. This is due to the great persistence of misplaced stop codons scattered through a protein coding gene. That is not observed in any species today. All these refute the theory of evolution.

Furthermore, the removal of the old functionality would have left lots of “junk” DNA in the genome of the species, that should be still there today. And this is true of all previous removals of all old functionality over the vast eons and trillions of generations since the first living cell. That amount of “junk” DNA percentage would be greater than 90% or even 99% since “junk” DNA does not reset with “new species”. This is an absolute requirement and prediction of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. But there is no or very little “junk” DNA in any organism of any species living today. Certainly, it is far less than the greater than 90% or even 99% predicted and required by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And it illogical and unscientific to label the last small percentage of DNA as “junk” DNA. Just because a function has yet been found does not prove there is no functionality. Also, there should be some form of

vestigial systems, subsystems, organs, tissues, etc. from the removal of all previous removals of all old functionality over the eons and trillions of generations since the first living cell, since vestigial things do reset with “new species”. That is also not observed in any species today. All these refute the theory of evolution.

Furthermore, this should be also observed in the fossil record. But the fossil record is missing millions of chains of missing links. No chain has ever been found. The fossil record shows disparate fully formed species. All these refute the theory of evolution.

Now butterflies are diploids that reproduce sexually. As diploids that reproduce sexually, they have paired chromosomes. Any structural mismatch between any paired chromosomes will be either deadly or lead to less offspring. And thus, will be rejected by natural selection. But almost all new unique genes would have come into existence from some mechanism that leads to a chromosome mismatch of some kind. The only exception would be by a DNA mutation ERRORS process only, which still has vast odds against each such gene. This was proved by several things in sections 1 through 7 of “All the Unique Genes”. Thus, for the over 12,000 new unique genes that would have had to come into existence to evolve these transitions for the progression of a caterpillar to a chrysalis to a butterfly, that would be over 12,000 more new unique genes. Thus, it would be certain that any such jump would have resulted death or led to less offspring. And thus, would be rejected by natural selection. And if somehow instead over 12,000 of these all at once, which has been proved to be the case in this case, it happened in a succession of many smaller jumps, each small jump would have resulted in death or led to less offspring. And thus, will be rejected by natural selection. Each time there are these chromosome mismatches, natural selection will reject such from making it into the genome of a species many times before any would actually make into a species. It may be rejected more than 10x or 100x or 1000s of times or an infinity number of times depending on the total amount of chromosome mismatches in the jump. So, if supposedly one caterpillar got all these genetic mutations at once to make it through the chrysalis stage and then to a butterfly, almost all such would be rejected by natural selection. But if after 12,000 more times that one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles did happen once, then there had to be another one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles for another caterpillar with all the same genes and intergenic regions, with exactly the same sizes and same locations in its DNA. And this must have happened within days of the other caterpillar in a close geographic area who by some chance found and mated with each other. This is far more preposterous than the abiogenesis of the first living cell.

Now, the following discusses the total odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS against over 12,000 new unique genes coming into existence for new functionality. It also discusses the total time it would take. The total odds against would be approximately the product of the individual odds against. And the total time it would take would be approximately the sum of the individual times each would take. Here are calculations worked out for various examples of new functionality of different total sizes for both diploids that reproduce sexually and for haploids. All of the following are diploids with most reproducing sexually butterflies but also for bees, moths, beetles,

wasps, mosquitoes, ladybugs, fleas, and other types. For those that reproduce sexually, the calculations for diploids are applicable. For those that reproduce asexually, the calculations for haploids would be more appropriate. And the entry for each of these is for the 10,000 new unique gene case, although it is probably more genes than that.

For diploids that reproduce sexually:
For new functionality consisting of 10,000 new unique genes, has odds against on average per new unique gene that are far greater than 10^6000 to 1. These are rejected at a rate of about 10,000x or more. So, the total odds against are far greater than 10^(600 billion) to 1, possibly infinite odds against. And it would take far greater than 10^(600 billion) years for this to happen and possibly never.

For new functionality consisting of 1000 new unique genes, has odds against on average per new unique gene that are far greater than 10^6000 to 1. These are rejected at a rate of about 1000x or more. So, the total odds against are far greater than 10^(6 billion) to 1, possibly infinite odds against. And it would take far greater than 10^(6 billion) years for this to happen and possibly never.

For new functionality consisting of 100 new unique genes, has odds against on average per new unique gene that are far greater than 10^6000 to 1. These are rejected at a rate of about 100x or more. So, the total odds against are far greater than 10^(60 million) to 1, possibly infinite odds against. And it would take far greater than 10^(60 million) years for this to happen, and possibly never.

For new functionality consisting of just 10 new unique genes, has odds against on average per new unique gene that are far greater than 10^6000 to 1. These are rejected at a rate of about 10x or more. So, the total odds against are far greater than 10^(60,000) to 1, possibly infinite odds against. And it would take far greater than 10^(600,000) years for this to happen, and possibly never.

The odds against and times it would have taken to have happened for all functionality in all species that have ever existed are similar to the above numbers.

Here is the problem with chromosome mismatches. If a new gene did spontaneously come into existence in an organism, whether a spontaneously created new gene or from a copy of an existing gene or any other means except by mutation only, it is in general a disadvantage for an organism that is of a diploid species that reproduces sexually. Diploids have chromosomes which come in pairs with one set from each parent. Haploids do not have paired chromosomes. First, this event must produce a corresponding gene of the same size, and at the same location on the homologous paired chromosome, else it is a structural chromosomal mismatch, sometimes called a chromosomal disorder, and will very likely be selected against by natural selection as discussed below. Secondly, for this gene to make it into the population of that species, when this organism was to mate, its offspring would get a chromosome from one parent which has the new gene, and a homologous chromosome from a parent which does not have the new gene. This leads to a situation which is in general disadvantageous to that

offspring, and natural selection selects against that gene from making it into that population. And this initial barrier is always present in diploid species that use sexual reproduction. This is a structural chromosomal mismatch. Structural chromosomal mismatches are in general selected against by natural selection. These can be detrimental to viability or possibly cause death at an early age before any offspring will be produced, or infertility, or reduced fertility, and any offspring may have the same problems. Many chromosomal mismatches are not inherited at all. So, in that case, they will not make it into the population of that species. Structural chromosomal mismatches will just be referred to as the more general term of chromosomal mismatches in throughout this book.

And, even if one offspring did get the new gene after one generation of one organism with the new gene mating with another organism that did not have the new gene, that offspring will have to find a mate who either has the new gene or it will need to mate with an organism that does not have the new gene. So, that new offspring will still have a disadvantage which natural selection selects against. This problem will continue for some number of generations until a large enough population, that has the new gene, emerges within a close enough geographical region that would be species dependent.

So, one possible path is detrimental trying to mate individual creatures with a different number of genes on homologous chromosomes, thus a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And this barrier, which always exists in diploids that reproduce sexually, continues for some number of generations until a large enough population within a close enough geographical region emerges which has the new gene. Thus, this is a disadvantage in terms of natural selection, and this barrier must be overcome many times until that happens. The other path has inbreeding in a small population which has the new gene. This in general may also be disadvantageous to that offspring, and natural selection selects against that gene from making it into that population. But even if it did, that small population would still be of the same kind. This is just one aspect of variation and adaptation within created kinds.

So, to recap, this is what happened to a caterpillar once upon a time. It somehow evolved the ability to make a chrysalis, disassembled itself without dying, and reassembled itself as a butterfly that could fly. All this, with well over 1000 new unique genes, must have happened all simultaneously in one creature. Then within a few days to 2 weeks later, another caterpillar must have done the exact same thing in the exact same manner. And both of these 2 butterflies must have had the exact same set of all these new unique genes with the exact same sizes and same exact locations of their respective homologous pairs of genes. The odds against this happening are truly mind boggling and the time it would take defies all imagination. And these multiples of some of the greatest super great miracles of miracles must have not only occurred within days of each other, but in a very nearby location, and they somehow found each other and mated. But these multiples of some of the greatest super great miracles of miracles still will not work because there still would have to overcome through enough generations so that a population within a small geographic area emerges. Note that caterpillars must have at one time been able to mate before this because there would have been no

caterpillars before the first complete metamorphosis of 2 butterflies occurred. After all, before this happened, they could not have mated as butterflies because butterflies did not exist. Thus, somehow caterpillars must have lost the ability to mate after they started mating as butterflies. And all this was repeated for bees, moths, beetles, wasps, mosquitoes, ladybugs, fleas and others.

Post 63 of this book proves that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS could never have produced the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. This proves that evolution, billions of years, common descent are false, and that God created all things recently. The following Facebook link proves this. It contains a general discussion, precepts, and proofs that can be used by others concerning the failure of evolution to account for all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Hb9iR4T4c/

Post 101 of this book proved that it is impossible for any new functionality, organs, tissues, systems, subsystems, etc. to come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. The odds against are incomprehensibly vast, and time it would take is unimaginable. The following Facebook post proves this. It contains a general discussion, precepts, and proofs that can be used by others concerning the failure of evolution to account for any new functionality in any species that can be used by others concerning the failure of evolution.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EAsu5nGid/

Now all these things require many genes which could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific sequence of DNA code letters, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. It must give the exact location of each DNA mutation error and what DNA nucleotide base pair that it became. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

Some evolutionists will try to argue that there are less evolved versions of similar functionality and try to imply that they are not irreducibly complex. But in all cases, they are fully functional and irreducibly complex. So, this just adds to the proofs against evolution. Obviously, evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA code for genes shows that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms with longer intergenerational times. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, not only will ERRORS never produce any significant useful information whether for DNA or text, they will absolutely destroy any significant useful information that does exist whether for DNA or text. In fact, the destruction rate caused by the accumulation of ERRORS, whether the DNA or text, is vastly greater than the miniscule or non-existent rate of the creation of information of either kind. And the accumulation of these DNA mutation ERRORS will eventually destroy the functionality of all genes, and all biomolecules that they produce. And of course, that includes all organs, tissue, functions, system, subsystems, etc. in all species. This is similar to how all things made by mankind eventually end up useless and eventually end up completely destroyed with enough time. So deep time does not help at all. It has no power to create new design. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time, the false god of evolution, to less than about 10,000 years, thus eliminating deep time forever. That also proves that all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent. Part 2 of “All the Unique Genee” gives many proofs that prove that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false. So, that assumption can never be used in determining the origin of things, and that God created all things recently.

For further details see the following Facebook posts,

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1w3KhDi5iVPCXprx/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/j9dtBbWsgb3Lpkku/

Evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS could never have produced complete metamorphosis from caterpillars to butterflies. Nor could this have happened for bees, moths, beetles, wasps, mosquitoes, ladybugs and fleas. It is truly preposterous to even suggest it.

https://youtu.be/ocWgSgMGxOc?si=abKyFV5N5c49MxDn

http://www.monarchs-and-milkweed.com/Monarch%20Life%20Cycle.htm

Evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

https://www.snexplores.org/article/explainer-animal-metamorphosis

67. The duck billed platypus and the echidna refute evolution and billions of years, and prove God created all things. (TOC) (back)

Before continuing with the platypus, the following should be noted. It is impossible for mammals to have evolved from reptiles through DNA mutation ERRORS. It is also impossible for birds to have evolved from reptiles through DNA mutation ERRORS. It is also impossible for monotremes to have evolved from mammals through DNA mutation ERRORS. And it is impossible for eggs to have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. I plan to post about these in the future.

Here are some articles which describe the platypus.

https://www.nhm.ac.uk/discover/the-platypus-puzzle.html

https://answersingenesis.org/mammals/platypus-one-kind/?srsltid=AfmBOopEWpnHqj4S8yDMNL4EFSK-BuTq-9rXq6LwXHG1q0yLs2vLGBc5

https://creation.com/the-platypus

https://www.nhm.ac.uk/discover/the-platypus-puzzle.html

https://creationwithoutcompromise.com/2021/11/17/the-perplexing-platypus/

https://thecreationclub.com/its-more-fun-to-be-a-creationist-the-platypus/

https://www.pbs.org/wnet/nature/blog/platypus-fact-sheet/#

https://www.icr.org/article/glow-in-the-dark-platypuses-illuminate-the-creator

The duck billed platypus has features of a mammal, a bird and a reptile. They present a puzzle and insurmountable challenge for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. The platypus belongs to the order monotreme, named such because they have only one opening used for reproduction, urination and defecation. This matches reptiles, but mammals use a separate hole for defecation. Although the platypus is classified as a mammal, it has significant differences from most mammals. The platypus and echidnas have no stomach. Reptiles, all other mammals, and birds have stomachs. Monotremes do not have a placenta but lay eggs. This matches reptiles and birds but is the opposite of mammals. All birds have feathers, the platypus does not. And no reptile and no other mammal has feathers. The platypus has fur like other mammals. Reptiles and birds do not. Although the platypus and other monotremes have mammary glands like other

mammals, they do not have nipples as other mammals do. They secrete milk onto their fur instead.

The platypus and echidnas have the ability of electroreception. This allows them to sense low frequency electric signals with their bill when searching for food underwater and in mud. These signals are generated by muscle contractions when their prey moves. The platypus has about 40,000 electroreceptors in its bill. One echidna species has about 2000 electroreceptors and another only about 400. These species are one of the few that have these in mammals. A certain dolphin has electroreceptors also. So, this means there are 2 super great miracles for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS to account for these in mammals.

The platypus also has 10 sex chromosomes, 5 X and 5 Y, which matches no creature at all. Echidnas have 9 sex chromosomes, 5 X and 4 Y. Most mammals have only 2 sex chromosomes, X and Y. This reorganization of sex chromosomes and the DNA they contain also refutes evolution and billions of years.

The fur of platypuses and echidnas are bio fluorescent under ultraviolet light. There are a number of mammal species which have bio fluorescence, yet these are scattered among mammalian species which are not descended from a near common ancestor. So again, more problems for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS.

The following article describes a very perplexing thing that evolution cannot account for In platypuses. I have included an excerpt from the article. I added the underlining which is not in the original article.

https://www.icr.org/article/does-oddball-platypus-genome-reveal-its-origins

“The team also focused on genes that handle the platypus’ unique blood system. In most mammals and humans, three specific molecules routinely manage heme and hemoglobin recycling. Heme molecules left to drift within body systems would kill. Animal life therefore depends on proper heme processing. But the platypus is missing one of those three management molecules that other mammals typically have. The study authors suggest that it uses a different molecule.

Chickens use a CD163-type molecule in their blood processing, and the platypus has its own version of that—presumably doing something—in its own body cells.
The *Nature* study authors wrote that “CD163 family protein(s) may have evolved this role [heme management] in monotremes.”1

But how could this unique platypus blood-processing system have evolved if the animal couldn’t survive without this function to begin with?

The statement that platypus heme management proteins evolved commits the same circular reasoning fallacy as the egg argument above. But this time, the statement ignores the irreducible complexity of blood processing. What molecules processed

blood while the CD163 proteins were supposedly evolving “this role in monotremes?” The creatures would have immediately died without its blood and blood managers fully functional from the start.”

Since the platypus has features of a mammal, a bird a reptile, lays eggs, and has no placenta, it is important to examine from what it supposedly evolved from and when. Now according to evolutionary theory, the following are supposed to have happened.

Mammals supposedly evolved from reptiles about 312 to 225 million years ago.
Birds supposedly evolved from reptiles about 165 to 150 million years ago.
Mammals stopped laying eggs about 160 million years ago.
Placental mammals supposedly evolved about 163 to 157 million years ago.
Monotremes supposedly evolved from mammals about 150 million years ago.
Dinosaurs died out supposedly about 65 million years ago.

From the above information a number of observations can be made. First the most obvious is there are large ranges in these ages. So, there is much uncertainty of what could have evolved from what. Secondly, it should be noted that there are a number of variants that are quoted for these ages. Thirdly, these ages have been subject to change over the last 100 years or so. So, is this the final version or will it be changed yet again? Also note that sometimes very precise dates are given, as in 163 million years ago. That is not a scientific number. Also note, that platypus supposedly evolved 150 million years ago but mammals had started using placentas and not eggs about 163 to 157 million years ago. That is after mammals had stopped using eggs, the platypus starts uses eggs. So, this is the supposed sequence. Mammals stopped using eggs but used placentas, then platypuses evolved from that. But then the platypus would have then had to switch back to using eggs and have stopped having a placenta. By the way, each of these transitions are all impossible through DNA mutation ERRORS. Now some will argue that these numbers are not that accurate, or they could find a different set of ages for these somewhere. But based on the large range of ages given, ages can be selected from these ranges which shows similar contradictions. Finally, why is there no definitive answer to the origin and ages of anything with evolution? Because evolution is the theory of nothing since it does not have a definitive answer to the origin of anything.

Why is there only 1 platypus species? Platypuses have supposedly been around for tens of millions of years. They are found in eastern Australia, King Island, and Tasmania. In northern Australia, they live in a tropical environment. In Tasmania, they can be found even in snowy mountains. That should be enough of a variation in environments for evolution to have produced more than one species of platypuses after tens of millions of years. And consider that there are 13 species of otters.

Now supposedly the platypus and the echidna evolved from an early type of mammal. But why hasn’t that branch-off died out completely? There are no similar species to the monotremes. The more modern mammals supposedly have out competed the monotreme branch-off completely except in the few species of monotremes. In Australia

there are and have been many reptiles and mammals. In Australia there were dinosaurs. That same competition has driven the branch of early type mammals to extinction almost everywhere except in the few species of monotremes. There are other aquatic mammal competitors in the same environmental niches as the platypus in eastern Australia. Also consider that evolutionists theorize that the reason there are only a few species of monotremes in Australia left is because of the supposed Marsupial invasion about 50 million years ago. But marsupials are even threatened by the other mammals. Note that both marsupials and more modern mammals lay eggs which may provide a definite advantage over the egg laying monotreme mammals. So, the more modern mammals and marsupials should have caused the monotremes to go extinct.

The fossil evidence for platypuses shows they are the same as modern platypuses. In fact, the platypus has been called a living fossil.

The platypus and echidnas present both an unsolvable puzzle and insurmountable hurdle for evolution and billions of years. They just don't fit as well into the classification system that was started in 1758 by Carolus Linnaeus. But what really presents the problem for evolution is that that classification system, which can exist without any common descent assumptions, does not translate well into a common descent tree with the times assigned arbitrarily by evolutionists. The supposed common descent trees must match the order of fossils found in the rock layers and the rock layers must match their assigned order and assigned fossils. And the times of supposed branch-offs must match the assigned rock layers ages with those fossils. Then all this must match the arrangements of continents when that becomes a factor. And then the dating of rocks and fossils must match all the above. And then there are all the details which must all match too. But all of this has been refuted entirely. The following link proves this. Please follow the Facebook posts given in this proof.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/188B9Waj78/

God created the platypus which shows His great creativity in producing such variety of amazing creatures. But God also made the platypus an enigma to prove that He created all things, and that evolution and billions of years are false. A similar thing can be seen as God made butterflies to give beauty to be enjoyed. They also spread pollen which helps produce food. But God made the caterpillars to go through complete metamorphosis to prove that He created all things, and that evolution and billions of years are false.

The complete metamorphosis of caterpillars into butterflies was covered in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15DBAjXteA/

The following link gives more information about the platypus.

https://www.nhm.ac.uk/discover/the-platypus-puzzle.html

68. It is impossible for enzymes to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. There are over a trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) unique enzymes that have existed in all species that have existed. And there are over a trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) unique protein coding genes that produce enzymes that have existed in all species that have existed. (TOC) (back)

The following are links to articles that describe what enzymes are and what their critical role is in all living things.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK9921/

https://bio.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Introductory_and_General_Biology/Map%3A_Raven_Biology_12th_Edition/06%3A_Energy_and_Metabolism/6.04%3A_Enzymes-_Biological_Catalysts

https://www.acs.org/education/celebrating-chemistry-editions/2021-ncw/enzymes.html

Enzymes refute evolution. Their functionality is essential to the survival and replication of all cells and thus all living things. But it is impossible for enzymes to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. Almost all enzymes are proteins. Thus, they are the result of expressed DNA protein coding genes. There are over a trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) unique enzymes that have existed in all species that have existed. And there are over a trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) unique protein coding genes that produce enzymes that have existed in all species that have existed. The main function of enzymes is to catalyze crucial biochemical reactions. They do that by reducing the activation energy of these biochemical reactions. Without their catalytic functionality, these reactions will either never happen or would require vast amounts of time. But those reactions are involved in all aspects of the functionality, survival and replication of cells, and thus living things. Not a single function could be carried out by any cell without these enzymes. All cells would die within a short time if these enzymes did not function. Each of these enzymes are very specific to their role in a particular biochemical reaction. So, there is no way DNA mutation ERRORS could have produced these enzymes without the very specific biochemical reaction already existing and operating at their fast rates. But the biochemical reaction could have never functioned at all or at a vastly too slow rate without the particular enzyme. So, DNA mutation ERRORS could never have produced that particular biochemical reaction. And this is true for over 1 trillion enzymes (possibly over 10 trillion) and their associated DNA protein coding gene. So, that is over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) proofs against evolution, common descent, billions of years, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. And of course, that is over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) proofs that God created everything recently.

Please note, that this same impossible to resolve contradiction would also refute any supposed first living thing before a cell with DNA, RNA and proteins. That would include RNA only, RNA and proteins, RNA and proteins and something else, RNA and something else, protein only, protein and something else, and something else only. And

almost all functionality needs enzymes to function. So, that proves that almost all functionality in all species that have ever existed could not have been produced by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. Thus, almost all functionalities are proofs against evolution, common descent, billions of years, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” and prove God created everything recently. Furthermore, almost all branch offs in the evolution descent tree would have required new functionality. Thus, the entire evolution descent tree must be false.

For a more detailed discussion of how all new functionalities are proofs against evolution, common descent, billions of years, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, and prove God created everything recently, see post 101 of this book.

As proved prior and later in this book and by many others many times, all observations prove evolution, billions of years and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently. So observational science refutes evolution, billions of years and common descent.

Experiments by intelligent scientists using intelligent interventions and controlled environments are being done by intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. Thus, it does not reflect what has happened in the past or in the present in the wild without intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. So, what scientists can accomplish does not show what happened without scientists and thus is no proof at all.

Thought experiments and philosophical speculations are of course worthless. And any simulation is just based on a model. There is no way to accurately carry out any simulation for what happened in the past to make a first living cell. And there is no way to design any simulation to show how all these new unique genes coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS that would be valid. All would be based on many false assumptions.

Scientists could conduct experiments which have minimal intervention. Although still not a reflection of what happened in the wild without scientists using intelligently designed procedures, they could shed some light on these issues.

Here are some examples of experiments with minimum intervention concerning enzymes. Take any organism of any species and remove the genes that produce any essential enzyme. First do this to a haploid. Then to a diploid that reproduces sexually but to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then do this to both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Now do as many variations as possible choosing different species and different enzymes for each species. How did the organisms do? Did they survive? Could they reproduce? If these creatures died or could not reproduce, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no enzyme could have come into existence through such a mechanism. Either way, this proves that enzymes are irreducibly complex.

Now the next set of tests are to see if an enzyme could come into existence by any means. Start with the above tests but this time insert DNA code from various sources whether from the same species or any other species. Construct a gene from random DNA code and insert into the same organism to see if this would be a replacement for the removed enzyme. Do this to a haploid, and to diploids that reproduce sexually first to one chromosome and then both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Run as many variants as possible of species, what enzyme is removed, and different random DNA code. Now do all of the following with all the same types of variants above with as possible variants as possible. Copy a protein coding gene, copy a non-coding gene, copy an intergenic region. Also copy any exon or intron. Also get new DNA code from frame shifts, insertions, deletions, and other supposed source of new genes according to evolutionists. Did they survive? Could they reproduce? If these creatures died or could not reproduce, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no enzymes could have come into existence through such mechanisms.

Now the next set of tests are to determine if an enzyme producing gene could have mutated into a functional gene that produced a functional enzyme. Take an enzyme producing gene with at least 500 base pairs. Introduce mutations with about 10% of the number of those base pairs. Try this for a haploid, and to diploids that reproduce sexually first to one chromosome and then both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Try such any gene in any species. Also try this for larger genes sizes up to 10,000 base pairs. Also introduce only a 5% mutation percentage. Run as many variants of these tests as possible. Did they survive? Could they reproduce? If these creatures died or could not reproduce, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no enzyme could have come into existence through such a stepwise manner through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Now redo all these tests with all of these variants (10% and 5% mutation percents), but now instead of modifying the genes that produce enzymes, do this to the genes that produce the proteins that carry out the associated biochemical reactions. There should be more of these for each enzyme. So, try all associated genes and even variants of different subsets of these genes, up to and including all of the associated genes. Also, mutated the genes that produce the enzymes. Run as many variants of these tests as possible. Did they survive? Could they reproduce? If these creatures died or could not reproduce, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no intron could have come into existence through such a mechanism. Either way, this proves that enzymes or biochemical reactions that require enzymes could never have come into existence through such a stepwise manner through DNA mutation ERRORS. There are very many other falsification tests that will prove that enzymes refute evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” and prove God created all things recently.

Now, evolutionists may object to all these tests and that they do not prove evolution is false. But there are no observations of enzymes coming into existence by any means without God. And there is no record of any of it ever happening in the past. And all analyses show that it is impossible. So, they only thing left is to try and show that it is possible through a rigorous set of tests which have to be evaluated by groups of young earth creationists. That must be part of any valid peer review system. There is nothing else left for evolution to support any of its claims. And these failures will eliminate the last claim that evolution is science. If these tests fail or evolutionists refuse to carry out all these tests, then evolution is false. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately. And all courses, courseware, book, papers must be modified accordingly. And a worldwide announcement should be made in all media worldwide immediately. And that God created all things recently has been proved. And as each of these tests fail, these failures should be reported in all media worldwide immediately with all of their implications.

Here is an example of how enzymes and the biochemical reactions they catalyze are mutually dependent. Certain proteins, such as ATP synthase, produce ATP which is a molecule that stores and provides most of the energy used by cells. But ATP is required to make proteins including the proteins such as ATP synthase that make ATP. So, how could proteins such as ATP synthase that make ATP have been produced since they require ATP for their very existence?

ATP is required for DNA to RNA transcription. ATP is required for RNA translation leading to protein synthesis, and thus survival. ATP is required for DNA replication and thus reproduction. Without ATP there would be no DNA, no RNA, no proteins, no cells, and no living things. Many things in living things require ATP to work.

ATP is a very specific molecule consisting of 47 atoms in a specific arrangement and does not originate naturally outside of cells. It is inconceivable that living things through random DNA mutation ERRORS, which have absolutely no intelligence at all, could ever have figured out such a large specific molecule, nor the detailed chemical processes to produce such a molecule. Proteins that produce ATP such as ATP synthase could never have come into being through DNA mutation ERRORS. There are a number of genes that are involved in the synthesis of ATP producing proteins, and some have sizes in the 20k to 40k base pair range, with odds against greater than 10^12,000 to 1 and 10^24,000 to 1 respectively. Those proteins must have always been there from the very first cell.

For it to be ATP, it must be the exact 47 atom molecule in its specific arrangement else it does nothing. And all chemical reactions must be in place else it does nothing. Note almost all parts of a cell today use ATP, so they would have had to switch from something else to ATP at some point. But that would require simultaneous changes in the DNA code, in how it lives, survive, and reproduces in the very same creature. And this would have to be for all parts that used something else but now use ATP all at once or one at a time or some at the same time. Thus, one of the greatest super great

miracles of miracles of all time must have happened or a large number of super great miracles of miracles must have happened.

In fact, ATP is needed to provide the energy necessary to link nucleotides into RNA strands. And without RNA strands there is no survival for any cell, no survival for a living thing, no reproduction of cells or any living thing. And no ATP. Thus, ATP must have predated DNA, RNA, and proteins in living things. But that is impossible since ATP requires DNA, RNA, and proteins, such as ATP synthase to exist.

This impossible to resolve ATP conundrum for evolution just adds to the overwhelming case against abiogenesis. In fact, a detailed analysis of almost all parts of a cell shows that none of them could have come into being afterward but must have been there in the very first cells. And one of the main reasons is that such things would require many simultaneous super great miracles of miracles in the very same creature as they are required for the cell to function, survive, and to reproduce.

69. The faint young sun paradox refutes billions of years and its sidekick evolution. In an attempt to rescue evolution and billions of years, some have even proposed a pagan goddess, Gaia, as the solution. (TOC) (back)

The paradox is that if the sun is supposedly about 4.6 billion years old, then it should have significantly increased in brightness during the early half of the earth's history. That means that the sun would have been much fainter during the time that life first supposedly arose and evolved on earth, which supposedly happened about 3.8 billion years ago. But the earth would have been frozen during that time and thus life would not have emerged and evolved during that time. This is described in the following articles. I have included select excerpts underneath the link. I have added the underlining which is not in the original articles.

https://creation.com/the-young-faint-sun-paradox-and-the-age-of-the-solar-system

"we find that a 25% increase in solar luminosity increases the average temperature of the Earth by about 18°C. Since the current average temperature of the Earth is 15°C, the average temperature of the Earth 3.8 billion years ago would have been below freezing (-3°C). Thus when life supposedly was just beginning, much of the Earth would have been frozen."

"If the Earth had ever been mostly covered with ice year round, then its average temperature would have been even cooler than the -3°C mentioned above. The increased ice cover would increase the reflectivity of the Earth, reducing the heat absorbed from the Sun. This is a common problem with the popular idea of multiple ice ages—once one commences in earnest, the increased reflectivity due to additional ice cover leads to decreased solar heat absorption that is difficult to reverse toward a warmer climate. (The Oard model of a single post-Flood Ice Age caused by warm oceans and volcanic dust in the atmosphere does not have this problem.5 As the

volcanic dust eventually dissipated, the oceans provided the heat to melt back much of the ice cover.)"

https://answersingenesis.org/astronomy/sun/young-faint-sun-paradox-new-solution/?srsltid=AfmBOooVEjCySoyNI_sM2ehDmgF1KjWlg-z0Jch9YixPqvFuawA4_1Zc

"For instance, some proposed greenhouse gases would have resulted in increased cloud cover on earth, with clouds reflecting away much of the incoming energy that the greenhouse gases were supposed to hold in. If the incoming radiation never reached the ground, then the mechanism to avoid the young faint sun paradox could not work."

https://creation.com/young-sun-paradox

"A further problem is that evolutionary scientists need the earth relatively warm for the evolution of life, which would be impossible within their paradigm if the earth is totally frozen over. The issue is even more of a puzzle since some evolutionary scientists believe that the ocean water, which would heat the atmosphere, was extremely hot back then, around 55–85°C!9"

https://www.icr.org/article/evidence-for-young-sun

https://www.icr.org/article/young-faint-sun-paradox-age-solar-system/

"The implausibility of such a process has caused Lovelock to propose his Gaia hypothesis.2,3 According to this, the biosphere (consisting of Earth's oceans, atmosphere, crust, and all living things) constitutes a sort of super organism that has evolved. Life has developed in such a way that the atmosphere has been altered to protect it in the face of increasing solar luminosity. Lovelock's hypothesis has not been generally accepted, largely because of the spiritual implications. Indeed, it does seem to lead to a mystical sort of view."

"The physical principles that cause the early faint Sun paradox are well established, so astrophysicists are confident that the effect is real. Consequently, evolutionists have a choice of two explanations as to how Earth has maintained nearly constant temperature in spite of a steadily increasing influx of energy. In the first alternative, one can believe that through undirected change, the atmosphere has evolved to counteract heating. At best this means that the atmosphere has evolved through a series of states of unstable equilibrium or even non-equilibrium. Individual living organisms do something akin to this, driven by complex instructions encoded into DNA. Death is a process in which the complex chemical reactions of life ceases and cells rapidly approach chemical equilibrium. Short of some guiding intelligence or design, a similar process for the atmosphere seems incredibly improbable. Any sort of symbioses or true feedback with the Sun is entirely out of the question. On the other hand, one can believe that some sort of life force has directed the atmosphere's evolution through this ordeal. Most find

the teleological or spiritual implications of this unpalatable, though there is a trend in this direction in physics.4,5"

"Of course, there is a third possibility. Perhaps the Earth/Sun system is not billions of years old and so there has not been a 40% increase in solar luminosity. If Earth were recently created and designed to have the kind of atmosphere that it has now and the Sun has not changed appreciably in luminosity, then the young faint Sun paradox has been resolved. While the early faint Sun paradox does not tell us that the Solar System is only thousands of years old, it does seem to rule out the age being billions of years."

To explain away the science and facts of this paradox, some evolutionists have proposed that in the past that the earth was much closer to the sun, or that the sun was bigger. But both of these are against science and facts. Some have proposed a vastly different atmosphere in the early earth. But there is no record of these. And each conjecture actually makes the situation worse as described in the articles above. Some would cause clouds which reflect sunlight back into space and reduce the earth's temperature even more.

One solution proposed is that there was a great balancing act in the past that kept the temperature constant. But the supposed history of the earth's temperature for the last almost 500 million years shows that that there has not been a very stable temperature at all.

https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.adk3705

Finally, some have even proposed a goddess with mystical powers to balance and care for the earth. This is just paganism pretending to be science.

The cold hard fact is that a frozen earth would have prevented life from emerging on the earth about 3.8 billion years ago. And that then refutes not only the supposed date of abiogenesis but pushes back the rest of the supposed evolutionist timeline. And the rest comes tumbling down like dominos.

There is abundant proof that the earth is not billions of years at all. The following article lists many.

https://creation.com/age-of-the-earth

70. Evolutionists have God Almighty envy. So, they deny that the real God Almighty exists and have invented their own almighty god, enough time. Not only that, but they ignore the fact that the odds against evolution and billions of years prove that they are certainly false. And instead have flipped reality on its head and assumed that evolution and billions of years are absolutely certainly true, all done with the no God assumption. (TOC) (back)

This is written out of deep love for you and all whom you know and love. If at any time you are convinced that God did indeed create all things, then if you want, you can skip down to where it says, "**The gospel of Christ**". Please spend the time to consider this as it is the most important issue for everyone.

When analyzing how things came into being, odds against each can be calculated. Odds against an event can be expressed as some number to 1. If the event is impossible, then the odds against that event can be expressed as some number to 0. An alternative is to express the odds that something might happen. Odds that an event might happen can be expressed as 1 out of some number. If the event is impossible, the odds that an event happened can be expressed as 0 out of some number. The total odds against a number of independent events all occurring are the product of all the individual odds against. If at least one event is impossible, the total odds against will can be expressed as some number to 0. For odds that a number of independent events will all occur, the odds that all will occur is the product of the odds of all the individual events occurring. If at least one of those events is impossible, the total odds of all events occurring can be express as 0 out of some number.

Some events such as the universe coming into being from nothing or the first living creature coming into being from non-living chemicals are impossible. The fact that the universe is extremely finely tuned, and extremely orderly across time and space is also impossible to have come into being from nothing. These are covered in the following posts.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18fPawi4Af/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1AyB3BUJgi/

Other events such as a eukaryote supposedly evolving from a prokaryote is also impossible. As is an organism using sexual reproduction evolving from an organism that used asexual reproduction only. As is a multi-cell creature evolving from a single cell creature. There are a number of other things that are impossible besides these. Some of these are covered in the following posts.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15Pwy1q66E/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19iByuK6G4/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15Q67WeouH/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15eckLq5v2/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/182L4nEKHK/

Many things such as genes coming into being through DNA mutation ERRORS have vast odds against, typically with odds against each on average of over 10^10,000 to 1.

And there are over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion or many more unique genes) that have existed in all species that have ever existed. Now odds that large are very hard to fathom. So here is an example. The odds against winning the Power Ball jackpot using a single ticket are about 292 million to 1. There are 3 drawings per week so 156 drawings per year. So, the odds against winning all 156 jackpots in a year with just 1 ticket per drawing is over 45 billion to 1 or over 4.5x10^10 to 1. So, the over 10^10,000 to 1 odds against just one of these genes coming into being through DNA mutation ERRORS is the equivalent of wining all 156 Power Ball jackpots every year for a trillion times a trillion times a trillion … times a trillion years where "a trillion times" is repeated over 550 times. And the total odds against all these over 100 trillion unique genes that have existed in all creatures that have ever existed coming into being through DNA mutation ERRORS is the equivalent of wining all 156 Power Ball jackpots every year for a trillion times a trillion times a trillion … times a trillion years where "a trillion times" is repeated over 55,000 trillion times. It would take about 310 billion books the size of the King James Bible to write that all out, or well over 3,400 times the printed material in the Library of Congress.

So, the total odds against evolution and billions of years are a Godzillion to 0, because quite a few of these are indeed impossible as noted above. And just for the over 100 trillion unique genes that have existed in all creatures that have ever existed would require over 100 trillion super great miracles of miracles in the supposed 3.8 billion years that life has existed on the earth. That comes to about 27,000 per year or about 74 per day every day for all 3.8 billion years or one every 20 minutes. And the odds against each of these are greater than the odds of winning all 156 Power Ball jackpots every year for a trillion times a trillion times a trillion … times a trillion years where "a trillion times" is repeated over 550 times. As I said, it is very difficult to fathom such odds. Not only that but they must have occurred in a certain sequence, one after another every 20 minutes for 3.8 billion years in a specific order, as if directed by an Almighty being with power and intelligence far beyond comprehension to have achieved all those intelligently designed creatures. That Almighty being must be God Almighty, the Creator of all things.

Now think about buying just one ticket for the Power Ball drawing. If you lose, you lose $2. But suppose there was more of a penalty for not winning the jackpot. Would you risk losing everything you own and live penniless for the rest of your life if you did not win the jackpot? Not sure how many would risk that since it is quite probable you would lose. How about the penalty being you died as soon as you lose a jackpot drawing? Now imagine that you risk one of these penalties that happens as soon as you lost one drawing if you had to win all 156 Power Ball jackpots in a year. It is about as certain as certain can be that you will lose at least 1. Unless you want to lose everything you own and live penniless for the rest of your life or die, no rational person would even try it.

Now imagine the same game but this time wining all 156 Power Ball jackpots every year for a trillion times a trillion times a trillion … times a trillion years where "a trillion times" is repeated over 55,000 trillion times. That is the odds against all of the over 100 trillion unique genes that have existed in all creatures that have ever existed coming into being

through DNA mutation ERRORS. But what if the penalty is everlasting torment in the lake of fire?

The following post proves that the over 100 trillion genes could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19qKNKhg6a/

Now some will argue that nothing is impossible even if the odds against are very vast. So instead of the odds against evolution and billions of years being a Godzillion to 0, the odds against evolution would be a Godzillion to 1. The scenario in the paragraph above is that scenario. No rational person would ever risk it. So, evolution and billions of years are certainly false or as close to certainly false as possible if you ignore all the impossibilities for evolution. And it certain that God created everything or as close to certain as possible if you ignore all the impossibilities for evolution.

But here is an amazing thing. Evolutionists have turn this all around. They are absolutely certain that evolution and billions of years are true. And they are absolutely certain that God Almighty did not create all things. Therefore, they have flipped reality on its head and fail to acknowledge the vast odds against all these things coming into being without God and ignore all the impossibilities. Note how they have never calculated the odds against any of these things coming into being without God. If they acknowledged this for just one thing, then the odds against evolution and billions of years are such that evolution and billions of years are certainly false or as close to certainly false as possible if you ignore all the impossibilities for evolution. And it certain that God created everything or as close to certain as possible if you ignore all the impossibilities for evolution.

Now the technique that is deployed to flip reality on its head is to assume that there is no God. I call this the no God assumption of Atheistic Origin Science. It is not logically possible to prove that there is no God. So, the no God assumption and Atheistic Origin Science, which is based on that, are illogical, irrational, and unscientific. And anything derived from that assumption is illogical, irrational, and unscientific. And it has been proved many times in many infallible and irrefutable ways that God does exist and created all things. Therefore, not only are the no God assumption and Atheistic Origin Science, which is based on that, illogical, irrational, and unscientific, they are false. And anything derived from them is also false. That includes the determination of the age question. That question can never be answered until the question of whether God exists or not is answered. And that question has been answered. God does exist and created all things. That just leaves the questions of who is that God, how did God create all things, and when did God do it.

But evolutionists refuse to acknowledge this. So, they have eliminated the only possible option that God created all things, thus leaving only one impossible option, evolution and billions of years, which is absurd. But what they have done is eliminate the only possible option, that God created all things. Therefore, because God has been

eliminated as an option, they just assume everything happened without God. This is their complete circular reasoning. Based on that, the universe supposedly must have just come into existence without God, as impossible as that is, because they assume evolution and billions of years are true. And the universe, which is extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly across space and time, supposedly came into existence without God, as impossible as that is, because they assume evolution and billions of years are true. And non-living chemicals supposedly turned into the first living thing without God, as impossible as that is, because they assume evolution and billions of years are true. And eukaryotes supposedly evolved from prokaryotes through DNA mutation ERRORS, multi cell creatures supposedly evolved from single cell creatures through DNA mutation ERRORS, creatures that used sexual reproduction supposedly evolved from creatures that used asexual reproduction only through DNA mutation ERRORS, and many other things supposedly evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS, all without God, as impossible as that is, because they assume evolution and billions of years are true.

The no God assumption and the circular reasoning of evolution and billions of years are discussed in the following posts. No Christian should ever use the no God assumption in determining the origin and age of earth, universe, or living things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19wf5sKEXn/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15DE9TNFsu/

Note that it is not logically possible to be an atheist since it is not logically possible to prove there is no God. In reality, they are just agnostics which means they do not know or are without knowledge in the most important question in life. So why would anyone seek their “wisdom”? But in declaring themselves atheists, they are claiming to know. But that just shows that they are deceiving themselves and each other as predicted in the last days by the Bible (see 2 Timothy 3:1, 13, 2 Tim 4, 2 Thess 2, 1 Tim 6:20-21, and 2 Peter 3). So don’t let them deceive you.

Now the Bible says that the existence of God can be understood just by considering the things that are made, especially living things, in Romans 1:19-20. And this is so certain that the word of God says that there is no excuse for anyone to deny this. An easy way to understand this is to consider that mankind makes many things and these intelligently things prove the existence of the intelligent beings that designed them, in this case mankind. So, who made mankind, with the intelligence to make all those things, and all the amazing creatures in nature? It must be a being with power and intelligence far beyond all of mankind. It is against reality to believe otherwise. Furthermore, in Daniel 12:4, the word of God says knowledge shall be increased in the last days, which is our time. Amazingly, with modern scientific knowledge, especially biology, the wisdom of Romans 1:19-20 is even more certain. The following links show that intelligently designed things, especially living things prove God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/KhL5SjmAzWDEnfBm/

The following post proves God created all things by considering all the over 10^41 cells that have ever existed.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/xUhJiSC2jzfbUxcQ/

The following post shows how motor proteins, such as kinesins, dyneins and myocins and other amazing things in cells defy evolution. None of these could have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19jCpbUopb/

The following is a proof that uses adaptive machine learning software and mathematical induction to prove that God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/zFbFJ89n2CUuKLVX/

Now evolutionists, who use the no God assumption of Atheistic Origin Science, have God Almighty envy. After all who can blame them as we have God Almighty who created all things with His Almighty God Almighty power. So not only have they flipped reality on its head with the odds against evolution and billions of years, but they have also made themselves an almighty god and denied that the real God Almighty exists. Their false god is enough time. That is given enough time, all things are not only possible but absolutely certain to have happened. Consider how the universe came into being and how that this universe, which is extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly across spaces and time, came into being. The only possibility is that God Almighty created it with His Almighty power. But they mock us and God. And instead claim their god, enough time, did it. And they mock us for challenging such an absurdity. But that which is impossible is still impossible no matter how much time there is. They use the same backwards reasoning for all the impossibilities mentioned above. Those that believe in evolution and billions of years have been deceived though indoctrination, propaganda and censorship.

So, I took their false god of enough time and reduced that false god to being extremely tiny and feeble when I proved that all species, everything on the earth and the earth itself is less than 10,000 years old by using the accumulation rate of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially for mitochondrial DNA, to show that all individuals of all species could not be over 10,000 years old. That proof is at the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19h9fV8JYr/

Then I went and proved irrefutably and infallibly many times and, in many ways, that even with enough time, that all these things could never have come into being without God having created all things. Thus, their god, enough time, has been exposed to be absolutely nothing and nonexistent. And that the real God Almighty did create all things with His Almighty power. All evidence, all facts, all physics, all chemistry, all biology, all

mathematics, all statistics, all probability, all knowledge, all creation, all logic, and all reality prove evolution and billions of years are false, and that that God created everything in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution and that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah. And that the Bible is the true word of God Almighty. There is no evidence, without an assumption, for evolution and billions of years that is scientific, logical and rational. No one has ever found any, and no one ever will.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19d57Tcfog/

Evolution and billions of years are the theory of nothing because they cannot answer the question of the origin of anything. They have just a number of ludicrous speculations for the origin of almost everything. Kind of like playing whack a mole, where you can refute one and then another one pops up. But all have been refuted.

The following posts prove that evolution and billions of years are false, and God Almighty created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ZkxkeuVH/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1TN2EsHKWc/

So now I want to spend some time boasting about the real God Almighty and His Almighty power. Note that this is not circular reasoning and is not based on any assumptions. This has been proved by many people, many times and in many infallible and irrefutable ways. Many times, these proofs start by assuming that God does not exist, and the Bible is false. The contradictions that follow prove those assumptions false. Also, many times no assumption about God or the Bible is used, but they are both then proved true.

God Almighty created the universe and everything in it in 6 days with His God Almighty power. God Almighty created all the stars, all the galaxies, all atoms, all subatomic particles, all the mass and energy of the universe, every planet, every moon, all asteroids, and all comets by His Almighty power. The number of each of these are so large as to be incomprehensible. God Almighty created the universe, which is extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly across space and time, and all the laws of physics by His Almighty power. God Almighty created all living things by His Almighty power.

That God Almighty Creator is the Lord Jesus Christ, who is the only and absolutely needed Saviour for all your sins. Christ fed multitudes 2x with just some loaves and some fish. On one occasion 4000 men besides women and children were fed with leftovers. On another occasion 5000 men besides women and children were fed with leftovers. Jesus Christ cured multitudes that were blind, could not hear, could not walk, could not speak, were possessed or oppressed by devils, that had many diseases, and many other infirmities. Jesus Christ even raised the dead. One person has noted that when Christ raised Lazarus from the dead, he had to say “Lazarus, come forth”. If Jesus

had said just “come forth” everyone who had ever died would have come forth. Christ cured a multitude of people with leprosy. All these miracles happened immediately, and the cure was complete and absolute restoration.

Christ turned water into the best tasting wine when they ran out of wine at a wedding. The Lord Jesus Christ calmed storms and the very winds ceased and obeyed Him. One time the Pharisees brought a woman taken in the very act of adultery demanding that Christ condemn her to be stoned to death according to the Old Testament law. They tried to set a trap for Jesus. But Christ confronted them without any fear as the Pharisees were feared by everyone at that time. Christ stopped them and put them to shame. All these miracles were done by Jesus Christ by His God Almighty power.

Did you know that every child that is conceived is created by the Lord Jesus Christ? Did you know that Christ creates their spirit. So, every woman that has ever given birth to a child, that child was a precious gift from Christ by His Almighty power. Did you know that all things in the universe are upheld by the Almighty power of the Lord Jesus Christ? One day about 1000 years from now, Christ will completely dissolve all the universe and all elements with great heat and make a new heaven and a new earth where dwells righteousness.

At the rapture of the church, the Lord Jesus Christ will raise all believers that have died before then and give them a new glorious body. He will also catch up all living believers and give them new glorious bodies. They will meet Christ in the air with all those that were just raised and given new glorious bodies. Both groups are multitudes. It is Christ that gives eternal life to all who believe the gospel of Christ.

During the 1000-year reign of Christ, after He returns after the tribulation, the earth will flourish like never before. There will be many more billions of people alive on the earth than there are now just because Jesus is on the earth. His presence will bless the earth and its inhabitants. No one will die under the age of 100 and many will live much longer than that.

At the end of tribulation, Satan, the false prophet and the antichrist will gather all the armies of the earth with all their weapons to fight against the Lord Jesus Christ. Christ defeats them almost instantly. When Jesus returns after tribulation, His feet will touch on the Mount of Olives, and it will be split completely into 2 pieces. Satan will be imprisoned in the bottomless pit during the 1000-year reign of Christ. After the 1000 years are over, Satan is released from the bottomless pit and deceives a great multitude. They will go up and try to attack New Jerusalem. But God sends down fire from heaven and destroys them in an instant.

At Pentecost, the Holy Spirit came upon the apostles, and they spoke in tongues. Each of the apostles spoke in Hebrew, but all the people that heard them heard them speak in their own language. And there were Israelites there that spoke many different languages. Imagine what that was like. The apostles had cloven tongues of fire above their heads, each speaking in Hebrew, and all the people there heard each apostle

speaking and heard them in their own native language simultaneously. That was the power of the Holy Spirit by His God Almighty power.

God feeds all creatures and obviously all people. All that food comes from descendants of creatures that were created in the 6-day creation of all things. God brings the rains that water the crops. God blesses the works of our hands. God gives gifts to all people when He created them. God gives beauty to the world. God made us to be able to make and enjoy music and enjoy dancing. This present world is a shadow of what it would have been like if Adam and Eve had not sinned and brought death and disease into the world. The new heaven and the new earth that Christ will create will be beyond even what the world was before the fall in the garden.

God raises up empires and nations. And sometimes God brings them down. God instituted government for the benefit of people. One thing they are to do is to carry out justice. If a nation does not do this but instead brings evil, God will eventually bring justice against that nation. That is what happened with Nazi Germany.

The word of God is without error. And the word of God has made many predictions. Many have already been fulfilled such as the over 400 predictions about Jesus Christ made in the Old Testament centuries before He became flesh. Many have been fulfilled over the last 2000 years including many about the church. Many are being fulfilled in our time with exact timing and exact detail. That includes the delusion of evolution and billions of years, and the falling away from faith of many. God predicted all false denominations and beliefs. Many predictions will be fulfilled with the rapture, tribulation, return of Christ, and His 1000-year reign on earth.

God knows all things including every thought anyone has ever had, every word that anyone has ever spoken, and everything that anyone has ever done. Those that are sins are recorded in many books. That is part of the judgment for the unsaved.

God hears all the prayers of all the saved. Multitudes pray to God every day and He hears all those prayers. Many of them are granted. All these things were done or will be done by God Almighty by His Almighty power. Never, ever let anyone ever tell you that there is something that is impossible with God. And never, ever let anyone ever tell you that their false god even exists. Never fall for that deception.

The gospel of Christ

Jesus Christ, who is God manifest in the flesh, never sinned not even in His thoughts. That is hard to imagine but He is holy, He is God. And in the most loving, merciful, and heroic act ever, Christ willingly was scourged and crucified on the cross to pay for all our sins by His shed blood out of love for us. Christ was then buried and rose again the third day. The Lord Jesus Christ is the judge of all. Multitudes have been saved forever and given everlasting life by believing the gospel of Christ. Jesus Christ the Lord offers complete forgiveness of all your sins and eternal life just by believing the gospel of Christ.

Since the Bible has been proved true through many infallible proofs, what it's says is true. And what it says is that the Lord Jesus Christ is God Almighty, the Creator of all things, and that the only way to be saved from everlasting damnation is to believe the gospel of Christ.

28 Come unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest. 29 Take my yoke upon you, and learn of me; for I am meek and lowly in heart: and ye shall find rest unto your souls.

For **I am not ashamed of the gospel of Christ: for it is the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth** - Romans 1:16

1 Moreover, brethren, **I declare unto you the gospel which I preached unto you**, which also ye have received, and wherein ye stand; 2 **By which also ye are saved**, if ye keep in memory what I preached unto you, unless ye have believed in vain. 3 For I delivered unto you first of all that which I also received, **how that Christ died for our sins according to the scriptures**; 4 And that he was buried, **and that he rose again the third day according to the scriptures**: - 1 Cor 15:1-4

30 And brought them out, and said, Sirs, what must I do to be saved? 31 And they said, **Believe on the Lord Jesus Christ, and thou shalt be saved**, and thy house. – Acts 16:30-31

8 **For by grace are ye saved through faith**; and that not of yourselves: **it is the gift of God**: 9 **Not of works**, lest any man should boast. – Ephesians 2:8-9

In whom ye also trusted, **after that ye heard the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation**: in whom also after that ye believed, ye were sealed with that holy Spirit of promise – Ephesians 1:13

22 **Even the righteousness of God which is by faith of Jesus Christ unto all and upon all them that believe**: for there is no difference: 23 **For all have sinned,** and come short of the glory of God; 24 Being justified freely by his grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus: 25 **Whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in his blood**, to declare his righteousness for the remission of sins that are past, through the forbearance of God; - Romans 3:22-25

4 Now to him that worketh is the reward not reckoned of grace, but of debt. 5 **But to him that worketh not, but believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness**. – Romans 4:4-5

But as it is written, Eye hath not seen, nor ear heard, neither have entered into the heart of man, the things which God hath prepared for them that love him. – 1 Cor 2:9

Written in truth and love for you and all whom you know and love. Please spend the time to consider this as it is the most important issue for everyone.

If the Son therefore shall make you free, ye shall be free indeed. – John 8:36

For more information on the gospel of Christ and the word of God see this site.

https://www.the-gospel-of-christ.com/post/where-will-you-spend-eternity

71. It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species and in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. (TOC) (back)

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things.

Before proceeding, the following post proves that all species are less than 10,000 years old. Thus, there is no common evolutionary descent, and the phylogenetic trees are a mirage.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17ugB7rJUH/

The average size of a protein coding gene in mankind is about 10K to 20K base pairs. Some quote a number as high as about 60k base pairs. The median size of a gene in mankind is about 24k base pairs. The average size of a gene is about 10k to 15K depending on the species. Mankind has about 20,000 protein coding genes and about 80,000 non protein coding gene for a total of about 100,000 genes. Again, a different set of values are given by various sources.

So consider how any new gene came into existence. Two possibilities are a new gene just popped into existence or an existing gene was copied, and that copy was then changed through a sequence of DNA mutation ERRORS. But is either even possible? The answer is a resounding no.

First consider a new gene just popping into existence. The odds against such a particular gene can be calculated using simple probability. There are 4 possible nucleotides. The odds against are therefore 4^N to 1 where N is the gene size. For a 10k gene, the odds against are over 10^6,000 to 1. For a 20K gene, the odds against are over 10^12,000 to 1. And for a 1k gene, which is quite small, the odds against are over 10^600 to 1. Now there are over 100 trillion unique genes in all species that have ever existed. So even for this small 1k gene, the odds against even one of them popping into existence is still over 10^586 to 1. And there has been at most 10^47 genes that have ever existed which reduces the odds against to over 10^539 to 1. For a

300 base pair gene and using the 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed number, even though the vast majority are larger, and reducing the odds by all genes that have ever existed, the odds against are still over 10^119 to 1. These odds against are incomprehensible and prove that genes with a size of 300 base pairs or more did not just pop into existence. In fact, the odds against a gene of only 100 base pairs is still over 10^60 to 1, reduced to 10^46 to 1 for all unique genes that have ever existed, and still 10^13 to 1 for all genes that have ever existed. Note the odds against winning all 156 Power Ball jackpots each year for 219 years in a row buying just one ticket per drawing are less than that. These odds against prove that genes with a size of 100 base pairs or more did not just pop into existence.

Another mechanism is for a gene to be copied, and then that copy changed through a sequence of DNA mutation ERRORS. Now at this point, it must be noted that evolution and billions of years have yet again been falsified. The reason is obvious as evolution and billions of years cannot account for any gene to have existed in the first place for any to have been copied. Also, the copy must be modified else it is still the same as the original gene. So, assume that the copy of the original gene and the gene that the copy will eventually be changed to are the same size. To calculate the odds against a simple combinatorics calculation can be used. There are N nucleotides in the starting gene copy. Then r base pairs will be changed to different nucleotide base pair “letters”. The order of changes does not matter. The number of combinations is then given by combinatorics as C(N,r). That must be multiplied by 3^r since each of the r nucleotides that are changed have 3 possible other nucleotides. Thus, the odds against are C(N,r) x 3^r to 1. There are combinatorics calculators on the internet to do the actual calculations.

Start with a copy of an existing gene with 10,000 nucleotide base pairs. The odds against changing the gene copy with only 40 new base pairs coming from DNA mutations ERRORS to make a specific gene that exists are greater than 10^131 to 1. Reducing the odds against that by all combinations of any one of the 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed as the starting copy and turning that into another existing unique gene that is different from the original gene gives odds against of over 10^103 to 1. And reducing the odds by all combinations of starting with any of the 10^47 genes that have ever existed in any creature that has ever existed and turning any into any of the 10^47 genes that have ever existed in any creature that has ever existed that is different from the original gene, the odds against of still over 10^37 to 1. The odds against winning all 156 Power Ball jackpots each year for 219 trillion times a trillion years in a row buying just one ticket per drawing are less than that.

Again, start with a copy of an existing gene with 10,000 nucleotide base pairs. The odds against changing the gene copy with only 300 new base pair changes coming from DNA mutations ERRORS to make a specific existing gene are greater than 10^583 to 1. Reducing the odds against by all combinations of any of the 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed turning into another existing unique gene that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed and is different

from the original gene gives odds against of over 10^565 to 1. And reducing the odds by all combinations of any of the 10^47 genes that have ever existed and turning any into any of the 10^47 genes that have ever existed that is different from the original gene, the odds against of still over 10^489 to 1.

Going from a copy of a smaller gene and then adding more base pairs to making a larger gene has the same odds against as a gene just popping into existence, with a size equal to the difference in size between the larger gene and the smaller gene. And starting with a copy of a smaller gene and adding more base pairs to making a larger gene, and then making changes through DNA mutation ERRORS to complete the larger gene gives odds against which are the product of the odds against adding base pairs and then changing base pairs with DNA mutation ERRORS.

And starting with an existing large gene and taking a copy and lopping off part to make a smaller gene should be easy to identify by comparing the DNA sequence of the smaller gene with a DNA sequence of some larger gene. And starting with an existing large gene and taking a copy and lopping off part to make a smaller gene, and then making changes through DNA mutations to complete the smaller gene has odds the same as the odds against of changing it with DNA mutation ERRORS as discussed in option 2 above.

And starting with an existing set of genes and making a copy of each and splicing the copies together to make some composite gene should be easy to identify by comparing the DNA sequence of the copied genes with a DNA sequence of some larger genes.

And there are similar great odds against for splicing of genes and then adding base pairs. Or for splicing of genes then adding base pairs, followed by changes through DNA mutation ERRORS. Or for splicing of genes, followed by changes through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Any of the above options should either be easily identified through DNA comparisons or the odds against these are far too vast to have produced any of these genes through DNA mutation ERRORS. And remember that any copy still leaves the problem of where the original gene come from.

So, it is absolutely certain that DNA mutation ERRORS cannot account for the over 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever lived, nor the 10^47 genes that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. And since either these genes just popped into existence or copies were modified by DNA mutation ERRORS or some combination of these are the only source of genes for evolution, evolution and its sidekick billions of years are impossible. Thus, there is no common descent tree. This proves that God Almighty created all things and all living things.

Nor has any of these DNA mutation error paths between genes ever shown to be feasible, that is survivable. Nor has anyone shown that any of these DNA mutation paths could have been traversed in the times claimed by each node in the phylogenetic

tree. That is each of these over 100 trillion nodes must be shown to be not only survivable but fit the theory in the times allotted by the theory. If these times do not hold up, and then do not, then the rest of the house of cards upon which evolution and billions of years rest upon falls apart.

Consider the supposed last common ancestor (LCA) of chimps and people. From that supposed LCA to chimps there has been about 1 million intermediate generations. And from that supposed LCA to mankind there has been about 1 million intermediate generations. Assuming about 60 DNA mutation ERRORS per generation for each path, that comes to about 120 million intermediate genes or genes with some state of degradation due to mutations. All these would have to be a survivable state for each intermediate creature that produced both mankind and chimps.

Now chimps and people have at least a 4% difference in their DNA. Some still quote the now debunked figure of 1% or 2%. But that now has grown to 4%. Some quote higher differences. So, assume each has 2% from the supposed LCA. That comes to about 60 million DNA mutation error changes from the supposed LCA for each. So, all mutations would have had to be survivable. That is about 60 million intermediate genes or genes with some state of degradation due to mutations for each. So, about 120 million genes or genes with some state of degradation due to mutations would all have to be survivable for each intermediate creature in these 2 descent paths. Now assume about 60 DNA mutation ERRORS per generation for each. Given a 7-year intergenerational time of 7 years, with about 7 million years since the supposed LCA, gives about 1 million generations. So that comes to about 60 million total DNA mutation ERRORS for each which is 100% of all the DNA mutation ERRORS each must have had since the supposed LCA. If the difference in DNA between chimps and people is greater than 4%, then that means it could not have been accomplished by the DNA mutation error rates in only 7 million years since the supposed LCA. And if it is only about 7 million years since the supposed LCA, then that is impossible since there that greater than 4% difference could not have been achieved in that time given the rates of DNA mutation ERRORS. Please note that quoting percent similarity is a bit misleading as it the number of DNA base pair differences that is important, in this case about 120 million base pairs.

Next consider that all mammals, reptiles, birds and amphibians alive today are supposedly descendants of an amphibian that lived supposedly about 360 million years ago. There are about 7000 mammal species, 12,000 reptile species, 11,000 bird species and 9000 amphibian species alive today with genomes with an average size of about 3 billion base pairs. That comes to about 39,000 species with a total sum of their genome sizes of about 80 trillion DNA base pairs. Thus, that means 80 trillion survivable genes or gens with some state of degradation due to mutations on all those paths. Some of these DNA mutation ERRORS paths are impossible because there are some species of amphibians that have genomes with sizes up to about 120 billion base pairs. These are not achievable in the allotted time given by the theory of evolution and its timeline. These exceptions invalidate the phylogenetic descent tree, the node times and the dating of rock layers, etc.

But there is another great dilemma for evolution, billions of years, the supposed phylogenetic descent tree, the node times and the dating of rock layers, etc. There are many species which are termed living fossils because they have not changed much in the supposed tens of millions and even hundreds of millions of years. In fact, very many groups of species have not changed much in those supposed eons. Many reptiles, birds, fish, and trees have not changed that much. Now in all those eons, the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS should have forced changes. Yet there is not much change. So where are all of the “wasted” DNA mutation ERRORS which effectively caused no change? Where did they go? Some of these accumulations amount to well over 50% of their genomes. And there should also be partially developed organs, functions, etc. in all individual creatures alive today and that have ever lived. There are not. Why? Using simple combinatorics, the odds against all the missing partially developed organs and functions in in all individual creatures alive today and that have ever lived is vast. The odds against are at least 10^(10 billion, billion, billion) to 1.

Abiogenesis is impossible as was proved in the following post.

And abiogenesis could not have produced any DNA information at all. DNA mutation ERRORS could not have produced any new gene. This post evaluated all options and showed that it is impossible. So, all DNA and the information contains in it must have been created by God the Creator.

DNA mutation ERRORS do not create information, but they do slowly corrupt it. And all this matches Information theory which requires intelligence to create information which will then degrade in an imperfect copying process. In this case it is DNA mutation ERRORS which cause this. This is information entropy.

So, to sum up. There is less than 10,000 years for abiogenesis to have miraculously occurred and for DNA mutation ERRORS to have produce all the over 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed. This was proved by an analysis of the degradation due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS. But even very many trillions of years could not have produced all these genes. Not only that, but evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS could not have transformed these evolving creatures through millions of generations as all sequences of amino acids are not survivable. Furthermore, the rates of DNA changes could not have generated some species which have large genomes in the allotted times. Also, many species have not changed much in tens of millions of years or hundreds of millions of years. So where are all the wasted DNA changes. And there are no partially developing genes in any creatures today. So, there is no evolution happening in the present to create new genes.

In summary, this is real evidence, along with all the other multitudes of other real evidence, that refutes evolution and billions of years forever. Trying to discard this fact is illogical and unscientific. Here is why.

Suppose an evolutionist proposes a theory that the sum of any 2 numbers is 10. Now an infinite number of pairs of numbers match the theory.
2 and 8
pi and (10 - pi)
22/7 and 10 - 22/7)
5.4 and 4.6
-25 and 35
all match the theory.
Yet just one counterexample proves the theory false forever. In this case for each pair of numbers that match the theory, an infinite number of pairs of numbers prove it false forever. And only one is needed as a counterexample to refute this theory. For example, 50+50=100.

In the case of evolution and billions of years, there is no evidence that matches the theory but multitudes of evidence that refute these conjectures. For a theory to be plausibly true, it needs to be falsifiable. Well evolution and billions of years have been falsified by all the evidence. Thus, neither counts as a theory, as both have been falsified forever. Holding on to them is thus illogical and unscientific.

Believing all the above is far worse than any form of Lamarckian thinking. And even far worse than what was discussed in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/12CZ48EZpZV/

The phylogenetic evolutionary descent trees have been invalidated in all aspects.

https://evolution.berkeley.edu/phylogenetic-systematics/reading-trees-a-quick-review/

Evolution and billions of years are false sciences and should be completely retracted worldwide immediately.

72. The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. (TOC) (back)

A. The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRROR in all organisms of all species prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and God created all things recently.

Each time there is an offspring, there are going to be ERRORS in the DNA code that even the correction system misses. These will accumulate in the DNA code over time in all individual creatures of all species. And there is no way to eliminate them as all individual creatures will have acquired even more of these in their DNA and at different locations, passing them on to all offspring. While deadly and debilitating DNA mutation ERRORS are eliminated over time by natural selection, those that are mildly

disadvantageous or even neutral are not eliminated. Also, natural selection cannot help because all individual creatures of all species have the accumulation, and all decedent lines of all survivors will continue to accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS. And these random ERRORS in the DNA do not reset with a new “species”. So according to evolution and billions of years, there should be a large genetic load in ALL individual creatures of ALL species. This is not the case. This is a global clock for all living things that proves that life is not billions or millions of years old, but much less. This phenomenon is sometimes called genetic load or genetic entropy.

So, what does neutral or slightly disadvantageous mean and how does that lead to corruption of all genomes?

First, note that some DNA mutation ERRORS are 100% neutral because they do not result in a different amino acid being selected.

Here is the pictorial showing the transcription/translation codon table for nuclear DNA.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/DNA_and_RNA_codon_tables#/media/File:Aminoacids_table.svg

For almost all codon triplets, a change in the 1st or 2nd codon changes the amino acid that is selected. And for many codon triplets, a change in the 3rd codon is 100% neutral as it selects the very same amino acid. But sometimes 2 neutral changes on the same codon triplet can produce a change in the amino acid selected even though separately the 2 changes are 100% neutral.

The amino acids arginine and leucine have 2 positions each on the outer part of this wheel graphic.

For leucine the following single point codon changes are 100% neutral and select the same amino acid.

CUU -> CUA
CUU -> CUG
CUC -> CUA
CUC -> CUG

CUA -> CUU
CUA -> CUC
CUG -> CUU
CUG -> CUC

Also, for leucine the following single point codon changes are 100% neutral and select the same amino acid.

UUA -> CUA

UUG -> CUG
CUA -> UUA
CUG -> UUG

Now combine 2 neutral changes. Take CUA. The following 2 change are 100% neutral separately.
CUA -> CUU and CUA-> UUA.
Now if you change the third codon A to U and then change first codon C to U that now results in UUU which selects a different amino acid. Separately, both of these changes would have been neutral. But combined they are no longer neutral. That is the first neutral change made the second change not neutral. This shows that as neutral changes accumulate, they can become not neutral and thus reduce fitness. The same can be shown for arginine. While this will not happen frequently, it does show how the combination of 2 changes, both of which are 100% neutral, can result in a changes amino acid.

Now consider a slightly disadvantageous mutation error. This is where it gets interesting.

For the nuclear DNA of mankind:
There are about 3 billion base pairs.
The rate of nuclear DNA mutation ERRORS is at least 60 DNA mutation ERRORS per generation.

The average size of a protein coding gene in mankind is about 10K to 20K base pairs. Some quote a number as high as about 60k base pairs. For the median size of a gene in mankind is about 24k base pairs. The average size of a gene is about 10k to 15K depending on the species. Mankind has about 20,000 protein coding genes, and about 80,000 non-protein coding genes for a total of about 100,000 genes. There are also over 200,000 introns and over 200,000 axons. Again, a different set of values are given by various sources.

An amino acid change in a protein will cause a change in the chemical properties of that protein and a change in its shape. For slightly disadvantageous changes this will be a small change in both, resulting in just a small chink in the shape. If it is small enough it may be almost counted as neutral, which is a second use of the term neutral, but not 100% neutral as is the case where the DNA mutation error does not change an amino acid. Some point mutations result in an amino acid change that be deadly or quite disadvantageous. These are removed by natural selection. From the transcription/translation codon table about 25-35% of mutations are neutral, and 75-65% result in an actual amino acid change.

Now assume that an amino acid change, which results in a slightly disadvantageous change, produces an increase in total significantly reduced fitness for the individual of 10^(-8). For 0.45 (1% of 45) actual amino acid changes that comes to a significantly reduced fitness for the individual of 0.45 x10^(-8). Some may argue against this

number, but it is certainly not 0. And in the end, evolution, billions of years, and the evolutionary descent tree of species will be shown to false under any reasonable number. The 59.55 DNA mutation ERRORS then must be in the remaining 99% of the DNA. Now assume that each of these produces an increase in total significantly reduced fitness for the individual of 5.955 x 10^(-7). So the total significantly reduced fitness for the individual is 6x10^(-7).

But all individual creatures of that species have about the same amount of accumulated DNA mutation ERRORS that resulted in an amino acid change after 1 generation. While there may be some variation in the number across individual creatures of a species, the difference in significantly reduced fitness is quite small. So as far as natural selection goes, there is no significant difference in these individuals. And this will eventually lead to the corruption of the genome of that species and all species as will be shown below. Thus, this is a 3rd definition of neutral mutations as there is no difference for natural selection, advantage or disadvantage, for any. That is the differential advantage or disadvantage is about the same across all individuals of that species.

After 5,000 generations, there are now a total of about 300,000 DNA mutation ERRORS The significantly reduced fitness has now risen to 0.003. But all individual creatures of that species have about the same amount of accumulated DNA mutation ERRORS that resulted in an amino acid change. And all have approximately the same significantly reduced fitness. Thus, this is again the 3rd definition of neutral mutations as there is no difference for natural selection, advantage or disadvantage, for any. That is the differential advantage or disadvantage is about the same across all individuals of that species.

After 50,000 generations, there are now a total of about 3,000,000 DNA mutation ERRORS that have resulted in an amino acid change. That is still less than 0.1% of the total genome. The significantly reduced fitness has now risen to 0.03 or 3%. But all individual creatures of that species have about the same amount of accumulated DNA mutation ERRORS that resulted in an amino acid change. And all have approximately the same significantly reduced fitness. Thus, this again is the 3rd definition of neutral mutations as there is no difference for natural selection, advantage or disadvantage, for any. That is the differential advantage or disadvantage is about the same across all individuals of that species.

After 500,000 generations (about 3.5 million years for 7 years per generation for our supposed primate ancestors), there are now a total of about 30,000,000 DNA mutation ERRORS that have resulted in an amino acid change. That is still less than 1% of the total genome. The significantly reduced fitness has now risen to 0.3 or 30%. But all individual creatures of that species have about the same amount of accumulated DNA mutation ERRORS that resulted in an amino acid change. And all have approximately the same significantly reduced fitness. At this point extinction begins to occur.

After 1,000,000 generations (about 7 million years for 7 years per generation for our supposed primate ancestors), there are now a total of about 60,000,000 DNA mutation

ERRORS that have resulted in a different amino acid change. That is about 1.5% of the total genome. The significantly reduced fitness has now risen to 0.6 or 60%. But all individual creatures of that species have about the same amount of accumulated DNA mutation ERRORS that resulted in an amino acid change. And all have approximately the same significantly reduced fitness. At this point extinction has occurred.

Some may argue that the linear model used for accumulation after N generations does not take into account mutations occurring at the same codon or triplet. That is true, but the number of mutations at the same location are a small percent when the accumulation is a small percent. That effect is small and there is no change to all the inescapable conclusions.

Some may argue that the rates used are not correct. Actually 60 mutations in nuclear DNA per generation is probably low. Some quote 64, some more. And these calculations only used point mutations rates of nuclear DNA and not considering other types of mutations which are not point mutations such as frame shifts. John Sandford wrote a book titled “Genetic Entropy”, goes into great detail about this phenomenon. And the DNA mutation ERRORS rate that he calculates are significantly higher. And note that mitochondrial DNA had accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS rates 100-1000x that of nuclear DNA.

Proteins with many amino acid changes will have significantly changed chemical properties and slight changes in theirs shapes which will degrade the proteins more and more as more and more amino acids are changed through DNA mutation ERRORS. And this will affect all protein coding genes, degrading each of them. They will be misshapen which affects many things especially enzyme, inhibiters and catalysts, which are essential to life.

For non-protein coding genes, there is no chemical property change, nor shape changes as they do not produce any proteins. But mutations can change gene expression in the organism. The problem is discussed in the following article. I have included an excerpt that gives the reasons below the link and have added the underlining that does not appear in the original article.

https://medlineplus.gov/genetics/understanding/mutationsanddisorders/noncondingdnahealth/#:~:text=By%20altering%20one%20of%20these,protein%20when%20it%20is%20needed.

“By altering one of these regions, a variant (also known as a mutation) in noncoding DNA can turn on a gene and cause a protein to be produced in the wrong place or at the wrong time. Alternatively, a variant can reduce or eliminate the production of an important protein when it is needed.”

From the above, 500,000 generations would be close to extinction, and that was about 3.5 million years ago based on nuclear DNA mutation error accumulation rates assuming an intergenerational time of 7 years for all our supposed ancestor primates.

But the 100x mitochondrial DNA mutation error accumulation rate makes that level happen in only 35,000 years. Given that the genome of people is not that bad, an upper limit of 10,000 years for people is more likely, given that the mitochondrial DNA mutation error accumulation rate could be 1000x the nuclear DNA mutation error accumulation rate. Similar calculations for all other species would produce similar results. Also note, that if life supposedly emerged about 3.8 billion years ago in one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles, then all species that exist today would have accumulated DNA mutation ERRORS for over 3 billion years. That is a span of time over 100,000x the upper limit that these genetic load calculations have determined. And the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS does not reset with "new" species. And even if the rates used in these calculations are off, it would still with absolute certainty be the case that evolution and billions of years are false, and that the common descent tree of evolution is a mirage.

So, it has been proved that all living things are less than 10,000 years old. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such but show signs of massive flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false.

<u>B. Now apply this to several other cases. Consider the following cases just as illustrations.</u>

<u>Crocodiles</u>
Crocodiles have supposedly been around for at least 200 million years.
For saltwater crocodiles, assume an intergenerational time of about 14 years.
So, there are about 14 million generations of crocodiles over that span.
The size of the DNA code for saltwater crocodiles is about 2.8 billion base pairs.
Assume the number of mutations per generation is about 80, thus 60 mutations which result in an actual amino acid change.
14 million generations x 60 mutations per generation = 840 million mutations.
That is about 30% of the total size of the DNA code for crocodiles.
In that supposed long span of time, they supposedly have changed little and yet their DNA code should have accumulated about 30% ERRORS forcing the species to change drastically. So, it is impossible that they changed little over all that span of time.

And they certainly could not have survived as species with all that random DNA mutation ERRORS degradation. It is impossible. In fact, a 4% genetic load in the nuclear DNA of crocodiles would have led to their extinction, which would have happened in less than 27 million years.

Lamprey

Lampreys have supposedly been around for over 360 million years.
Assume an intergenerational time of about 6 years.
So, there are about 60 million generations of lampreys in that span.
The size of the DNA code for the lamprey is about 1.6 billion base pairs.
Assume the number of mutations per generation is about 30, thus about 22.5 mutations which result in an actual amino acid change.
60 million generations x 22.5 mutations per generation = 1.35 billion mutations.
That is over 84% of the total DNA code, which shows their DNA code would be corrupted.
In that time, they have not changed much at all and yet their DNA code should have become 84% corrupted. That would have forced lampreys to change. So, it is impossible for them to have remained almost unchanged. The lamprey could not have existed for that long without massive changes. In fact, they could not have survived at all for that long. In fact, a 4% genetic load in the nuclear DNA of lampreys would have led to their extinction, which would have happened in less than 23 million years.

The same is true for all living fossils. Genetic mutation accumulation does not reset with a new “species”, so all species have accumulated mutation ERRORS from the first living thing through all supposed subsequent species for a span of over 3 billion years. And that comes to well over 100% mutation ERRORS in the DNA code for all individual creatures of all species alive today and even those that supposedly lived over a billion years ago. That means they would have all died off many eons ago. Evolution and billions of years has been irrefutably falsified forever by the evidence yet again.

Please note that the above only considered mutations to nuclear DNA and not mitochondrial DNA. The later mutates much more quickly (at least 100x faster). Mitochondrial DNA is essential to cell survival since it is what provides much of the cell’s energy. Given its faster mutation rate, the above accumulation of ERRORS in their mitochondrial DNA would have killed off all species quicker, much less than millions of years. For example, a 4% degradation rate for nuclear DNA would be a disaster for any species. Probably a smaller degradation limit would be a disaster. For crocodiles, they would have reached 4% in their nuclear DNA in 27 million years and with the 100x faster mitochondria mutation rate, crocodiles could only have existed for a maximum of 270,000 years. For the lamprey, they would have reached the 4% nuclear DNA error limit in 23 million years and with the 100x faster mitochondria mutation rate, crocodiles can only have existed for a maximum of 230,000 years. Similar limits can be calculated for all species. Thus, all the major branching in the descent tree of evolution have been falsified. The common descent tree is a mirage. There is no common descent at all, except variation and adaptation within created kinds. And since all species have not reached this degradation limit, living things are no more than 10,000 years old. This of

course falsifies the supposed ages of the rock layers. And that proves the long age dating of things to be a false science. Evolution and billions of years have been falsified forever. God must have created all things. The house of cards upon which evolution and billions of years are built upon has collapsed.

Please note that for a 4% nuclear DNA degradation there would be ERRORS in most if not all protein coding genes. For a 20,000 base pairs gene, the average is approximately 800 ERRORS. For a 4,000 base pairs gene, the average is approximately 160 ERRORS.

For people and chimps, there supposedly was a common ancestor about 7 million years ago.
Assume an intergenerational time of about 7 years for all our primate ancestors.
So, there are about 1,000,000 generations of leading up to mankind in that span.
The size of the DNA code for the mankind is about 3 billion base pairs.
Assume the number of mutations per generation is about 60.
That is over 1% of the total size of the DNA code for people. So, for a 4% DNA error accumulation, that is about 18.7 million years, but for a 100x faster mutation rate for mitochondrial DNA, less than 187,000 years. A similar calculation for chimps would show a similar limitation which proves that people and chimps do not have a common ancestor.

C. DNA mutation ERRORS will never produce any new genetic information or new intelligently designed biological elements such as any functional genes, enzymes, proteins, functionality, systems, subsystems, organs, tissues, etc. for natural selection to select. They only destroy existed genetic information. An analogy to text proves this also.

In this book, the phrase “DNA mutation ERRORS” will be used many times with ERRORS always capitalized to emphasize a great error in evolutionary theory. Very many people have been deceived into believing that natural selection somehow produces new species and new genes, and new functionality, tissues, organs, systems, etc. But natural selection can only select from what DNA mutation ERRORS produce. And these DNA mutation ERRORS will never produce any new information, functionality, tissues, organs, systems, etc. for natural selection to select from. Here is an analogy to DNA code using text instead. Consider that if on each of all the planets, moons, asteroids, comets and stars in the universe, that there were 1 million trillion monkeys typing at 1 billion words per minute for the entire supposed about 13.8 billion years that the universe supposedly existed. That is over 10^40 monkeys typing that fast for over 400,000 trillion seconds. The odds against that they would type out a single 3,000 character sequence that matched any 3,000 character sequence that exists in all the writings of any kind that mankind has ever produced are far greater than 10^5300 to 1. It would take over 2 pages to type that number out in long form. And that is just for one 3,000 character sequence let alone over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique 3,000 character sequences. This is an analogy to the odds against an average size spontaneously created functional gene, which will be shown to be far greater. And

if they instead just began typing over all written text, the odds against any sequence of that size matching any other unique sequence of that size in all the writings of any kind that mankind has ever produced would be about the same, far greater than 10^5300 to 1. And that is just for one 3,000 character sequence let alone over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique 3,000 character sequences. What would happen instead is that all written text would become utter nonsense. And the same is true for DNA from DNA mutation ERRORS. This is an analogy to the odds against a copied gene that is mutated or an existing gene that is just mutated to produce a particular functional unique gene, which will be shown to be far greater. This last observation when analyzed for DNA mutation ERRORS and their accumulation rates in all species, especially in those species that have mitochondria, will be used to prove that all species are less than 10,000 years old. So, not only will ERRORS never produce any significant useful information whether for DNA or text, they will absolutely destroy any significant useful information that does exist whether for DNA or text. So vast amounts of time do not help evolution at all. In fact, more time is the mortal enemy of the theory of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS.

D. A genetic information exponential decay function collapses the entire evolution timeline and refutes all claims by evolutionists of common descent.

Many evolutionists do not understand the ramifications of the fact that all individual organisms of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS. While deadly and disadvantageous mutations are removed by natural selection, natural selection cannot help with the accumulation of the other DNA mutation ERRORS because all individuals of all species have the accumulation and all descendant lines of all survivors will continue to accumulate more and more with each generation. Some even claim that this accumulation does not happen. In fact, if this does not occur then evolution, common descent and billions of years are false, since there would be no change in the genomes of any species. But these rates do exist and they have been measured in a many species. These measured rates are given in the above post. This phenomenon leads to things such as increasing genetic entropy, aka increasing genetic load. It also leads to genetic divergence and genetic drift. Evolutionists themselves use these rates to supposedly date when species supposedly branched off from some supposed last common ancestors (LCA).

Now consider the rate of DNA mutation ERRORS in all species. If that DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rate is 0, there is no change in the genome of species and so evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, since there would be no change in the genomes of any species. But if the rate is very small, then it would have taken incomprehensibly vast ages to produce any new species, a far greater time than the supposed about 13.8 billion years than the universe existed. But even under this case, the extinction of all species would have happened long before that. And any rate about 1/10 of today's actual rates or more will lead to extinction relatively quickly. DNA mutation ERRORS destroy information. They do not create information. Thus, under no value for the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS will evolution be true. So,

evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” have been falsified again. Thus, God created all things.

The supposed first cell would not have had as an advanced DNA error correction, DNA error repair system, and DNA duplication system as exists today. So, the DNA of these early generations would have exponentially decayed quickly. The number of generations from that supposed first living cell along all descendant lines to extinction would have been probably 10 or less but certainly at most 1000. And there is no real chance of any new functionality coming into being at all. This is proved many times in this book, as any new functionality would have required a number of simultaneous super great miracles of miracles in the very same creature as to how it survives and replicates. Even after very many generations, no new functionality would have emerged at all because DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce any significant new functionality. This is why for many reasons, a much smaller supposed first living cell is preposterous.

Any first cell coming into existence spontaneously in an abiogenesis event would have produced a vast leap in information content. And that information includes all the DNA, RNA, proteins and all structures contained in such. That is impossible. But from then on, DNA mutation ERRORS would have caused a degradation of the information of that species and all individual organisms along all descent lines. And the decrease of that information is proportional to the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS and also proportional to the amount of total information. Thus, the information content can be modeled, using a first order approximation, as having a constant decay constant. So, the solution for the amount of information over time is the exponential decay function given below. This equation is applicable to all species and descendant lines from that first living cell.

$I(t) = I(0)\, e^{(-kt)}$

where I(t) is the amount of information in species or descendant lines from the first living cell, I(0) is the initial amount of information in the first cell due to abiogenesis, k is the decay constant due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, and t is the time since abiogenesis.

Also, note that any supposed pre-DNA cell could have never come into being spontaneously, survived, and replicated, and evolved into a living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins. This is proved later. And even if it did, all descendant lines would have gone extinct within just a few generations as the mutation ERRORS rate would be even larger in any RNA organism. And of course, there is no possibility to have made the jump to a living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins no matter what kind it was. This is also proved later.

Now at first it must be the case that that first living cell would have had a much more fragile system of DNA error correction, DNA error repair, and DNA duplication as exists today. This is simply because anything more robust, sophisticated and accurate would require a much more complex first creature from abiogenesis. That would make

abiogenesis even more preposterous. So, more than likely this abiogenesis event would not last many generations at all, maybe not past the first one or a few. Thus, the supposed time since abiogenesis of the first living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins to extinction of that species and all descendant lines would have been significantly less than 10,000 years. This refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

Note that there is no source of additional information as DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce any new information or at best even after many eons a miniscule amount. For eukaryotes, the decay of genetic information is an even faster decay rate due to the larger mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rate. Mitochondrial DNA accumulates DNA mutation ERRORS at a rate at least 100x that of nuclear DNA. Thus, all eukaryote species must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, this situation will certainly not lead to an increase to many millions of times the amount of information from that first cell. Thus, the supposed time since abiogenesis must be less than 10,000 years as will be proved below. And again, this refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

But there are yet even more problems for evolution. The following discusses 3 events claimed by evolutionists to have happened in the ancient past and required to have happened in the ancient past for evolution to be true. These events are the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes. Now, all 3 of these have been proved false by many people, many times and in many irrefutable and infallible ways, including by the proofs in this book. So, these refute the theories of evolution. Consider the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria. Mitochondrial DNA accumulates DNA mutation ERRORS at a significantly higher rate than nuclear DNA. Based on measured rates today, the rate for mitochondrial DNA is at least 100x that of nuclear DNA. But the accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS at the time of the endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria must have been at least as great, if not greater than the measured rates today. Thus, due to this even greater rate, the time from this supposed endosymbiotic event to the extinction of mitochondria and thus any eukaryotes that contained such and used them for ATP production must be significant less 10,000 years. The same argument holds for the other required supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes. All 3 would have had the same type of exponential decay function as given above for the first living cell each with their own decay constant. And due to all of these rates being even more significantly higher than the current large accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS measured today, then the time from each of these events to the extinction of eukaryotes must be significantly less than 10,000 years. Thus, the entire timeline since abiogenesis to extinction, and the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, and the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving

from prokaryotes all collapse to significantly less than 10,000 years. Also note that at the time of these 3 supposed events, the rate accumulation of nuclear DNA mutation ERRORS in prokaryotes would have also been significantly higher than the current large accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS measured today. The reason is that mitochondria and chloroplasts supposedly came from an engulfed prokaryote and they got their DNA from the supposed engulfed prokaryotes. So, prokaryotes would have gone extinct in significantly less than 10,000 years since the time of the 3 events mentioned above. So again, these refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

Furthermore, there exists similar genetic information decay functions for all nodes of the supposed evolution descent tree. And all of these very many genetic information decay functions refute each node, it’s supposed node time since some supposed last common ancestor, and all the subtrees from that node. This absolutely destroys evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” and proves that God created all things recently.

For each node of the supposed evolution descent tree, there exists a genetic information decay function given by

$I(t) = I(0)\, e^{(-kt)}$

where I(t) is the amount of information in species or descendant lines from the last common ancestor associated with that node, I(0) is the amount of genetic information in that LCA, k is the decay constant due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS for the LCA, and t is the time since that LCA. And there exists similar genetic information decay functions that will eventually destroy all genetic information contained in all new functionality, all protein coding genes, all non-coding genes, all introns, all axons, all alleles, all species, and kinds, and all chromosomes.

So, the rate of DNA mutation ERRORS at the time of the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and in the prokaryotes at the time of the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes must be greater than the rate of DNA mutation ERRORS measured today. And that rate is 100x or more that rate of nuclear DNA mutation ERRORS measured today.

Now, all of the supposed below events have been proved impossible as proved in this book and by many others in many irrefutable and infallible ways. But even if they did occur, all will end in extinction within significantly less than 10,000 years.
All descent lines since the abiogenesis of the first living cell.
Emergence of mitochondria from an endosymbiotic event and all descent lines from the first organism that used such.
Emergence of chloroplasts from an endosymbiotic event and all descent lines from the first organism that used such.

Emergence of eukaryotes from prokaryotes and all descent lines from the first organism that used such.
Prokaryotes and all descent lines from the time these endosymbiotic events.
And working back from today, all eukaryotes and prokaryotes must be less than 10,000 years old.

Now based on this proof, all of the supposed entire evolution descent tree must be false. And all supposed major branch offs never happened. And there is no possibility that any new kinds could have emerged. And all of the supposed emergence of any complex function, organ, system, subsystem also never happened. And all of the supposed times of all branch offs of the supposed entire evolution descent tree completely collapse. And there is absolutely not enough time to have produced the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. And by simple reasoning, all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth has been falsified since they are all correlated with the above living things. Therefore, the entire house of cards that evolution is built upon has collapsed. Thus, evolution, common descent, billions of years, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false. And God created everything recently. And of course, evolution, common descent, billions of years, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately.

Abiogenesis has never been observed. It is not observed now. There is no record of it having ever occurred in the past. And all analyses prove it is indeed impossible. And even scientists using intelligently designed experiments have failed miserably. And even if one day they succeed, it would not reflect what has happened in the past or in the present in the wild without intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. In fact, it would prove that it takes intelligence to do this even if one day they succeed.

E. Common features, whether genetic or anatomical, prove God created all things recently, but refute evolution, billions of years and common descent.

Common features shared between species, whether anatomical or genetic, prove God created all things as inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years. This eliminates similarities, whether anatomical or genetic, as being a proof of common descent. Yet evolutionists still erroneously claim that such is the case. This is a great error in evolutionary theory, and very many have been deceived by this. In the spirit of scientific honesty, there should be a worldwide announcement immediately in all media to retract this great fallacy. And all textbooks, papers, courseware and courses concerning such things should also be updated. This is proved in section 18 of “All the Unique Genes”.

Because all organisms accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at their species-specific rates, there should be a minimal correlation between all biological genetic information. This is due to the fact, that the DNA of each of these species will diverge from each other over the long ages since their last common ancestors (LCA) as given by the supposed evolution descent tree based on these rates and the supposed node time

since each LCA. That minimum correlation for DNA should be about 25% given that there are just 4 nucleotide types in DNA. These include all new functionality, all protein coding genes, all non-coding genes, all introns, all axons, all isoforms, all alleles, all species, and kinds, and all chromosomes. The problem for evolution, billions of years and common descent is that there does exist correlation between all species living today even very many that supposedly have an LCA from 100s of millions of years ago. Many of these would have had all of their DNA base pairs rewritten a number of times of those vast ages. So, these refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years, and prove God created all things recently.

F. Two existing falsification tests refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years forever. And these falsification tests are repeatable all the time.

There is a falsification test concerning evolution, and it falsifies evolution, common descent, and billions of years forever. And it proved that no new functionality could come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And it also proved that the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS limits the age of all species and their genomes all degrade over time. And this has been known for decades. Yet not once has this finding been announced in all media worldwide, nor has it been added to all textbooks, courseware, and courses on this subject. Why not?

For many years scientists have studied fruit flies for many generations of fruit flies. Fruit flies are chosen because their intergenerational age is only about 10-12 days, they have a large number of offspring, are inexpensive, and their genetics are well known. The flies are given mutagenic chemicals which induce DNA mutation ERRORS at a higher rate. The fruit flies have their changes monitored. Experiments on fruit flies have been going on for decades. Very many flies have had their DNA mutated at an accelerated rate. No new functionality has been observed, and the flies in general die or are greatly disadvantaged. Now it is true that the number of DNA mutation ERRORS induced into the fruit flies is large compared to its natural rate, and the total population of flies is small compared to the population of all fruit flies. But it is still a large enough population sampling size to make calculations based on observed population statistics of the results. And the total DNA ERRORS are still small compared to the total DNA, so that it is not an extreme amount of total change per generation. This falsification test falsified evolution, billions of years, and common decent.

Similar experiments can be carried out on other multicell diploids which reproduce sexually and have many differentiated cell types. When these all fail, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately. Of course, such retraction should have already happened due to the failure of the mutated fruit flies to produce any new functionality, and the disastrous results for many of these fruit flies. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as the failures happen.

There has been an experiment that has generated over 80,000 generations of a particular E. coli strain. It was started by Richard Lenski. Those over 80,000 generations

have produced very many individual bacteria. And after all those generations and all those individual E. coli bacteria, the E. coli bacteria have remained E. coli bacteria. This proves like kind always produces like kind and is never produced by another kind. That matches the Bible, but refutes evolution, billions of years, and common descent. This falsification test falsifies evolution, billions of years, and common decent.

See section 27 of “All the Unique Genes” for many other falsification tests that refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years forever.

G. Answers to possible objections

Objection: The intergenerational times are assumed and not accurate.
Answer: It really matters little to the final conclusions. For example, an intergenerational time of 30 years for crocodiles still gives 4% degradation in about 58 million years and a maximum of about 580,000 years for crocodile species with 4% limit degradation and the 100x faster mitochondrial rate.

Objection: The mutation rates given for nuclear DNA given are not accurate.
Answer: It really matters little to the final conclusions. For example, a nuclear DNA error rate of 40 for crocodiles still gives over 4% degradation in 54 million years and a maximum of less than 540,000 years for the species with 4% limit on degradation and the 100x faster mitochondrial rate.

Objection: DNA degradation resets with a new species.
Answer: Of course it does not reset. There is no mechanism to repair all the DNA accumulated ERRORS because there is no record of the original and all individuals of all species accumulate ERRORS.

Objection: DNA mutation ERRORS are not evenly spread across the genome.
Answer: That is true, but it actually makes things worse as some genes will have even more ERRORS than if the ERRORS were equally spread across the genome. They will become the weakest links.

Objection: Natural selection or survival of the fittest removes the mutations that are deadly and disadvantageous.
Answer: But that does not help at all in this case because these mutations are neutral or at most slightly disadvantageous. And all induvial creatures of all species have about the same accumulated load. No matter how many individuals are removed from the population through natural selection, all surviving individuals of all species still have the accumulated DNA error load. And all descendant lines from the survivors will continue to accumulate ERRORS with each new generation.

Objection: DNA mutation ERRORS do not accumulate in all individuals of all species.
Answer: In fact, if this does not occur then evolution, common descent and billions of years are false, since there would be no change in the genomes of any species. But these rates do exist and they have been measured in a variety of species. These

measured rates are given in the above post. This phenomenon leads to things such as increasing genetic entropy, aka increasing genetic load. It also leads to genetic divergence and genetic drift. Evolutionists themselves use these rates to supposedly date when species supposedly branched off from supposed last common ancestors (LCA). Now consider the rate of DNA mutation ERRORS in all species. If that DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rate is 0, there is no change in the genome of species and so evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, since there would be no change in the genomes of any species. But if the rate is very small, then it would have taken incomprehensibly vast ages to produce any new species, a far greater time than the supposed about 13.8 billion years than the universe existed. But even under this case, the extinction of all species would have happened long before that. And any rate about 1/10 of today's actual rates or more will lead to extinction relatively quickly. DNA mutation ERRORS destroy information. They do not create information. Thus, under no value for the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS will evolution be true. So, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" have been falsified again. Thus, God created all things.

Objection: Evolutionists do not use this in any way to calculate how old species are.
Answer: Actually, they do. Evolutionists use genetic divergence to calculate how long ago the supposed last common ancestor (LCA) existed for 2 species which descended from. When done, this technique refutes the supposed evolution descent tree for all major branch offs as the time since each LCA contradicts the amount of DNA divergence given the DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rate along each branch off. See this post for that proof.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17c4dcMN9K/

Objection: There are no citations that exist that show random ERRORS in the DNA code or anywhere near that which is quoted in this proof.
Answer: Actually, there are citations of these mutation error rates and from non-creationists sources. The following link is just one and contains the below paragraph. I used only 60 per generation for people. Others have 64 (as shown below), 70, others 100 or more. I also only had the mitochondrial accumulation error rate as 100x nuclear DNA, when the below source has more. This helps the evolutionist case. It is a simple technique which uses a lower bound for the sake of all argumentations.
Please note that I only used the following articles, especially the below paragraph, for the mutations rate. These are evolutionist sites and do not in any way say that this disproves evolution, and God is not mentioned in either.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mutation_rate#:~:text=This%20means%20that%20a%20human,cell%20divisions%20to%20generate%20gametes.

The **human mutation rate** is higher in the male germ line (sperm) than the female (egg cells), but estimates of the exact rate have varied by an order of magnitude or more. This means that a human genome accumulates around 64 new mutations per generation because each full generation involves a number of cell divisions to generate

gametes.[12] Human mitochondrial DNA has been estimated to have mutation rates of ~3× or ~2.7×10−5 per base per 20 year generation (depending on the method of estimation);[13] these rates are considered to be significantly higher than rates of human genomic mutation at ~2.5×10−8 per base per generation.[14] Using data available from whole genome sequencing, the human genome mutation rate is similarly estimated to be ~1.1×10−8 per site per generation.[15]

The following source gives rates for other species.

https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-023-05752-y#:~:text=On%20average%2C%20mutation%20rates%20per,95%25%20CI%20of%20the%20mean%20%3D

Objection: DNA ERRORS are the source of new genes and functionality. New genes come into being by a copy of an existing gene being mutated with beneficial changes being selected from a population through survival of the fittest.
Answer: That is not true at all as the following post proves.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18DnXmPq1T/

For an extensive proofs against this claim, refer another book I wrote, “Evolution is false because it cannot explain all the unique genes that have ever existed”. You can download or view a free copy of that book at

https://www.the-gospel-of-christ.com/alltheuniquegenes

Objection: There are no experiments which show that genetic entropy has such a disastrous effect.
Answer: There have actually been experiments which verify this. For many years scientists have studied fruit flies for many generations of fruit flies. Fruit flies are chosen because their intergenerational age is only about 10-12 days, they have a large number of offspring, are inexpensive, and their genetics are well known. The flies are given mutagenic chemicals which induce DNA mutation ERRORS at a much higher rate. This is done to test the mutagenic damage of the chemical under testing. But the fruit flies themselves have their changes monitored to understand the damage from the chemical under testing. Experiments on fruit flies have been going on for over 100 years now. Very many flies have had their DNA mutated at an accelerated rate. No new functionality of any significance has been observed and the flies in general die or are greatly disadvantaged. Now it is true that the number of DNA mutation ERRORS induced into the fruit flies is large compared to its natural rate and the total population of flies is small compared to the population of all fruit flies. But it is still a large enough population sampling size to make calculations based on observed population statistics of the results. And the total DNA ERRORS are still small compared to the total DNA so that it is not an extreme amount of total change per generation. In the future, such experiments should be aimed at looking at DNA mutation ERRORS with the goal of

seeing if any beneficial mutations arise and what is the fate of the accumulated degradation on this species. It will verify the above calculations.

Objection: Just because living things have not been around for millions of years does not prove the earth is young.
Answer: Of course it does. It has been proven that all living things are less than 10,000 years old. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false.

Objection: Just because God exists and created all things does not show that the God of the Bible is the Creator of all things.
Answer: Of course it does. There is only one book which claims to be authored by the Creator and can stand all tests. And that is the Bible which proves itself in many infallible ways. And all other books, religions and gods are easily proven false. So, what the Bible says is true. And Christ is the Creator of all things and the only Saviour, with the gospel of Christ given in Rom 1:16, 1 Cor 15:1-4, Acts 16:30-31, Eph 2:8-9, Eph 1:13, Rom 3:22-25, Rom 4:1-7, John 6:47, et al. So, you do not have to believe the false sciences of evolution and billions of years but can believe the truth as revealed by God in His book, the Bible. As Christ said, “If the Son therefore shall make you free, ye shall be free indeed.” - John 8:36.

In summary, this is real evidence, along with all the other multitudes of other real evidence, that refutes evolution and billions of years forever. Trying to discard this fact is illogical and unscientific. Here is why.

Suppose an evolutionist proposes a theory that the sum of any 2 numbers is 10. Now an infinite number of pairs of numbers match the theory.
2 and 8
pi and (10 - pi)
22/7 and (10 - 22/7)
5.4 and 4.6
-25 and 35
all match the theory.

Yet just one counterexample proves the theory false forever. In this case for each pair of numbers that match the theory, an infinite number of pairs of numbers prove it false forever. And only one is needed as a counterexample to refute this theory. For example, 50+50=100.

In the case of evolution and billions of years, there is no evidence that matches the theory but multitudes of evidence that refute these conjectures. For a theory to be plausibly true, it needs to be falsifiable. Well evolution and billions of years have been falsified by all the evidence. Thus, neither counts as a theory, as both have been falsified forever. Holding on to them is thus illogical and unscientific.

The above proof is just one of many proofs which infallibly prove that evolution and billions of years are false. All science, all evidence, all facts, all math, all logic and all reality prove evolution and billions of years are false. There is no evidence for evolution and billions of years that is logical and scientific.

Evolution and billions of years are false sciences and should be completely retracted worldwide immediately.

73. It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS.
(TOC) (back)

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. Such things consist of a significant number of irreducibly complex parts which are composed of irreducibly complex sub pieces down through several levels and coordinated and interconnected with other irreducibly complex intelligently designed parts of the organism, which are composed of irreducibly complex sub pieces down through several levels. There is no advantage until the complete functionality is working and would most likely be disadvantageous or deadly until then. Not only that, but very many of these would require that an organism change how it functions, survives, and even reproduces in the very same creature. This would this require many super great miracles of miracles simultaneously in the same creature. And sexual reproduction puts an end of it in any species that uses sexual reproduction. Furthermore, analysis of these reveals that they show more features of intelligent design than anything that mankind has made. The transcription/translation of DNA code to RNA sequences to proteins composed of specific amino acid sequences has high information content and creates what can be described as intricate physical micro machines that carry out intricate functionality. Complexity, whether in information content, physical makeup, or functionality proves intelligent design. And this is very much so in biological systems. Irreducible complexity is a subset of intelligent design. It is always the case in all biological systems because the only source of new design is DNA mutation ERRORS, which have no possible goal, no intelligence to look to the final operational product, and no benefit until at least a majority of parts are operating to give actual functionality. Only intelligence can do that. And in the case of all biological systems, an Intelligence far greater than all of mankind

put together must be the answer. Obviously that Intelligence is God Almighty the Creator of all things. This is real science and reality itself.

There are many different systems, organs, functions, tissues, and genes in the individual creatures of all species that work together to produce these in many species. And there are many variations of each of these across many species. Therefore, a set of many super great miracles of miracles that happened simultaneously in a same creature for all variations in all species. And sexual reproduction puts an end of it in any species that uses sexual reproduction.

But this presents an even larger problem for evolution. Currently evolutionists are not sure how any of these supposedly evolved DNA mutation ERRORS. Nor do they have a definitive answer of which of these supposedly evolved from which and therefore how many times they supposedly independently evolved. This is because it depends on which descent tree that is used. But that leads to a cascading problem. Any descent tree used for this must match other functions that these species have and how they supposedly evolved these other features. Trying to optimize for one to reduce the very many super great miracles of miracles for a specific set of related features leads to any increase in the number of independent super great miracles of miracles for all other features. These independent ones are truly ludicrous because somehow the DNA mutation error paths either followed very similar paths independently more than once or achieved the same goal independently more than once. That is ludicrous as DNA mutation ERRORS have no possible goal, no intelligence to look to the final operational product or plan some route to it, and no benefit until at least a majority of parts are operating to give actual functionality. But this then becomes a problem for the supposed ages of any rock layers that these are found in, as the house of cards that evolution and billions of years rest upon precariously. Which leads to the obvious conclusion that evolution and billions of years are the theory of nothing because they cannot answer definitively the origin of anything. And as such they do not qualify as theories at all since it is difficult logically to disprove something that absolutely does not even exist. But since it does not exist, it is not true at all. An any attempt at any definitive answer to the origin of anything by evolution can easily be disproved by all the evidence, science, math, logic, reality and knowledge. And an analysis of genetics shows that macro evolution is impossible. DNA mutation ERRORS could never produce the over 100 trillion unique genes that have existed in all species that have ever lived and are alive today. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1WmYXbP7ts/

Now all these irreducibly complex intelligently designed systems, functions, organs, and tissues each require many genes which could not have come into existence by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into existence it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific

DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and show how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computer software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

Some evolutionists will try to argue that there are less evolved versions of similar functionality and try to imply that they are not irreducibly complex. But in all cases are they fully functional and irreducibly complex. So, this just adds to the number of proofs against evolution. Obviously, evolution and billions of years should be retracted immediately.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA for genes shows that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, the longer the time that goes by, the more likely by far the extinction of any species. So deep time does not help. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time, the false god of evolution, to less than about 10,000 years, thus eliminating deep time forever. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent.

For further details see the following Facebook posts,

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1w3KhDi5iVPCXprx/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/j9dtBbWsgb3Lpkku/

In summary, this is real evidence, along with all the other multitudes of other real evidence, that refutes evolution and billions of years forever. Trying to discard this fact is illogical and unscientific. Here is why.

Suppose an evolutionist proposes a theory that the sum of any 2 numbers is 10. Now an infinite number of pairs of numbers match the theory.
2 and 8
pi and (10 - pi)

22/7 and 10 - 22/7)
5.4 and 4.6
-25 and 35
all match the theory.
Yet just one counterexample proves the theory false forever. In this case for each pair of numbers that match the theory, an infinite number of pairs of numbers prove it false forever. And only one is needed as a counterexample to refute this theory. For example, 50+50=100.

In the case of evolution and billions of years, there is no evidence that matches the theory but multitudes of evidence that refute these conjectures. For a theory to be plausibly true, it needs to be falsifiable. Well evolution and billions of years have been falsified by all the evidence. Thus, neither counts as a theory, as both have been falsified forever. Holding on to them is thus illogical and unscientific.

https://answersresearchjournal.org/evolution/vertebrate-camera-type-eye/

Evolution and billions of years are false sciences and should be completely retracted worldwide immediately.

74. There is a multitude of evidence which proves that the earth, and everything in it and the universe are not billions of years old but were created relatively recently. This also then refutes evolution. (TOC) (back)

Before beginning, the following link proves evolution is false and hence billions of years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1ExwNaN224/

There are many “living fossils” alive today which show little or no change from fossilized ones supposedly tens or even hundreds of millions of years old. Some of these, like the coelacanth, are called discontinuous fossils. At one time coelacanths were thought have gone extinct about 65 million years ago based of the fossil and rock layers record until one was found alive and well. Living fossils are discussed in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19WmFnN3jo/

Polystrate fossilized trees and other polystrate fossils are found in many places around the world and prove that the fossil bearing rock layers were formed by a worldwide flood quickly and not laid down over hundreds of millions of years. Thus, this also refutes evolution and billions of years. This is described in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15Rz2D6sH3/

There are many places where multiple hardened sedimentary rock layers are bent together beyond their fracture point but showing little or no fracturing. This was

described in this post which shows that they folded together while still soft at the time of or right after the worldwide flood.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17iAP7E2b6/

In fact, the polystrate fossilized trees and other polystrate fossils, as well as the multiple bent rock layers show all the rock layers were laid down within a short time by a worldwide flood recently. This is explained in these 2 previous posts.

There is little or no erosion between certain rock layers even though they were supposedly laid down with very many years to have passed between them. This is described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15YfMd2CVf/

Also, fossil graveyards proof evolution and billions of years are false as described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18B7kS5MdC/

The false science of Uniformitarianism that permeates evolutionists' thoughts was described in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15LzWXACBn/

Almost all dating techniques prove a young earth and that all dating techniques which supposedly yield old ages have been shown to be invalid. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DK6jsQtHC/

Many things which are supposedly ancient are not C-14 dead. This also refutes evolution and billions of years of years. This was shown in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/189bRezAjH/

The following series of posts further proves that dating techniques which supposedly yield old ages are invalid.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1AwW2fiWDv/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/198CmTmcjY/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17vxFsF7PZ/

Parentless polonium halos both in granites and flattened elliptical polonium halos concentric with circular ones in multiple rock layers show an instantaneous creation of

the earth and that all rock layers were laid down within a short time recently by the flood. This is discussed in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17e7qE9Eo3/

In this post the rate of accumulation of salt in the oceans proved the oceans are not billions of years old but are consistent with a recent worldwide flood.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Epwf2aat2/

The faint young sun paradox refutes billions of years and its sidekick evolution. In an attempt to rescue evolution and billions of years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1YHNRRrv8J/

The presence of cold sub ducted slabs in the lower mantle proved refute billions of years and its sidekick evolution but are consistent with a recent worldwide flood as proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DC3VLgAJa/

The rates of accumulation DNA mutation error in all species, especially for mitochondrial DNA, proves that all species are less than about 10,000 years, thus for the earth and its surface too. Thus, all the major branching in the descent tree of evolution have been falsified. The common descent tree is a mirage. There is no common descent at all, except variation and adaptation within created kinds. And since all species have not reached this degradation limit, living things are no more than 10,000 years old. This of course falsifies the supposed ages of the rock layers. And that proves the long age dating of things to be a false science. Evolution has been falsified. And God must have created all things. The house of cards upon which evolution and billions of years are built upon has collapsed. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1T56zaD2hF/

The presence of soft dino tissue and bio molecules which are not 50-50 racemic nor denatured in supposedly ancient rock layers also prove that the earth is not billions of years old but is consistent with a recent worldwide flood.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DY8Es7Qjm/

The supposed DNA similarity between species contradicts the supposed time since there last common ancestor based on the DNA mutation ERRORS rates. Each of these contradictions refute evolution and billions of years. Each of these refutes evolution and billions of years, the supposed evolutionary descent tree, the ages assigned to all associated rock layers, and the dates and dating methods of anything claimed to be ancient in those rock layers.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/152RkcDMSn/

There are areas in various places in the world which have “overthrusts” or “thrust faults”. These are regions where older rock layers are on top of younger rock layers. Many of these are characterized by thick layers extending over areas that are many square miles in size. Some extend over hundreds and thousands of square miles. These disprove evolution and billions of years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1AUmkwSjw2/

The Cambrian explosion proves that evolution and billions of years are false.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18BaDj623c/

There is too much helium in zircons for the earth to be ancient. An analysis shows that this limits the age of the earth to about 6000 years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19pZBiK18L/

The evidence furthers show that almost all “clocks” show that the earth, the universe, and everything else, are not billions of years old, or millions of years old, but thousands of years old. This further proves that anything that shows a very old age must be wrong. The only explanation for these inconsistencies is some miraculous events like 6-day creation, the fall in the garden and the worldwide flood. See the link below for more information on the evidence from all these “clocks”. The following link gives 101 proofs that the earth and universe are young.

https://creation.com/age-of-the-earth

In summary, this is real evidence, along with all the other multitudes of other real evidence, that refutes evolution and billions of years forever. Trying to discard this fact is illogical and unscientific. Here is an example of why.

Suppose an evolutionist proposes a theory that the sum of any 2 numbers is 10. Now an infinite number of pairs of numbers match the theory.
2 and 8
pi and (10 - pi)
22/7 and 10 - 22/7)
5.4 and 4.6
-25 and 35
all match the theory.
Yet just one counterexample proves the theory false forever. In this case for each pair of numbers that match the theory, an infinite number of pairs of numbers prove it false forever. And only one is needed as a counterexample to refute this theory. For example, 50+50=100.

In the case of evolution and billions of years, there is no evidence that matches the theory but multitudes of evidence that refute these conjectures. For a theory to be plausibly true, it needs to be falsifiable. Well evolution and billions of years have been falsified by all the evidence. So, it is falsified forever. Holding onto it, is thus illogical and unscientific.

In fact, evolution and billions of years can be likened to a proposed theory that the product of any 2 positive numbers is always negative. There are no pair of numbers that satisfy the theory, and all pairs of numbers refute it.

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

75. An analysis of the creation proves that evolution and billions of years are false and that God that created all things recently. (TOC) (back)

All evidence, all facts, all physics, all chemistry, all biology, all mathematics, all statistics, all probability, all knowledge, all creation, all logic, and all reality prove evolution and billions of years are false, and that that God created everything in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution and that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah. And that the Bible is the true word of God Almighty. There is no evidence, without as assumption, for evolution and billions of years that is scientific, rational, and logical. No one has ever found any, and no one ever will.

Before proceeding, the following post proves that billions of years is false thus also falsifying evolution.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15XTxgEMXN/

The following post proves that abiogenesis, life from non-living chemicals, is impossible without God. Thus, this proves God does exist and created all things and refutes the no God assumption.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DQ2asyzqf/

The universe could not have come into being from nothing. That violates the 1st law of thermodynamics which is the conservation of energy. It also violates all other conservation laws of physics. It also violates the law of cause and effect, and reality. The universe could not have always existed. That violates the 2nd law of

thermodynamics. The universe could not have always existed since the 2nd law of thermodynamics for an isolated, closed system means that entropy, that is disorder, would have always been increasing. If the universe always existed, all order would have vanished eons ago.

The universe obeys a set of physical laws. But these laws prove the existence of the Creator would made the universe to do so. The universe is extremely orderly in many ways, throughout time and space. But that again proves the existence of the Creator would made the universe that way.

The universe is extremely finely tuned. This extreme fine tuning is what allows matter, living things, stars, galaxies, planets, etc to exist. The extremely orderly and extremely finely tuned universe is extremely orderly and extremely finely tuned across space and time. This extreme fine tuning and extreme orderliness also proves the existence of the Creator that made the universe that way. The universe and time itself must have had a beginning. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18fPawi4Af/

It is easy to prove God exists and created all things. This post proves God created all things by using the principle of intelligent design.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/KhL5SjmAzWDEnfBm/

The following post proves God created all things by considering all the over 10^41 cells that have ever existed.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/xUhJiSC2jzfbUxcQ/

The following post shows how motor proteins, such as kinesins, dyneins and myocins and other amazing things in cells defy evolution. None of these could have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19jCpbUopb/

The following is a proof that uses adaptive machine learning software and mathematical induction to prove that God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/zFbFJ89n2CUuKLVX/

The following post proofs that eukaryotes could not have evolved from prokaryotes through DNA mutation ERRORS. It is impossible.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1AvGk3RuJe/

The following post proofs that multicell creatures could not have evolved from single cell creatures through DNA mutation ERRORS. And evolutionists claim that this supposedly happened at least 50 times. It is impossible.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DrtCq7Uop/

The following post proofs that creatures using sexual reproduction could not have evolved from creatures using asexual reproduction only through DNA mutation ERRORS. It is impossible.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14iHLe32Z5C/

The following post proves that mitochondria and chloroplasts could not have come from a eukaryote engulfing a bacterium and then evolving through DNA mutation ERRORS. It is impossible. Mitochondria and chloroplasts completely refute evolution and billions of years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/177vvVf6QN/

The transcription RNA codon table is not universal. Each of the many exceptions to the standard table proves that evolution is false, and God created all things. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14oSA3rygg/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14oMsJ1haN/

Macro evolution never happened. The fossil record proves this. All the millions of chains of many links are all still missing. This is shown in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BNpLenVPD/

Not only does the fossil record prove that macro evolution did not happen in the past, but macro evolution is also not happening today. The lack of partially developed organs and functions in all living creatures proves this. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18GK3CKe67/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species and in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/184Fj74GMU/

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

The complete metamorphosis of caterpillars into butterflies disproves evolution and its sidekick billions of years. It also proves God created all things. During metamorphosis, a caterpillar changes into a butterfly. It does this by forming a chrysalis where much of its body breaks down and then reassembles itself into another shape. There are many other insects that also undergo complete metamorphosis. It is impossible that this evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS as described in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1ERM9Q9Bc9/

The Bombardier Beetle has an amazing defense mechanism which could never have come into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. The following will show why this, and many other things related to this, absolutely refute evolution and billions of years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1FJ94tLKi4/

The duck billed platypus, and the echidna refute evolution and billions of years, and prove God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Au4p6MbgV/

Supposedly, evolution produced the immune system in a multitude of creatures. That is impossible. All are irreducibly complex and intelligently designed and could never have been produced by DNA mutations ERRORS.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14GyN9YDiy/

Supposedly, evolution produced the nervous system in a multitude of creatures. That is also impossible. It is just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17ye9X46Sj/

Supposedly, evolution produced the circulatory system in all creatures that have a circulatory system. That is also impossible. All are too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved from DNA mutation ERRORS.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17m4PZeiuK/

Hemoglobin and its variations in a number of species, as well as other types of oxygen carrying proteins in blood in a number of species, cannot be explained by evolution through DNA mutations. Only creation by God can explain it.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19MeFE1BeU/

The extraordinary capabilities of flagella and cilia, and their variations in many species, defy evolution and could have never evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. Instead, they prove that the God the Creator created all things by His Almighty power.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15fuhVh91x/

Evolutionists claim that flight developed independently at least 4 times – birds, bats, insects and pterosaurs. But each of these is impossible. It is impossible for flight to have evolved as it is too irreducibly complex. And sexual reproduction ends all attempts.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Cno3Gg9tX/

Supposedly the eye has evolved 40, 50, 65, 100, dozens and 100s of times. That is impossible and why don't evolutionists know? Evolutionists do not seem to know how many times the eye has evolved. Here are some of the answers: 40, 50, 65, 100, dozens and 100s of times. It is impossible for any eye to have evolved as it is too irreducibly complex. And sexual reproduction ends all attempts.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19snRNP7KH/

Supposedly, evolution produced cascading blood clotting in vertebrates. That is impossible. It is an irreducibly complex intelligently designed mechanism that could not have been produced by DNA mutations ERRORS.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1F4NgEtAUE/

The supposed DNA similarity between species contradicts the supposed time since there last common ancestor based on the DNA mutation ERRORS rates. Each of these contradictions refute evolution and billions of years. Each of these refutes evolution and billions of years, the supposed evolutionary descent tree, the ages assigned to all associated rock layers, and the dates and dating methods of anything claimed to be ancient in those rock layers.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/152RkcDMSn/

The existence of RNA only creatures (viruses) and DNA/RNA creatures which use either asexual or sexual reproduction disproves evolution.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Dh2RFRAjS/

Evolution falsified yet again. See how genes in DNA are used as the information rich instructions which are transcripted into RNA which is then translated by taking 3 RNA codons and selecting a specific amino acid from the codon table which are then chained together to form proteins. These proteins may be joined together to produce polypeptides, which must be folded into very exact shapes by other proteins else they will not function correctly.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1B56w9y7sh/

Amazingly modern evolutionists have fallen back into Lamarckian thinking even with the modern knowledge of DNA and genetics. This logical fallacy is one of the greatest scientific embarrassments, if not the greatest scientific embarrassment, of all time.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19omzr3yHT/

The Bible is the true word of God Almighty the Creator of all things. And thus, what it says is true. This is a high-level summary that proves all the claims that it contains. It uses just a few of the reasons, facts and evidence which support all these claims.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15QZXt54xY/

Now all of these things require many genes which could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

Some evolutionists will try to argue that there are less evolved versions of similar functionality and try to imply that they are not irreducibly complex. But in all cases are

they fully functional, intelligently designed, and irreducibly complex. So, this just adds to the proofs against evolution. Obviously, evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

There exists no DNA mutation ERRORS paths to produce all these diverse types of functionalities, organs, systems, tissues, and genes in all these species. Natural selection cannot help because DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce anything useful to select in any creature, except possibly a small amount of such in bacteria which have vast populations. All these vast multitudes of super great miracles of miracles are just fairy tales.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA for genes shows that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, the longer the time that goes by, the more likely by far the extinction of any species. So deep time does not help. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time to less than about 10,000 years, thus eliminating deep time. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent.

Here is a small list of just some of the multitude of things in living things that could never have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. For many of these there are a number of variations across many species.

Further information with over 2500 posts from many creationists can be found at the following Facebook page – 6-day creation about 6000 years ago. I will hopefully add more posts in the future.

https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=61556140114922

All of my proofs can be found at the following Facebook page – Proofs against evolution and billions of years. The most recent ones are mostly complete with the earlier ones being a work in progress. I will hopefully add more posts in the future. You can follow these pages.

This is a link to a YouTube Playlist about cells and the other incredibly irreducibly complex things in them.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLI1-pAQgbOGdUZaC29kPWyZVrmTj3fe2R&si=l-auIpDaw90sV0JS

This is a link to a YouTube Playlist about Amazing Creatures which evolution could not have produced.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLI1-pAQgbOGc_gKj7cup_6fpQE9shUrqF&si=VOLnhGbvN_LT9ind

DNA, RNA, proteins from amino acids

Right-handed only nucleotides, and left-handed only amino acids in living things

Cells, nucleus and other organelles

Sight, the eye, hearing, smell, touch, taste

Eukaryotes supposedly evolving from prokaryotes.
Multi cell creatures supposedly evolving from single cell creatures.
Creatures using sexual reproduction supposedly evolving from creatures using asexual reproduction only.
Mammals supposedly evolving from reptiles.
Birds supposedly evolving from reptiles.
Amphibians supposedly evolving from fish.
Reptiles supposedly evolving from amphibians.
Vertebrates supposedly evolving from invertebrates.

Over 200 bones in people, different kinds
Over 600 muscles in people, different kinds
Over 2500 cell classes in people
Different joint types

Digestive system, respiratory system, nervous system
Lymph system, closed circulatory system, open circulatory system
Hormones, endocrine system

Wings, feathers, flight
Legs, feet, toes, arms, hands, fingers
Skin, epidermis, fat cells
Hair, hair follicles, fur

Pituitary gland, thyroid, spleen, kidneys, liver, pancreas

Eggs of any species. The chicken and egg problem.

Retina, lens, cornea, Iris, cones and rods

DNA repair

Chromosomes

Viruses RNA only, viruses DNA

White blood cells, red blood cells, antibodies, innate and adaptive immune system, stratified

Vestibular system

Sonar, echolocation

Ph regulation, ion concentration regulation

ATP, citrus cycle, Krebb's cycle

Noncoding genes, epigenetics

Various types of DNA, RNA configurations

Teeth, enamel

Cell differentiation

Many types of proteins, enzymes - types, protein folding, polypeptides

Bacteria, Achaea, fungi

Bacteria conjugation, transduction, translation

Nitroplasts

Photosynthesis

Fins, gills, flippers

Muscle, tendons, joints, cartilage, ligaments

Pith, phloem, stem, sapwood, cambium layer, bark, inner bark, leaves
Sepal, stigma, stamen, bulbil, anther
Seeds, pollen, fruit, nuts

Woodpecker and its tongue, Australian incubator bird, albatross, lightning bugs, penguins, ostrich, peregrine falcon, pelicans, condors, frigate bird, arctic tern, bar-tailed godwits, hummingbirds

Ants, termites, fleas
Banyan trees, bamboo
Symbiotic relationships such as sharks and remora fish, anemones and clownfish

Anglerfish, lots of deep-sea fish, electric eels
Migratory birds using different navigation techniques, migratory butterflies using different navigation techniques, Salmon spawning
Marine mammals like whales, dolphins, manatees
Chameleons, geckos

Regrowth of limbs or tails by salamanders, newts, and some lizards
Snakes shedding skin

Honeybees' saddlebags for pollen
Spider webs, silkworms
Chlorophyll

Placenta, fallopian tubes, cervix, mammary glands, breast milk, ovaries, umbilical cord, uterus, testes, sperm and egg
Heart, arteries, aortic arch, capillaries, veins

Smart gating membranes of the cell wall, cell wall
Bilayer lipid membrane

Kinesins, dyneins, shepherding proteins, microtubules

Hox genes

Mitosis, meiosis, binary fission

Occipital lobe, occipital nerve, Pons, Medulla, temporal lobe, lateral Ventricles, brain stem, frontal lobe, atlas, cerebellum, cerebellar cortex, central Sulcus, Corpus Callosum, parietal lobe, Limbic lobe, Medulla oblongata

The brain, neurons, spinal column, central nervous system, memory

The hydraulic system of trees especially the giant redwoods

Giraffe's neck and the control of blood pressure and blood flow when either reaching up or all the way down and transitioning between the 2 extremes.

Living fossils

People have consciousness, the ability to think, and create things. Mankind is different from all other creatures. This agrees with the Bible.

The different types of bones.
Bone marrow, osteoblasts, osteocytes and osteoclasts

lymphocytes (T cells, B cells, and NK cells), and monocytes/ macrophages - types of white blood cells, Granulocytes include basophils, eosinophils, neutrophils, phagocytic cells, dendritic cells, Polymorphonuclear leukocytes, mast cells, stem cells
Mucous membranes, spleen, thymus
Heart, vocal cords, esophagus, larynx, pharynx
Respiratory system, lungs, bronchi, bronchioles, alveoli, diaphragm
Adrenals, lymph nodes
Stomach, colon, bile duct, duodenum, large intestine, small intestine, gallbladder, bladder, trachea, uvula,
Hypothalamus, parathyroid, pineal gland
Taste buds, tongue

Sebaceous gland, sweat glands, olfactory glands, Pyloric glands, Sigmund's glands, Glands of Zeis, Weber's glands, Suzanne's glands, Sublingual gland, Skene's gland, Parotid gland, Parietal cell, Paneth cells, Naboth's glands, Moll's glands, Montgomery's glands, Krause's glands, Henle's glands, Cobelli's glands, Ebner's glands, Brunner's glands, other glands, Goblet cells

Digestive enzymes, insulin, collagen, oxytocin, glucagon, melittin, Somatostatin, endothelin
Tears, tear ducts, saliva, plasma, extra cellular fluid, amniotic fluid
Cerebrospinal fluid, synovial fluid, pleural fluid, pleural cavities, peritoneal fluid in the peritoneal cavity, aqueous humor of the eye, serous fluid, bile, menstrual fluid, urine
Adipose tissue, epithelial tissue, connective tissue

Metabolic system, 1000's of types of enzymes in a person's body
Eyelashes, nose, nasal passages, otoconia or ear stones for balance, sciatic nerve, Vagus nerve, Ganglia
Myelin sheath, axons, axon hillock, Node of Ranvier
Motor neurons, interneurons, synapses and synapse bulbs, oligodendrocytes
Optic schiasma, optic nerve, pupil, Conjunctiva, Sclera, Macula
Vitreous, Chloride, Ciliary body, Anteria chamber, Fovea

Right atrium, left atrium, superior node, superior vena cava, mitral valve, atrioventricular node, Tricuspaid valve
Ear canal, eardrum, Eustachian tube, auditory nerve, semicircular canal, malleus, pinna, stapes, temporal bone

Randle cycle, glycogenesis, glyoxylate cycle, glycolysis, catabolic pathway, anabolic pathway, intrinsic factor

Endoplasmic reticulum, ribosomes, Golgi apparatus, lysosome, plasmids, vacuoles, centrosome
Myosin, actin motors, cytoskeleton, molecular chaperones for folding proteins into 3D shapes

tRNA, mRNA, rRNA, RNA polymerase, introns, exons, promoters, supercoiled DNA
initiation, elongation, termination, release factor, transcription factors
5’ cap, 3’ tail

Transcription, translation, codon table

Proteolysis, Repressor proteins, splicing, editing, and polyadenylation of pre mRNA, Spliceosomes, DNA methylation, Histones, Apoptosis, aminoacyl – AMP – enzyme complex, GTP, Peptidyl transferase

Contractile proteins, cytochromes, ferritin, TnaC

Protein transport including membrane trafficking, protein translocation, and endocytosis or exocytosis.

Carrier proteins, Channel or pore proteins, voltage gated ion channels, sodium-potassium pump, sodium-glucose transport proteins, active vs passive transport, ligand gated ion channels, ATPase pumps, proton pump, acetylcholine receptors, neurotransmitters

In summary, this is real evidence, along with all the other multitudes of other real evidence, that refutes evolution and billions of years forever. Trying to discard this fact is illogical and unscientific. Here is an example of why.

Suppose an evolutionist proposes a theory that the sum of any 2 numbers is 10. Now an infinite number of pairs of numbers match the theory.
2 and 8
pi and (10 - pi)
22/7 and 10 - 22/7)
5.4 and 4.6
-25 and 35
all match the theory.
Yet just one counterexample proves the theory false forever. In this case for each pair of numbers that match the theory, an infinite number of pairs of numbers prove it false forever. And only one is needed as a counterexample to refute this theory. For example, 50+50=100.

In the case of evolution and billions of years, there is no evidence that matches the theory but multitudes of evidence that refute these conjectures. For a theory to be plausibly true, it needs to be falsifiable. Well evolution and billions of years have been falsified by all the evidence. So, it is falsified forever. Holding onto it, is thus illogical and unscientific.

In fact, evolution and billions of years can be likened to a proposed theory that the product of any 2 positive numbers is always negative. No pairs of numbers satisfy the theory, and all pairs of numbers refute it.

http://www.monarchs-and-milkweed.com/Monarch%20Life%20Cycle.htm?fbclid=IwY2xjawG62ZRleHRuA2FlbQIxMAABHYeWgjnqpt5gN8y61LeVqsbw6a0wI_gYBj-dFMTiffDedS9NXKXhXQ0Z9w_aem_zOiH65GONrp_1jzCq7qgvQ

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

76. Some resources to prove evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and God created all things recently. (TOC) (back)

Facebook page – 6-day creation about 6000 years ago

https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=61556140114922

You can also find the page by searching Facebook with “6-day creation about 6000 years ago”

The page now has over 2,500 posts so far. I plan to add 100s more in the future. I encourage you to follow this page or look through these posts. These posts are very informative. These posts prove evolution and billions of years are false, and prove God created everything in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution and that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah. As you look through these, you will learn of many amazing creatures which can only have come into being by creation by God. They are all just irreducibly complex and intelligently designed. These will help you regain the wonder of the glory of God if you do not have that already. Other areas which these posts touch upon are cosmology, the problems with the Big Bang, the solar system, the finely tuned universe, DNA, cells and their intricate makeup, geological evidence for the flood, archaeological evidence for the flood and the Bible, evidence from the solar system, and more.

You may want to join one or more of the groups from where a particular post came from. Many of these groups are excellent sources of creation Information. Many are well run and there are many commentators who can answer any questions that you have.

I have created a single pdf file which contains all my posts so far which prove evolution and billions of years are false, and prove God created everything in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution and that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah. You can access that file at the following link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17kVtPGxHC/

This page with its proofs is a work in progress and some of the later posts are not yet developed. I plan to update these posts and add to this proof in the future. You can also view these posts individually at this page. You can follow it for future posts.

Here is a YouTube Playlist about cells and the other incredibly irreducibly complex things in them.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLI1-pAQgbOGdUZaC29kPWyZVrmTj3fe2R&si=I-auIpDaw90sV0JS

Here is a YouTube Playlist about Amazing Creatures which evolution could not have produced.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLI1-pAQgbOGc_gKj7cup_6fpQE9shUrqF&si=VOLnhGbvN_LT9ind

For information about the gospel of Christ, the Bible, and creation, go to:

https://www.the-gospel-of-christ.com/post/where-will-you-spend-eternity

or go to the following Facebook group – The gospel of Christ

https://www.facebook.com/groups/1131081358115374

77. Of Mice and Men. Why the similarity in DNA between mice and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things recently. And why the claim of similarity in ERVs are a smoking gun that proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things recently. (TOC) (back)

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. This proof adds to that.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

Supposedly there is an 85% similarity in DNA between mice and men. So only a 15% difference.

The supposed last common ancestor (LCA) of mice and men was a rodent that lived about 90 million years ago.

Assume 4 years average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to mankind from their LCA.
That comes to about 22.5 million generations.
22.5 million generations x 60 mutations per generation = 1.35 billion mutations

That is 45% of the genome size of mankind. So how can people have only a 15% difference from mice?

Assume 8-9 weeks average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to mice from their LCA.
That comes to about 540 million generations.
540 million generations x 60 mutations per generation = 32.4 billion mutations
That is about 12x or about 1200% of the genome size of mice. That means that each base pair in the genome of mice would have been changed on average 12x. So how can mice have only a 15% difference from mankind?
This calculation shows that the LCA of mice and men can only be at most 1.2 million years ago if evolution is true. Thus, evolution is false.

Please note that this proof refutes a major branch off in the supposed evolutionary descent tree - from supposedly ancient rodents to mankind.

Supposedly there is an 85% similarity in DNA between mice and men. So supposedly only a 15% difference. So where did all the mutations go for both lines, especially the line leading to mice? It is impossible. Therefore, evolution and its sidekick billions of years are false.

And any claim of similarities in ERVs between mice and men, should be completely wiped out because each base pair in mice should have been mutated on average 12x. Thus, any similarity in ERVs between mice and men prove evolution and its sidekick billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. Thus, this is irrefutable evidence against evolution and billions of years and irrefutable evidence for the common Creator, God Almighty. And this is true for all species that have ERV similarities with people.

The following shows a graphical representation of the supposed descent tree of mammals.

https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/0/0e/An_evolutionary_tree_of_mammals.jpeg

If you look at it, you will see a circle labeled 91. This is the supposed major branch off point whose date has been refuted by this proof. The circle with “91” in it means an estimated 91 million years ago. But this proof shows that cannot be more than 1.2 million years ago. And that invalidates all ages on this supposed evolutionary descent tree. And this also invalidates the dating of all associated rock layers and the dates and dating techniques used to date them.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and

billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post, which also shows why natural selection cannot help as all individuals of each species have a similar amount of DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation, a phenomenon that affects all species.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

So where did they get the similarity percentage? Was it overstated? If so, then all such similarity percentages are not reliable. Thus, there is no evolutionary descent. If too high, that proves the long dating of ages is too long. If very low, then there is no evolutionary descent. Thus, no DNA similarity can be attributed to evolution. Whatever similarity there is, it must be from common creation by the Creator, God Almighty. Morphology and functionality are just designed.

In summary, in all the time since the supposed LCA branch off, the genomes of both would have been corrupted completely and both lines would have long ago gone extinct. This falsifies evolution and billions of years completely.

And any supposed DNA similarity would have also been wiped out completely many eons ago. Each of these branch offs means that their genomes diverged forever with no genetic exchanges afterwards. And the DNA mutation ERRORS that occurred in each line of descent would of course be independent and have no correlation. Each branch by itself would have lost all, almost all or most of the DNA similarity with the other branch. And with the 2 combined, divergence would be total or almost total. Thus, all branches of the evolution descent tree are completely falsified forever.

No new functionality, organs, tissues or genes would have ever emerged from either line of descent even if there were trillions upon trillions … upon trillions of years.

All this leads to the inescapable conclusion that the DNA similarity between species that branched off from a last common ancestor supposedly millions of years ago cannot be from common descent at all but prove a recent creation from a Common Creator, God the Almighty. **So, DNA similarity is not evidence at all for evolution and billions of years but evidence against it.**

The same technique can be applied to supposed DNA similarities between any species and disprove that branch off of the supposed evolutionary descent tree. All will have contradictions between the branch off time and the claimed similarities. It also can be used to refute all similarity claims between species. This proof is part of a series of proofs against evolution and billions of years that can be found at this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1WrZbVEqdB/

Evolution and billions of years are claimed to be a true scientific theory. But as a scientific theory, it needs to stand falsification tests. Evolution and billions of years have been falsified very many times and in many ways. So, they are a false theory. To claim other than that is to abandon the scientific method and teach a false religion.

Mice are not your distant cousins. Nor are any of these your distant cousins: bananas, lettuce, sea urchins, slugs, flies, flowers, bees, amoebas, crabs, blueberries bushes, sea gulls, monkeys, dolphins, whales, penguins, worms, and all creatures in the world today. And a one celled creature is not your great, great, great, …, great grandma.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/House_mouse

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

78. Why the similarity in DNA between birds and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things. (TOC) (back)

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. This proof adds to that.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

Supposedly there is a 60% similarity in DNA between birds and mankind. So only a 40% difference.

The supposed last common ancestor (LCA) of birds and mankind was an amniote that lived about 300 million years ago.

Assume 2 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to mankind from their LCA.
That comes to about 150 million generations.
150 million generations x 60 mutations per generation = 9 billion mutations
That is 3x or 300% of the genome size of mankind. That means that each base pair in the genome of mankind would have been changed on average 3x. So how can people have only a 40% difference in DNA from birds?

Assume 1 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to birds from their LCA.
That comes to about 300 million generations.
300 million generations x 30 mutations per generation = 9 billion mutations
That is about 6x or about 600% of the genome size of birds. That means that each base pair in the genome of birds would have been changed on average 6x. So how can birds have only a 40% difference in DNA from mankind?
This calculation shows that the LCA of birds and mankind can only be at most 13 million years ago if evolution is true. Thus, evolution is false.

Please note that this proof refutes 2 major branch offs in the supposed evolutionary descent tree - from reptiles to birds and from reptiles to mammals to mankind.

Supposedly there is a 60% similarity in DNA between birds and mankind. So supposedly only a 40% difference. So where did all the mutations go for both lines? It is impossible. Therefore, evolution and its sidekick billions of years are false.

The following shows a graphical representation of the supposed descent tree of mammals and of birds both of which came from reptiles.

https://flexbooks.ck12.org/cbook/ck-12-biology-flexbook-2.0/section/12.5/primary/lesson/vertebrate-evolution-bio/

If you look at it, you will see that birds and mammals supposedly have a common ancestor that lived an estimated 300 million years ago. But this proof shows that cannot be more than 20 million years ago. And that invalidates all ages on this supposed evolutionary descent tree. And this also invalidates the dating of all associated rock layers and the dates and dating techniques used to date them.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post, which also shows why natural selection cannot help as all individuals of each species have a similar amount of DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation, a phenomenon that affects all species.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

So where did they get the similarity percentage? Was it overstated? If so, then all such similarity percentages are not reliable. Thus, there is no evolutionary descent. If too high, that proves the long dating of ages is too long. If very low, then there is no evolutionary descent. Thus, no DNA similarity can be attributed to evolution. Whatever similarity there is, it must be from common creation by the Creator, God Almighty. Morphology and functionality are just designed.

In summary, in all the time since the supposed LCA branch off, the genomes of both would have been corrupted completely and both lines would have long ago gone extinct. This falsifies evolution and billions of years completely.

And any supposed DNA similarity would have also been wiped out completely many eons ago. Each of these branch offs means that their genomes diverged forever with no genetic exchanges afterwards. And the DNA mutation ERRORS that occurred in each line of descent would of course be independent and have no correlation. Each branch by itself would have lost all, almost all or most of the DNA similarity with the other branch. And with the 2 combined, divergence would be total or almost total. Thus, all branches of the evolution descent tree are completely falsified forever.

No new functionality, organs, tissues or genes would have ever emerged from either line of descent even if there were trillions upon trillions … upon trillions of years.

All this leads to the inescapable conclusion that the DNA similarity between species that branched off from a last common ancestor supposedly millions of years ago cannot be from common descent at all but prove a recent creation from a Common Creator, God the Almighty. **So, DNA similarity is not evidence at all for evolution and billions of years but evidence against it.**

The same technique can be applied to supposed DNA similarities between any species and disprove that branch off of the supposed evolutionary descent tree. All will have contradictions between the branch off time and the claimed similarities. It also can be used to refute all similarity claims between species. This proof is part of a series of proofs against evolution and billions of years that can be found at this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1WrZbVEqdB/

Evolution and billions of years are claimed to be a true scientific theory. But as a scientific theory, it needs to stand falsification tests. Evolution and billions of years have been falsified very many times and in many ways. So, they are a false theory. To claim other than that is to abandon the scientific method and teach a false religion.

Birds are not your distant cousins. Nor are any of these your distant cousins: bananas, lettuce, sea urchins, slugs, flies, rats, flowers, bees, amoebas, crabs, blueberries bushes, sea gulls, monkeys, dolphins, whales, penguins, worms, and all creatures in the world today. And a one celled creature is not your great, great, great, …, great grandma.

https://www.nationalgeographic.com/animals/birds

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

79. Why the similarity in DNA between zebrafish and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things. (TOC) (back)

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. This proof adds to that.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

Supposedly there is a 60% similarity in DNA between zebrafish and mankind. So that is only a 40% difference.

The supposed last common ancestor (LCA) of zebrafish and mankind was an amniote that lived about 375 million years ago.

Assume 2 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to mankind from their LCA. That line begins with some ancient bony fish to amphibians to reptiles to small mammals to mankind.
That comes to about 187 million generations.
187 million generations x 60 mutations per generation = 11.2 billion mutations
That is 3.75x or 375% of the genome size of mankind. That means that each base pair in the genome of mankind would have been changed on average 3.75x. So how can people have only a 40% difference in DNA from zebrafish?

Assume 1.5 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to zebrafish from their LCA.
That comes to about 250 million generations.
250 million generations x 30 mutations per generation = 7.5 billion mutations
That is about 5x or about 500% of the genome size of zebrafish. That means that each base pair in the genome of zebrafish would have been changed on average 5x. So how can zebrafish have only a 40% difference in DNA from mankind?
This calculation shows that the LCA of zebrafish and mankind can only be at most 40 million years ago if evolution is true. Thus, evolution is false.

Please note that this proof refutes a major branch off in the supposed evolutionary descent tree and all subbranches and branch off times on those 2 branch offs - from an ancient fish to land animals and eventually mammals to mankind.

Supposedly there is a 60% similarity in DNA between zebrafish and mankind. So supposedly only a 40% difference. So where did all the mutations go for both lines? It is impossible. Therefore, evolution and its sidekick billions of years are false.

The following shows a graphical representation of the supposed descent tree of amphibians from fish.

https://flexbooks.ck12.org/cbook/ck-12-biology-flexbook-2.0/section/12.5/primary/lesson/vertebrate-evolution-bio/

If you look at it, you will see that fish and amphibians supposedly have a common ancestor that lived an estimated 365 million years ago. But this proof shows that cannot be more than 20 million years ago. And that invalidates all ages on this supposed evolutionary descent tree. And this also invalidates the dating of all associated rock layers and the dates and dating techniques used to date them.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post, which also shows why natural selection cannot help as all individuals of each species have a similar amount of DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation, a phenomenon that affects all species.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

So where did they get the similarity percentage? Was it overstated? If so, then all such similarity percentages are not reliable. Thus, there is no evolutionary descent. If too high, that proves the long dating of ages is too long. If very low, then there is no evolutionary descent. Thus, no DNA similarity can be attributed to evolution. Whatever similarity there is, it must be from common creation by the Creator, God Almighty. Morphology and functionality are just designed.

In summary, in all the time since the supposed LCA branch off, the genomes of both would have been corrupted completely and both lines would have long ago gone extinct. This falsifies evolution and billions of years completely.

And any supposed DNA similarity would have also been wiped out completely many eons ago. Each of these branch offs means that their genomes diverged forever with no genetic exchanges afterwards. And the DNA mutation ERRORS that occurred in each line of descent would of course be independent and have no correlation. Each branch by itself would have lost all, almost all or most of the DNA similarity with the other branch. And with the 2 combined, divergence would be total or almost total. Thus, all branches of the evolution descent tree are completely falsified forever.

No new functionality, organs, tissues or genes would have ever emerged from either line of descent even if there were trillions upon trillions … upon trillions of years.

All this leads to the inescapable conclusion that the DNA similarity between species that branched off from a last common ancestor supposedly millions of years ago cannot be from common descent at all but prove a recent creation from a Common Creator, God the Almighty. **So, DNA similarity is not evidence at all for evolution and billions of years but evidence against it.**

The same technique can be applied to supposed DNA similarities between any species and disprove that branch off of the supposed evolutionary descent tree. All will have contradictions between the branch off time and the claimed similarities. It also can be

used to refute all similarity claims between species. This proof is part of a series of proofs against evolution and billions of years that can be found at this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1WrZbVEqdB/

Evolution and billions of years are claimed to be a true scientific theory. But as a scientific theory, it needs to stand falsification tests. Evolution and billions of years have been falsified very many times and in many ways. So, they are a false theory. To claim other than that is to abandon the scientific method and teach a false religion.

Zebrafish are not your distant cousins. Nor are any of these your distant cousins: bananas, sea urchins, slugs, flies, rats, flowers, bees, amoebas, crabs, blueberries bushes, sea gulls, monkeys, dolphins, whales, penguins, worms, and all creatures in the world today. And a one celled creature is not your great, great, great, …, great grandma.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/zebrafish?variant=zh-tw

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

80. Why the similarity in DNA between sea urchins and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things. (TOC) (back)

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. This proof adds to that.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

Supposedly there is a 70% similarity in DNA between sea urchins and mankind. So only a 30% difference.

The supposed last common ancestor (LCA) of sea urchins and mankind was a deuterostome that lived about 540 million years ago.

Assume a 2 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to mankind from their LCA. That line begins with some ancient invertebrate to bony fish to amphibians to reptiles to small mammals to mankind.
That comes to about 270 million generations.
270 million generations x 40 mutations per generation = 10.8 billion mutations

That is 3.6x or 360% of the genome size of mankind. That means that each base pair in the genome of mankind would have been changed on average 3.6x. So how can people have only a 30% difference in DNA from sea urchins?

Assume 2 year average intergenerational time for entire the line leading to sea urchins from their LCA.
That comes to about 270 million generations.
270 million generations x 5 mutations per generation = 1.35 billion mutations
That is about 1.7x or about 170% of the genome size of sea urchins. That means that each base pair in the genome of sea urchins would have been changed on average 1.7x. So how can sea urchins have only a 30% difference in DNA from mankind?

This calculation shows that the LCA of sea urchins and mankind can only be at most 10 million years ago if evolution is true. Thus, evolution is false.

Please note that this proof refutes 2 major branch offs in the supposed evolutionary descent tree and all subbranches and branch off times on those 2 branch offs - from an ancient invertebrate to sea urchins and from the same ancient invertebrate to bony fish to amphibians to reptiles to mammals to mankind.

Supposedly there is a 70% similarity in DNA between sea urchins and mankind. So supposedly only a 30% difference. So where did all the mutations go for both lines? It is impossible. Therefore, evolution and its sidekick billions of years are false.

The following shows a graphical representation of the supposed descent tree showing the split of vertebrates from invertebrates.

https://www.researchgate.net/figure/A-phylogenetic-tree-depicting-the-lineages-discussed-The-type-of-letters-used-in-the_fig2_325213228

If you look at it, you will see the supposed split off of vertebrates from invertebrates an estimated 540 million years ago. But this proof shows that cannot be more than 23 million years ago. And that invalidates all ages on this supposed evolutionary descent tree. And this also invalidates the dating of all associated rock layers and the dates and dating techniques used to date them.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is

less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post, which also shows why natural selection cannot help as all individuals of each species have a similar amount of DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation, a phenomenon that affects all species.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

So where did they get the similarity percentage? Was it overstated? If so, then all such similarity percentages are not reliable. Thus, there is no evolutionary descent. If too high, that proves the long dating of ages is too long. If very low, then there is no evolutionary descent. Thus, no DNA similarity can be attributed to evolution. Whatever similarity there is, it must be from common creation by the Creator, God Almighty. Morphology and functionality are just designed.

In summary, in all the time since the supposed LCA branch off, the genomes of both would have been corrupted completely and both lines would have long ago gone extinct. This falsifies evolution and billions of years completely.

And any supposed DNA similarity would have also been wiped out completely many eons ago. Each of these branch offs means that their genomes diverged forever with no genetic exchanges afterwards. And the DNA mutation ERRORS that occurred in each line of descent would of course be independent and have no correlation. Each branch by itself would have lost all, almost all or most of the DNA similarity with the other

branch. And with the 2 combined, divergence would be total or almost total. Thus, all branches of the evolution descent tree are completely falsified forever.

No new functionality, organs, tissues or genes would have ever emerged from either line of descent even if there were trillions upon trillions … upon trillions of years.

All this leads to the inescapable conclusion that the DNA similarity between species that branched off from a last common ancestor supposedly millions of years ago cannot be from common descent at all but prove a recent creation from a Common Creator, God the Almighty. **So, DNA similarity is not evidence at all for evolution and billions of years but evidence against it.**

The same technique can be applied to supposed DNA similarities between any species and disprove that branch off of the supposed evolutionary descent tree. All will have contradictions between the branch off time and the claimed similarities. It also can be used to refute all similarity claims between species. This proof is part of a series of proofs against evolution and billions of years that can be found at this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1WrZbVEqdB/

Evolution and billions of years are claimed to be a true scientific theory. But as a scientific theory, it needs to stand falsification tests. Evolution and billions of years have been falsified very many times and in many ways. So, they are a false theory. To claim other than that is to abandon the scientific method and teach a false religion.

Sea urchins are not your distant cousins. Nor are any of these your distant cousins: bananas, slugs, flies, rats, flowers, bees, amoebas, crabs, blueberries bushes, sea gulls, monkeys, dolphins, whales, penguins, worms, and all creatures in the world today. And a one celled creature is not your great, great, great, …, great grandma.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sea_urchin

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

81. Why the similarity in DNA between honeybees and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things. (TOC) (back)

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. This proof adds to that.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

Supposedly there is a 45% similarity in DNA between honeybees and mankind. So only a 55% difference.

The supposed last common ancestor (LCA) of honeybees and mankind was an ancient marine organism resembling a crustacean that lived about 600 million years ago.

Assume 1/2 year **average** intergenerational time for the entire line leading to mankind from their LCA.
That comes to about 1200 million generations.
1200 million generations x 25 mutations per generation = 30 billion mutations
That is 10x or 1000% of the genome size of mankind. That means that each base pair in the genome of mankind would have been changed on average 10x. So how can people have only a 55% difference in DNA from honeybees?

Assume 8-9 weeks average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to honeybees from their LCA.
That comes to about 3600 million generations.
3600 million generations x 4 mutations per generation = 14.4 billion mutations
That is about 60x or about 6000% of the genome size of honeybees. That means that each base pair in the genome of honeybees would have been changed on average 60x. So how can honeybees have only a 40% difference in DNA from mankind?
This calculation shows that the LCA of honeybees and mankind can only be at most 10 million years ago if evolution is true. Thus, evolution is false.

Please note that this proof refutes 2 major branch offs in the supposed evolutionary descent tree and all subbranches, and branch off times on those 2 branch offs - from some ancient invertebrate to honeybees and from the same invertebrate to bony fish to amphibians to reptiles to mammals to mankind.

Supposedly there is a 45% similarity in DNA between honeybees and mankind. So supposedly only a 55% difference. So where did all the mutations go for both lines, especially the line leading to honeybees? It is impossible. Therefore, evolution and its sidekick billions of years are false.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is

less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post, which also shows why natural selection cannot help as all individuals of each species have a similar amount of DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation, a phenomenon that affects all species.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

So where did they get the similarity percentage? Was it overstated? If so, then all such similarity percentages are not reliable. Thus, there is no evolutionary descent. If too high, that proves the long dating of ages is too long. If very low, then there is no evolutionary descent. Thus, no DNA similarity can be attributed to evolution. Whatever similarity there is, it must be from common creation by the Creator, God Almighty. Morphology and functionality are just designed.

In summary, in all the time since the supposed LCA branch off, the genomes of both would have been corrupted completely and both lines would have long ago gone extinct. This falsifies evolution and billions of years completely.

And any supposed DNA similarity would have also been wiped out completely many eons ago. Each of these branch offs means that their genomes diverged forever with no genetic exchanges afterwards. And the DNA mutation ERRORS that occurred in each line of descent would of course be independent and have no correlation. Each branch by itself would have lost all, almost all or most of the DNA similarity with the other

branch. And with the 2 combined, divergence would be total or almost total. Thus, all branches of the evolution descent tree are completely falsified forever.

No new functionality, organs, tissues or genes would have ever emerged from either line of descent even if there were trillions upon trillions … upon trillions of years.

All this leads to the inescapable conclusion that the DNA similarity between species that branched off from a last common ancestor supposedly millions of years ago cannot be from common descent at all but prove a recent creation from a Common Creator, God the Almighty. **So, DNA similarity is not evidence at all for evolution and billions of years but evidence against it.**

The same technique can be applied to supposed DNA similarities between any species and disprove that branch off of the supposed evolutionary descent tree. All will have contradictions between the branch off time and the claimed similarities. It also can be used to refute all similarity claims between species. This proof is part of a series of proofs against evolution and billions of years that can be found at this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1WrZbVEqdB/

Evolution and billions of years are claimed to be a true scientific theory. But as a scientific theory, it needs to stand falsification tests. Evolution and billions of years have been falsified very many times and in many ways. So, they are a false theory. To claim other than that is to abandon the scientific method and teach a false religion.

Bees are not your distant cousins, nor any insect at all. Nor are any of these your distant cousins: bananas, sea urchins, slugs, flies, rats, flowers, amoebas, crabs, blueberries bushes, sea gulls, monkeys, dolphins, whales, penguins, worms, and all creatures in the world today. And a one celled creature is not your great, great, great, …, great grandma.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Honey_bee

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

82. Why the similarity in DNA between bananas and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things. (TOC) (back)

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. This proof adds to that.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

Supposedly there is a 50% similarity in DNA between bananas and mankind. So, a 50% difference.

The supposed last common ancestor (LCA) of bananas and mankind was a single cell organism that lived about 1.5 billion years ago.

Please note their LCA is a single cell organism. So, if for the first 150 million years since their LCA, it is one generation per 2 days. That comes to about 27 billion generations which is what is used below. So, it probably is much higher since it does not include the generations in the last 1.35 billion years since their LCA.

Assume about 20 days average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to mankind from their LCA.
That comes to about 27 billion generations.
27 billion generations x 3 mutations per generation = 81 billion mutations
That is 27x or 2700% of the genome size of mankind. That means that each base pair in the genome of mankind would have been changed on average 27x. So how can people have only a 50% difference in DNA from bananas?

Assume about 20 days average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to bananas from their LCA.
That comes to about 27 billion generations.
27 billion generations x 3 mutations per generation = 81 billion mutations
That is about 162x or about 16200% of the genome size of bananas. That means that each base pair in the genome of bananas would have been changed on average 162x. So how can bananas have only a 50% difference in DNA from mankind?

This calculation shows that the LCA of bananas and mankind can only be at most 4.7 million years ago if evolution is true. Thus, evolution is false.

Please note that this proof refutes 2 major branch offs in the supposed evolutionary descent tree and all subbranches on those 2 branch offs - from some ancient one celled creature to fish to amphibians to reptiles to mammals to mankind, and that same one celled creature through all intermediaries to bananas.

Supposedly there is a 50% similarity in DNA between bananas and mankind. So supposedly only a 50% difference. So where did all the mutations go for both lines, especially the line leading to bananas? It is impossible. Therefore, evolution and its sidekick billions of years are false.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would

have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post, which also shows why natural selection cannot help as all individuals of each species have a similar amount of DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation, a phenomenon that affects all species.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

So where did they get the similarity percentage? Was it overstated? If so, then all such similarity percentages are not reliable. Thus, there is no evolutionary descent. If too high, that proves the long dating of ages is too long. If very low, then there is no evolutionary descent. Thus, no DNA similarity can be attributed to evolution. Whatever similarity there is, it must be from common creation by the Creator, God Almighty. Morphology and functionality are just designed.

In summary, in all the time since the supposed LCA branch off, the genomes of both would have been corrupted completely and both lines would have long ago gone extinct. This falsifies evolution and billions of years completely.

And any supposed DNA similarity would have also been wiped out completely many eons ago. Each of these branch offs means that their genomes diverged forever with no genetic exchanges afterwards. And the DNA mutation ERRORS that occurred in each line of descent would of course be independent and have no correlation. Each branch by itself would have lost all, almost all or most of the DNA similarity with the other branch. And with the 2 combined, divergence would be total or almost total. Thus, all branches of the evolution descent tree are completely falsified forever.

No new functionality, organs, tissues or genes would have ever emerged from either line of descent even if there were trillions upon trillions … upon trillions of years.

All this leads to the inescapable conclusion that the DNA similarity between species that branched off from a last common ancestor supposedly millions of years ago cannot be from common descent at all but prove a recent creation from a Common Creator, God the Almighty. **So, DNA similarity is not evidence at all for evolution and billions of years but evidence against it.**

The same technique can be applied to supposed DNA similarities between any species and disprove that branch off of the supposed evolutionary descent tree. All will have contradictions between the branch off time and the claimed similarities. It also can be used to refute all similarity claims between species. This proof is part of a series of proofs against evolution and billions of years that can be found at this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1WrZbVEqdB/

Evolution and billions of years are claimed to be a true scientific theory. But as a scientific theory, it needs to stand falsification tests. Evolution and billions of years have been falsified very many times and in many ways. So, they are a false theory. To claim other than that is to abandon the scientific method and teach a false religion.

Bananas are not your distant cousins, nor any fruit, vegetable, herb, flowering plant or all plant. Nor are any of these your distant cousins – lettuce, sea urchins, slugs, flies, rats, flowers, bees, amoebas, crabs, blueberry bushes, sea gulls, monkeys, dolphins, whales, penguins, worms, and all creatures in the world today. And a one celled creature is not your great, great, great, … great grandma.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Banana

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

83. Why the similarity in DNA between chimps and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things. (TOC) (back)

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. This proof adds to that.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

Many evolutionists claim that the DNA of mankind and chimpanzees are about 99% similar. That would mean that they have about a 1% difference. Please note that quoting percent similarity is a bit misleading as it is the number of DNA base pair differences that is important, in this case about 30 million base pairs. That is a lot of differences. However, that 99% similarity claim has been debunked, as well as a 98% similarity claim. The 98% difference claim amounts to about a whopping 60 million base pair differences.

Many claim that there is at most a 96% similarity and some claim even less, considerably less even 80%. A 96% DNA similarity leads to a 4% difference or about 120 million base pairs different.

Now the supposed last common ancestor (LCA) of both chimps and mankind supposedly lived about 7 million years ago. Given a 7-year intergenerational time of 7 years, with about 7 million years since the supposed LCA, gives about 1 million generations. So that comes to about 60 million total DNA mutation ERRORS for each for a total of 120 million DNA mutation ERRORS. This is 4x the number that would exist for the 99% DNA similarity claim. And it is 2x the number that would exist for the 98% DNA similarity claim. So where did all the DNA mutations ERRORS go? There are about 30 to 90 million DNA mutations missing. This shows that both the 99% and 98% DNA similarity claims are false given the supposed 7 million years since the supposed LCA. In fact, the time since the supposed LCA would only be about 1.75 million years.

However, if the similarity in DNA between mankind and chimps is less than 96%, as more realistic comparisons indicate, then the supposed LCA branch off must have occurred much further back in time. For a 90% DNA similarity, the supposed LCA branch off would have occurred about 17.5 million years ago. And for an 80% DNA similarity, the supposed LCA branch off would have occurred about 35 million years ago.

But that presents another problem for evolution. It has been shown that the supposed similarity in DNA between mice and mankind proves that the supposed branch off between the 2 was at most 1 million years ago and not the supposed about 100 million years ago. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1FMNvwn6Zg/

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post, which also shows why natural selection cannot help as all individuals of each species have a similar amount of DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation, a phenomenon that affects all species.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

So where did they get the similarity percentage? Was it overstated? If so, then all such similarity percentages are not reliable. Thus, there is no evolutionary descent. If too high, that proves the long dating of ages is too long. If very low, then there is no evolutionary descent. Thus, no DNA similarity can be attributed to evolution. Whatever similarity there is, it must be from common creation by the Creator, God Almighty. Morphology and functionality are just designed.

In summary, in all the time since the supposed LCA branch off, the genomes of both would have been corrupted completely and both lines would have long ago gone extinct. This falsifies evolution and billions of years completely.

And any supposed DNA similarity would have also been wiped out completely many eons ago. Each of these branch offs means that their genomes diverged forever with no genetic exchanges afterwards. And the DNA mutation ERRORS that occurred in each line of descent would of course be independent and have no correlation. Each branch by itself would have lost all, almost all or most of the DNA similarity with the other branch. And with the 2 combined, divergence would be total or almost total. Thus, all branches of the evolution descent tree are completely falsified forever.

No new functionality, organs, tissues or genes would have ever emerged from either line of descent even if there were trillions upon trillions … upon trillions of years.

All this leads to the inescapable conclusion that the DNA similarity between species that branched off from a last common ancestor supposedly millions of years ago cannot be from common descent at all but prove a recent creation from a Common Creator, God the Almighty. **So, DNA similarity is not evidence at all for evolution and billions of years but evidence against it.**

The same technique can be applied to supposed DNA similarities between any species and disprove that branch off of the supposed evolutionary descent tree. All will have contradictions between the branch off time and the claimed similarities. It also can be used to refute all similarity claims between species. This proof is part of a series of proofs against evolution and billions of years that can be found at this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1WrZbVEqdB/

Evolution and billions of years are claimed to be a true scientific theory. But as a scientific theory, it needs to stand falsification tests. Evolution and billions of years have been falsified very many times and in many ways. So, they are a false theory. To claim other than that is to abandon the scientific method and teach a false religion.

Chimpanzees are not your distant cousins. Nor are any of these your distant cousins: bananas, slugs, flies, rats, flowers, bees, amoebas, crabs, blueberries bushes, sea gulls, dolphins, whales, penguins, worms, and all creatures in the world today. And a one celled creature is not your great, great, great, …, great grandma.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chimpanzee

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many.

It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

84. Why the similarity in DNA between African clawed frog and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things. (TOC) (back)

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. This proof adds to that.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

Supposedly there is a 10% similarity in DNA between African clawed frogs and mankind. So only a 90% difference.

The supposed last common ancestor (LCA) of African clawed frogs and mankind was a tetrapod that lived about 360 million years ago.

Assume 2 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to mankind from their LCA.
That comes to about 180 million generations.
180 million generations x 60 mutations per generation = 10.8 billion mutations
That is 3.6x or 360% of the genome size of mankind. That means that each base pair in the genome of mankind would have been changed on average 3.6x. So how can people have only a 90% difference in DNA from African clawed frogs?

Assume 1 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to African clawed frogs from their LCA.
That comes to about 360 million generations.
360 million generations x 40 mutations per generation = 14.4 billion mutations
That is about 4.8x or about 480% of the genome size of African clawed frogs. That means that each base pair in the genome of African clawed frogs would have been changed on average 4.8x. So how can African clawed frogs have only a 90% difference in DNA from mankind?
This calculation shows that the LCA of African clawed frogs and mankind can only be at most 45 million years ago if evolution is true. Thus, evolution is false.

Please note that this proof refutes 2 major branch offs in the supposed evolutionary descent tree - from an ancient amphibian to African clawed frogs and from the same ancient amphibian to mammals to mankind.

Supposedly there is a 10% similarity in DNA between African clawed frogs and mankind. So supposedly only a 90% difference. So where did all the mutations go for both lines? It is impossible. Therefore, evolution and its sidekick billions of years are false.

The following shows a graphical representation of the supposed descent tree of showing the common ancestor of amphibians and mammals.

https://flexbooks.ck12.org/cbook/ck-12-biology-flexbook-2.0/section/12.5/primary/lesson/vertebrate-evolution-bio/

If you look at it, you will see that amphibians and mammals supposedly have a common ancestor that lived an estimated 365 million years ago. But this proof shows that cannot be more than 45 million years ago. And that invalidates all ages on this supposed evolutionary descent tree. And this also invalidates the dating of all associated rock layers and the dates and dating techniques used to date them.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post, which also shows why natural selection cannot help as all individuals of each species have a similar amount of DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation, a phenomenon that affects all species.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

So where did they get the similarity percentage? Was it overstated? If so, then all such similarity percentages are not reliable. Thus, there is no evolutionary descent. If too high, that proves the long dating of ages is too long. If very low, then there is no evolutionary descent. Thus, no DNA similarity can be attributed to evolution. Whatever similarity there is, it must be from common creation by the Creator, God Almighty. Morphology and functionality are just designed.

In summary, in all the time since the supposed LCA branch off, the genomes of both would have been corrupted completely and both lines would have long ago gone extinct. This falsifies evolution and billions of years completely.

And any supposed DNA similarity would have also been wiped out completely many eons ago. Each of these branch offs means that their genomes diverged forever with no genetic exchanges afterwards. And the DNA mutation ERRORS that occurred in each line of descent would of course be independent and have no correlation. Each branch by itself would have lost all, almost all or most of the DNA similarity with the other branch. And with the 2 combined, divergence would be total or almost total. Thus, all branches of the evolution descent tree are completely falsified forever.

No new functionality, organs, tissues or genes would have ever emerged from either line of descent even if there were trillions upon trillions … upon trillions of years.

All this leads to the inescapable conclusion that the DNA similarity between species that branched off from a last common ancestor supposedly millions of years ago cannot be from common descent at all but prove a recent creation from a Common Creator, God the Almighty. **So, DNA similarity is not evidence at all for evolution and billions of years but evidence against it.**

The same technique can be applied to supposed DNA similarities between any species and disprove that branch off of the supposed evolutionary descent tree. All will have contradictions between the branch off time and the claimed similarities. It also can be used to refute all similarity claims between species. This proof is part of a series of proofs against evolution and billions of years that can be found at this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1WrZbVEqdB/

Evolution and billions of years are claimed to be a true scientific theory. But as a scientific theory, it needs to stand falsification tests. Evolution and billions of years have been falsified very many times and in many ways. So, they are a false theory. To claim other than that is to abandon the scientific method and teach a false religion.

Frogs are not your distant cousins. Nor are any of these your distant cousins: bananas, lettuce, sea urchins, slugs, flies, rats, flowers, bees, amoebas, crabs, blueberries bushes, sea gulls, monkeys, dolphins, whales, penguins, worms, and all creatures in the world today. And a one celled creature is not your great, great, great, …, great grandma.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/African_clawed_frog

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

85. Why the similarity in DNA between green anole lizard and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things. (TOC) (back)

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. This proof adds to that.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

Supposedly there is a 30% similarity in DNA between green anole lizard and mankind. So only a 70% difference.

The supposed last common ancestor (LCA) of green anole lizard and mankind was an ancient reptile that lived about 320 million years ago.

Assume 2 year average intergenerational time for the line leading to mankind from their LCA.
That comes to about 160 million generations.
160 million generations x 60 mutations per generation = 9.6 billion mutations
That is 3.2x or 320% of the genome size of mankind. That means that each base pair in the genome of mankind would have been changed on average 3.2x. So how can people have only a 70% difference in DNA from green anole lizard?

Assume 1 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to green anole lizards from their LCA.
That comes to about 320 million generations.
320 million generations x 40 mutations per generation = 12.8 billion mutations
That is about 7.1x or about 710% of the genome size of green anole lizards. That means that each base pair in the genome of green anole lizard would have been

changed on average 7.1x. So how can green anole lizard have only a 70% difference in DNA from mankind?
This calculation shows that the LCA of green anole lizard and mankind can only be at most 32 million years ago if evolution is true. Thus, evolution is false.

Please note that this proof refutes 2 major branch offs in the supposed evolutionary descent tree - from an ancient reptile to the green anole lizard and the same ancient reptile to mammals to mankind.

Supposedly there is a 30% similarity in DNA between green anole lizard and mankind. So supposedly only a 70% difference. So where did all the mutations go for both lines? It is impossible. Therefore, evolution and its sidekick billions of years are false.

The following shows a graphical representation of the supposed descent tree of mammals from reptiles.

https://flexbooks.ck12.org/cbook/ck-12-biology-flexbook-2.0/section/12.5/primary/lesson/vertebrate-evolution-bio/

If you look at it, you will see that lizards and mammals supposedly have a common ancestor that lived an estimated 300 million years ago. But this proof shows that cannot be more than 32 million years ago. And that invalidates all ages on this supposed evolutionary descent tree. And this also invalidates the dating of all associated rock layers and the dates and dating techniques used to date them.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post, which also shows why natural selection cannot help as

all individuals of each species have a similar amount of DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation, a phenomenon that affects all species.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

So where did they get the similarity percentage? Was it overstated? If so, then all such similarity percentages are not reliable. Thus, there is no evolutionary descent. If too high, that proves the long dating of ages is too long. If very low, then there is no evolutionary descent. Thus, no DNA similarity can be attributed to evolution. Whatever similarity there is, it must be from common creation by the Creator, God Almighty. Morphology and functionality are just designed.

In summary, in all the time since the supposed LCA branch off, the genomes of both would have been corrupted completely and both lines would have long ago gone extinct. This falsifies evolution and billions of years completely.

And any supposed DNA similarity would have also been wiped out completely many eons ago. Each of these branch offs means that their genomes diverged forever with no genetic exchanges afterwards. And the DNA mutation ERRORS that occurred in each line of descent would of course be independent and have no correlation. Each branch by itself would have lost all, almost all or most of the DNA similarity with the other branch. And with the 2 combined, divergence would be total or almost total. Thus, all branches of the evolution descent tree are completely falsified forever.

No new functionality, organs, tissues or genes would have ever emerged from either line of descent even if there were trillions upon trillions … upon trillions of years.

All this leads to the inescapable conclusion that the DNA similarity between species that branched off from a last common ancestor supposedly millions of years ago cannot be from common descent at all but prove a recent creation from a Common Creator, God the Almighty. **So, DNA similarity is not evidence at all for evolution and billions of years but evidence against it.**

The same technique can be applied to supposed DNA similarities between any species and disprove that branch off of the supposed evolutionary descent tree. All will have contradictions between the branch off time and the claimed similarities. It also can be used to refute all similarity claims between species. This proof is part of a series of proofs against evolution and billions of years that can be found at this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1WrZbVEqdB/

Evolution and billions of years are claimed to be a true scientific theory. But as a scientific theory, it needs to stand falsification tests. Evolution and billions of years have been falsified very many times and in many ways. So, they are a false theory. To claim other than that is to abandon the scientific method and teach a false religion.

Lizards are not your distant cousins. Nor are any of these your distant cousins: bananas, lettuce, sea urchins, slugs, flies, rats, flowers, bees, amoebas, crabs, blueberries bushes, sea gulls, monkeys, dolphins, whales, penguins, worms, and all creatures in the world today. And a one celled creature is not your great, great, great, …, great grandma.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anolis_carolinensis

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

86. Why the similarity in DNA between cats and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things. (TOC) (back)

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. This proof adds to that.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

Supposedly there is a 90% similarity in DNA between cats and mankind. So only a 10% difference.

The supposed last common ancestor (LCA) of cats and mankind lived about 100 million years ago.

Assume 4 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to mankind from their LCA.

That comes to about 25 million generations.
25 million generations x 60 mutations per generation = 1.5 billion mutations
That is 50% of the genome size of mankind. So how can people have only a 10% difference in DNA from cats?

Assume 1 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to cats from their LCA.
That comes to about 100 million generations.
100 million generations x 50 mutations per generation = 5 billion mutations
That is about 2x or about 200% of the genome size of cats. That means that each base pair in the genome of cats would have been changed on average 2x. So how can cats have only a 10% difference in DNA from mankind? And combing the 2 DNA changes, that comes to 250% between cats and mankind.

This calculation shows that the LCA of cats and mankind can only be at most 4 million years ago if evolution is true. Thus, evolution is false.

Please note that this proof refutes 2 major branch offs in the supposed evolutionary descent tree - from an ancient mammal to cats and from the same ancient mammal to mankind.

Supposedly there is a 90% similarity in DNA between cats and mankind. So supposedly only a 10% difference. So where did all the mutations go for both lines, especially the line leading to cats? It is impossible. Therefore, evolution and its sidekick billions of years are false.

The following shows a graphical representation of the supposed descent tree of mammals.

https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2209139119

If you look at it, you can see how supposedly cats and dogs are in a different branch than peope and chinos and that they split at an estimated 100 million years ago. But this proof shows that cannot be more than 5 million years ago. And that invalidates all ages on this supposed evolutionary descent tree. And this also invalidates the dating of all associated rock layers and the dates and dating techniques used to date them.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves

that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post, which also shows why natural selection cannot help as all individuals of each species have a similar amount of DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation, a phenomenon that affects all species.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

So where did they get the similarity percentage? Was it overstated? If so, then all such similarity percentages are not reliable. Thus, there is no evolutionary descent. If too high, that proves the long dating of ages is too long. If very low, then there is no evolutionary descent. Thus, no DNA similarity can be attributed to evolution. Whatever similarity there is, it must be from common creation by the Creator, God Almighty. Morphology and functionality are just designed.

In summary, in all the time since the supposed LCA branch off, the genomes of both would have been corrupted completely and both lines would have long ago gone extinct. This falsifies evolution and billions of years completely.

And any supposed DNA similarity would have also been wiped out completely many eons ago. Each of these branch offs means that their genomes diverged forever with no genetic exchanges afterwards. And the DNA mutation ERRORS that occurred in each line of descent would of course be independent and have no correlation. Each branch by itself would have lost all, almost all or most of the DNA similarity with the other

branch. And with the 2 combined, divergence would be total or almost total. Thus, all branches of the evolution descent tree are completely falsified forever.

No new functionality, organs, tissues or genes would have ever emerged from either line of descent even if there were trillions upon trillions … upon trillions of years.

All this leads to the inescapable conclusion that the DNA similarity between species that branched off from a last common ancestor supposedly millions of years ago cannot be from common descent at all but prove a recent creation from a Common Creator, God the Almighty. **So, DNA similarity is not evidence at all for evolution and billions of years but evidence against it.**

The same technique can be applied to supposed DNA similarities between any species and disprove that branch off of the supposed evolutionary descent tree. All will have contradictions between the branch off time and the claimed similarities. It also can be used to refute all similarity claims between species. This proof is part of a series of proofs against evolution and billions of years that can be found at this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1WrZbVEqdB/

Evolution and billions of years are claimed to be a true scientific theory. But as a scientific theory, it needs to stand falsification tests. Evolution and billions of years have been falsified very many times and in many ways. So, they are a false theory. To claim other than that is to abandon the scientific method and teach a false religion.

Cats are not your distant cousins. Nor are any of these your distant cousins: bananas, lettuce, sea urchins, slugs, flies, rats, flowers, bees, amoebas, crabs, blueberries bushes, sea gulls, monkeys, dolphins, whales, penguins, worms, and all creatures in the world today. And a one celled creature is not your great, great, great, …, great grandma.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cat

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

87. Why the similarity in DNA between dogs and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things. (TOC) (back)

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. This proof adds to that.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

Supposedly there is an 84% similarity in DNA between dogs and mankind. So only a 16% difference.

The supposed last common ancestor (LCA) of dogs and mankind lived about 100 million years ago.

Assume 4 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to mankind from their LCA.
That comes to about 25 million generations.
25 million generations x 60 mutations per generation = 1.5 billion mutations
That 50% of the genome size of mankind. So how can people have only a 16% difference in DNA from dogs?

Assume 1 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to dogs from their LCA.
That comes to about 100 million generations.
100 million generations x 50 mutations per generation = 5 billion mutations
That is about 2x or about 200% of the genome size of dogs. That means that each base pair in the genome of dogs would have been changed on average 2x. So how can dogs have only a 16% difference in DNA from mankind? And combing the 2 DNA changes, that comes to 250% between dogs and mankind.

This calculation shows that the LCA of dogs and mankind can only be at most million years ago if evolution is true. Thus, evolution is false.

Please note that this proof refutes 2 major branch offs in the supposed evolutionary descent tree - from an ancient mammal to dogs and from the same ancient mammal to mankind.

Supposedly there is a 84% similarity in DNA between dogs and mankind. So supposedly only a 16% difference. So where did all the mutations go for both lines, especially the line leading to dogs? It is impossible. Therefore, evolution and its sidekick billions of years are false.

The following shows a graphical representation of the supposed descent tree of mammals.

https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2209139119

If you look at it, you can see how supposedly cats and dogs are in a different branch than people and chinos and that they split at an estimated 100 million years ago. But this proof shows that cannot be more than 8 million years ago. And that invalidates all

ages on this supposed evolutionary descent tree. And this also invalidates the dating of all associated rock layers and the dates and dating techniques used to date them.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post, which also shows why natural selection cannot help as all individuals of each species have a similar amount of DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation, a phenomenon that affects all species.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

So where did they get the similarity percentage? Was it overstated? If so, then all such similarity percentages are not reliable. Thus, there is no evolutionary descent. If too high, that proves the long dating of ages is too long. If very low, then there is no evolutionary descent. Thus, no DNA similarity can be attributed to evolution. Whatever

similarity there is, it must be from common creation by the Creator, God Almighty. Morphology and functionality are just designed.

In summary, in all the time since the supposed LCA branch off, the genomes of both would have been corrupted completely and both lines would have long ago gone extinct. This falsifies evolution and billions of years completely.

And any supposed DNA similarity would have also been wiped out completely many eons ago. Each of these branch offs means that their genomes diverged forever with no genetic exchanges afterwards. And the DNA mutation ERRORS that occurred in each line of descent would of course be independent and have no correlation. Each branch by itself would have lost all, almost all or most of the DNA similarity with the other branch. And with the 2 combined, divergence would be total or almost total. Thus, all branches of the evolution descent tree are completely falsified forever.

No new functionality, organs, tissues or genes would have ever emerged from either line of descent even if there were trillions upon trillions … upon trillions of years.

All this leads to the inescapable conclusion that the DNA similarity between species that branched off from a last common ancestor supposedly millions of years ago cannot be from common descent at all but prove a recent creation from a Common Creator, God the Almighty. **So, DNA similarity is not evidence at all for evolution and billions of years but evidence against it.**

The same technique can be applied to supposed DNA similarities between any species and disprove that branch off of the supposed evolutionary descent tree. All will have contradictions between the branch off time and the claimed similarities. It also can be used to refute all similarity claims between species. This proof is part of a series of proofs against evolution and billions of years that can be found at this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1WrZbVEqdB/

Evolution and billions of years are claimed to be a true scientific theory. But as a scientific theory, it needs to stand falsification tests. Evolution and billions of years have been falsified very many times and in many ways. So, they are a false theory. To claim other than that is to abandon the scientific method and teach a false religion.

Dogs are not your distant cousin. Nor are any of these your distant cousins: bananas, lettuce, sea urchins, slugs, flies, rats, flowers, bees, amoebas, crabs, blueberries bushes, sea gulls, monkeys, dolphins, whales, penguins, worms, and all creatures in the world today. And a one celled creature is not your great, great, great, …, great grandma.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dog

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

88. Why the similarity in DNA between kangaroos and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things. (TOC) (back)

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. This proof adds to that.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

Supposedly there is a 50% similarity in DNA between kangaroos and mankind. So only a 50% difference.

The supposed last common ancestor (LCA) of kangaroos and mankind lived about 150 million years ago.

Assume 2 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to mankind from their LCA.
That comes to about 75 million generations.
75 million generations x 60 mutations per generation = 4.5 billion mutations
That is 1.5x or 150% of the genome size of mankind. That means that each base pair in the genome of mankind would have been changed on average 1.5x. So how can people have only a 50% difference in DNA from kangaroos?

Assume 2 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to kangaroos from their LCA.
That comes to about 75 million generations.
75 million generations x 60 mutations per generation = 4.5 billion mutations
That is about 1.5x or about 150% of the genome size of kangaroos. That means that each base pair in the genome of kangaroos would have been changed on average 1.5x. So how can kangaroos have only a 50% difference in DNA from mankind? And combing the 2 DNA changes, that comes to 300% between kangaroos and mankind.

This calculation shows that the LCA of kangaroos and mankind can only be at most 25 million years ago if evolution is true. Thus, evolution is false.

Please note that this proof refutes 2 major branch offs in the supposed evolutionary descent tree - from an ancient mammal to kangaroos and from the same an ancient mammal to mankind.

Supposedly there is a 50% similarity in DNA between kangaroos and mankind. So supposedly only a 50% difference. So where did all the mutations go for both lines? It is impossible. Therefore, evolution and its sidekick billions of years are false.

The following shows a graphical representation of the supposed descent tree of mammals.

https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/0/0e/An_evolutionary_tree_of_mammals.jpeg

If you look at it, you will see a circle labeled 130. This is the supposed major branch off point whose date has been refuted by this proof. The circle with “130” in it means an estimated 130 million years ago. But this proof shows that cannot be more than 25 million years ago. And that invalidates all ages on this supposed evolutionary descent tree. And this also invalidates the dating of all associated rock layers and the dates and dating techniques used to date them.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post, which also shows why natural selection cannot help as all individuals of each species have a similar amount of DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation, a phenomenon that affects all species.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that

have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

So where did they get the similarity percentage? Was it overstated? If so, then all such similarity percentages are not reliable. Thus, there is no evolutionary descent. If too high, that proves the long dating of ages is too long. If very low, then there is no evolutionary descent. Thus, no DNA similarity can be attributed to evolution. Whatever similarity there is, it must be from common creation by the Creator, God Almighty. Morphology and functionality are just designed.

In summary, in all the time since the supposed LCA branch off, the genomes of both would have been corrupted completely and both lines would have long ago gone extinct. This falsifies evolution and billions of years completely.

And any supposed DNA similarity would have also been wiped out completely many eons ago. Each of these branch offs means that their genomes diverged forever with no genetic exchanges afterwards. And the DNA mutation ERRORS that occurred in each line of descent would of course be independent and have no correlation. Each branch by itself would have lost all, almost all or most of the DNA similarity with the other branch. And with the 2 combined, divergence would be total or almost total. Thus, all branches of the evolution descent tree are completely falsified forever.

No new functionality, organs, tissues or genes would have ever emerged from either line of descent even if there were trillions upon trillions … upon trillions of years.

All this leads to the inescapable conclusion that the DNA similarity between species that branched off from a last common ancestor supposedly millions of years ago cannot be from common descent at all but prove a recent creation from a Common Creator, God the Almighty. **So, DNA similarity is not evidence at all for evolution and billions of years but evidence against it.**

The same technique can be applied to supposed DNA similarities between any species and disprove that branch off of the supposed evolutionary descent tree. All will have contradictions between the branch off time and the claimed similarities. It also can be used to refute all similarity claims between species. This proof is part of a series of proofs against evolution and billions of years that can be found at this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1WrZbVEqdB/

Evolution and billions of years are claimed to be a true scientific theory. But as a scientific theory, it needs to stand falsification tests. Evolution and billions of years have been falsified very many times and in many ways. So, they are a false theory. To claim other than that is to abandon the scientific method and teach a false religion.

Kangaroos are not your distant cousins. Nor are any of these your distant cousins: bananas, lettuce, sea urchins, slugs, flies, rats, flowers, bees, amoebas, crabs, blueberries bushes, sea gulls, monkeys, dolphins, whales, penguins, worms, and all creatures in the world today. And a one celled creature is not your great, great, great, …, great grandma.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kangaroo

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

89. Why the similarity in DNA between platypuses and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things. (TOC) (back)

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. This proof adds to that.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

Supposedly there is a 60% similarity in DNA between platypuses and mankind. So only a 40% difference.

The supposed last common ancestor (LCA) of platypuses and mankind was an amniote that lived about 160 million years ago.

Assume 2 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to mankind from their LCA.
That comes to about 80 million generations.
80 million generations x 60 mutations per generation = 4.8 billion mutations
That is 1.8x or 180% of the genome size of mankind. That means that each base pair in the genome of mankind would have been changed on average 1.8x. So how can people have only a 40% difference in DNA from platypuses?

Assume 2 year average intergenerational time for the entire line leading to platypuses from their LCA.

That comes to about 80 million generations.
80 million generations x 40 mutations per generation = 3.2 billion mutations
That is about 1.5x or about 150% of the genome size of platypuses. That means that each base pair in the genome of platypuses would have been changed on average 1.5x. So how can platypuses have only a 40% difference in DNA from mankind? And combing the 2 DNA changes, that comes to 330% between platypuses and mankind.

This calculation shows that the LCA of platypuses and mankind can only be at most 20 million years ago if evolution is true. Thus, evolution is false.

Please note that this proof refutes 2 major branch offs in the supposed evolutionary descent tree - from an ancient mammal to platypuses and from the same ancient mammal to mankind.

Supposedly there is a 60% similarity in DNA between platypuses and mankind. So supposedly only a 40% difference. So where did all the mutations go for both lines? It is impossible. Therefore, evolution and its sidekick billions of years are false.

The following shows a graphical representation of the supposed descent tree of mammals.

https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/0/0e/An_evolutionary_tree_of_mammals.jpeg

If you look at it, you will see a circle labeled 130. This is the supposed major branch off point whose date has been refuted by this proof. The circle with “130” in it means an estimated 130 million years ago. But this proof shows that cannot be more than 20 million years ago. And that invalidates all ages on this supposed evolutionary descent tree. And this also invalidates the dating of all associated rock layers and the dates and dating techniques used to date them.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as

life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post, which also shows why natural selection cannot help as all individuals of each species have a similar amount of DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation, a phenomenon that affects all species.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

So where did they get the similarity percentage? Was it overstated? If so, then all such similarity percentages are not reliable. Thus, there is no evolutionary descent. If too high, that proves the long dating of ages is too long. If very low, then there is no evolutionary descent. Thus, no DNA similarity can be attributed to evolution. Whatever similarity there is, it must be from common creation by the Creator, God Almighty. Morphology and functionality are just designed.

In summary, in all the time since the supposed LCA branch off, the genomes of both would have been corrupted completely and both lines would have long ago gone extinct. This falsifies evolution and billions of years completely.

And any supposed DNA similarity would have also been wiped out completely many eons ago. Each of these branch offs means that their genomes diverged forever with no genetic exchanges afterwards. And the DNA mutation ERRORS that occurred in each line of descent would of course be independent and have no correlation. Each branch by itself would have lost all, almost all or most of the DNA similarity with the other branch. And with the 2 combined, divergence would be total or almost total. Thus, all branches of the evolution descent tree are completely falsified forever.

No new functionality, organs, tissues or genes would have ever emerged from either line of descent even if there were trillions upon trillions … upon trillions of years.

All this leads to the inescapable conclusion that the DNA similarity between species that branched off from a last common ancestor supposedly millions of years ago cannot be from common descent at all but prove a recent creation from a Common Creator, God the Almighty. **So, DNA similarity is not evidence at all for evolution and billions of years but evidence against it.**

The same technique can be applied to supposed DNA similarities between any species and disprove that branch off of the supposed evolutionary descent tree. All will have contradictions between the branch off time and the claimed similarities. It also can be used to refute all similarity claims between species. This proof is part of a series of proofs against evolution and billions of years that can be found at this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1WrZbVEqdB/

Evolution and billions of years are claimed to be a true scientific theory. But as a scientific theory, it needs to stand falsification tests. Evolution and billions of years have been falsified very many times and in many ways. So, they are a false theory. To claim other than that is to abandon the scientific method and teach a false religion.

Platypuses are not your distant cousins. Nor are any of these your distant cousins: bananas, lettuce, slugs, flies, rats, flowers, bees, amoebas, crabs, blueberries bushes, sea gulls, monkeys, dolphins, whales, penguins, worms, and all creatures in the world today. And a one celled creature is not your great, great, great, …, great grandma.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Platypus

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

90. Kinesins and dyneins and myocins. Oh my! DNA mutation ERORRS could not have produced these. These motor proteins prove evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things. (TOC) (back)

Kinesins and dyneins are motor proteins that walk on microtubules and carry molecular cargo like proteins, vesicles and some organelles throughout the cell. Prokaryotes do not have kinesins, dyneins, or microtubules. All eukaryotes do. Thus, they add to the impossibility that eukaryotes supposedly evolved from prokaryotes. Myosins are motor proteins that move along actin filaments. Prokaryotes do not have myosin. All eukaryotes do. Thus, they too add to the impossibility that eukaryotes supposedly evolved from prokaryotes.

Microtubules are not permanent structures. They are assembled and disassembled dynamically according to the needs of the cell. Their direction is determined by MAPs. Actin filaments are also not permanent. They are assembled and disassembled dynamically. This control of the assembling, disassembling, and direction according to the cell's requirements cannot be explained by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Here is a good article that describes kinesins.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kinesin

Here are some kinesin videos.

https://youtu.be/gbycQf1TbM0?si=Ly6klclxOOeC4MNm

https://youtu.be/ilgdFvit49Y?si=64ebhFMUqWdF3xHh

https://youtu.be/y-uuk4Pr2i8?si=VS49W8Fi7HlEwepT

Here is a good article about dyneins.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dynein

Here are some dynein videos.

https://youtu.be/vbgkFEbmGrU?si=A9pS9O3O6hBVKcAP

https://youtu.be/UGc6pkpU8qM?si=ms64ZbwzpEJNnsc_

https://youtu.be/WCFAAUvNarw?si=t9GWvYEv0iwU-fQ4

Here is another good article on dyneins.

https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-019-11231-8

Here is an article that describes myosins.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Myosin

Here are some myosin videos.

https://youtu.be/oHDRIwRZRVI?si=_b-XDlDSfzAyjljB

https://youtu.be/7Nh2FfqDZT4?si=_WEAn1BH8KJKsabZ

People have about 40 different types of kinesins in their cells. Other species have variations in the types and the number of each type of kinesins in them. There are also variations in the types and number of each type of dyneins and myosins in other species. Eukaryote cells could not survive or reproduce without kinesins, dyneins, and myosins.

For kinesins, there are a number of genes that are involved to produce, operate and control them. Many of the genes have sizes in the 40k to 100k base pairs range even up to the several hundred thousand base pair range. Dynein involved genes have almost as impressive sizes and myosin involved genes a bit more impressive.

https://bmcgenomics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2164-7-18

Now the odds against the average kinesin gene coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS are greater than 10^(60,000) to 1. There has been about 10^41 cells that have ever existed. And about 10^47 genes that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. So even with all those chances, the odds against the average kinesin gene coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS are still greater than 10^(60,000) to 1. To put this in perspective, it would take a trillion times a trillion times a trillion times … a trillion times a trillion years where the phrase “a trillion times” is repeated 5000 times for that to have a plausible chance for that to have happened. And that is just for one average kinesin gene to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. For kinesins, dyneins and myosins there are about 130 of these genes in mankind. Thus, there are about 130 of these super great miracles of miracles to account for these, with total odds against greater than 10^(7.8 million) to 1. To put this in perspective, it would take a trillion time a trillion times a trillion times … a trillion times a trillion years where the phrase “a trillion times” is repeated 650,000 times for that to have a plausible chance for these all to have happened by DNA mutation ERRORS.

But the genes involved to make kinesins, dyneins and myosins are different in many kinds of organisms. So, this adds to the total number of super great miracles of miracles to account for them and the total odds against them coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Kinesin family of genes in mankind (46 genes). The following kinesin involved genes have sizes of greater than 30k base pairs up to several hundred thousand base pairs KIFC3, KIF1A, KIF1B, KIF1C, KIF2A, KIF2C, KIF3A, KIF3B, KIF3C, KIF4A, KIF5A, KIF5C, KIF6, KIF9, KIF11, KIF13A, KIF13B, KIF14, KIF15, KIF16B, KIF17, KIF18A, KIF20B, KIF21A, KIF21B, KIF24, KIF26A, KIF26B, KIF27

Dynein family of genes in mankind (44 genes). The following dynein involved genes have sizes of greater than 30k base pairs up to several hundred thousand base pairs DNAH1, DNAH5, DNAH9, DNAH11, DNAI1, DNAI2, DNAL1, ODAD2, ODAD4, DNAAF1, DNAAF4, DNAAF5, DNAAF6, LRRC6, SPAG1, CFAP300, DYNC1H1, DYNC2H1, DYNC2I1, DNAH1, DNAH2, DNAH8, DNAH17, PAFAH1B1

Myosin family of genes in mankind (39 genes). The following myosin involved genes have sizes of greater than 25k base pairs up to several hundred thousand base pairs MYH1, MYH2, MYH3, MYH4, MYH6, MYH8, MYH9, MYH10, MYH11, MYH13, MYH14, MYH15, MYH16, MYO1B, MYO1D, MYO1E, MYO1F, MYO1H, MYO3A, MYO3B, MYO5A, MYO5B, MYO5C, MYO6, MYO7A, MYO7B, MYO9A, MYO9B, MYO10, MYO15A, MYO16, MYO18A, MYO18B, MYO19

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

Kinesins and dyneins and myocins. Oh my! DNA mutation ERORRS could not have produced these. These prove evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things.

https://youtu.be/gbycQf1TbM0?si=Ly6klcIxOOeC4MNm

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

91. The supposed DNA similarity between species contradicts the supposed time since their last common ancestor based on the DNA mutation ERRORS rates. Each of these contradictions refute evolution and billions of years. Each of these refutes evolution and billions of years, the supposed evolutionary descent tree, the ages assigned to all associated rock layers, and the dates and dating methods of anything claimed to be ancient in those rock layers. (TOC) (back)

Many evolutionists claim that the DNA of mankind and chimpanzees are about 99% similar. At the same time, they also claim that the last common ancestor (LCA) of both lived about 7 million years ago. But using the DNA mutation ERRORS rates of both shows that there would be a larger difference between the 2 if they split about 7 million years ago. Thus, the claim of 99% DNA similarity and the about 7 million years since their LCA is contradictory. This contradiction refutes evolution and billions of years. Each of these refutes evolution and billions of years, the supposed evolutionary descent tree, the ages assigned to all associated rock layers, and the dates and dating methods of anything claimed to be ancient in those rock layers.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1B7VDWRhjU/

For each of the following creatures, the DNA similarity between the pair of named species and the claimed time since the LCA for each pair of species are contradictory. This refutes evolution and billions of years, the supposed evolutionary descent tree, the ages assigned to all associated rock layers, and the dates and dating methods of anything claimed to be ancient in those rock layers.

Why the similarity in DNA between mice and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/13L7cJL8qL/

Why the similarity in DNA between dogs and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14e5cNCYGj/

Why the similarity in DNA between cats and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18HsbHyQLz/

Why the similarity in DNA between kangaroos and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1W85zDBcMc/

Why the similarity in DNA between platypuses and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17TBQf6Jsu/

Why the similarity in DNA between birds and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14NxWFreMd/

Why the similarity in DNA between the green anole lizard and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Goo1Vvkat/

Why the similarity in DNA between African clawed frogs and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1HRQEMdKDR/

Why the similarity in DNA between zebrafish and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14jvDzoqeR/

Why the similarity in DNA between sea urchins and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Dos23NiEg/

Why the similarity in DNA between honeybees and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17owbmpfnh/

Why the similarity in DNA between bananas and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19VRrSY9ES/

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. The reason is the genomes of all species would have become too corrupted if species were too old. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes (possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species have ever existed, or the over 10^47 genes in all creatures that have ever existed, or the over 10^51 proteins that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17rvSbVWCA/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15ba8K14ox/

None of these are your distant cousins: bananas, slugs, flies, rats, flowers, bees, amoebas, crabs, chimps, blueberries bushes, sea gulls, dolphins, whales, foxes, fish,

reptiles, kangaroos, platypuses, cats, dogs, penguins, worms, lettuce, sea urchins, frogs, and all creatures in the world today. And a one celled creature is not your great, great, great, …, great grandma.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chimpanzee

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

92. Mankind is made in the image of God. Mankind is different from all other creatures. This matches the Biblical record but refute evolution and billions of years. (TOC) (back)

Writes books, writes plays, makes movies, and writes poems.
Mankind generates electricity from a variety of sources, distributes it and makes things that use it.
Mankind named the animals and plants.
Mankind heats homes with natural gas.
Mankind makes computers and software.
Mankind makes furniture, lamps, light bulbs, streetlights, street signs, high ways, automatic tolls, and gas stations.
Mankind makes solar panels, nuclear reactors, coal plants, windmills, wind turbines, piers, boat docks, and marinas.
Mankind makes telescopes.
Mankind studies the planets, the sun, the moon, comets, stars, galaxies, asteroids, meteors, nebula, and black holes.
Mankind makes microscopes, lasers, fireworks, metallic alloys, seeds clouds, predicts the weather, predicts rainfall amounts, predicts tides, and predicts eclipses.
Mankind has theories of gravity, electromagnetism, string nuclear force, weak nuclear force, atomic structure, atomic particles, etc. that yield results which match reality.
Mankind makes spectrometers, centrifuges, litmus paper, sea walls, canals, and dams.
Mankind can modify their own DNA and the DNA of other species.
Mankind makes automobiles, trucks, trains, train tracks, cities, bridges, airplanes, sky scrapers, factories, boats, and ships.
Mankind makes TVs, cell phones, the Internet, appliances, art, music, sheet music, musical instruments, and stadiums.
Mankind makes helicopters, rockets, satellites, maps, and robots.
Mankind invented equations, logic, algebra, calculus, statistics, probability, the table of chemical elements, and has determined the atomic mass of the elements and their isotopes.
Mankind makes amusement rides, board games and puzzles.

Mankind makes supermarkets, fertilizer, submarines, kites, and surfboards.
Mankind makes memory chips, disk drives, microchips, cables, power supplies, batteries, and capacitors.
Mankind makes pulleys, paint, steps, roofs, doors, and shutters.
Mankind makes has explored mountains, planets, deserts and the ocean depths.
Mankind invented the printing press, ink jet printers, and fonts.
Mankind can transplant organs, and has invented X-ray machines, MRI machines, CAT scans and ultrasounds.
Mankind makes large scale water distribution systems and sewer systems, and sewer treatment plants.
Mankind invented plastic, nylon, zip lock bags, Tupper ware, rubber bands, and Post its notes.
Mankind makes gutters, stucco, windows, floor plans, credit cards, cash registers, ATMs, driver licenses, and photo IDs.
Mankind makes dishes, bowls, forks, knives, spoons, cups, glasses, and scissors.
Mankind makes rope, thread, wire, hooks, and twine.
Mankind makes nails, screws, washers, bolts, lug nuts, screw drivers, wrenches, hammers, drills, and vices
Mankind makes shades, blinds, and pottery.
Mankind makes compasses, magnets, heart monitors and blood pressure cuffs
Mankind makes tables, chairs, tablecloths, dressers, hutches, sofas, bed frames, mattresses and box springs
Mankind makes shoes, pants, shirts, buttons, zippers, Velcro, coats, scarfs, gloves, socks, hats, boats, umbrellas, blankets and pillows.
Mankind makes eyeglasses, sunglasses, contact lens, eye charts, eye tests, and writes eye prescriptions
microwaves, ovens, gas stoves, electric stoves, dishwashers, dryers, washing machines, coffee makers.
Mankind makes jewelry, precision cut diamonds and gems, gold coins, silver coins, paper currency, and digital currency
Mankind makes air conditioners, gas heaters, electric heaters, water heaters, and fans.
Mankind makes microphones, cameras, and speakers.
When people have children, those children pre of the kind mankind.

The rest of all creatures in the world are incapable of such.

Another thing to note is that evolutionists claim that people has been as intelligent as they are today for at least 100,000 years and yet all these advancements happened in just the last several 1000 years.

93A. Supposedly, evolution produced introns through DNA mutation ERRORS. That is impossible. Introns, the proteins that are involved in processing them, and the requirement to actually edit the DNA of an organism in inserting them, would have to have OCCURRED in the very same organism simultaneously. And this one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles would have happened over a trillion times. Introns are too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to

have evolved from DNA mutation ERRORS. Spliceosomes with over 100 protein coding genes process introns but almost all its genes have introns, an impossible to resolve contradiction – Part 1. (TOC) (back)

A. Introduction

This post proves that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS could never have produced all the introns in all species that have ever existed, not even a single intron. Nor could evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS ever have produced any spliceosomes that process introns. And almost all the protein coding genes that produce spliceosomes have introns in them. This is an impossible to resolve contradiction.

The problem of introns and spliceosomes for evolution is just 1 part of the much larger problem for evolution for its claim that eukaryotes (cells with a nucleus) supposedly evolved from prokaryotes (cells without a nucleus). That was proved impossible in the following link and also in post 12 in this book.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19udBckjma/

In fact, eukaryotes supposedly evolved from prokaryotes is even more preposterous than abiogenies. Abiogenesis was proved impossible in the following links and also in posts 104 and 105 in this book.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BtmRG7ueK/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1CGdNMd1GU/

First, a detailed description of introns, exons and isoforms will be given. Next, the problems that they present for evolution will be discussed. Then, spliceosomes, which are what processes introns will be discussed, and the problems that they present to evolution. This will include a very detailed look at the set of the over 140 genes that produce spliceosomes in people. Then, the odds against evolution having produced introns, exons, isoforms, spliceosomes, and the claim that eukaryotes evolved from prokaryotes will be estimated, as well as the times it would have taken for such. Next, a fairly complete and rigorous set of falsification tests will be presented which prove that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS could not have produced introns, exons, isoforms, spliceosomes, or eukaryotes. After that, a genetic information decay function that models genetic information degradation due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in all organisms of all species that have ever lived will be used to completely collapse the supposed evolution timeline starting at any point in that timeline. It will be used to collapse the time since any supposed abiogenesis event, the time since eukaryotes supposedly evolved from prokaryotes, and the time since the supposed endosymbiosis origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts from prokaryotes. It will also be used to refute every last node of the supposed evolution descent tree, all the associated node times of the last common ancestor associated with each node, and all subtrees from any LCA. And this can be used to collapse the time since any major event in the

timeline of evolution. And these will collapse that timeline to less than 10,000 years and refute the use of any associated dating techniques that dates anything with a long age, with the exception of the finite speed of light which is a problem for “Atheistic Origin Science” but not for GOD ALMIGHTY. This is covered in section 32 my book entitled, “Evolution is false because it cannot explain all the unique genes that have ever existed”, which will referred to as “All the Unique Genes” for short. And that will prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and God created everything recently. Finally, a summary of this proof will be given.

This proof is quite long. But that is because it goes into great detail and uses a significant amount of science, math, logic and facts to refute evolution. It is just one approach to refute any claim of new functionality by evolutionists and for similar proofs against evolution and all claims by evolutionists that others may want to follow. And they can pick and choose what parts to use to produce proofs that are not as long.

B. A detailed description of introns, exons and isoforms, and the problems that they present for evolution.

The following articles describe introns in some detail. Excerpts from an article are given below the link. The underlined words are not in the article but are added by me for emphasis.

https://www.genome.gov/genetics-glossary/Intron

“An intron is a region that resides within a gene but does not remain in the final mature mRNA molecule following transcription of that gene and does not code for amino acids that make up the protein encoded by that gene. Most protein-coding genes in the human genome consist of exons and introns.”

https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4742320/#:~:text=All%20eukaryotic%20genomes%20carry%20introns,proteins%20%5B1%2C2%5D.

“All eukaryotic genomes carry introns as parts of some gene structures and the introns are to be eliminated by a complex molecular machinery called the spliceosome comprising five snRNAs and more than 150 proteins”

“Introns certainly impose a huge energetic burden to the cell, considering that the density of introns (i.e., the genic regions consuming large amounts of energy for nothing in terms of protein synthesis) is greater than that of exons in genomes.”

“One of the remarkable examples of the increasing protein repertoire by alternative splicing is the Drosophila Dscam gene, of which over 38000 isoforms can potentially be produced by alternative splicing. “

https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3325483/

“As we shall see, there is no single mechanism by which introns enhance expression. In many cases, the mechanism is not yet known, but in those cases in which it had been revealed, introns seem to affect virtually any step of mRNA maturation, including transcription initiation, transcription elongation, transcription termination, polyadenylation, nuclear export, and mRNA stability.”

“In this review, we will show examples to the great variety of functions carried out by introns. We found it illuminating to divide the life span of an intron to five phases, and to separately refer to the functions that are associated with each phase (Figure 1). The first phase is the *genomic intron*, which is the DNA sequence of the intron. The second phase is the *transcribed intron*, which is the phase in which the intron is under active transcription. The third phase is the *spliced intron*, in which the spliceosome is assembled on the intron and is actively excising it. The fourth phase is the *excised intron*, which is the intronic RNA sequence released upon the completion of the splicing reaction. The final phase is the exon-junction complex (*EJC*)-*harboring transcript*, which is the mature mRNA in which the location of the exon–exon junctions is marked by the EJC.”

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intron

“At least four distinct classes of introns have been identified:

- Introns in nuclear protein-coding genes that are removed by spliceosomes (spliceosomal introns)
- Introns in nuclear and archaeal transfer RNA genes that are removed by proteins (tRNA introns)
- Self-splicing group I introns that are removed by RNA catalysis
- Self-splicing group II introns that are removed by RNA catalysis”

https://microbenotes.com/introns/#:~:text=Structure%20of%20Introns&text=In%20humans%20and%20vertebrates%2C%20introns,branch%20in%20a%20loop%20formation.

https://educationalgames.nobelprize.org/educational/medicine/dna/a/splicing/introns.html#:~:text=We%20now%20know%20of%204,two%20halves%20of%20the%20tRNA.

Introns are non-protein coding sections within protein coding genes. Exons are the coding sections, and each of the codons of an exon through transcription and translation are used to select a specific amino acid to build a protein. A protein coding gene with introns will typically have an alternating pattern of exons and introns which begins and ends with an exon. So, typically the number of exons is 1 more than the number of introns. However, some protein coding genes have exons without an intron in between them. According to current knowledge all naturally occurring eukaryotes have introns, and no naturally occurring prokaryote has introns. While most protein coding genes in eukaryotes have them, some protein coding genes do not. The structure of introns is more complex than the protein coding sections called exons. Introns allow for one protein coding gene to code for many different proteins due to alternate splicing.

The variety of proteins that a protein coding gene with introns produces are called isoforms. Not all possible isoforms are actually expressed.

Evolutionists have no clue as to when and how introns evolved. They claim a few theories, but all are preposterous and tied to other impossible events. And there is no actual scientific detail at all in these theories. So again, evolution proves to be the theory of nothing as it does not answer the question of the origin of anything.

So how did introns evolve through DNA mutation ERRORS? First, the energy cost to have introns is large and thus would be a large disadvantage until some functionality exists to give some benefit. So, natural selection would select against any evolution of genes with introns. Secondly, consider that there are over 100 genes that are involved in processing introns, with odds against for that many are far greater than 10^(600,000) to 1. That processing is performed by spliceosomes which will be discussed in detail later. Without the introns in the DNA code, why would such a complex functionality as spliceosomes have evolved to process introns that do not exist? And almost all genes that are involved to produce spliceosomes have introns. But without spliceosomes, any intron in a protein coding gene will be rejected by natural selection. This is an impossible to resolve contradiction for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And that network of genes produces the proteins that process introns. But if there are no proteins to process the introns, then their presence in a gene would cause the proteins formed from such a gene to be changed and thus be useless or deadly. And thus, rejected by natural selection. That is because an intron would have had misplaced stop codons scattered throughout. And once a misplaced codon is encountered during translation to produce a protein from that gene, translation ends and protein truncation occurs which would be a severe protein truncation. This would be the equivalent of a nonsense mutation. And this is true for both haploids and diploids that reproduce sexually. And for diploids that reproduce sexually, this event would be a chromosome mismatch which are mostly rejected natural selection at a high rate (10x or more) from making it into the genome of a species. This is discussed in detail in sections 1-7 of “All the Unique Genes”.

But how and when were introns inserted into the DNA code to begin with? And how could such a complex piece of information such as introns have even come into being since the information contained in them is used to direct the production of the isoforms that that protein coding gene would produce, a new functionality which would never have existed yet? The only possibility is that all had to occur simultaneously in the very same creature because the DNA is used for both survival and reproduction. Thus, this would be one of the greatest of the super great miracles of miracles. And the genes that are involved in producing the proteins that process introns have introns in them. So, that is an impossible to resolve contradiction as protein coding genes with introns are needed to process protein coding genes with introns. This will be discussed in detail later.

Furthermore, the protein coding genes that produce the proteins that process introns are different across very many species. Thus, this quadruple simultaneous one of the

greatest of the super great miracles of miracles ever must have occurred very many times. And if one tries to argue that these came into being through by a step wise manner, then it just multiples the number of some of the greatest of the super great miracles of miracles ever to have occurred. Furthermore, each gene that has introns must have had those introns inserted into that gene. But every last time that happened would have been rejected by natural selection. And the information in the introns that were inserted must be such that it achieves functional genes and proper gene expression of the various isoforms that it produces. And again, both in a single event.

Furthermore, most genes have more than one intron. For people, the average is about 8 introns and about 9 exons per protein coding gene, with a total of over 200,000 introns and a total of over 200,000 exons. So, the insertion of introns in people alone must have occurred at least 200,000 times. The same is true for the exons. There are some genes where the number of introns is over 300. The Drosophila Dscam gene has over 38,000 isoforms. In all eukaryote species that have ever existed, there may have been well over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) unique introns. This assumes that existing introns themselves were not edited multiple times. But many introns contain nested genes showing the complexity of introns. The longest intron is over 1 million base pairs. So, it is almost certain that many introns were edited multiple times. So, it is obvious that many introns have been edited multiple times, if evolution were true. Thus, the total number of miraculous events to account for introns probably exceeds 100 trillion. And since most introns are found in species that are diploids that reproduce sexually, there would have to have been an additional 100s of trillions of more miraculous events. This is proved below.

Introns, the information they contain, and the protein coding genes that produce the proteins that process them, and the proteins that process them are an irreducibly complex system. It is impossible for any irreducibly complex system, organ, tissues, functionality, genes, introns, exons, or isoforms to have come into being by DNA mutation changes. Each would require a significant number of irreducibly complex pieces which are composed of irreducibly complex sub pieces down through several levels and coordinated with other changes that are interconnected with other parts of the organism. There is no advantage until the complete functionality is working and would probably be a disadvantage until then. Furthermore, analysis of these reveals that they show more intelligent design than anything that mankind has made. The transcription/translation of DNA code to RNA sequences to proteins composed of specific amino acid sequences has high information content and creates what can be described as intricate physical micro machines that carry out intricate functionality.

The following is based on part 1 of my book, “Evolution is false because it cannot explain all the unique genes that have ever existed”, which for short will be referred to as “All the Unique Genes”.

Any new unique intron that came into being by almost any means must exactly land in an exon region in such an exact way that the now split exon pieces can still produce all the isoforms so that they can still be produced so that the functionality provided by the

original isoforms still works. The information of how that would happen would be contained in the new intron. Or the intron must exactly land at the boundary of an exon and an intron, or the boundary between 2 exons or the boundary between 2 introns. The last 3 of these options occur less frequently. All other occurrences would almost certainly be rejected by natural selection. Odds against just this are about 1000 to 1 based on the average size of exons and introns. That means that about 99.9% of such events are rejected just for the requirement of an exact location. Plus, the odds against any new intron being functional and not deadly or not having a significant disadvantage that natural selection would reject, are on average far greater than 10^600 to 1. So virtually all events are rejected by natural selection. And there are a significant number of these where the odds against are vastly far greater against than that. And about 10% of all introns have a size greater than 10,000 base pairs. So, the odds against each of those are far greater than 10^6000 to 1. The average odds against an intron are far greater than 10^600 to 1. And that would be true for over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) unique introns that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. That means there are over 1 trillion refutations of evolution for introns, and over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) refutations of evolution for introns since each is a contradiction to the theory of evolution. Only 1 contradiction is needed to refute atheism, evolution, and billions of years and prove God created all things recently. And the total odds against for all these introns are far greater than 10^(600 trillion) to 1 for over 1 trillion unique introns that have existed in all species that have existed. And the total odds against for all these introns are far greater than 10^(6,000 trillion) to 1 for over 10 trillion unique introns that have existed in all species that have existed.

Any new unique exon that came into being by almost any means must exactly land at the boundary of an exon and an intron, or the boundary between 2 exons or the boundary between 2 introns. Or it landed in an exon region in such an exact way that the now split exon pieces can still be used to produce all the isoforms that match the original isoforms produced so that the functionality of the original isoforms still works. The information of how that would happen would be contained in some of the introns in that gene. And the information in other introns would also have to be modified so that the isoforms could still be produced the functionality of the original isoforms and still work. So, they would need to be altered in the same event. All other occurrences would almost certainly be rejected by natural selection. Odds against just this are about 1000 to 1 based on the average size of exons and introns. That means that about 99.9% of such events are rejected just for the requirement of an exact location. And the odds against any new exon being functional and not deadly or having a significant disadvantage that natural selection rejects, are on average far greater than 10^100 to 1. So virtually all events are rejected by natural selection. And there are a significant number of these where the odds against are vastly far greater against than that. If both a new unique exon with an adjoining new unique intron were to come into being, it would be in effect a combination of the above for a new unique intron and a new unique exon. And that would be true for over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) unique exons that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. That means there are over 1 trillion refutations of evolution for exons, and over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) refutations of evolution for introns since each is a contradiction to the theory of

evolution. Only 1 contradiction is needed to refute atheism, evolution, and billions of years and prove God created all things recently. And the total odds against for all these exons are far greater than 10^(100 trillion) to 1 for over 1 trillion unique exons that have existed in all species that have existed. And the total odds against for all these exons are far greater than 10^(1,000 trillion) to 1 for over 10 trillion unique exons that have existed in all species that have existed.

Furthermore, since the introns in a protein coding gene have information that may affect other introns in the same gene, adding a new intron or even exon would require the editing of information in some other introns in that same gene. Thus, the new intron and the precise editing of the information in some other introns or exons must occur simultaneously in the very same creature. This is true for all new introns and exons except not necessarily in the mutation case only. But that case still has vast odds against so that it is virtually certain that all such attempts would never lead to any new unique intron or exon. So, the above odds against are greatly increased in all cases except for new unique exons or introns produced by the DNA mutation ERRORS only case. However, for the DNA mutation ERRORS only case, there would have to be a coordinated DNA mutation ERRORS path between the new intron or exon with some of the other introns, and the associated controls for that gene. It may be that there is no viable DNA mutation ERRORS path possible for a vast number of new unique introns and new unique exons. Even if such a path were possible, this vastly increase the odds against such.

Now consider the Drosophila Dscam gene which has over 38,000 isoforms. That gene has over 60,000 base pairs. It also has 94 introns and 115 exons. For that to have that having evolved by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS, there would have been over 200 events of a new intron or new exon coming into existence.

The following is an estimate of the odds against such a gene to have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. The average size of the introns and exons in that gene are about 300 base pairs. So, the average odds against each of those events where a new exon or intron was introduced in that protein coding gene are far greater than 10^180 to 1. But that would have happened more than 200 times. So, the total odds against all of those events would be far greater than 10^36,000 to 1. But the odds against are far greater. Each time one of those events happened, there had to be modifications in the base pairs of some of the other introns in the gene. At first there are no introns. Then the first intron is introduced. So, the odds against that are just for that intron to be functional plus the odds against its exact placement. But as more and more exons and introns are added to that gene, the amount of modifications in the base pairs of some of the other introns in the gene that existed at that time is increasing. Thus, some combination of base pair changes must have happened in other introns during such an event. On average the total number of existing introns in the gene at the time of an event would have been about 50. Assume just 25 introns would on average be modified with about 20 modified base pairs on average for each intron for those that were modified. The average odds against each new intron event are far greater than 10^800 to 1. This is due to the fact that such an event would have required a coordinated DNA

mutation ERRORS path among all the modifications in those other introns. And the total odds against all these introns and exons for this gene are far greater than 10^160,000 to 1. And the time it would take on average would be far greater than 10^160,000 years. But drosophila is a diploid that reproduces sexually. So, even if there are one of these events, the odds are that at least 10 or more of these will be rejected by natural selection due to a chromosome mismatch. So, the total odds against all these introns and exons for this gene are far greater than 10^(1.6 million) to 1 and the total time it would have taken would be far greater than 10^(1.6 million) years. And those odds against and the time it would have taken are vastly underestimated. And at least 1 if not many of the events are more than likely impossible. So, the odds against would be infinite and the time that it would have taken would also be infinite.

For any new unique intron or exon there would have to be changes in the associated controls which are from non-coding genes, intergenic regions and epigenetics. And since any new unique intron or exon would cause changes in some of the introns in this particular gene, that would cause changes potentially among all of the introns in that protein coding gene. This is a requirement for the gene to still be able to produce all the isoforms it produced prior to this event. And any new unique exon that came into existence spontaneously would need to have at least one new control to also come into existence that are matched to that new exon, else that new unique exon would have no controls. So, it is actually useless. And any new unique exon that came into existence by copying an existing exon would require at least one new control else it would be tied to the original controls that controlled the existing exon that was copied. Note that many exons are controlled by controls that may provide control of other exons or even other genes. So, depending on the topology of the particular network, there may be no way for such a new control to break free from the other genes and exons the original control controlled.

There is a problem for evolution as to the distribution of sizes of introns and exons in any particular species and even for all species that have ever existed. For all cases of any new intron or exon that came into being by a copy and mutation process, the new intron or exon would have the same size as the original intron or exon that was copied. And all new unique introns or exons that came into being from a mutation only process still retain their same sizes. And all other events that would produce a new unique intron or exon would almost certainly be rejected by natural selection for haploids, but especially in diploids that reproduce sexually (the majority of introns) due to some chromosome mismatch. All these will not produce a new intron or exon size. The only other possibilities are spontaneously created introns or exons, an intron or exon that is copied into an existing exon, spontaneously created introns or exons, and the case of de novo exons or de novo introns described below. But what will also be proved is that these cases have vast odds against as well as the problem with misplaced stop codons for exons. What is observed is a continuum of sizes for when looking at a plot of the number of exon or intron sizes vs exon or intron size. When the predictions of a theory do not match what is observed, then the theory, in this case evolution, must be false.

Any spontaneously created exon will have random DNA base pairs and hence random DNA codons. That means that some of those codons will be misplaced stop codons. And these misplaced stop codons will be scattered randomly throughout the exon. The same will be true for exons that came into being from a copy of an existing intron, from a copy of an existing non-coding gene or from a copy of an existing intergenic region. These can be considered de novo exons similar to the term de novo genes. In section 2 of “All the Unique Genes”, when any protein coding segment, whether gene or exon, has misplaced stop codons scattered throughout, the existence of misplaced stop codons will lead to either being rejected by natural selection or that existence has great persistent and should be observed today. Their great persistence means that all new unique exons produced by these cases should still have misplaced stop codons. And copies of those, and copies of copies of those, and cascades of copies or copies of those should have the same size and location of the first misplaced stop codon. Thus, there should be sets of exons with same size and location of the first misplaced stop codon in all species. And since this does not reset with “new species”, all species should have unique sets of exons each with their own unique signatures of the same size and location of the first misplaced stop codons. Thus, there should be a relatively few discrete sizes when looking at a plot of the number of exon or intron sizes vs exon or intron size. What is observed is a continuum of sizes. None of these patterns are seen in living organisms that have introns. When the predictions of a theory do not match what is observed, then the theory, in this case evolution, must be false.

For all new unique introns or exons, except for the mutation only case, there is a structural chromosome mismatch in diploids that reproduce sexually. Thus at least 10 of these events would further be rejected by natural selection.

Note that the accumulation of DNA mutations ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA, by all species proves species are less than 10,000 years old. So, time for all these things to have evolved is severely limited.

Some genes have identical or nearly identical exons within themselves. And the same is true for introns. One claim by evolutionists is that these are from exon or intron duplication. However, the vast majority (over 99.9%) of introns and exons must have come into existence over a period of time from over 1 million years ago, even over 1 billion years ago. Thus, any copy of an exon and the original exon, or any copy of an intron and the original intron would not be similar at all as both would have independently been changed by the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS over these vast times. This is called genetic divergence. In fact, most would have little correlation with each other. And such claims by evolutionists are based on ASSUMING that common descent and evolution are true and then using that ASSUMPTION as evidence that common descent and evolution are true. That is PURE CIRCULAR “reasoning” based on false assumptions. But common features shared between species, whether anatomical or genetic, prove God created all things as inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years. This eliminates similarities, whether anatomical or genetic, as being a proof of common descent. Yet evolutionists still erroneously claim that such is the case. This is a

great error in evolutionary theory, and very many have been deceived by this. In the spirit of scientific honesty, there should be a worldwide announcement immediately in all media to retract this great fallacy. And all textbooks, papers, courseware and courses concerning such things should also be updated. This is covered in section 18 of “All the Unique Genes”. And the same is true for any claim of identical or nearly identical introns, identical or nearly identical exons, identical or nearly identical protein coding genes, identical or nearly identical non-coding genes, or identical or nearly identical intergenic regions. And this is true for any identical or nearly identical exon or intron within a gene, or in the genome of a species or between the genomes of different species. And it is also true for any identical or nearly identical protein coding genes, identical or nearly identical non-coding genes, identical or nearly identical intergenic regions in the genome of a species or between the genomes of different species. So, in all these cases, these are proof that evolution, billions or years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and that God created all things recently.

C. Spliceosomes, with over 100 genes, process introns but almost all its protein coding genes have introns. This is an impossible to resolve contradiction for evolution.

Almost all genes that are involved in producing the proteins that process introns have introns in them. So, that is an impossible to resolve this contradiction as protein coding genes with introns are needed to process protein coding genes with introns.

The following articles describe what spliceosomes are and their functions in eukaryotes.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spliceosome

https://www.cell.com/current-biology/fulltext/S0960-9822(14)01553-X

https://share.google/QiXRk8mCoRvDtoQ1S

The following videos show how spliceosomes work.

https://youtu.be/JnBf3tq_aXY?si=N0CsiBUI4cvpwsEP

https://youtu.be/pCFtJrG-30Q?si=vqCPODPn0pt3ltgw

https://youtu.be/3ad0lj3-XJU?si=C7er4CRojiEUqNys

https://youtu.be/8VIPYwOVk6c?si=u0Rz3FPxq3CDa93n

The following information from this site gives the set of genes in people that are involved in producing the proteins that are needed to produce spliceosomes.

https://maayanlab.cloud/Harmonizome/gene_set/Spliceosome/CORUM+Protein+Complexes

Detailed information for each gene in this set can be obtained by using GeneCards or the NCBI Gene Database.

There are very many other eukaryote species which have a different set of genes that produce introns. While the number of genes in each of their gene sets, the size of those genes in base pairs, and the number of introns and exons and isoforms, are somewhat similar to that for people and thus this discussion is applicable to them, there are significant differences. These protein coding genes produce spliceosomes in different species have different introns and exons and isoforms and DNA codes. So, evolutionists must account for the existence of all these variations which supposedly came into to existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And evolutionists have not and cannot. So, the great odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS to have produced spliceosomes are vastly greater than that which is proved below.

There are over 140 genes in people that are involved in producing the proteins that are needed to produce spliceosomes. Some of these genes are quite large. Just over half of these have over 19,000 base pairs. The odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS for just one gene of that size are far greater than 10^11,000 to 1. And for the over 70 of them that have sizes greater than 19,000 base pairs, based on their individual sizes, the total odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS for just these are far greater than 10^800,000 to 1. And for the set of over 140 protein coding genes in people that are involved in producing the proteins that are needed to produce spliceosomes, the total odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS for these are far greater than 10^2,300,000 to 1. The actual odds against them are vastly greater in all these cases when other factors such as having a significant number of introns and exons are considered. Another factor is that there would have had to have been a valid DNA mutation ERRORS path that was not rejected by natural selection along that path for any of these to come into existence through mutation of a copy of an original gene or from a mutation only process. Another factor is that any associated controls that existed in non-coding genes, intergenic regions, and epigenetics must have been part of a coordinated valid DNA mutation ERRORS path that was not rejected by natural selection along that path. And if any of these came into being in any species that was a diploid that reproduced sexually, the odds against increase enormously, due to the problem of mismatched chromosomes as well as the vast odds against any functional such gene. All these factors were proved in part 1 of “All the Unique Genes”. Note that most introns are in the genomes of species that are diploids that reproduce sexually.

Now 22 of these genes have sizes greater than 50,000 base pairs. Those genes are *AQR, CDC40, CDC5L, *CDK12, CPSF6, *CTNNBL1, CWC22, DDX46, DHX15, *DHX8, *IGF2BP3, PPM1G, PRPF40A, PRPF6, SF3B3, *SKIV2L2, *SPEN, TCERG1, THOC1, *THOC2, *THOC3, U2SURP where an * asterisk indicates a gene with over 100,000 base pairs. There are 9 of those. CTNNBL1 is largest of these genes with over 178,000 base pairs. The odds against that gene are far greater than 10^106,000 to 1.

Almost all of these genes that are protein coding genes have introns in them. And there are 19 of these genes which have more than 20 introns and 21 exons with the total of both greater than 43. And there are 5 of these genes which have more than 30 introns and 31 exons with the total of both greater than 63. SNRNP200 has 44 introns and 45 exons with a total of 89. These protein coding genes with many introns have vastly greater odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS producing them than was noted above. This was proved earlier for one protein coding gene that has the most introns.

Now to produce any new functionality, all or almost all of the new unique genes that carry out that new functionality must be in place for it to not be deadly or lead to significantly less offspring so that it is rejected by natural selection. The total odds against that many coordinated protein coding genes and the total time this would take can be estimated.

For new functionality in diploids that reproduce sexually consisting of 140 new unique genes has odds against on average per new unique gene that are far greater than 10^6000 to 1. But these are rejected at a rate of 1400x or more. So, the total odds against are far greater than 10^(1.17 billion) to 1, with possibly infinite odds against. And it would take far greater than 10^(1.17 billion) years for this to happen, and possibly it would have never happened. And the odds against this are vastly greater than that since almost all these genes have introns.

The equations used for both of these calculations are given below. The odds against new functionality coming into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS consisting of N genes in diploids that reproduce sexually are

O^(10N^2) to 1

where O is the odds against an average size gene, which is equal 10^6000 to 1, and N is the number of genes that comprise the new functionality. This is actually a vast underestimate of the odds against, and the odds against may be infinite.

The time it would take for that to happen is

O^(10N^2) years

where O is the odds against an average size gene, which is equal 10^6000 to 1, and N is the number of genes that comprise the new functionality. This is actually a vast underestimate of the time it would take, and the time it would take may be infinite.

The reasons that the 2nd equation is identical to the 1st equation are as follows. There have only been about 10^45 genes that have ever existed in all organisms that have ever existed. That is not just unique genes only, but actual genes even with many duplicates. That is the total chances to reduce those odds. And those chances must have occurred over a period of about 3.8 billion years which is the supposed time that

life has existed on earth. So, to convert the 1st part of the odds against to the years it would have taken, divide by 10^45 and multiple by 3.8 billion years. That reduces the exponent by less than 36, which is insignificant with such large exponents, especially considering that odds against are always calculated to be “far greater than”.

This was proved in proof 101 of this book and based on proofs in sections 1-7, and 16 of “All the Unique Genes”.

But it is utterly impossible for introns to have ever been produced by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. In fact, it is more preposterous than the impossibility of abiogenesis having ever occurred without God. Now when the first intron ever came into existence, there did not exist any spliceosome to process it. So, that would have been deadly to any organism of any species and been rejected by natural selection. The reason is that would have drastically changed the protein or the proteins produced by that protein coding gene. And this is true because that intron would have had misplaced stop codons which would have drastically truncated the protein produced and would have drastically changed the chemical properties and its 3-dimensional shape. And that is even more critical if that protein produced was an enzyme which has a more demanding set of parameters than other types of proteins. These enzymes are critical for making their respective biological chemical reactions to even occur or to occur fast enough that the organism can survive and replicate. And some of the protein coding genes themselves in spliceosomes produce proteins that are enzymes which have introns. So, all this adds to the case against all these introns and all the spliceosomes which are necessary to process all the introns that have existed in all species that have ever existed. So, not 1 single intron could have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And since there over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) introns that have existed in all species that have ever existed, that is over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) refutations against evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

Furthermore, it is impossible for any spliceosomes to have ever come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. The most obvious reason is that spliceosomes are produced by over 100 genes, where almost all of the protein coding genes have introns. And there about 1000 introns in these over 100 protein coding genes that produce spliceosomes. This is an impossible to resolve contradiction for evolution. So, not 1 single intron could have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And since there over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) introns that have existed in all species that have ever existed, this reaffirms again that that is over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) refutations against evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

But there is another less obvious reason that spliceosomes could ever have come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. There would not exist any introns for any supposedly evolving spliceosomes to have in a stepwise manner to begin to even recognize introns for the very simple reason that no introns existed. So, DNA mutations ERRORS could have never produced the genes for this, since natural

selection has nothing to supposedly evolve such that it would have any chance of working. So, not 1 single intron could have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And since there over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) introns that have existed in all species that have ever existed, this reaffirms again that that is over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) refutations against evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

The following discusses how introns and exons are processed to produce various proteins from protein coding genes in eukaryotes. This discussion will model this as 2 major phases of some form of supposed evolutionary process. There are actually more phases than this. Some of these are also required to have produced introns, and the spliceosomes that process introns. And there are many other major phases that would be required for eukaryotes to have evolved from prokaryotes through DNA mutations ERRORS. Later estimates of the odds against eukaryotes to have evolved from prokaryotes through DNA mutations ERRORS and the time it would will be given. However, to limit the scope of this discussion, only 2 major phases will be discussed.

Now the 2nd major phase that is essential to any introns being removed from the protein coding genes is performed by spliceosomes. This 2nd major phase is accomplished in 2 parts. The 1st part is to recognize introns and exons and cut out introns from immature pre-mRNA (precursor mRNA). The 2nd part is to glue the pre-mRNA pieces back together to form mature mRNA. The 1st major phase consists of many parts of which the 2nd major phase, that is performed by spliceosomes, is just 1 part of the 1st major phase. All eukaryotes use pre-mRNA as part of the process in the generation of a protein from a protein coding gene. However, pre-mRNA does not exist in any prokaryote. So, this is just another difference from what happens in prokaryotes that must have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. And this is just one difference. There are significant differences in the physical organization of these 2 types of cells and their respective physical DNA structure. The most fundamental difference is that eukaryotes have a nucleus and prokaryotes do not. So, in eukaryotes transcription and translation are physically separated, where transcription occurs in the nucleus and translation in the cytoplasm. For prokaryotes, both occur in the cytoplasm. Now the case against the claim that eukaryotes having supposedly evolved from prokaryotes shows that such is utterly impossible. And this is even preposterous then the utterly impossible abiogenesis of a first living cell from non-living chemicals without God.

Now the following describes and analyzes some of the differences between prokaryotes and eukaryotes in more detail than was done in the following proof that proved that eukaryotes could never have evolved from prokaryotes through DNA mutation ERRORS as evolutionists claim. This is also in post 12 of this book.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19udBckjma/

In prokaryotes, prokaryotic RNA polymerase performs transcription from DNA to mRNA in the cytoplasm. In eukaryotes, eukaryotic RNA polymerase II performs transcription from DNA to immature pre-mRNA in the nucleus. In eukaryotes, eukaryotic RNA

polymerase II makes pre-mRNA from DNA. In eukaryotes, eukaryotic RNA polymerase II reads DNA that is unwrapped from the DNA that is coiled on histones by helper proteins and then is rewrapped onto the histone. The unwrapped segment of DNA in RNA polymerase II is 12-14 base pairs in length. And all these different things would have had to evolve through DNA mutation ERRORS, and all would be impossible.

Please note that there is no expectation that the transcription/translation table for DNA to mRNA to amino acid selection in prokaryotes should match the transcription/translation table for DNA to mRNA to amino acid selection in eukaryotes. The odds against just that are about 20^64 which is greater than 10^80 to 1. There are several reasons why this is true. First, any assumption that eukaryotes evolved from prokaryotes is at best an unproved assumption. In reality, that assumption has been proved false. And the proteins that are involved in, and the genes that produce those proteins, have very many significant differences in eukaryotes and prokaryotes. In fact, there is a significant reorganization of several key steps of how this is accomplished in both. These all refute the claim that eukaryotes evolved from prokaryotes. Furthermore, the transcription/translation table for DNA to mRNA to amino acid selection for mitochondria and chloroplasts is different than that for prokaryotes even though each supposedly came from some endosymbiosis events of engulfed prokaryotes 1.5 to 2 billion years ago. This difference between the transcription/translation table for DNA to mRNA to amino acid selection for prokaryotes and mitochondria and chloroplasts proves evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS is false and thus evolution, billions of years, common descent, atheism, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and God created all things. This was proved in the following link and in post 10 of this book.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EdoTb3Yod/

Furthermore, it is impossible that mitochondria and chloroplasts each supposedly came from some endosymbiosis events. Thus evolution, billions of years, common descent, atheism, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and God created all things. This was proved in the following link and in post 11 of this book.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17Mha62xQ5/

Furthermore, in eukaryotes, introns exist in the genes of the DNA of mitochondria and chloroplasts. They are not removed by spliceosomes, but by self-splicing ribozymes. There is no alternate splicing though. So, for both mitochondria and chloroplasts the same impossible to resolve contradiction exist. That is 2 more impossible to resolve contradictions than introns in nuclear DNA, and the spliceosomes that process them for nuclear DNA in eukaryotes. This further adds the refutation of the claims by evolutionists that eukaryotes evolved from prokaryotes, that mitochondria and chloroplasts each supposedly came from some endosymbiosis events, and any expectation that the transcription/translation table for DNA to mRNA to amino acid selection in prokaryotes should match the transcription/translation table for DNA to mRNA to amino acid selection in eukaryotes.

In prokaryotes, almost all DNA is circular DNA and resides in the cytoplasm. In eukaryotes, almost all nuclear DNA is linear DNA organized as chromosomes and resides in the nucleus. And this great change in the physical structure of DNA would have had to evolve through DNA mutation ERRORS.

The following are just 2 of the many parts of the 1st major phase. First, the nucleus must have evolved from something, or it just came into existence spontaneously which is impossible. Secondly, the physical reorganization of DNA from circular DNA to linear DNA must have also evolved, or it just came into existence spontaneously which is also impossible. But both of these are impossible to have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. And the reason in both cases is that (the nucleus and linear DNA) would have required very many super great miracles of miracles in the very same creature, since both of these affects how such an organism survives and replicates. And both of these would have required very many new unique genes to have come into existence in the very same creature, since both of these affects how such an organism survives and replicates. And for both of these, if it is done all at once then the odds against are incomprehensible, if not impossible. And the times for both of these to have happened are also incomprehensible, if either could ever happen at all. Both would have had to have been absolutely prefect from the start. And for both of these, if they had evolved in some stepwise manner, then that takes the one giant impossible step and divides it into a number of smaller still impossible steps. Also, both of these are dependent on the other evolving. So, both would have had to evolve in in the very same creature, since both of these affects how such an organism survives and replicates.

In eukaryotes, translation of mRNA to proteins occurs in ribosomes in the cytoplasm. Ribosomes are made in the nucleus and transported out of it into the cytoplasm by motor proteins. So, if eukaryotes evolved from prokaryotes, then the nucleus must have evolved from something. And the ability of the nucleus to make ribosomes must also have evolved. But those ribosomes are not fully assembled in the nucleus. They are actually in 2 pieces – the large subunit and the small subunit. The final assembly occurs in the cytoplasm. The ability to transport ribosomes from the nucleus to the cytoplasm must have also evolved. And ability to assemble the 2 pieces must have evolved. Depending on the needs of a cell, new ribosomes are made in the nucleus and transported to the cytoplasm, or old ribosomes are destroyed. That control must also have evolved. And different types of cells have very different amounts of ribosomes. This is true for both different types of single cell organisms and different types of cells in multi cell organisms. Some microbes have only about 1000 ribosomes, and some mammalian cells can have up to 10 million ribosomes when active. And all these things would have had to evolve through DNA mutation ERRORS, and all are impossible.

In eukaryotes, mRNA is transported in the cytoplasm by motor proteins. That is how mRNA gets from the nucleus to the ribosomes. Prokaryotes do not have these motor proteins, nor do they have a nucleus. So, all of the motor proteins would have also evolved the ability to learn to transport mRNA from the nucleus to the ribosomes in the cytoplasm. And that would have had to evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Furthermore, due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in all species that have ever existed, living things must have existed for less than 10,000 years. This collapses the complete timeline of all living things to less than 10,000 years. It completely refutes all branch off nodes of the supposed evolution descent tree and all their respective node times. And it also shows that any supposed abiogenesis event of all descent lines of all species from that first living cell would have gone extinct is significantly less than 10,000 years. And it also shows that any event that would have eukaryotes supposed evolving from a prokaryote of all descent lines of all species from that first living cell would have gone extinct is significantly less than 10,000 years. This is proved in the following links which are for posts 104 and 105 in this book.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BtmRG7ueK/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BVDiGXqeC/

The following discusses the problems for evolution to have produced the 2nd major phase of processing pre-mRNA from protein coding genes in eukaryotes and the removing of any introns in the pre-mRNA. This functionality is provided by spliceosomes. The 1st part of this 2nd major phase is to take the pre-mRNA produced from a protein coding gene, identify the introns and exons, and to cut out the introns. But that would require that 1 of the parts of the 1st major phase must have produced pre-mRNA from DNA. And this is being done in the nucleus instead of in the cytoplasm as is done in prokaryotes. And the proteins that do these are different from than the ones in prokaryotes. And most of the protein coding genes that produce these proteins have introns in them. So, this is an impossible to resolve contradiction for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And this part of the 1st major phase must have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. And there is no reason for natural selection to even help select anything that would add an additional step in generating proteins from protein coding gene. One of those reasons is that it is actually costly energy to generate pre-mRNA since it is a useless step. Also, any products produced, whether pre-mRNA or some precursor to pre-mRNA as this process evolved, would also need to be destroyed which also costs energy. Furthermore, this part must be flawless, else it will be rejected by natural selection. So even this part of the 1st major phase would never have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, this does nothing to remove any intron. In fact, the insertion of additional steps in the production of mRNA from DNA would be absolutely precarious and would have absolutely caused any organism to die when any part of this started to happen as it affect how that organism survives and reproduces. And if some intron came into existence in a protein coding gene, the organism still is rejected by natural selection. And since there over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) introns that have existed in all species that have ever existed, this reaffirms again that that is over trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) refutations against evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

Now, the 1st part of the 2nd major phase, which is provided by spliceosomes, could never have evolved. One of its functions is to identify introns and exons. But if any intron existed in a protein coding gene, then it would have been rejected by natural section and that organism would have died. So, the evolving 1st part of the 2nd major phase, which is provided by spliceosomes, could have never encountered an intron. So, natural selection could never have helped in its evolution. The 1st part of the 2nd major phase, which is provided by spliceosomes, is utterly precarious and utterly preposterous. Even if this part identifies introns flawlessly, if it then cuts the pre-mRNA into pieces, then the pre-mRNA is destroyed since there is no function to glue the pieced back together. Thus, the organism that this happened to would have died immediately after the organism being produced. And the cuts must also be flawless. But again, such never encountered an intron, so natural could never have helped in its evolution. And the 2nd part of this 2nd major phase, which is also provided by spliceosomes, must glue the pre-mRNA pieces back together. And this too must be flawless. But again, such has never encountered cut pre-mRNA pieces, so natural could never have helped in its evolution.

Another part of the 1st major phase is to ship out the mRNA to a ribosome in the cytoplasm. This is the also utterly precarious and utterly preposterous. This has never happened before. And it is totally dependent on the spliceosome having evolved and preformed its functions of identifying introns and exons, cut out introns, and then glue the pieces back together. And all 3 of these functions that are performed by spliceosomes must all be flawless. But they could never have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. So, there would never have existed any mRNA to ship out. And so, this part could have evolved, since natural could never have helped in its evolution. And that is also true for every part of this shipping out of the mRNA from the nucleus to a ribosome in the cytoplasm. And that includes all the motor proteins that are involved in doing so which would not have had any function to know that the mRNA must be transported to a ribosome in the cytoplasm. And a functional ribosome in the cytoplasm also could never have evolved since they would never had received such a cargo. And so, none of the parts needed could have evolved, since natural could never have helped in its evolution. So, they could never have evolved as each would have been rejected by natural selection.

Now all of the above has proved that these 2 major phases, with the 1st having many parts and the 2nd having 2 parts, could not have evolved in a stepwise manner through DNA mutation ERRORS. And it is preposterous that it came into being all at once in the very same creature. That eliminates the only possibilities. Also note, that if all these came into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS in some stepwise process, then during all of that stepwise emergence, then some, almost all or all of the protein coding genes would have not been expressed, or for all intents and purposes not expressed, or even if expressed so that had there been any change as to it being selected by natural selection, then each gene and each exon in all of the protein coding gene set for any spliceosome in any eukaryote species would have had misplaced stop codons scattered throughout. And each one of these would have either led to extinction of any descendant species along any line of descent or those misplaced stop codons would

still exist in each gene and each exon in all of the protein coding gene set for any spliceosome in any species would still exist today in all species eukaryote species living today. And this is due to the great persistence (possibly forever) of misplaced stop codons in all exons and protein coding genes. That is not observed in any eukaryote species living today. So, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" are false and God created all things recently. This is proved in sections 1-7, plus section 16 of "All the Unique Genes".

93B. Supposedly, evolution produced introns through DNA mutation ERRORS. That is impossible. Introns, the proteins that are involved in processing them, and the requirement to actually edit the DNA of an organism in inserting them, would have to have OCCURRED in the very same organism simultaneously. And this one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles would have happened over a trillion times. Introns are too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved from DNA mutation ERRORS. Spliceosomes with over 100 protein coding genes process introns but almost all its genes have introns, an impossible to resolve contradiction – Part 2. (TOC) (back)

This post is the 2nd post of a 2-part post. The first part is post 93A of this book and also found at the following link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17ajuuqjud/

This post proves that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS could never have produced all the introns in all species that have ever existed, not even a single intron. Nor could evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS ever have produced any spliceosomes that process introns. And almost all the protein coding genes that produce spliceosomes have introns in them. This is an impossible to resolve contradiction.

The problem of introns and spliceosomes for evolution is just 1 part of the much larger problem for evolution for its claim that eukaryotes (cells with a nucleus) supposedly evolved from prokaryotes (cells without a nucleus). That was proved impossible in the following link and also in post 12 in this book.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19udBckjma/

In fact, eukaryotes supposedly evolved from prokaryotes is even more preposterous than abiogenies. Abiogenesis was proved impossible in the following links and also in posts 104 and 105 in this book.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BtmRG7ueK/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1CGdNMd1GU/

First, a detailed description of isoforms and the problems they present for evolution is given. Next, the odds against evolution having produced introns, exons, isoforms,

spliceosomes, and the claim that eukaryotes evolved from prokaryotes will be estimated, as well as the times it would have taken for such. Next, a fairly complete and rigorous set of falsification tests will be presented which prove that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS could not have produced introns, exons, isoforms, spliceosomes, or eukaryotes. After that, a genetic information decay function that models genetic information degradation due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in all organisms of all species that have ever lived will be used to completely collapse the supposed evolution timeline starting at any point in that timeline. It will be used to collapse the time since any supposed abiogenesis event, the time since eukaryotes supposedly evolved from prokaryotes, and the time since the supposed endosymbiosis origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts from prokaryotes. It will also be used to refute every last node of the supposed evolution descent tree, the associated node times of the last common ancestor associated for each node, and all subtrees from any LCA. And this can be used to collapse the time since any major event in the timeline of evolution. And these will collapse that timeline to less than 10,000 years and refute the use of any associated dating techniques that dates anything with a long age, with the exception of the finite speed of light which is a problem for “Atheistic Origin Science” but not for GOD ALMIGHTY. This is covered in section 32 my book entitled, “Evolution is false because it cannot explain all the unique genes that have ever existed”, which will referred to as “All the Unique Genes” for short. And that will prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and God created everything recently. Finally, a summary of this proof will be given.

This proof is quite long. But that is because it goes into great detail and uses a significant amount of science, math, logic and facts to refute evolution. It is just one approach to refute any claim of new functionality by evolutionists and for similar proofs against evolution and all claims by evolutionists that others may want to use. And they can pick and choose what parts to use to produce proofs that are not as long.

D. Isoforms cause even more problems for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Now there is another major problem for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS having supposedly produced all of these introns. And this has to do with the generation of all the isoforms of any protein coding gene through alternate splicing of exons to produce the various types of proteins it produces.

The main function carried out by spliceosomes is to process introns so that they are in general removed and that the exons can be put back together through alternate splicing so that a variety of proteins can be generated from just 1 protein coding gene. The variety of proteins produced are called isoforms.

Now when the first intron came into existence in a protein coding gene in a eukaryote, it would have been rejected by natural selection since no spliceosome existed to remove it. This is because it would have had misplaced stop codons scattered throughout which have led to severe protein truncation. And since the over 100 proteins that comprise

spliceosomes are produced by protein coding genes which almost all have introns, this is an impossible to reconcile contradiction for evolution. Thus, no intron should exist today. Yet, there are over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) introns that have existed in all species that have ever existed. This reaffirms that that is over trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) refutations against evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Thus, these false theories are false and should be retracted worldwide immediately.

But ignore all those impossibilities for now. The odds against each of the over 140 genes of the gene set that produce spliceosomes in mankind have odds against that are far greater than 10^6000 to 1. And for the entire set including the introns in those genes, the total odds against are far greater 10^2,300,000 to 1. And since almost all spliceosomes are in eukaryotes that are diploids that reproduce sexually, that new functionality would be rejected over 1400x by natural selection due the problem of chromosome mismatches. So, the total odds against such new functionality come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS are far greater than 10^(1.17 billion) to 1. And the time it would have taken for this to happen are far greater than 10^(1.17 billion) years. And it may be that the odds against evolution for each spliceosome are infinite and the time it would have taken infinite. And since there have been over 9 billion eukaryote species, the total odds against all their spliceosomes are far greater than 10^(10 million trillion) to 1, if not infinite. And the time it would have taken for all of this to happen are far greater than 10^(10 million trillion) years, if not infinite. And each of these over 9 billion unique spliceosomes may also be impossible to have been produced by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And there may have been far greater spliceosomes than that. And the number of impossibilities ignores the great contradiction that the over 100 proteins that comprise a spliceosome are produced by protein coding genes which almost all have introns.

Furthermore, the 2 major phases, the 2nd with 2 parts, and the 1st with many parts, could have never come into existence all at once or in some stepwise process as proved above. And that is especially true of diploids that reproduce sexually, which is what most eukaryote species are. These major phases and parts were described in the 1st part of this post which is post 93A in this book.

Now ignore all the vast number of impossibilities against all these unique introns and the great contradiction of the great number of great impossibilities that the over 100 proteins that comprise a spliceosome are produced by protein coding genes which almost all have introns. When the first intron divided a protein coding gene into 2 separate exons, an existing spliceosome would have had to remove it so that the exons would be glued back together and the original protein that was produced would still be produced. This is the simplest case where the intron is just removed by the spliceosome. The average odds against a functional average size intron are far greater than 10^600 to 1. However, there are actually 3 total possible isoforms that could be produced. They are just exon 1, exon 2, and exon 1 and 2. So, to generate any of these 3 isoforms, the spliceosome must select from these 3 based on information in that intron, and control information that it gets from the associated controls in non-coding

genes, intergenic regions, and epigenetics. And all these are getting control feedback from the state of the cell, its environment, and for multi cell organisms based on the type of differentiated cell it is, and from the whole multi cell organism for multi cell organisms to control the expression and dosage of any isoform. But none of that ever existed before that first intron and no spliceosome had ever processed feedback from those controls for isoform generation before that first intron. And no spliceosome had ever converted that to being able to select which isoform to produce and the dosage of that. So, the number of impossible to resolve contradictions for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS is expanding rapidly beyond all the vast number of other impossibilities and the vast number of contradictions for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Now consider the case where a protein coding gene with more than 1 intron gets yet another intron or an exon. The odds against the average size functional intron or exon are far greater than 10^600 to 1 and 10^180 to 1, respectively. Any new intron or exon must land in an exact location. The odds against just that event are about 1000 to 1, else the set of isoforms produced will be changed in such a way to be rejected by natural selection.

When a new intron or new comes into being in a protein coding gene with at least 1 intron and 2 exons, then the original isoforms must still be produced else the set of isoforms produced will be changed in such a way as to be rejected by natural selection. And to do that or produce any new isoform, then a cascade of changes is required. Since some of the information about isoform production is found in the introns of that protein coding gene which got the new intron or exon, the information in all or most of all or almost of the introns of that gene must be changed. But those changes will require other changes in that gene's associated controls contained in associated non-coding genes, intergenic regions and epigenetics needed to select production of various isoforms for that gene and their proper dosages. But that causes other needed changes in yet other controls which are not exclusive or even not associated to that gene's associated controls or even that gene. And the reason would depend on the topology of such control genes in that organism. Some controls provide control for other genes, and some genes are controlled by other controls. Depending on the topology of many of these networks, there may no possible way for this to happen, thus even more contradictions for evolution. Now, all of these associated controls and even non associated controls are getting control feedback from the state of the cell, its environment, and for multi cell organisms based on the type of differentiated cell it is, and from the whole multi cell organism for multi cell organisms various feedback as to the expression of these isoforms and their dosages and relative dosages. But, that kind of large-scale modification is also new and thus yet even more contradictions for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And this becomes ever more problematic as the number of introns, exons, and isoforms increases. This was discussed briefly prior for the example of the Drosophila Dscam gene has over 38,000 isoforms.

Furthermore, this is complicated in species that are diploids that reproduce sexually due to the problem of mismatched chromosomes. Any sequence of adding introns or exons

will almost certainly lead to rejection by natural selection at a high rate so that such a sequence will never produce any protein coding gene with more than several introns, several exons, and several isoforms. And that is the case for a vast number of protein coding genes in eukaryotes. And each such addition of an intron or an exon itself would lead to a chromosome mismatch and thus rejected by natural selection with a rejection rate of at least 10x for each. And that is over 1 trillion, possibly over 10 trillion, introns, and over 1 trillion, possibly over 10 trillion, exons. Since each addition is rejected at least 10x for each, then that is over 10 trillion, possibly over 100 trillion, miracles for introns, and over 10 trillion, possibly over 100 trillion miracles, for exons. Thus, for all the introns and exons that is over 20 trillion (possibly over 200 trillion) refutations against evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

Thus, the total odds against for just all the introns and exons in all eukaryote species that have ever existed have been increased beyond comprehension, if not to infinite odds against since a vast number of these are impossible. And the total time it would have taken for just all the introns and exons in all eukaryote species that have ever existed have been increased beyond comprehension, if not to an infinite amount of time since a vast number of these are impossible. And the above odds do not consider the issue of the cascade of changes to maintain the production of all isoforms that were being produced before the addition of any intron or exon. And this vastly increases the total odds against and the time it would have taken. And that is true for both diploids that reproduce sexually and for haploids. Thus, these false theories are false and should be retracted worldwide immediately.

Furthermore, this cascading modifications of most introns of a protein coding gene that gets a new intron or exon, plus all its associated controls and non-associated controls, is part of a coordinated DNA mutation ERRORS path. The model for such would be a permutation calculation with the number of base pairs in all these genes and controls equal to n, and the number of base pairs modified equal to r. Furthermore, the sequence of the addition of each new intron or exon would be modeled as a factorial but one where any that did not occur would end it. And this may be part of an even larger progression modeled as a factorial with the total number of introns and exons equal to N for each species. So, it is absolutely impossible for any species to have run the gauntlet of changes. And this is true for all species with introns that have ever existed.

<u>E. The vast odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS for introns, exons, spliceosomes, eukaryotes and any new functionality.</u>

The following gives an estimate of the total odds against that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS produced all of these introns in diploids that reproduce sexually. Now there have been over 1 trillion, possible over 10 trillion, introns that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. And the odds against an average size intron is far greater than 10^600 to 1. So, the total odds against all these introns are far greater than 10^(600 trillion) to 1 for over 1 trillion introns. And for over 10 trillion introns, the total odds against all these introns are far greater than 10^(6,000 trillion) to 1. But it

was proved above that actually not a single intron could have been produced by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And if it is impossible for any particular intron to have been produced by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS, then that is at least 1 contraction of evolution. In fact, that is over 1 trillion, possible over 10 trillion, contradictions of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. Thus, evolution is false forever and should be retracted worldwide immediately.

The calculation of these odds against evolution uses lower bound mathematics. Thus, they greatly underestimate the actual odds against. Thus, since it is impossible for the lower bound estimate, then it is impossible for the actual odds against.

Furthermore, even if there is only an average of a 1 in a billion chance against each of these new unique introns and exons, then evolution is false. This is a surprising result which has significant implications. The average odds against for each of the over 2 trillion (possibly over 20 trillion) unique introns and exons that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed would by themselves make the average odds against exceed this required threshold for evolution. Thus, each of these 2 trillion (possibly over 20 trillion) unique introns and exons are proofs against evolution. And the total odds against vastly exceeds this required threshold for evolution. In fact, if evolution cannot account for a single unique intron and exons of these over 2 trillion (possibly over 20 trillion) unique introns and exons, then evolution is false. If there is just 1 of these, it is a contradiction to the theory of evolution and that proves that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. And that also proves these are all false. And that also proves the God created all things recently.

If evolutionists claim that introns and exons came into existence because they assumed 2 species had a common ancestor, that is just a claim based on an already proven false assumption that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are true. Thus, that claim is just circular reasoning, and cannot be used as evidence.

In fact, evolutionists must prove that each and every one of the over 2 trillion (possible over 20 trillion) unique introns and exons that have ever existed in all species with absolute certainty came into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And this must be done as discussed in the section 8 of “All the Unique Genes” entitled “DNA mutation ERRORS path requirement”. If evolutionists cannot account for even 1 of these unique introns, then evolution is false. Furthermore, from section 12 of that book, it was proved with simple mathematical equations that the genome, including all its genes, introns, exons, and intergenic regions, of any of the supposed species from supposedly more than about 6,000 years ago is not known, and cannot be known. Thus, evolutionist cannot meet this 1 in a billion chance required threshold. Nor can they establish that for any of intron or exon, or that any were even possible.

Now the odds against each spliceosome that has ever existed in any eukaryote species that have ever existed can be estimated. Each has odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS that are far greater than 10^(1.17 billion) to 1. And since there have

been over 9 billion unique spliceosomes that have ever existed in any eukaryote species that have ever existed, the total odds against are far greater than 10^(10 million trillion) to 1. But it was proved that it is not possible for any spliceosome to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. In fact, even if spliceosomes existed with introns in its gene set, then any intron is still impossible as proved above. And this is especially true in diploids that reproduce sexually.

Now the odds against and the time it would have taken for a prokaryote to have supposed evolved into a eukaryote, that was a diploid that used sexual reproduction, can be estimated. The least number of genes in a free-living prokaryote is about 500. And the least number of genes in a free-living eukaryote is at least 8,000. Thus at least 7,5000 new unique genes must have come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. Then total odds against that are far greater than 10^(3.3 trillion) to 1. However, very many things would have been impossible, so the odds against any eukaryote having evolved from a prokaryote are infinite. And the time it would have taken is far greater than 10^(3.3 trillion) years. However, very many things would have been impossible, so the time it would have taken for any eukaryote having evolved from a prokaryote are infinite.

Furthermore, the total odds against and the time it would have taken for a prokaryote to have supposedly evolved into mankind can be estimated. People have about 100,000 genes. This estimate does not include all the intergenic regions. Thus at least 99,5000 new unique genes must have come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. Then total odds against that are far greater than 10^(590 trillion) to 1. However, very many things would have been impossible, so the odds against any mankind having evolved from a prokaryote are infinite. And the time it would have taken is far greater than 10^(590 trillion) years. However, very many things would have been impossible, so the time it would have taken for mankind having evolved from a prokaryote are infinite. And there are many other eukaryote species that are diploids that reproduce sexually. So, the odds against and the times they would take for each of these species would be anywhere from the odds calculated in the above paragraph to even greater than those in this paragraph.

Furthermore, it is estimated that there are maybe over 1 million species that exist today that are eukaryote species that are diploids that reproduce sexually. And it is estimated that over 99.9% of all species have gone extinct. So, it is estimated that there have been over 1 billion species that are eukaryote species that are diploids that reproduce sexually that have ever existed. And some estimate that the number of species that exist today may be over 1,000x these numbers. The following estimates of the total odds against and the time it would have taken will use an average of only 8,000 genes, which is the least number of genes for a free-living eukaryote species that reproduces sexually. Then total odds against over 1 billion of these species that have ever existed are far greater than 10^(3.3 billion trillion) to 1. However, very many things would have been impossible, so the odds against any eukaryote having evolved from a prokaryote are infinite. And the time it would have taken is far greater than 10^(3.3 billion trillion)

years. However, very many things would have been impossible, so the time it would have taken for any eukaryote having evolved from a prokaryote are infinite.

Finally, these estimates of the odds against and times it would have taken did not include other species that are not eukaryote species that are diploids that reproduce sexually. Nor did they include intergenic regions. To estimate odds against and times it would have taken when considering intergenic regions just multiply the exponents of all numbers by 10.

If evolutionists object to the calculations and the equations I used in my 2 books of the odds against evolution producing anything by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS, then they must simply show the calculations and the equations to counter all of mine. Or if they object to any of the many proofs in my 2 books that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and that God created everything recently, then they must refute every last one of these proofs. And that must include all the very many proofs against evolution by very many young earth creationists. And they must show all of these things with very detailed descriptions of how all things came into existence, and not just that they claim it all must have happened with absolute certainty because they ASSUME it all must have happened with absolute certainty. And all these detailed explanations must be according to the requirements outlined in section 9 of “All the Unique Genes” and according to the information required for any abiogenesis hypothesis in sections 2 and 34 of All the Unique Genes”. And they must perform all refutation tests successfully as given in section 27 of “All the Unique Genes”. These have never been done by evolutionists, which proves their theories are just religious dogma of a false religion. None of these things outlined above will ever be done. And they cannot do these things ever because all their origin theories are false. And they do not want to do that because any calculations of the odds against these things by evolutionists prove all their claims are false. And they have no possible explanation of the origin of anything, as all of their explanations are false and preposterous. Please note that there is no evidence for evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” that is scientific, logical, and rational. And until they do all these required things, all their theories should be retracted worldwide immediately, with all courses, courseware, books, and papers changed to reflect their retraction. And there should be announcements in all media worldwide immediately that all their theories are false. Furthermore, the entire peer review system for the origin of things and the dating of when that occurred should be modified as outlined in section 41 of “All the Unique Genes”.

Furthermore, the calculation of odds against and the time it would take for any new functionality may also be modeled as a factorial where the number of total events in N. That is in addition to all the other odds against in both of my books. So, it is absolutely impossible for any species to have run the gauntlet of changes. And this is true for all species and all functionality that have ever existed.

F. All falsification tests prove that introns, exons, isoforms, spliceosomes and eukaryotes could have never come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. In fact, all these tests prove that evolution cannot account for anything in living things, and is thus false.

There are no observations of any new intron, exon, or any spliceosome coming into existence now. And there is no record of any mitochondria, chloroplast or nucleus coming into existence from some engulfed prokaryote in an endosymbiosis event. And there is no record of any of these having come into existence in the past. And all analyses prove that all of these are impossible as proved above.

As proved in this book, and in “All the Unique Genes”, and by many others many times, all observations prove evolution, billions of years and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently. So observational science refutes evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Living things always come from existing living things. Cells always come for existing cells. Like kind always produces the same kind and is never produced by any other kind. These are proved in section 23 and 25 of “All the Unique Genes”. No living cell has ever come from non-living chemicals. No living thing has ever come from non-living chemicals. No prokaryote has ever evolved into a eukaryote. And there are very many other laws of biology that also refute evolution and all its claims. No exception to any of these laws of biology have ever been observed. And these observations are repeatable all the time. There is no record of any exception to any of these laws of biology in the past. All analyses of all the claims by evolutionists prove that every single one of them are false. And all observations refute all the claims by evolutionists. And these observations are repeatable all the time. Science should be observable, testable, and repeatable with all its predictions observable. So, evolution does not count as science at all. It is just a false religion, with false religious dogma as is atheism.

So, the only thing left, which evolutionists must demonstrate, is actual tests that prove each of their claims. Yet all current tests refute its central claims. And all these tests are repeatable all the time. And there are many other tests that can be carried which refute all of their claims. And they will also be repeatable all the time.

Experiments by intelligent scientists using intelligent interventions and controlled environments are being done by intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. Thus, it does not reflect what has happened in the past or in the present in the wild without intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. So, what scientists can accomplish does not show what happened without scientists and thus is no proof at all.

Thought experiments and philosophical speculations are of course worthless. And any simulation is just based on a model. There is no way to accurately carry out any simulation for what happened in the past to make a first living cell. And there is no way to design any simulation to show how all these new unique genes coming into existence

through DNA mutation ERRORS that would be valid. All such simulations would be based on many false assumptions.

Scientists could conduct experiments which have minimal intervention. Although still not a reflection of what happened in the wild without scientists using intelligently designed procedures, they could shed some light on these issues.

Here are some examples of experiments with minimum intervention. The first set of tests have to do with new unique introns and exons. Construct an intron composed of random DNA base pairs. Make the size about 1000 base pairs. Now insert that into a haploid prokaryote at a random location in its DNA. Then insert it into a random location in a protein coding gene. Now repeat these 2 tests but this time use an actual existing intron from some eukaryote species. Now repeat the above 4 tests using an exon with a size of about 200 base pairs. Also, try various sizes of introns and exons from ½ to 2x the initial sizes given. Now repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Now for each of these 8 tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these 8 tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no new intron or exon could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

Now repeat the above 8 experiments, but this time for a eukaryote that is a diploid that reproduces sexually. First do all 8 tests but only insert the intron or exon into just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then repeat these tests by inserting the intron or exon into both chromosomes of the homologous chromosome pair. Now repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Now for each of these 16 tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these 16 tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no intron or exon could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

Now perform even more variants of these 24 tests. Take several introns or exons or both. They can be in any combination of up to 10 introns or 10 exons, or 20 total introns and exons. They can be done all at once, or if such an organism were to survive and reproduce for a number of generations, they can be done in various orders. Now repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Now for all of these tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no intron or exon could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

Various tests can be run to see if there is any mechanism to produce new introns or exons. These tests would only make sense for eukaryotes. Tests that can be tried are frame shifts, exon shuffling, exon duplication, intron shuffling, intron duplication, copying a random non-coding gene into a random location in a protein coding gene, and copying a random intergenic region into a random location in a protein coding gene. Do all these

for diploids that reproduce sexually but to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then do all these for both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Now for both 1 chromosome and both chromosomes of a homologous pair, do these testes with multiple combinations of introns or exons or both at once, and in any random order to a descent line of an organism if such can survive these tests. Splice genes or exons or introns, fuse genes or exons or introns, add extra base pairs to the end of an intron, or the end of an exon, truncate a gene or an exon or an intron, remove one of more sections of a gene or an exon or an intron, copy pieces of exons or introns into other exons or introns, fuse chromosomes, do base pair insertions into exons or introns, and do base pair deletions from exons or introns. Do all these for diploids that reproduce sexually but to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Run every conceivable combination of these. Now for all of these tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no intron or exon could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

The following tests have to do with the deletion of introns or exons or both. Randomly delete an exon or an intron from a eukaryote diploid that reproduces sexually. First do these to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then do all these tests for both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Keep running these tests with different random selections of what is to be deleted. Now, try all manner of variants of these tests deleting up to 10 introns, or 10 exons, or 20 of them in total, with random sets. Now for all of these tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no intron or exon could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

The following tests have to do with how easy it is to generate new isoforms. All of these tests should be done to eukaryote diploids that reproduces sexually. Add introns or exons from any source. First do all these tests to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then do all these tests for both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Choose genes with at least 2 introns, 2 exons, and 2 isoforms. Later chose genes with even more of all these. Then add multiples of these at once or in various orders to a descent line if any descent line can survive. Add exons or introns or both and see what happens to the organisms, and the current set of isoforms that it has. Repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Did any new functionality or isoforms emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality or isoform emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no isoform could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

The following tests are to determine if spliceosomes could have come into existence by any means, whether all at once or in a stepwise manner. These tests must be done to prokaryotes. Take any number of genes from a gene set that produces a spliceosome in

any eukaryote and insert all of these at once in the target prokaryote species. Randomly select any combination up to and including the entire gene set. Also randomly select any source spliceosome of any source species and any target species. Now repeat all variations of these tests but this time do these in a stepwise manner by randomly selecting the order which these will be inserted in a descent line of an organism, if such a descent line can survive. And make sure all possible variants are tested. See what happens to the organisms. Evolutionists can repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Did any new functional spliceosome emerge? If the creature died or no new functional spliceosome emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no spliceosome could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

Now the following tests are to determine if spliceosomes are irreducibly complex. Or to determine if they could have come into existence in a stepwise manner. First do all these tests to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then do all these tests for both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Take any species with a spliceosome and begin removing genes from its gene set. This can be done by randomly picking which gene to remove. Then begin removing sets of genes up to at least 90% of the gene set. This can be done all at once or in any order in a descent line of an organism, if such a descent line can survive. See what happens to the organisms. Evolutionists can repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Did it even survive and replicate? If the creature died or could not replicate, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no spliceosome have come into existence in any stepwise process and that they are all irreducibly complex.

Please note, that an irreducibly complex thing does not mean all of its parts are essential. Removing just 1 part or several parts and claiming that proves that it was not irreducibly complex is just a straw man argument. There are many men who are bald and survive and can reproduce. There are people who have lost fingers, toes, or limbs and survive and can reproduce. In an irreducibly complex thing, there are a core set of parts that are essential to survival or reproduction for living things, or to operate for non-living things. And in many cases especially living things it is most if not all or almost all of the parts. And any claim that 1 kind evolved into another kind shows that both were not irreducibly complex is based on ASSUMING that common descent and evolution are true and using that ASSUMPTION as evidence that common descent and evolution are true. That is PURE CIRCULAR “reasoning” based on false assumptions. In fact, many of these falsification tests prove that all living things are irreducibly complex.

Now many of these tests, which use preexisting DNA code from some source, go beyond the minimum intervention condition. Thus, that would not necessarily be a valid test. Furthermore, even if some of these tests are successful, then that would not prove that evolution is possible. It would only prove that that particular mechanism was possible howbeit with some intervention which would not have been available in the wild in the past. And for those tests that do not have a minimum intervention, a successful test would not even count.

Now, evolutionists may object to all these tests and that they do not prove evolution is false. But there are no observations of introns or exons or spliceosomes or any other thing coming into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS by any means. And there is no record of any of these ever happening in the past. And all analyses show that they are all impossible. So, they only thing left is to try and show that they are possible through a rigorous set of tests which have to be evaluated by groups of young earth creationists. That must be part of any valid peer review system. There is nothing else left for evolution to support any of its claims. And these failures will eliminate the last claim that evolution is science. If these tests fail or evolutionists refuse to carry out all these tests, then evolution is false. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately. And all courses, courseware, book, papers must be modified accordingly. And a worldwide announcement should be made in all media worldwide immediately. And that God created all things recently has been proved. And as each of these tests fail, these failures should be reported in all media worldwide immediately with all of their implications.

For a complete discussion of current falsification tests that refute evolution and very many proposed falsification tests that also refute evolution see section 27 of “All the Unique Genes”. Science should be observable, testable and repeatable. Evolution fails on all 3, because no observations match any of its claims, and all tests refute all of its claims, and all these refutation tests are repeatable all the time.

G. A genetic information exponential decay function collapses the evolution timeline.

Even if the supposed first living cell could have come into being spontaneously, survived and replicated, all first-generation descendants would have already been modified by DNA mutation ERRORS. So, that would mean that they may not have had any offspring that would have survived, or replicated even if they could have survived. And any second-generation offspring would have acquired even more DNA mutation ERRORS. Thus, all descent lines would have gone extinct relatively quickly.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. These facts refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years completely, and prove God created all things recently. This is proved in the following post and in post 72 of this book.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19b6BoWPJA/

Many evolutionists do not understand the ramifications of the fact that all individual organisms of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS. While deadly and disadvantageous mutations are removed by natural selection, natural selection cannot help with the accumulation of the other DNA mutation ERRORS since all individuals of all species have the accumulation and all descendant lines of all survivors will continue

to accumulate more and more with each generation. Some even claim that this accumulation does not happen. In fact, if this does not occur then evolution, common descent and billions of years are false, since there would be no change in the genomes of any species. But these rates do exist and they have been measured in many species. These measured rates are given in the above post. This phenomenon leads to things such as increasing genetic entropy, aka increasing genetic load. It also leads to genetic divergence and genetic drift. Evolutionists use these rates to supposedly date when species supposedly branched off from some supposed last common ancestor (LCA).

Now consider the rates of DNA mutation ERRORS in all species. If that DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rates are 0, there is no change in the genome of species and so evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, since there would be no change in the genomes of any species. But if the rates are very small, then it would have taken incomprehensibly vast ages to produce any new species, a far greater time than the supposed about 13.8 billion years than the universe existed. But even under this case, the extinction of all species would have happened long before that. And any rate about 1/10 of today's actual rates or more will lead to extinction relatively quickly. DNA mutation ERRORS destroy information. They do not create information. Thus, under no value for the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS will evolution be true. So, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" have been falsified again. Thus, God created all things.

The supposed first cell would not have had as an advanced DNA error correction, DNA error repair system, and DNA duplication system as exists today. So, the DNA of these early generations would have exponentially decayed quickly. The number of generations from that supposed first living cell along all descendant lines to extinction would have been probably 10 or less but certainly at most 10,000. And there is no chance of any new functionality coming into being at all. This is proved many times in this book, as any new functionality would have required a number of simultaneous super great miracles of miracles in the very same creature as to how it survives and replicates. Even after very many generations, no new functionality would have emerged at all because DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce any new functionality. This is why for many reasons, a much smaller supposed first living cell is preposterous.

Any first cell coming into existence spontaneously in an abiogenesis event would have produced a vast leap in genetic information content. And that information includes all the DNA, RNA, proteins and all structures for such. That is impossible. But from then on, DNA mutation ERRORS would have caused a degradation of the information of that species and all individual organisms along all descent lines. And the decrease of that information is approximately proportional to the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS and to the amount of total information. Thus, the information content can be modeled, using a first order approximation, as having a constant decay constant. So, the solution for the amount of information over time is the exponential decay function given below. This equation is applicable to all species and descendant lines from that first living cell.

$I(t) = I(0)\, e^{(-kt)}$

where I(t) is the amount of information in species or descendant lines from the first living cell, I(0) is the initial amount of information in the first cell due to abiogenesis, k is the decay constant due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, and t is the time since abiogenesis.

Also, note that any supposed pre-DNA thing could have never come into being spontaneously, survived, and replicated, and evolved into a living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins. And even if it did, all descendant lines would have gone extinct within just a few generations as the mutation ERRORS rate would be even larger in any RNA organism. And of course, there is no possibility to have made the jump to a living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins no matter what kind it was. This was also proved in posts 104 and 105 of this book.

Now at first it must be the case that that first living cell would have had a much more fragile system of DNA error correction, DNA error repair, and DNA duplication as exists today. This is simply because anything more robust, sophisticated and accurate would require a much more complex first creature from abiogenesis. That would make abiogenesis even more preposterous. So, this abiogenesis event would not last many generations at all, maybe not past the first one or a few. Thus, the supposed time since abiogenesis of the first living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins to extinction of that species and all descendant lines would have been significantly less than 10,000 years. This refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

Note that there is no source of additional information as DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce any new information. For eukaryotes, the decay of genetic information is an even faster decay rate due to the larger mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rate. Mitochondrial DNA accumulates DNA mutation ERRORS at a rate at least 100x that of nuclear DNA. Thus, all eukaryote species must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, this situation will certainly not lead to an increase to many millions of times the amount of information from the first eukaryote. Thus, the supposed time since abiogenesis must be less than 10,000 years as will be proved below. And again, this refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

But there are yet even more problems for evolution. The following discusses 3 events claimed by evolutionists to have happened in the ancient past and required to have happened in the ancient past for evolution to be true. These events are the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes. Now, all 3 of these have been proved false many times and in many irrefutable and infallible ways, including by the many proofs in my 2 books. So, these

refute the theories of evolution. Consider the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria. Mitochondrial DNA accumulates DNA mutation ERRORS at a significantly higher rate than nuclear DNA. Based on measured rates today, the rate for mitochondrial DNA is at least 100x that of nuclear DNA. But the accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS at the time of the endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria must have been at least as great, if not greater than the measured rates today. Thus, due to this even greater rate, the time from this supposed endosymbiotic event to the extinction of mitochondria and thus any eukaryotes that contained such must be significantly less 10,000 years. The same argument holds for the other required supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes. All 3 would have had the similar exponential decay functions as given above for the first living cell each with their own decay constant. And due to all of these rates being even more significantly higher than the current large accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS measured today, then the time from each of these events to the extinction of eukaryotes must be significantly less than 10,000 years. Thus, the entire timeline since abiogenesis to extinction, and the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, and the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes all collapse to significantly less than 10,000 years. Also note that at the time of these 3 supposed events, the rate accumulation of nuclear DNA mutation ERRORS in prokaryotes would have also been significantly higher than the current large accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS measured today. The reason is that mitochondria and chloroplasts supposedly came from an engulfed prokaryote and they got their DNA from the supposed engulfed prokaryotes. So, prokaryotes would have gone extinct in significantly less than 10,000 years since the time of the 3 events mentioned above. So again, these refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

Furthermore, there exists similar genetic information decay functions for all nodes of the supposed evolution descent tree. And all of these very many genetic information decay functions refute each node, it’s supposed node time since some supposed last common ancestor, and all the subtrees from that node. This absolutely destroys evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, and proves that God created all things recently.

For each node of the supposed evolution descent tree, there exists a genetic information decay function given by

$I(t) = I(0)\, e^{(-kt)}$

where I(t) is the amount of information in species or descendant lines from the last common ancestor associated with that node, I(0) is the amount of genetic information in that LCA, k is the decay constant due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS for the LCA, and t is the time since that LCA.

H. The much bigger case against evolution.

Now all of these things require many genes, introns, exons, isoforms, and spliceosomes which could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

And an analysis of genetics shows that macro evolution is impossible. DNA mutation ERRORS could never produce the over 100 trillion unique genes that have existed in all species that have ever lived and are alive today. This is proved in the following link, and in post 63 of this book. A more detailed set of proofs is given in part 1 of “All the Unique Genes”.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15gTnQP2Jd/

There exist no DNA mutation ERRORS path to produce any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. Natural selection cannot help because DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce anything useful to select in any creature, except possible a small amount of such in bacteria which have vast populations. All these vast multitudes of super great miracles of miracles are just fairy tales.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14kcZHBFKk/

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA for genes shows that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, the longer the time that goes by, the more likely by far the extinction of any species. So deep time does not

help. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time to less than about 10,000 years, thus eliminating deep time. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent.

For further details see the following Facebook post or post 72 of this book.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19b6BoWPJA/

Please note that if evolution were true, the vast majority (over 99%) of introns and exons should be “junk” DNA. The reasons are similar to those for genes as proved in section 15 of “All the Unique Genes”.

Some evolutionists will try to argue that there are less evolved versions of similar functionality and try to imply that they are not irreducibly complex. But in all cases are they fully developed, functional and irreducibly complex. So, this just adds to the multitude of irrefutable proofs against evolution. Obviously, evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

https://www.genome.gov/genetics-glossary/Intron

Based on the many proofs in my 2 books, the following summarizes the odds against evolution billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Very many of the things that must have occurred in the past for evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” to be true have been proved to be impossible. So, the odds against each one of these many things are infinite. And for each of a vast multitude of things, they have incomprehensible vast odds against evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” being true. The total odds against these theories is the product of all the individual odds against if these things are independent events. And that model is a very good approximation for the total odds against these theories. So, the total odds against these theories are infinite. But even if evolutionists claim that nothing is impossible, then those things that are impossible would still have incomprehensibly vast odds against these theories. And so, the total odds against these theories are incomprehensibly vast. Thus, the total odds against these theories are far greater than googolplex^(googol^3) to 1 even if you exclude the very many things which are impossible. That number could never be typed out even if the universe were unfathomable times older than it supposedly is. And that number if typed out would not fit in the universe even if each 0 were as small as a Plank length, and even if the universe was unfathomable times larger than it is. And remember that even if just 1 of these things could not have occurred according to these theories, then these theories are false and false forever. And that proves infallibly and irrefutably that God created all things recently.

The level of “proof” required by evolutionist baseds on the level they used in accepting evolution, billions of years, commons descent, and the “no God” assumption of

“Atheistic Origin Science” is either 0 chance or as close to zero as possible. On the other hand, for God to have created all things, the odds are that it is either certain or as close to certain as certain can be. Thus, the level of proof that God created all things is either infinitely more certain or a close to infinitely more certain that evolution could be true. Thus, the burden of proof has been met for the case that God created all things.

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

94. Many evolutionists have claimed that the similarities in endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) between certain species prove common descent. The following will not only show that that is false, but these similarities actually refute common descent, evolution and billions of years, and prove God created all things recently. Furthermore, the following will also expose the illogical reasoning that plagues the evolutionist mind. (TOC) (back)

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence and many proofs that common descent, and evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created all things recently. This proof adds to that. Therefore, ERVs cannot be from common descent.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

The following post proves that common ERVs in mice and people cannot be from common descent.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14khasf4Ge/

I have included a link to a Facebook post where I will post answers to common reasonable objections to this post, in addition to answering comments that people make on this post. As of now, it has none.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1JvJxmC7Nc/

A. The failed prediction of junk DNA by evolutionary theory was not only illogical, irrational, unscientific and hence deceptive but has been refuted a number of times by introns, ERVs, LINEs, SINEs, TADs, etc. And the creation model has been vindicated each time.

According to predictions by evolutionists using the evolutionist model, most of the genome is just “junk DNA”, remnants of DNA still in the genome with no functionality

and no longer needed because the organisms evolved. Evolutionists have used this argument in the past as evidence for evolution. Many still do even today. Some claimed that over 90% to has high as 99% of the genome was junk. But why would anyone make such an illogical claim at all? Just because functionality had not been found yet does not mean it has no functionality. This shows a propensity to make speculations without evidence to sell the theory of evolution. This is counter to logic, and the scientific method.

Modern discoveries have revealed that large chunks of what evolutionists claimed was junk DNA is functional and not junk at all. For example, introns are regions of genes which do not code for proteins. But it is now known that they have functionality, and all eukaryotes would go extinct without introns. For people, introns makeup 25% of the genome. But when this was discovered, there was no major worldwide announcement of a great failed prediction of evolution. This should have been announced worldwide through TV, newspapers, magazines, the Internet, and updated courses. Headlines should have read “Another failed prediction by evolution, introns are not junk. Evolutionary theory is junk science”. Such was not the case, even until now.

The following post proved that it is impossible that evolution produced introns through DNA mutation ERRORS. Introns, the proteins that are involved in processing them, and the requirement to actually edit the DNA of an organism in inserting them, would have to have occurred simultaneously in the very same organism. And this one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles would have happened many times. Introns are just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved from DNA mutation ERRORS.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EELhp2of5/

But wait, the illogical, irrational, and unscientific claim of junk DNA actually persists. This shows a propensity to make speculations without evidence to sell the theory of evolution. This is counter to logic, and the scientific method. And it has happened again with ERVs as they have functionality. And ERVs make up 8% of the genome of people. Again, there were no major headlines worldwide or a retraction of the junk DNA claim by evolutionists. Twice this junk DNA prediction by evolutionary theory has failed, and the creation model has been vindicated. Fortunately, many scientists disregarded this false speculation and have found functionality. New discoveries offer the potential for many new medical advancements. Evolutionary theory is dangerous because it is false.

The same is true for supposed junk DNA such as LINEs, SINEs, and TADs. There is no junk DNA. This should be announced worldwide through TV, newspapers, magazines, the Internet, and updated courses. Headlines should read “Another failed prediction by evolution, there is no junk DNA. Evolutionary theory is junk science”.

The following articles show that ERVs have functionality and are not junk. The theory of evolution and its side kick billions of years are junk science. Excerpts for an article are

given below the link. The underlining of words is not in the article but are added by me for emphasis.

https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/jvi.02299-20

"Long disregarded as junk DNA or genomic dark matter, endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) have turned out to represent important components of the antiviral immune response. These remnants of once-infectious retroviruses not only regulate cellular immune activation, but may even directly target invading viral pathogens."

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0966842X23002676

"ERVs can be transcriptionally active and critical for human development and health."

https://microbewiki.kenyon.edu/index.php/The_Role_of_Endogenous_Retroviruses_in_Placental_Gene_Expression

"ERVs are an essential component of the development of the placenta, not only for humans but a vast array of animals."

https://www.news-medical.net/news/20230124/Researchers-review-the-association-between-endogenous-retrovirus-reactivation-and-aging.aspx

"In a recent review published in Cell, researchers explored the role of endogenous retrovirus (ERV) reactivation in the aging process."

B. The false claim by evolutionists

Some evolutionists claim that chimpanzees and mankind have many of the same ERVs at the same locations and that this can only be explained by common descent of the 2 species from their supposed last common ancestor (LCA) due to the extreme odds against such happening by chance occurrences after their supposed split.

However, this has a major falsehood in it and uses illogical thinking. First, they are not the same ERVs but different ERVs at identical locations. Obviously, that which is different is not identical, nor the same. If they were identical at the DNA code level, then that would prove that common descent, and evolution and billions of years are false as DNA mutation ERRORS should have caused the DNA codes to have diverged since the supposed 7 million years since their supposed LCA. So, the first part of the original claim should be:

Chimpanzees and mankind do not have any of the same ERVs at the same locations. In fact, all of them, about 203,000 of them, are all different.

The illogical thinking, which is itself a deception, is that the only alternative given, happening by chance, is a straw man argument since the real alternative is creation by

God. Of course, God created these ERVs and put them in the same locations is the only possible alternative. This will be shown using the differences in these ERVs and the fact that chimpanzees and people have a combined several hundred ERVs which they do not share.

This type of false overstatement and illogical thinking is expressed by some evolutionists in this discussion.

https://discourse.biologos.org/t/why-arent-the-twin-locations-of-100k-ervs-human-vs-chimp-discussed-more/38700/7

"And it's not just in identical locations, it's identical viruses with the same base pairs in those locations."

"A designer could decide to you use completely different ERVs for chimps and humans. There is no reason we should see this pattern and only this pattern in the case of Design."

C. A detailed analysis of the actual data about ERVs in chimpanzees and mankind refute all aspects of common descent, evolution and billions of years, and prove God created all things recently.

The following 2 sites give estimates of the number of lineage specific ERVs there are.

https://discourse.biologos.org/t/why-arent-the-twin-locations-of-100k-ervs-human-vs-chimp-discussed-more/38700/11

"There is a total of 82 lineage specific human ERVs and 279 lineage specific chimp ERVs. This means that out of all 203,000 human ERVs only 82 are not found in the chimp genome. This would mean that chimps also have about 203,000 ERVs shared with humans as well as 279 ERV insertions specific to their lineage"

https://richarddawkins.net/2013/11/knockdown-argument-for-pseudoscientific-anti-evolutionists/

"the results were that less than ~100 ERVs are human-specific and less than ~300 ERVs are chimpanzee-specific"

Now this data can be used as a "clock" to determine how long it took for 82 (or about 100) ERVs to have accumulated in the line leading to mankind since their LCA and for 279 (or about 300) ERVs to have accumulated in the line leading to chimpanzees since their LCA, and then project how long it took for about 203,000 ERVs to have accumulated in the line leading to the LCA. The supposed time since their LCA is about 7 million years.

If 82 ERVs accumulated in 7 million years, then to accumulate 203,000 ERVs would take 17.3 billion years.
If 100 ERVs accumulated in 7 million years, then to accumulate 203,000 ERVs would take 14.2 billion years.
If 279 ERVs accumulated in 7 million years, then to accumulate 203,000 ERVs would take 5.1 billion years.
If 300 ERVs accumulated in 7 million years, then to accumulate 203,000 ERVs would take 4.7 billion years.

Now those ages refute the supposed phylogenic common descent tree of evolution due to how large all 4 of these ages are. All 4 ages are longer than the supposed age of the earth, the supposed age of life on earth, the supposed age at when eukaryotes appeared, and the supposed age at when vertebrates appeared, supposedly 600 million years ago. Prokaryotes and invertebrates do not have ERVs. Furthermore, the intergenerational time of the line supposedly leading to primates is too short for the time leading up to 200 million years ago. So, none of the present about 203,000 ERVs in chimps and people could have survived recognizable from that time or before. Yet the calculated ages to accumulate these ERVs are about 24-87x longer than that maximum age. This refutes the notion that ERV similarity is explained by common descent of chimps and mankind.

Also note that chimpanzees have accumulated about 3-3.4x more ERVs than mankind since their LCA. Correcting for body mass, the ERV accumulation rate of the line leading to mankind would be reduced by a maximum of less than 25% as shown below. So, these ratios would be reduced to 2.25-2.55x or 225-255%. That difference is significant and evidence against ERV similarity is explained by common descent of chimps and mankind.

https://retrovirology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12977-015-0136-x

“even if the body mass at the origin of the great apes was only one-fifth of this (less than the smallest fossil estimate we can find [28]), the increase in body mass would lead to reduction in ERV number of less than 25%.”

D. An analysis of the divergence times for supposed similar ERVs in chimpanzees and mankind refute all aspects of common descent, evolution and billions of years, and prove God created all things recently.

Estimating the supposed time since the supposed LCA of chimps and people requires determining the DNA mutation error rates for both chimps and people. One method is to determine rates today and assume a constant mutation rate. The other is to compare the genomes of chimps and people to find the percent difference and find the rate from the assumed time since their last LCA. Further, mutation rates may be different for different parts of the genome, particularly ERVs. The following article and its excerpts below show this type of calculation. I added the underlining for emphasis.

https://retrovirology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12977-015-0136-x

In the following research, the rates for mutations in the ERVs was measured. However, the time since the last LCA was based on assumed times "We used the previously reported times to Most Recent Common Ancestor (tMRCA) of the other catarrhines to human to calibrate the molecular clock"

"Rather than use published estimates of background rate we estimated the substitution rate within the ERVs to be 1.0x10−9 per site per year directly using orthologous loci (see below). We then used this rate to convert the nucleotide divergence between the paired LTRs of each locus into a date of integration, correcting for multiple hits using the Jukes-Cantor model."

While this directly measures the amount of ERV mutations, the times are scaled using the assumed time since the LCAs. Thus, the absolute time since the LCA for chimps and people is just an estimate.

So, the supposed age of the common ERVs is based on an assumed number and may not match the actual time since their common LCA. Note that the mean age of all approximate 203,000 common ERVs should match the time since their LCA since they would all start diverging at the time of the split off. If the difference is significant, then it refutes common ancestry as the cause of the similarity.

Here is a calculation for the time since their LCA
2.5x10^(-8) bases per generation (from Wikipedia) x 6x10^(9) bases = 150 bases per generation = 75 base pairs per generation
7 million years / 14 years average per generation over the supposed 7 million years = 500,000 generations
500,000 generations x 75 base pairs per generation x 2 (add divergence of chimps/people) = 75 million base pair mutations
75 million base pair mutations -> 2.5% difference
But reported as 1.2% different so only 3.5 million years since their LCA

However, if the DNA mutation rate is higher or higher in non-coding regions like ERVs, then the time since their LCA is actually less. Both of these are true. For example, DNA mutation error rates may be an order of magnitude higher when all mutations are counted. Then the max time since their LCA is only about 350,000 years. Thus, the difference is significant and common descent cannot be the explanation for similar ERVs in chimps and people.

The following articles show that the DNA similarity between chimps and people is not 98.8% but 95% or 94% or 93% or 90% or less. That proves there is a fundamental contradiction between percent differences in ERVs and the supposed 7 million years since their LCA. It also proves that ERVs are not from common descent and that the time since their supposed LCA must be 1.4 million years or less. And why is anyone using the now proven false 99% or 98.8% percent similarity? This should have been

announced worldwide through TV, newspapers, magazines, the Internet, and updated courses. Such was not the case, even now.

https://www.caltech.edu/about/news/humans-and-chimps-have-95-percent-dna-compatibility-not-985-percent-research-shows-614

“Humans and chimps have 95 percent DNA compatibility, not 98.5 percent, research shows”

https://www.nationalgeographic.com/science/article/chimps-humans-96-percent-the-same-gene-study-finds

“Chimps, Humans 96 Percent the Same, Gene Study Finds”

https://brainly.com/question/33846801

“The fact that humans and chimpanzees share over 92% of the same DNA”

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/7431118_Comparing_the_human_and_chimpanzee_genomes_Searching_for_needles_in_a_haystack

“The difference between the two genomes is actually not approximately 1%, but approximately 4%”

https://www.cram.com/essay/Chimpanzee-Relationships/FC6R5VA7QG

“Chimpanzees are closely related to humans, in fact, chimpanzees and humans share 94% of their DNA”

https://www.firstthings.com/blogs/firstthoughts/2008/08/humans-are-not-98-genetically-identical-to-chimpanzees

“First, the 98% figure is probably overstated. An article in Science puts the actual figure at 94%. (Jon Cohen, “Relative Differences: The Myth of 1%, June 29, 2007).”

https://agasafaris.com/chimpanzee-the-only-animal-thats-has-94-dna-like-human-beings

“Chimpanzee the only animal that has 94% DNA like Human Beings”

https://www.wellbeingintlstudiesrepository.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1027&context=acwp_lab

https://www.icr.org/article/human-chimp-dna-similarity-research

https://richardbuggs.com/2018/07/14/how-similar-are-human-and-chimpanzee-genomes/

https://answersresearchjournal.org/comparison-chimp-contigs-human-genome/

https://creation.com/human-chimp-dna-similarity-re-evaluated

The following post proved that the entire supposed evolutionary phylogenetic common descent tree is a mirage and all supposed branch off times are all much less than what evolutionists have assumed. This proves that these common ERVs in chimps and mankind are not from common descent.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1NoGebYvk8/

E. Implications from the fact that ERVs have functionality

That ERVs have functionality has been established by science. The question becomes how could species, such as chimps and people, have survived without the ERVs? This argues that indeed the ERVs must have always been there, and this also refutes common descent and evolution and billions of years. It also proves that God created everything recently. For example, ERVs are essential for the development of the placenta in a vast array of animals. So, no ERVs, no placenta, no vast array of animals.

https://microbewiki.kenyon.edu/index.php/The_Role_of_Endogenous_Retroviruses_in_Placental_Gene_Expression

"ERVs are an essential component of the development of the placenta, not only for humans but a vast array of animals."

While evolutionists have argued against the straw man argument that the only alternative explanation of these common ERVs is insertion by random occurrences, the only real and possible explanation is that God created them. Leaving out that possibility is illogical, irrational, unscientific and deceptive. Some evolutionists will also use the straw man argument that even though God Almighty could have created these common ERVs at identical locations, God could not do that because somehow it is impossible for God. Another straw man argument is why would God put these common ERVs at identical locations as God could have done it differently and what purpose do the identical locations serve? Both of these straw man arguments are also illogical, irrational, unscientific and deceptive.

So, the question is why would God create these common ERVs at identical locations? It has already been established that ERVs are functional. So obviously they serve a purpose. These common ERVs are actually different between species, so this means that they are specifically designed for that species. This explains both the similarities and the differences. The identical locations are crucial for the functionality. For example, the information contained in introns are specific to the gene they are contained in and

even the position within the gene is crucial. This is a rule that holds sometimes for some things in the genome of a species.

Furthermore, the actual data of differences in ERVs in different species further refutes common ancestry.

https://reasons.org/explore/blogs/blog_channel/a-common-design-view-of-ervs-encourages-scientific-investigation

“Despite persuasive arguments for the heritability of ERVs, the absence of specific shared ERV sequences in some NHP genomes challenges the common descent paradigm. Some elements are found in chimps, bonobos, and gorillas, but are absent in humans.1 Others are present in chimps and great apes but not in humans and orangutans.2 These findings are surprising, countering expectations from within a common descent model. Their absence undermines the notion that ancient infections of an ancestral primate lineage occurred prior to divergence of the great apes. According to phylogenetic analyses of species, great apes (including humans) share a common ancestor with Old World monkeys; therefore, shared ERVs should parallel this same phylogenetic relationship.3 Additionally, divergence of long terminal repeat sequences (components of ERVs) sometimes varies significantly from one species to another at shared sites, even when normalized for mutation rates.”

One last point about ERVs. Viruses prove that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things. The reasons are simple. Viruses cannot replicate on their own. They need an existing living cell. First, where did viruses evolve from or come into being since they cannot replicate without a host cell? Even if by some super great miracle of miracles one came into being, that would be the end of things. Secondly, how did they even evolve to use a host cell for replication? Thirdly, how are they able to work with a living creature’s genetic apparatus to replicate? Each of these would require many super great miracles of miracles possibly occurring simultaneously in one event. And note that with all those viruses in the world today, and there are a vast multitude of them (over 10^31 today and over 10^40 that have ever existed), none have become anything more than a virus that depends on an existing living creature to replicate. Note also, that no experiment has advanced any virus to become an organism that can survive and replicate on its own. And again, if it is done by any intelligently designed scientific apparatus with any artificial contrivance, that would prove that such an organism could only come into being through intelligence, which is just a proof of creation. So, it has already been shown in this proof that ERVs are not from common descent through a detailed analysis of the data. Amazingly, evolutionists in trying to pawn off ERVs as sure proof common descent, have brought up a topic that proves common descent and evolution and billions of years are false. Not to mention that when discussing ERVs, the following topics will be touched upon – DNA, RNA, proteins, genetics, eukaryotes, single cell and multi cell organisms, all of which prove creation by God. In fact, every time an evolutionist tries to prove evolution, they mention things that prove creation and disprove evolution. It is like a defense attorney who first proves that

the murderer and his client look very similar, and then introduces a picture of the murderer which is a picture of his client. You just can't make this stuff up.

F. Evolutionists are plagued by illogical and unscientific thinking.

The following article contains a perfect example of this illogical thinking. An excerpt from the article is given below the link. The underlining is not in the article but are added by me for emphasis.

https://occamstypewriter.org/vwxynot/2007/06/21/endogenous-retroviruses-and-the-evidence-for-evolution/

"Creationists and intelligent design advocates like to think that because some ERVs have useful functions in the human genome, they must have been deliberately put there by a creator / designer with that particular purpose in mind. Of course, no-one can explicitly prove that that is incorrect – it's not a falsifiable hypothesis, and therefore it's not science. What we can show is that ERVs provide evidence in support of the theory of evolution."

So according to the author of this paragraph, creation science cannot be proven false therefore it is not science. Now science actually means knowledge. In fact, the word science comes from a Latin word for knowledge. So, because creation science cannot be proven false, it must be excluded from knowledge. That is, because it cannot be proven false it must be banned and censored from "science". Now just ponder this for a while. Facts cannot be falsified as they are true. So according to this thinking, if there are 4 plates on a table, that is not science.

Now common descent, and evolution and billions of years have been falsified by a multitude of evidence. And for them to even count as a hypothesis, according to their own reasoning, they must be falsifiable. Well, they have been falsified. So, they are falsified hypotheses. To ignore all the evidence that falsifies common descent, and evolution and billions of years would mean they are not a hypothesis at all but a religious belief. Thus, common descent, and evolution and billions of years are not science, nor scientific, nor do they follow the scientific method. They are just false.

Here is more proof against evolution and billions of years, which means that it is impossible that the similarities in ERVs between chimps and people are from common decent.

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex system, organ, tissues, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation changes. It would require a significant number of irreducibly complex pieces which are composed of irreducibly complex sub pieces down through several levels and coordinated with other changes that are interconnected with other parts of the organism. There is no advantage until the complete functionality is working and would probably be a disadvantage or deadly until then. Furthermore, analysis of these reveals that they show more intelligent design than

anything that mankind has made. The transcription/ translation of DNA code to RNA sequences to proteins composed of specific amino acid sequences has high information content and creates what can be described as intricate physical micro machines that carry out intricate functionality.

Now all of these things require many genes which could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

There exists no DNA mutation ERRORS paths to produce all these diverse types of functionalities, systems, tissues, organs, genes, introns, ERVs in all these species. Natural selection cannot help because DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce anything useful to select in any creature, except possibly a small amount of such in bacteria which have vast populations. All these vast multitudes of super great miracles of miracles are just fairy tales.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA for genes shows that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, the longer the time that goes by, the more likely by far the extinction of any species. So deep time does not help. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time to less than about 10,000 years, thus eliminating deep time. That also proves all evolutionary descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent.

For further details see the following Facebook post.

https://www.facebook.com/permalink.php?story_fbid=pfbid0ZGm3s1iqR7brvmbX8vVZfCWc9cwzYYPFXZjJpPhL9bE2m2JDnErG3P7ECdZ1h1u9l&id=61567130998189&rdid=Pn1ti4DUvmscsN6W

Some evolutionists will try to argue that there are less evolved versions of similar functionality and try to imply that they are not irreducibly complex. But in all cases are they fully developed, functional and irreducibly complex. So, this just adds to the multitude of irrefutable proofs against evolution.

G. For more information on ERVs, see the following.

https://evolutionnews.org/2011/05/do_shared_ervs_support_common_/

https://reasons.org/explore/blogs/blog_channel/a-common-design-view-of-ervs-encourages-scientific-investigation

https://reasons.org/explore/blogs/blog_channel/questioning-evolutionary-presuppositions-about-endogenous-retroviruses?_gl=1%2A1pff9q1%2A_ga%2AMTk3NDg0Nzc4Ni4xNzM1MTE5NjYy%2A_ga_LYPS82V3ZM%2AMTczNTI4NzI5NS40LjAuMTczNTI4NzI5NS42MC4wLjA.

https://answersingenesis.org/genetics/dna-similarities/what-about-the-similarity-between-human-and-chimp-dna/?srsltid=AfmBOopak42xDP9rd7tqIVEvzYIvEm0NKE0_9PGON1p7Jj1Wfly2VCs-

More functions for ERVs and LINEs

H. Evolutionists have been trapped by censorship, indoctrination, propaganda, and deception.

In discussing the following, I am not implying any purposeful deception by anyone who believes in evolution and billons. The Bible says that “in the last days” there are those that are “deceiving, and being deceived” (2 Tim 3:1,13). Those that are deceived actually believe the deception, so no purposeful deception is needed. But what they say and do happens to be false and, hence deceiving.

There is no evidence for common descent, and evolution and billions of years that is rational, logical and scientific. And all the evidence, science and logic prove common descent, and evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. So why are so many people convinced that common descent, and evolution and billions of years are true? They have been indoctrinated through censorship, propaganda and deception. And the evolutionist mind is closed to all proofs against common descent, and evolution and billions of years. That is, they self-censor to make the censorship total. This is predicted in the Bible.

25 In meekness instructing those that oppose themselves; if God peradventure will give them repentance to the acknowledging of the truth; 26 And that they may recover themselves out of the snare of the devil, who are taken captive by him at his will. - 2 Tim 2:25-26

The following Bible verses also predict this delusion with exact timing and exact details in 2 Tim 3-4, 2 Thess 2, 2 Peter 3, 1 Tim 6:20-21, Revelation 3, and many other verses.

In the scientific method, theories are made to understand and model observations in the real world. If some or even many observations match the theory, it does not prove the theory is true, only potentially true. The theory must stand all tests. So, the goal of real science is to find observations which prove the theory is false. And if falsified, to find new theories to replace the old. But the theories of common descent, and evolution and billions of years are not treated like this at all. In fact, they are the antithesis of this. For example, Newtonian gravity stood for about 250 years until it was replaced by the general relativity developed by Einstein. This is the real use of the scientific method.

In summary, this is real evidence, along with all the other multitudes of other real evidence, that refutes common descent, and evolution and billions of years forever. Trying to discard this fact is illogical and unscientific. Here is an example of why.

Suppose an evolutionist proposes a theory that the sum of any 2 numbers is 10. Now an infinite number of pairs of numbers match the theory.
2 and 8
pi and (10 - pi)
22/7 and (10 - 22/7)
5.4 and 4.6
-25 and 35
all match the theory.
Yet just one counterexample proves the theory false forever. In this case for each pair of numbers that match the theory, an infinite number of pairs of numbers prove it false forever. And only one is needed as a counterexample to refute this theory. For example, 50+50=100.

In the case of evolution and billions of years, there is no evidence that matches the theory but multitudes of evidence that refute these conjectures. For a theory to be plausibly true, it needs to be falsifiable. Well evolution and billions of years have been falsified by all the evidence. So, it is falsified forever. Holding onto it, is thus illogical and unscientific.

In fact, evolution and billions of years can be likened to a proposed theory that the product of any 2 positive numbers is always negative. There are no pair of numbers that satisfy the theory, and all pairs of numbers refute it.

The censorship against recent creation by God is real and pervasive throughout society. This censorship allows for indoctrination, propaganda and deception. But it does show

that common descent, and evolution and billions of years cannot stand against the case against them and for recent creation by God. There are many examples of this censorship. Robert Gentry documented the censorship he faced in his book, Creation's Tiny Mystery. Please read it. Other creation scientists have been subjected to the same.

Consider social media groups including groups on Facebook. I have experience lots of censorship from many Facebook groups. I have many groups where my membership request is still pending after many months. I have many groups where I have many posts that are still pending after many months. In many groups I have had many of my posts rejected or removed. Fortunately, the way groups are handled in Facebook allows for more freedom from censorship than many other social media forums because on Facebook, anyone can start and mange a group and make their own decisions on membership and what posts are approved. Thus, there are many Facebook groups which have approved many of my posts.

In other forums besides Facebook, I have had many of my posts rejected. Years ago, I joined an atheist forum and took up the creationist side which was allowed. I was banned for life after just 2 weeks. I did not break any rules. However, the atheists broke countless rules many times.

In many Facebook groups, I have been subjected to countless attacks. I call them debate 101 techniques which people use when they have a false position to defend. This is a type of self-censorship by evolutionists. I have been called a liar, accused of lying, and falsely accused many thousands of times. But I truly believe what I post and am not lying. I have constantly been demeaned. I have been called an idiot and uneducated. But that is far from the truth. I have studied science, math and logic obsessively for almost 60 years. Many creationists have had similar experiences.

To advance scientific knowledge, the peer review system is an exchange of ideas where theories are proposed and also proved to be false. However, in practice for common descent, evolution and billions of years, the peer review system has been used as a means of censorship by many. As mentioned above, Robert Gentry recounted this in his book, Creation's Tiny Mystery. Other creation scientists have had similar experiences. The peer review system has changed how it operates when it comes to common descent, and evolution and billions of years. While not total censorship, the prevailing method is to censor anything that proves these false or proves God created all things recently because of the illogical reasoning that evolution and billions of years must be true due to all the "evidence" for it. The correct method according to the scientific method is to not censor submissions but to let them be published. This allows for open discussions. So, the peer view system is biased in favor of common descent, and evolution and billions of years by many who believe these and refuse to publish anything that proves these false and prove God created all things recently. This is completely against the scientific method. While no evolutionists can understand it, there is no evidence for common descent and evolution and billions of years that is scientific, rational and logical. No one has ever found any, and no one ever will. And every

publication that is pro common descent and evolution and billions of years is unscientific, illogical and irrational. So, there are no publications that are pro common descent and evolution and billions of years that are scientific, rational and logical.

Now the ramifications from this biased peer review system are that anyone who is promoting common descent and evolution and billions of years can claim that there are no publications from young earth creationists in the peer review system. But there are many scientific publications from many young creation scientists. And these are actually scientific, logical, and rational. However, these are considered to be not part of the peer review system by evolutionists. Now here is how this is used by evolutionists to self-censor themselves. If I post about something which uses generally known facts, I sometimes do not have any external links to any publication. Therefore, the evolutionists will claim I have no information that has been published in the peer review system. If I use a creationist publication, the same claim will be made, since they will accuse creationists of not being scientific or liars. If I use non creationist, even evolutionist, publications to establish facts, the evolutionists will point out that the publication actually is pro evolution.

Now some have misunderstood the first amendment of the constitution of the United States to promote the censorship of evidence against common descent and evolution and billions of years and evidence which proves God created all things recently. The 2 principles in that amendment are the establishment clause - “Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion” and the free exercise clause - “or prohibiting the free exercise thereof”. There is an historical reason for these. For over 1400 years, many nations at times have forced their interpretation of the Bible, in particular, Catholicism and later Protestantism. Islam has at times forced their religion in a number of nations throughout the course of about 1400 years. By the way, these 2 principles are important still and it would be dangerous to cast them aside.

The technique used by evolutionists is to classify evolution as a science and not a religion. But evolution is a false science and a false religion. The 3rd definition of religion from Merriam-Webster is “a cause, principle, or system of beliefs held to with ardor and faith”. All evidence, science and logic prove that common descent and evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. So, evolution matches the 3rd definition of religion in Merriam-Webster. As such, no public school, college or university should have common descent and evolution and billions of years as part of their curriculum. Also, no government organization in the United States can fund these at any level of government. But because evolution is classified as a science and not a religion it has slipped by the establishment clause. It is interesting to note that many denominations now accept common descent and evolution and billions of years as true, although that may not be their official position. So, the historical reason for these 2 principles in the first amendment have been jettisoned.

Now young earth creation science is actual science. All evidence, all science, and all logic prove evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created everything recently. The fact that it matches several religions is tangential to these facts. And even

if one argues young earth creation science is a religious belief, then no public school, college or university can ban its use in these schools. It just is not allowed as the curriculum. But certainly, any student can use young earth creation science, creationist publications and even the Bible in classes, homework, papers and tests. And no student in any public school, college or university should be forced to acknowledge common descent and evolution and billions of years. Nor should they be forced to learn this propaganda. Even teachers and professors cannot have their religious freedom denied in any public school, college or university.

Please note that most courts have ruled correctly on many of these issues with the exception that they do not treat evolution as a religion that violates the establishment clause. The problem is how these issues are handled in schools and colleges where some have policies and guidelines which may conflict with these judicial rulings.

So, the result of all this is that there has been a huge amount of money to fund research to prove common descent and evolution and billions of years true. Amazingly evolutionists have forgot the scientific method and none of that huge amount of money was used to find evidence that prove common descent, and evolution and billions of years are false. Also, throughout society many have been indoctrinated through censorship, propaganda and deception into believing that common descent and evolution and billions of years are absolutely true and now established fact. One should consider the effect of indoctrinating many people in society into believing that there is no absolute morality, that there is no God, that their life may have no meaning, and that there is no consequence from doing evil.

Now consider how irrational, illogical and unscientific the thinking that plagues the evolutionist mind. In science, if there are 2 competing theories and some evidence can be explained by both theories, then that evidence cannot be used as proof of one over the other. Using the scientific method, the goal instead is to find evidence that matches one theory but refutes the other. Now evolutionists claim that similarities between species prove common descent and hence evolution and billions of years. But similarities between species can also be explained by creation by God. So, it is completely irrational, illogical and unscientific to use similarity as proof of evolution at all. All intelligent designers reuse designs. So obviously God would certainly do that. Just consider how many things are made by man which have round wheels or tires yet there is no common decent at all. But there are inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences which prove common descent is false. So common descent and evolution and billions of years are false.

Now the technique that is used to flip reality on its head is to assume that there is no God. I call this the no God assumption of Atheistic Origin Science. It is not logically possible to prove that there is no God. So, the no God assumption and Atheistic Origin Science, which is based on that, are illogical, irrational, and unscientific. And anything derived from that assumption is illogical, irrational, and unscientific. And it has been proved many times in many infallible and irrefutable ways that God does exist and created all things. Therefore, not only are the no God assumption and Atheistic Origin

Science, which is based on that, illogical, irrational, and unscientific, they are false. And anything derived from them is also false. That includes the determination of the age question. That question can never be answered until the question of whether God exists or not is answered. And that question has been answered. God does exist and created all things. That just leaves the questions of who is that God, how did God create all things, and when did God do it.

But evolutionists refuse to acknowledge this. So, they have eliminated the only possible option that God created all things, thus leaving only one impossible option, evolution and billions of years, which is absurd. Therefore, because God has been eliminated as an option, they just assume everything happened without God. This is their complete circular reasoning. Based on that, the universe supposedly must have just come into existence without God, as impossible as that is, because they assume evolution and billions of years are true. And the universe, which is extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly across space and time, supposedly came into existence without God, as impossible as that is, because they assume evolution and billions of years are true. And non-living chemicals supposedly turned into the first living thing without God, as impossible as that is, because they assume evolution and billions of years are true. And eukaryotes supposedly evolved from prokaryotes through DNA mutation ERRORS, multi cell creatures supposedly evolved from single cell creatures through DNA mutation ERRORS, creatures that used sexual reproduction supposedly evolved from creatures that used asexual reproduction only through DNA mutation ERRORS, and many other things supposedly evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS, all without God, as impossible as that is, because they assume evolution and billions of years are true.

The no God assumption and the circular reasoning of evolution and billions of years are discussed in the following posts. No Christian should ever use the no God assumption in determining the origin and age of earth, universe, or living things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19wf5sKEXn/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15DE9TNFsu/

Note that it is not logically possible to be an atheist since it is not logically possible to prove there is no God. In reality, they are just agnostics which means they do not know or are without knowledge in the most important question in life. So why would anyone seek their “wisdom”? But in declaring themselves atheists, they are claiming to know. But that just shows that they are deceiving themselves and each other as predicted in the last days by the Bible (see 2 Timothy 3:1, 13, 2 Tim 4, 2 Thess 2, 1 Tim 6:20-21, and 2 Peter 3). So don’t let them deceive you.

https://creation.com/erv-and-line-functions

My suggestion is that all media, educational and scientific outlets should allow publication of material which refutes common descent, and evolution and billions of years and proves recent creation by God. This means all newspapers, magazines,

scientific journals, all online websites and social media, all schools and universities, TV, and commercials if the outlet makes sense. If this is allowed to happen and evolutionists have an open mind, common descent, and evolution and billions of years would be routed from the world. And all would be convinced that God created all things recently. But Satan is the god of this world, and many have been taken captive by Satan as it says in 2 Tim 2:25-26.

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

95. Proof that God created the universe recently. Thus, the no God assumption is again proven false. So, it is illogical, unscientific, and irrational to ever use it in origins or in the long dating of things. Thus, any supposed scientific paper about origins or the long ages of things is illogical, unscientific, and irrational without the word, God, in it. All should be modified with a warning as given later in this proof. (TOC) (back)

Link to answers to additional reasonable common objections to the post that God created the universe recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18SGbQ1Q2q/

A. The first and second laws of thermodynamics, all conservation laws of physics, the law of cause and effect, and reality itself prove that the universe could not have come into being without God

The universe could not have come into being from nothing. That violates the 1st law of thermodynamics which is conservation of energy. It also violates all the other conservation laws of physics. It also violates the law of cause and effect, and reality.

The universe could not have always existed. That violates the 2nd law of thermodynamics. It could not have always existed since the 2nd law of thermodynamics for an isolated, closed system means that entropy, that is disorder, would have always been increasing. If the universe always existed, all order would have vanished eons ago.

So, the universe could not have always existed and it could not have come into being from nothing without God. Thus, all matter, even time itself must have had a beginning. This is true no matter how many variants the evolutionists conjure up, whether multiverses, extra dimensions, dark energy, dark matter, etc. This also proves the

existence of God the Creator who created all things including time. This matches Genesis 1:1.

In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth - Genesis 1:1

B. All physical things had a beginning even time itself

Graph any physical quantity of anything against time with time as the horizontal axis. That time axis is shown below.

-infinity <—- now —-> +infinity

Now look at the right time axis with the arrow pointing to positive infinity. It is infinitely far from now. We will never get there. Now look at the left time axis with the arrow pointing to negative infinity. It too is infinitely far. So, we were never there. So, all physical things even time had a beginning. This is true whether evolutionists propose multiple universes, anti-universes, parallel universes, any number of dimensions, dark matter, dark energy, etc.

Thus, the time axis of all graphs of any physical quantity should look like this where t=0 is the beginning of time. Amazingly all graphs of any physical quantity are in error in this regard.

t=0 |—— now —-> +infinity

The word of God declares this is its first verse.

In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth - Genesis 1:1

By the way, God is not a thing so the above does not apply to Almighty God who created all things.

C. Where did the extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly universe come from?

The universe obeys a set of physical laws. But these laws prove the existence of the Creator would made a universe to do so. The universe is extremely orderly in many ways, throughout time and space. But that again proves the existence of the Creator would made the universe that way. The universe is extremely finely tuned. This extreme fine tuning is what allows matter, living things, stars, galaxies, planets to exist. The orderly finely tuned universe is orderly and finely tuned across all space and time. This fine tuning also proves the existence of the Creator that made it that way.

If the universe were not extremely fined tuned, then no galaxies, no stars, no planets, no planet Earth, no atoms, no protons, no neutrons, no electrons, no light, no elements, no molecules, and certainly no living things would exist. Or some combination of these

would not exist. And if it were not extremely orderly, then things that did exist would pop in and out existence all the time. It would be utter total chaos everywhere. The universe is extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly throughout all space and for all time. If you divide all the universe into tiny cubes whose side is a Planck length and divide all the supposed 13.7 billion years for the age of the universe into segments a Planck time, the time it takes for light to travel a Planck length, you get about 10^250 space-time "points". Now the extremely fine tuning of the universe has odds against it coming into being by random chance far greater than a googolplex to 1. And that holds for all those space-time "points". So that makes the odds against the universe being extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly across all space and time to be far greater than a googolplex to the googol squared to 1.

But there is no expectation that any universe would even have the same laws as ours, both in number and kind. Nor is there any expectation that there would be the same laws or numbers of laws throughout different regions of the universe. This then makes the total possibilities infinite.

So, it is impossible for an extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly universe to ever come into existence without God. And it would have taken an infinite number of these impossibilities to have occurred, for our particular universe to have come into existence. So, this proves, by an infinite number of times, that our universe is impossible to have come into existence without God.

The following link shows 209 finely tuned parameters.

https://robertcliftonrobinson.com/evidence-for-fine-tuning-of-the-universe/

The following link calculates the odds against a finely tuned universe existing.

https://letterstonature.wordpress.com/2012/05/02/in-defence-of-the-fine-tuning-of-the-universe-for-intelligent-life/

The following is 2 books are written by Otangelo Grasso on the fine tuning of the universe.

https://www.amazon.com/Creators-Signature-Cosmos-Exploring-Fine-Tuning/dp/B0D6QW52T1

https://www.amazon.com/Creators-Signature-Cosmos-Exploring-Fine-Tuning/dp/B0D6MV4K9G

D. Proof of God, 6 day creation about 6000 years ago without evolution, and worldwide flood about 4500 years ago.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS and pass them down to their descendants. And this accumulation does not reset with a new

“species”. Based on mutation error rates in all species, especially for mitochondrial DNA, this limits all species to being less than 10,000 years old. Natural selection cannot stop this as all individual creatures of all species have them and the descendants of all survivors continue to accumulate them. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years old, then the universe could not have come into existence without God. Think about this. According to those that believe in evolution and billions of years, the universe is supposedly about 13.7 billion years old. But the earth is less than 10,000 years old. So what can explain the earth coming into being less than 10,000 years ago without God creating it?

The evidence furthers show that almost all “clocks” show that the earth, the universe, and everything else, are not billions of years old, or millions of years old, but thousands of years old. This further proves that anything that shows a very old age must be wrong. The only explanation for these inconsistencies is some miraculous events like 6-day creation, the fall in the garden and the worldwide flood. See the link below for more information about the evidence from all these “clocks”.

https://creation.com/age-of-the-earth

E. Objections and Answers

Objection to the 2nd law of thermodynamics, increasing entropy, proving that that universe had a beginning. Some have proposed an oscillating universe with endless cycles of a Big Bang, expansion, then contraction leading to a Big Crunch.

Some may argue that the universe undergoes a repeated cycle of expansion, and then an eventual contraction back to a singularity due to the force of gravity halting the expansion and bringing all matter and energy back to a singularity. Under this speculation, the universe has returned to the same state at the end of each cycle and thus the same entropy.

Answer: The problem is that the expansion of the universe is accelerating. So at least some or all of the energy and matter of the universe will escape and never return to a singularity. In fact, if the universe were to lose even a tiny fraction of mass or energy in a cycle, that proves that the infinite cycle theory is false as each cycle would have lost more and more mass and energy. Thus, there would be no recognizable universe today as it would have all escaped into a vast almost infinite space leaving a mass and energy density approaching zero. Furthermore, this is also refuted by the proof that time and all physical things had a beginning from the analysis of the time axis for negative infinity given above.

Objection: There have been many independent Big Bangs in the past.

Answer: Each of these would have violated the 1st law of thermodynamics which is conservation of energy. And each would have also violated all other conservation laws of physics. And each would have also violated the law of cause and effect, and reality. So, this is many times worse than a single Big Bang.

Objection: The universe is expanding at a known rate. Thus, the age of the universe can be calculated by projecting backward into the past to when the universe was a singularity. Thus, the universe is over 13 billion years old.

Answer: Even if the red shift of faraway objects is from the Doppler effect, there is no way to project backward to a singularity being the beginning of the universe over 13 billion years ago. Consider a simple analogy. A person is walking west at 3 mph. Where was that person 1 hour ago? It cannot be determined. Obviously, God could have created the universe about 6000 years ago at its present size with the universe expanding at its present rate or created the universe much smaller, then stretched out the universe and left the universe expanding at its present rate.

For Atheistic Origin Science, the order is the beginning of the universe with the Big Bang, inflation where space is stretched out, and then the forming of the stars and galaxies after that. The Bible has the creation of the universe, God stretching the universe out and then God creating the stars and galaxies after that. Thus, it is the same order. Obviously, the evolutionists have admitted that the Bible is correct. By the way, Satan was there and knows how God did it. So, Satan through his deceived made up the Big Bang and Inflation to deceive many.

Objection: The Big Bang Theory explains everything and has withstood all tests so far.

Answer: The above proved that the Big Bang is false as it violates all science and even reality. The Big Bang theory even with Inflation has been falsified in many ways. And with different types of advanced telescopes, more and more things are being discovered which refute the Big Bing. Many have already abandoned it and are scrambling to find a replacement, but none have been found that matches all the actual evidence. I plan to do a series of posts about all the things that refute the Big Bang even with Inflation.

Galileo used an improved telescope to challenge Catholic dogma. Today, advanced telescopes like the James Webb Space Telescope, are finding more and more evidence that refutes the Big Bang even with Inflation. Amazingly the Big Bang theory was first proposed by a Catholic priest and the Catholic Church accepts the Big Bang. History has repeated itself.

Objection: The theory of Inflation that was added to the Big Bang explains everything and has withstood all tests so far.

Answer: The original Big Bang Theory did not include Inflation. But the original Big Bang Theory was a mess as it was refuted by the evidence. So, decades later the theory of Inflation was added to the Big Bang. You can think of Inflation as the big fudge fairy tale as it introduced several fudged factors to play around with and try to overcome all the evidence against the Big Bang. Unfortunately, the Big Bang theory even with Inflation is still refuted by the evidence as discussed above.

Here is the fairy tale of Inflation. Very shortly after the Big Bang, the universe supposedly underwent on an enormous inflation. The first fudge factor is the time after the Big Bang that inflation started. The size of the universe supposedly increased by a factor of about 10^26 times. This is another fudge factor. Then the universe supposedly stopped inflating at a very short time later. That time is another fudge factor. Technically, the expansion profile is a 4th fudge factor. There is really no reason why it started inflating nor why is stopped inflating other than to use these fudge factors to explain away the evidence against the Big Bang. The theory is that there are one or more particles that caused this inflation, called inflatons. As yet there is no evidence for the existence of these inflatons or the inflation field. These inflatons have energies supposedly about 10^15 GeV although the energy depends on the Inflation model used.

There are very many variations of the Big Bang with Inflation. This proves none of them match all the evidence else there would be one. One is called eternal inflation, where there are possibly an infinite number of other universes besides ours. And we can never see them. Talk about fairy tales. It is like a murder suspect who tells the detectives millions of stories of what happened to keep the detectives busy. But good detectives become suspicious after they hear just the second differing account.

Inflation is being used to erase all the evidence against the Big Bang even with Inflation. It is like a defense attorney who got the court to throw out all the evidence against his client who is accused of murder. In his closing statement, he then says there is no evidence against his client. For example, the absence of magnetic monopoles is evidence that refutes the Big Bang. But with things like eternal inflation, the universe inflated so much that they have been diluted across almost infinite space, so they are at distances beyond observation. That is the evidence is OUT THERE, way out there but no one can ever see it. Thus, the evidence against the Big Bang has been erased. More evidence against the Big Bang is that the Cosmic Background Radiation is too smooth at large scales. With the finite speed of light, there was not enough time to smooth out the lumpiness due to the initial conditions of the Big Bang. But eternal inflation wipes out

any leftover of those initial conditions. And it also wipes out the fact that the finite speed of light refutes the Big Bang. Thus, the evidence against the Big Bang has been erased. And this erasing of the evidence against evolution puts it outside of the realm of science and into the realm of religion. Soon they will be selling the “true” religion, which probably will be old pagan pantheism allowing Satan to be worshipped as God in 2 Thess 2.

Furthermore, all models of the Big Bang with any type of Inflation, even eternal Inflation, are all refuted by the proof that time and all physical things had a beginning from the analysis of the time axis for negative infinity given above.

Objection: The Bible cannot be used to date the earth and the universe. To do so is circular reasoning.

Answer: All of Atheistic Origin Science is based on false assumptions and circular reasoning. So, the evolutionists are just projecting their circular reasoning problems and turning truth on its head. But the Bible can be proven true. In fact, it has been proved true by many people many times in many irrefutable and infallible ways. Sometimes these proofs assume that there is no God and therefore the Bible is false. Many other proofs make no assumption about the existence of God or about the Bible being true. So that is hardly circular reasoning but the antithesis of it. And since the Bible has been proved to be the true word of God, then what it says must be true. The Bible says that the word of God, the Bible, is given by inspiration of God in 2 Timothy 3:16-17. That is the authors were inspired by God to write what they wrote. So, the words in the Bible are the equivalent of the eyewitness testimony of God with the authors being perfect court stenographers. Since God did it, God knows how and when He created all things.

Objection: Atheistic Origin Science has explained how everything came to be. And there is nothing impossible.

Answer: Nothing could be further from the truth. Atheistic Origin Science which includes evolution has no answer for the origin of anything. It is truly the theory of nothing because it does not answer the origin question of anything.

All of atheistic origin science is filled with many impossibilities, and many things with vast improbabilities. And all against science.

The following link proves irrefutably with a multitude of evidence and many proofs that common descent, and evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created all things recently. This proof adds to that. Therefore, ERVs cannot be from common descent.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

Objection: The universe coming into being from nothing does not violate the conservation of energy or any other conservation laws as the energy of the universe and all other quantities add up to 0. And don’t forget the quantum field.

Answer: Prove it. The universe is known to be asymmetrical in a number of ways. Thus, it appears that things do not add up to 0. In fact, if the universe were symmetrical, there would be no matter or stars or galaxies or people as matter and antimatter would have canceled each other out.

From the following article is the below paragraph. It describes the baryon asymmetry problem, which is also the matter-antimatter asymmetry problem. So, it is obvious that the assumption that things add up to 0 may not hold.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Baryon_asymmetry

“In physical cosmology, the **baryon asymmetry** problem, also known as the **matter asymmetry** problem or the **matter–antimatter asymmetry** problem,[1][2] is the observed imbalance in baryonic matter (the type of matter experienced in everyday life) and antibaryonic matter in the observable universe. Neither the standard model of particle physics nor the theory of general relativity provides a known explanation for why this should be so, and it is a natural assumption that the universe is neutral with all conserved charges.[3] The Big Bang should have produced equal amounts of matter and antimatter. Since this does not seem to have been the case, it is likely some physical laws must have acted differently or did not exist for matter and/or antimatter. Several competing hypotheses exist to explain the imbalance of matter and antimatter that resulted in baryogenesis. However, there is as of yet no consensus theory to explain the phenomenon, which has been described as "one of the great mysteries in physics".[4]”

But what about the claim that the energy of the universe is 0? Assumptions are not facts so no one knows whether that is true and from the baryon asymmetry or matter-antimatter asymmetry problem, it may not hold and probably does not. Here is just some of the things that would prevent the energy of the universe from being known.

Number of known particles, estimated
Number of unknown particles, unknown
Size of universe, unknown.
Number of unknown forces, unknown
Energy associated with all unknown forces, unknown
Kinetic energy of all particles, unknown
Amount of energy for all dark matter in the universe, unknown
Amount of energy for all dark energy in the universe, unknown

But suppose that the energy of the universe indeed came out to 0. It is still a problem. If before the universe came into being there was absolutely nothing, there would be no energy of any kind, no forces, just nothing. So, what is its energy since such a thing itself does not exist? It is undefined. And undefined does not equal 0 or any number.

What about the quantum fluctuations having produce the universe? Or gravity having produced the universe? Well, if the universe came into being from nothing, there is no quantum field, no quantum fluctuations and no gravity. Nothing cannot produce anything. It literally has no existence.

Objection: The universe did not come from nothing, but from something else. First that is just fairy tales and not science. Secondly, what exactly was it? Thirdly, where did that come from? It is like an inquisitive child who keeps asking the same question, “And where did that come from?” Obviously there has to be an end to this game. Even a child expects that. Eventually something came from nothing or something always existed or there is no end to the game. All these are refuted by the proof that time and all physical things had a beginning from the analysis of the time axis for negative infinity given above.

Objection: Some evolutionists have proposed the following conjectures - Imaginary time, there is no thing as time, time runs backwards, it is all a computer simulation and the Flying Spaghetti Monster.

Answer: While I do love spaghetti with tomato sauce and meatballs, the Flying Spaghetti Monster is nuts as is the computer simulation speculation. The Flying Spaghetti Monster is deathly afraid of what some Italian might do, and a simple reality test refutes the computer simulation speculation. Obviously, these delusions are desperate attempts to deny the only possible answer that God created all things. And the one God that they argue the most against is God from the Bible. It must be something God said in His word. Obviously, they have identified the real God Almighty.

Objection: Creationists have the same ultimate origin problem as atheists because they cannot explain where God came from.

Answer: This is a straw man argument made out of desperation by atheists. God Almighty has always existed. So, there is no ultimate origin problem for creationists. And the 2 theories can be easily differentiated from each other. The universe and especially living things are intelligently designed. God is intelligent but the universe is not. Therefore, God always existed and created all things.

Objection: No one can prove anything.

Answer: Prove it. In fact, this statement is presented as something that has been proved thus refuting itself. Another similar philosophical falsehood is that no one can know the truth. Well, if no one can know the truth, then how can this statement be known to be true? Thus, it refutes itself. Another is that there is no permanent truth, and that truth is always changing. Well, if there is no permanent truth then the statement is no longer true. Another is that there is no absolute truth and all truth is relative. Well, if there is no absolute truth, then the statement could not be absolutely true. Each of these philosophical statements are a great deception to make you abandon truth and listen to them. Once you are conned, they will tell you what they have proved as the known,

permanent, absolute truth. The Bible says to beware of philosophy and vain deceit in Colossians 2:8.

F. Warning label

Any paper, textbook, or organization that uses the no God assumption in determining origins and the long dating of things is illogical, irrational and unscientific. In all fairness and honesty, they should carry a warning label that they use the no God assumption or be retracted immediately worldwide and marked as containing misinformation.

Here are 3 proposals for this warning. Obviously, proposal #1 is the truth.

1. WARNING! The following uses the no God assumption which is known to be absolutely false. Thus, it is dangerous. For the truth consult the King James Bible.

2. WARNING! The following uses the no God assumption which is known to be absolutely false or almost certainly absolutely false. Thus, it is dangerous. For the truth consult the King James Bible.

3. WARNING! The following uses the no God assumption. No one ever has or can prove the no God assumption. Thus, it may be dangerous. For the truth the reader is advised to consult the King James Bible and decide wisely.

The following link has a visual used to represent the Big Bang with inflation.

https://www.newscientist.com/definition/cosmic-inflation/

Before concluding I would like to point out some interesting facts. Look at the word, universe. Now uni means one as in unicellular. And verse is an English word. So, universe means one verse or if you reverse the order, verse 1. That is “In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth” - Genesis 1:1. The word, genetics, is derived from the word for Genesis as in the 1st book of the Bible. Genes comes from a word meaning kind as in kinds in Genesis 1 and 6. And all evolutionists and atheists observe a 7 day week. There is no astronomical reason for the 7 day week as there is with a year, a day or a lunar month. It a direct acknowledgment of the God of the Bible who created all things in 6 24 hour days and rested the 7th day as in Genesis 1-2. Thus, all evolutionists and atheists unwittingly worship the God the Bible, the God of the Biblical young earth creationists. They have no choice. This is a part of the fulfillment of this Biblical prophecy.

And again, Esaias saith, There shall be a root of Jesse, and he that shall rise to reign over the Gentiles; in him shall the Gentiles trust. - Romans 15:12

The 7 day week was not universal in ancient times but is now. During the French Revolution, they actually changed the 7 day week to a 10 day week with 1 or no days

off. Not sure which. But it lasted only about 13 years and was switched back. It did not work because there was not enough rest. As Christ said

And he said unto them, The sabbath was made for man, and not man for the sabbath: - Mark 2:27

So, make sure you rest a least 1 day per week. It may help your health, both physical and mental, or prevent problems.

Note there is no requirement for the saved in this age of grace to observe the 7th day sabbath. In fact, observing any days as a requirement for salvation is works and counter to the gospel of Christ and is another gospel. See Romans 14:5-6, Col 2:16, Gal 4:9-11, Gal 5:1-4, Gal 2:16, and other passages.

Evolutionists and atheists also keep a 24 hour day. There is no astronomical reason to divide the day into 24 hours. But the 12 hours before noon matches the 12 tribes of Israel and the 12 hours after noon matches the 12 apostles as in the description of the holy city new Jerusalem in Revelation 21:10-14. Evolutionists and atheists cannot stop unwittingly worshiping God of the Bible as Christ reigns over them.

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

96. The number 3, which represents the triune God of the Bible, aka the Trinity, is prominent in much of science, mathematics, logic, and society. (TOC) (back)

It is absolutely certain that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently. It is also certain that the Bible is the true word of God. The following post proves this.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19f6kZG4wa/

In fact, an analysis of anything in nature, especially living things, proves God Almighty exists and created all things. This wisdom is given by the following passage from the Bible.

19 Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. **20** For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, **being understood by the things that are made**, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse: - Romans 1:19-20

And this is especially true now with all the modern discoveries of science as predicted by the following verse.

But thou, O Daniel, shut up the words, and seal the book, even to the time of the end: many shall run to and fro, and **knowledge shall be increased**. - Daniel 12:4

Answers to additional reasonable common objections to the post that the number 3, which represents the triune God of the Bible, aka the Trinity, is prominent in much of science, mathematics, logic, and society.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19v87otfsk/

This post is different from my previous posts, each of which proved that evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created all things recently. This is not meant to be a proof.

The Bible declares that God is a triune God, aka the Trinity. That is, there is one God is 3 persons, Father, Jesus Christ the Word made flesh, and the Holy Spirit. This is declared in the following verse.

For there are **three** that bear record in heaven, **the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one**. - 1 John 5:7

The number 3, which represents the triune God, is prominent in much of science, mathematics, logic, and society. Note in each the following number 3. This is just a small sampling of how prominent the number 3 is.

The number 3 is prominent in the most fundamental and central facts of DNA, RNA and proteins, the very basis of life.

DNA is used to make RNA which is used to make proteins. Thus, it is a 3 level system. This is the central dogma of Biology.
A codon of DNA contains 3 base pairs.
A codon of RNA contains 3 nucleotides.
3 of the four DNA nucleotide types are the same as 3 of the four RNA nucleotide types.
The nucleotide letters for DNA are C, T, A, G which matches Christ The Almighty God.
The nucleotide letters for RNA are C, U, A, G which matches Christ Universal Almighty God.
The 3 nucleotides that DNA and RNA share are C, G, A which matches Christ God Almighty.
The minimum size of a codon to select from 20 amino acids is 3 nucleotides.
There are 3 parts of a DNA nucleotide - a phosphate group, a deoxyribose sugar, and a nitrogenous base.
There are 3 parts of an RNA nucleotide - a nitrogenous base, a pentose sugar (ribose), and one or more phosphate groups.

There are 3 parts to an amino acid - an amino group, a carboxl group and a side chain all attached to a central carbon

Water which is essential to life has 3 atoms - 2 hydrogen atoms and one oxygen.

The number 3 is prominent in atoms, subatomic particles, matter, and the Standard Model of particle physics.

All atoms, of whatever element or isotope, consist of 3 types of particles - protons, neutrons and electrons.
Protons are made of 3 quarks.
Neutrons are also made of 3 quarks.
All ordinary matter is made of 3 particles - protons, neutrons and electrons
But protons and neutrons are each made of 3 quarks, so all ordinary matter is also made of these 3 types of elementary particles - up quarks, down quarks and electrons.
Now take 1 each of a proton, a neutron, and an electron. Combined they are composed of 3 up quarks, 3 down quarks and an electron. And that is a total of 7, another Biblical number.

In the Standard Model:
There are 3 generations of leptons.
There are 3 generations of anti-leptons.
There are 3 generations of quarks.
There are 3 generations of anti-quarks.

Antiprotons are made of 3 anti-quarks.
Antineutrons are also made of 3 anti-quarks.
The charge of the electron is 3 times the charge of the down quark.
The charge of the anti-electron is 3 times the charge of the anti-down quark.
Quarks have a fractional charge where the denominator of those charges is 3.
3 quarks have a charge of 2/3 - up, charm, top.
3 quarks have a charge of -1/3 - down, strange, bottom.
3 anti quarks have a charge of -2/3.
3 anti quarks have a charge of +1/3.
3 leptons have a charge of -1.
3 leptons have a charge of 0.
3 anti-leptons have a charge of +1.
3 anti-leptons have a charge of 0.
3 leptons have names which include the word neutrino.
3 leptons do not have names which include the word neutrino.
3 anti-leptons have names which include the word neutrino.
3 anti-leptons do not have names which include the word neutrino.
There are 3 color charges for quarks - red, blue, green.
There are 3 anti-color charges for quarks - anti-red, anti-blue, anti-green.
There are 3 fundamental attributes of particles - mass, electric charge and spin.
There are 3 types of electric charge - positive, negative and neutral.

The fine structure constant is a dimensionless number derived from combing 3 fundamental constants in physics - the speed of light, Planck's constant and the charge of single electron.

The following post proved that all subatomic particles, all atoms, all elements and all electron cloud configurations are intelligently designed using adaptive machine learning software and mathematical induction.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18BgEyh2fb/

The number 3 is prominent in the reality of space, as space is 3 dimensional, time, and the very perception of 3D space.

Time is divided into 3 parts - past, present, and future.
Space has 3 dimensions. By the way, time is not a dimension of space. This can be seen with the units used for it versus a dimension of space – seconds vs meters.

All 3D coordinate systems use 3 variables. So, 3 variables are needed to describe space.
There are 3 main 3D coordinate systems - Cartesian, Spherical and Cylindrical
These 3 numbers determine a location on the earth - latitude, longitude, altitude

Put a point on a line. The points on the line are divided into 3 sets - the point, points on the line that are on one side of the point, points on the other side
Draw a line in a plane. The points in the plane are the divided into 3 sets - points on the line, points to one side of the line, points on the other side of the line.
Draw a nonoverlapping line of any shape in a plane. The points in the plane are the divided into 3 sets - points on the line, points on one side of the line, points on the other side of the line.
Draw a circle in a plane. The points in the plane are the divided into 3 sets - points on the circle, points on the inside of the circle, points on the outside of the circle.
Draw a polygon in a plane. The points in the plane are the divided into 3 sets - points on the polygon, points on the inside of the polygon, points on the outside of the polygon.
Draw a closed non overlapping curve in a plane. The points in the plane are the divided into 3 sets - points on the curve, points inside of the curve, points on the outside of the curve.
Draw a plane. All points in space are divided into 3 sets - points in the plane, points of one side of the plane, points on the other side of the plane
Draw an infinite cylindrical surface. All points in space are divided into 3 sets - points on the cylindrical surface, points inside the cylinder, points outside the cylindrical surface
Draw a spherical surface. All points in space are divided into 3 sets - points on the spherical surface, points inside the spherical surface, points outside the spherical surface.

Draw an ellipsoidal surface. All points in space are divided into 3 sets - points on the ellipsoidal surface, points inside the ellipsoidal surface, points outside the ellipsoidal surface.
Draw any 3D closed non overlapping surface. All points in space are divided into 3 sets - points on the surface, points inside the surface, points outside the surface.

The volume of a sphere is proportional to its radius raised to the 3rd power.
The volume of a cube is proportional to the length of its side raised to the 3rd power.
If two solids are similar, with a scale factor of a/b, then the volumes are in a ratio of the scale factor to the 3rd power.
For any rigid object in space there are 3 degrees of transitional motion.
For any rigid object in space there are 3 degrees of rotational motion.

<u>The number 3 is prominent in the laws of nature.</u>

Newton's 3 laws of motion.
Kepler's 3 laws of planetary motion.
There are 3 laws of thermodynamics.
The 3 rules of electron configuration - the Aufbau principle, Pauli exclusion principle, and Hund's rule.
The 3 body problem is well known in physics.
At the subatomic level, there are 3 fundamental forces - electromagnetic, strong, and weak. Gravity has no effect at the subatomic level.
The 3 rules of cell theory: all living things are composed of cells, cells are the basic unit of life, and all cells come from other cells
The 3 fundamental laws of chemistry - the law of conservation of mass, the law of definite proportions, and the law of multiple proportions.
The 3 main principles of the kinetic molecular theory - gas particles are in constant, random motion, the volume of the particles is negligible compared to the container volume, and collisions between particles are perfectly elastic

There are 3 main phases of matter - solid, liquid and gas
There are 3 main types of radiation - alpha, beta and gamma
There are 3 primary colors - red, blue and yellow

<u>The number 3 is prominent in geometry.</u>

The least number of sides of a polygon is 3.
The least number of angles of a polygon is 3.
Triangles, a 3 sided polygon, is the only polygon without a diagonal.
In a triangle, a 3 sided polygon, no two vertices are non-adjacent.
There are 3 postulates to prove congruent triangles - SSS, SAS, ASA
The triangle, 3 sided, is considered the strongest and most stable shape in engineering and architecture.
Tripods, which have 3 legs, are stable and are used by surveyors, and in photography and filming.

The triangle, 3 sided, is inherently stable. All other polygons can change shape if hinges are placed at the vertices but not the triangle.
Triangulation, based on the triangle which has 3 sides, is used in astronomy to calculate distances.
Navigation has used triangles, which are 3 sided, to plot courses and determine positions.
There are 3 types of angles in a triangle - acute, right and obtuse
There are 3 types of triangles based on angle congruence - equilateral, isosceles and scalene
There are 3 types of triangles based on side congruence - equilateral, isosceles and scalene
There are 3 types of triangles based on their angels - acute, right, obtuse
There are 360 degrees in a circle, which is a multiple of 3 and 3^2.
The sum of the angles of a triangle is 180 degrees, which is a multiple of 3 and 3^2.
The sum of the angles of any polygon is a multiple of 180 degrees, which is a multiple of 3 and 3^2.
There are 180 degrees in a straight angle, which is a multiple of 3 and 3^2.
There are 90 degrees in a right angel, which is a multiple of 3 and 3^2.
All regular polygons can be divided into a set of congruent isosceles triangles by connecting each vertex to the center of the polygon.

The cross product of two 3D vectors is only valid in 3D space.

It takes a minimum of 3 non-collinear points to define a plane.

<u>The number 3 is prominent in other parts of mathematics.</u>

Numbers can be classified in 3 ways - rational whole numbers, rational non whole numbers and irrational numbers.
Numbers can also be classified in 3 ways - real, imaginary and complex.

The number 3 is the only whole number that is the sum of all positive whole numbers less than itself.
The number -3 is the only whole number that is the sum of all negative whole numbers greater than itself.

When 2 sets, A and B, are joined in a union of sets, there are 3 different sets - A, B and their union.
When 2 sets, A and B, are joined by the intersection of sets, there are 3 different sets - A, B and their intersection.
When taking the difference between 2 sets, A-B, there are 3 different sets - A, B, and A-B.

3 is the only whole number between e and pi.
The square root of 10 rounded to the nearest whole number is 3.
pi rounded to the nearest whole number is 3.

e rounded to the nearest whole number is 3.
1 divided by 3 = 0.33333… which is an infinite number of 3s
If you add up the digits of 3 raised to any whole positive power, the sum is divisible by itself, in this case 3, and 9 (3^2) for n=2 or more.
If you add up the digits of 9 (3^2) raised to any whole positive power, the sum is divisible by 3 and itself, 9, which equals 3^2.
If you add up the digits of any multiple of 3 raised to any whole positive power, the sum is divisible by itself, in this case 3, and 9 (3^2) for n=2 or more.
Not one of the above 3 rules holds for any other whole number greater than 1

Mathematical equations have 3 parts - left hand side, right hand, equal sign
Mathematical inequalities have 3 parts - left hand side, right hand, inequality sign
All comparisons of two values have 3 possibilities - Less than, greater than, equals
The number line is divided into 3 parts - positive numbers, negative numbers, zero

There are 3 pairs of trigonometric cofunctions.
There are 3 pairs of trigonometric hyperbolic cofunctions.

Large numbers are written with 3 digits separated by a comma

The following facts are from this article.
https://www.newworldencyclopedia.org/entry/3_(number)
3 is the first odd prime number
3 is the second smallest prime.
3 is the first Fermat prime (2^(2^0)) + 1).
3 is the first Mersenne prime (2^2 - 1).
3 is the first lucky prime.
3 is the second Sophie Germain prime
3 is the second Mersenne prime exponent
3 is the second factorial prime (2! + 1)
3 is the second Lucas prime,
3 is the first unique prime, based on the properties of its reciprocal.
3 is the third Heegner number.
3 is the only prime which is one less than a perfect square.

The number 3 is prominent in all physical quantities.

All electrical fields are 3 dimensional.
At every point in an electric field, there is a 3 dimensional vector that describes the electric field at that point.
All electrical potentials are 3 dimensional.
All magnetic fields are 3 dimensional.
At every point in a magnetic field, there is a 3 dimensional vector that describes the magnetic field at that point.
All magnetic potentials are 3 dimensional.
All gravitational fields are 3 dimensional.

At every point in a gravitational field, there is a 3 dimensional vector that describes the gravitational field at that point.
All gravitational potentials are 3 dimensional.
All force fields are 3 dimensional.
At every point in a force field, there is a 3 dimensional vector that describes the force field at that point.
All force field potentials are 3 dimensional.
All real objects are 3 dimensional.
All electric charge distributions are 3 dimensional.
All magnetic charge distributions are 3 dimensional.
All electrical current distributions are 3 dimensional.
All matter density distributions are 3 dimensional.
All solutions to the Heinsberg equations are 3 dimensional.
All electron orbital shapes are 3 dimensional.
All chemical compounds in reality have a 3 dimensional shape.
All gas flows of are 3 dimensional.
All liquid flows are 3 dimensional.
All flows of matter are 3 dimensional.

The number 3 is prominent in organic chemistry.

For alkanes, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For alkenes, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For alkynes, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For cycloalkanes, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For primary alcohols, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For secondary alcohols, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For tertiary alcohols, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For esters, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For amines, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For amides, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For ketones, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For aldehydes, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.

For carbonyl acids, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For haloalkanes, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For phenols, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For dienes, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For nitriles, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For alkanols, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For acyl chlorides, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For secondary amines, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For tertiary amines, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For secondary amides, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For tertiary amides, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For long chain fatty acids, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For long chain unsaturated fatty acids, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For long chain poly unsaturated fatty acids, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
For long chain mono saturated fatty acids, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.
And many, many other homologous series, as the number of carbons increases, the number of atoms increases by 3 - one carbon and two hydrogens.

<u>The number 3 is prominent in many other things. Here is a sampling.</u>

Many things can be divided into 3 parts - beginning, middle, and end.

Many presentations are divided into 3 parts - tell them what you are going to tell them, tell them, tell them what you told them.

The traditional family is composed of father, mother and children - thus 3 types.
From the perspective of any person, a line of descent is composed of themselves, parents and children - thus 3 types.

Most living things need 3 basic things - water, food and air.

There are 3 domains of life - bacteria, archaea, eukaryotes.
The 3 types of muscle tissue are cardiac, smooth, and skeletal.
For people, the ear has three semicircular canals.
For people, the middle ear has three ossicles.
There are 3 primary cellular energy molecules: AMP, ADP, ATP.
There are 3 main fatty acid categories: saturated, monounsaturated, polyunsaturated.

Basic branching consists of 3 parts - either 2 branches join and become one branch or one branch divides into 2 branches

The earth, where we live, is the 3rd planet from the sun.
The first planet with a moon is earth, the 3rd planet from the sun.
The first planet with life on it is earth, the 3rd planet from the sun.
The planets increase in size for the first 3 planets.

In the solar system there are 3 main types of objects - the sun, planets, and moons.

Stars are classified into 3 populations - pop 1, pop 2, and pop 3.

There are 3 possible shapes of the universe - open, closed and flat.
There are 3 main types of galaxies - spiral, elliptical and irregular.

The cones in the eyes of people see 3 colors - red, green and blue.
There are 3 types of light sensing cells in people - rods, cones, and intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells.
The spectrum is divided into 3 parts for people – the narrow range of visible light, light with wavelengths below that range and light with wavelengths above that range.

People breath through 3 passages – mouth and two nostrils.

There are 3 types of carbohydrates – polysaccharides, monosaccharides and disaccharides.
There are 3 types of chemical reactions based on changes in chemical structure – decomposition, synthesis, and combination.
in kinematics, there are 3 main variables – position, velocity and acceleration.
Each of the 3 main variables of kinematics have components in 3 dimensions.

Bohr had 3 assumptions in his model of the hydrogen atom.
There are 3 postulates of VSEPR theory.
Euclid had 3 main postulates.
There are 3 main axioms of probability.

There are 3 types of plate tectonic boundaries: divergent, convergent, and transform plate boundaries.

The nervous system can be divided into 3 main divisions: Central nervous system (CNS); Peripheral nervous system (PNS); Autonomic nervous system (ANS).

The 3 main parts of the brain are split amongst 3 regions developed during the embryonic period: the forebrain, midbrain and hindbrain.

Internet applications are organized in a 3-tier architecture – a presentation tier, the application tier and the data tier.

The 3 fundamental units of measurement in physics are length (meter), mass (kilogram), and time (second).

A 3 stranded cord is strong.

When wiring a house, the typical electrical usually contains 3 wires.

There are 3 fundamental laws of logic.
There are 3 types of logical operators.

In music, the most basic form of a chord is 3 notes.

These 3 words refer to the same phenomenon - hurricane, cyclone and typhoon.

Dates are given as 3 things - month, day of moth and year.
Time is given as 3 numbers - hour, minute and second.

There are 3 sources of calories in food - fats, protein, and carbohydrates.

Fertilizer is expressed as 3 numbers - Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium.

It takes a minimum of 3 people for each to have others.
It takes a minimum of 3 people for each to have others to love.
It takes a minimum of 3 people for each to have others to communicate with.
The word, few, means 3 or more.
The word, several, means 3 or more.

If you divide the year into quarters, there are 3 months per quarter.
Each of the 4 seasons lasts about 3 months.

The earth is divided into 3 layers - crust, mantle and core.
There are 3 main climate zones in the earth - tropical, temperate and polar.
There are 3 main earth habitats - land, aquatic and air.
Three main types of rock formations: Igneous, Metamorphic, and Sedimentary.

Sentences are composed of a subject, verb, and possibly an object
3 main verb tenses - past, present and future.

The Rosetta Stone has 3 languages.

People live in areas that can be divided into 3 regions - urban, suburban and rural.

Traffic lights have 3 colors - green, yellow and red.

USA, the county currently with the most Christians, is 3rd in the world in total population
USA is also 3rd in the world in total area.
The USA has 3 branches of government at the Federal level
The USA has 3 levels of governmental - federal, state and local
The USA is in North America, the 3rd largest continent in area.
The Declaration of Independence lists 3 inalienable rights - life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

The number 3 is also prominent in all aspects of society - in literature, in movies, whether in concept or naming things. A listing would go on and on.

Some more interesting things about the number 3

The most abundant isotope of carbon is C-12. It has the same number of protons, neutrons and electrons. Thus all 3 numbers equal 6 and are the same. The number of mankind is 6 because man was created on day 6. Carbon is the basis of all life including mankind. The number 6 means to fall short of perfection. And all people have sinned and fall short of the glory of God (Romans 3:23), which is the sinless perfection of Christ, who is God manifest in flesh (John 1:14, 1 Tim 3:16).

The most abundant isotope of nitrogen is N-14. It has the same number of protons, neutrons and electrons. Thus all 3 numbers equal 7 and are the same. Nitrogen is the most abundant gas in the atmosphere. The number 7 means complete or perfect as in God. And that nitrogen gas is up above, skyward representing heaven. And heave is where God is.

The most abundant isotope of oxygen is O-16. It has the same number of protons, neutrons and electrons. Thus all 3 numbers equal 8 and are the same. The name Jesus has a numerical value of 888 in Greek. So, oxygen-16 has 8 protons, 8 neutrons and 8 electrons, thus 888. Oxygen is essential for life. Christ is the Creator of all things (John 1:1, 10, Eph 3:9) and gives life to all things including people (John 1:9). And Christ gives eternal life to the saved (John 10:28, Hebrew 5:9). The number 8 also means beyond perfection represented by the number 7, and Christ is beyond perfection.

Consider the following from the 3 level system where DNA is used to make RNA which is used to make proteins. DNA nucleotides in living things are all right-handed. It is the DNA code which controls all living things. Its author is the Creator, Jesus Christ, who is called “his right hand, and his holy arm” in Psalm 98:1 and is said to be standing at the right hand of God in Acts 7:55-56. So that explains the right handedness. Also note that

DNA is translated in RNA. RNA nucleotides in living things are all right-handed. Now amino acids in living things are left-handed. They comprise the flesh. From Romans 7:18, in the flesh dwells no good thing. And from Roman 7:22-25 and Gal 5:17, the flesh wars against even the mind of the saved and is contrary to the Spirit. So that explains the left handedness of amino acids.

In Hebrew, there are 22 letters, and all are consonants. Psalm 119 is divided into 22 sections, one for each of the Hebrew letters. The Bible has 66 books. And 66 = 3 x 22. Thus, it is laid out into 3 sections. Consider that the first 22 books are Genesis through the Song of Solomon. Genesis starts off with creation by God. The Song of Solomon has a passage which sounds like rapture in Song 2:10-13. The next 22 books are Isaiah through Acts. Isaiah has lots of verses where the Creator and creation are mentioned. It also has one of the prophecies of the birth of Christ and many other prophecies about Christ. Acts 1 has Christ ascending in the clouds of heaven, a type of rapture. This second set of 22 books is about Christ. The last 22 books are Romans through Revelation. Romans 1 talks about the Creator. And Revelation has passages about rapture. Note that the word church does not appear after Revelation 3 with the exception of once in Revelation 22 which is just a reference to Revelation 1. So, the church is raptured at the end of Revelation 3 when the Holy Spirit, he who lets in 2 Thess 2:6-7 is removed. This 3rd set of 22 books is about the church age and the Holy Spirit. Note that Romans, which is the first book of this last set off 22 books, is the preaching of the gospel of Christ by Paul (Roman 1:16) whom Christ chose to be the apostle of the Gentiles (Romans 11:13) and gave Paul the gospel of Christ by revelation of Christ (Gal 1:11-12, 16).

The New Testament has 27 books which is not only a multiple of 3 but equals 3 to the third power. And the OT has 39 books which is a multiple of 3. Note that the 3 gospel accounts of Matthew, Mark and Luke are called the Synoptics because they are very similar to each other in the events they record. The number 3 is very prominent throughout the Bible.

The number 3 is one of the most mentioned numbers in the Bible and is found over 400 times in the Bible. The following are just some that are 3 or 3rd in the Bible.
The triune God is one God in 3 persons.
The 3 patriarchs are Abraham, Issac and Jacob
Christ rose the 3rd day and was in the grave for 3 days and 3 nights.
Christ told the 12 apostles to baptize in the name of the Father, Son and Holy Spirit in Matthew 28.
3 apostles, James, John and Peter, were at the transfiguration of Christ.
The 3 persons of the Trinity were at the baptism of Christ, the Father spoke from heaven and the Holy Spirit descended like a dove upon Jesus.
In the OT, God mentioned these 3 in Ezekiel 14– Noah, Daniel and Job.
Job had 3 foolish friends.
The ark had 3 levels.
Samuel heard God call 3 times.
Noah had 3 sons and 3 daughters-in-law.

Job had 3 daughters.
Moses was hod 3 months by his parents.
There will be a 3rd temple built.
Daniel prayed 3 times a day.
Jonah was in the fish 3 days and 3 nights.
There were 3 gifts that the wisemen brought.
Jesus answered Satan's 3 temptations in the desert with 3 scriptures.
One each side of new Jerusalem which comes down from God from heaven are the names of 3 tribes of Israel.
One each side of new Jerusalem which comes down from God from heaven are the names of 3 apostles.
There are 3 muti day feasts in the OT – unleavened bread, booths, and weeks.
Peter denied Christ 3 times.
Paul asked the Lord 3 times to remove the thorn in his flesh.
Paul warned the church at Ephesus with tears for 3 years.
The ark remained at the house of Obed-Edom for 3 months.
1 Cor 13 mentions 3 things – faith, hope and charity.
The Bible says a 3 fold cord is not easily broken.
Abraham saw the mountain on the 3rd day when he took Issac as God instructed.
Moses and Aaron asked Pharoah to let them go a 3-day journey to worship God.
God instructs Moses to be ready by the 3rd day, because on that day the Lord will come down on Mount Sinai in the sight of all the people.
Jerimiah 33:3 says to call on the Lord and He will answer you and show you great and mighty things.
3 men were thrown into the fiery furnace.
3 angels are named in scripture – Michael, Gabriel and Lucifer who sinned and became Satan.
1/3 of the angels fell when the followed Satan in Revelation 12.
And many more.

God signed His creation with the number 3 and God sure likes the number 3 in scripture.

97. A passage written by Stephen Hawking actually proves that God Almighty created all things and that the Bible is the true word of God. (TOC) (back)

Answers to additional reasonable common objections to this post can be found at this link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14ihbkWMG5/

The following is a link to an article written by John Lennox, a mathematician who is Emeritus Professor of Mathematics at the University of Oxford. Lennox got his 1st doctorate from Cambridge University and his 2nd and 3rd doctorate at Oxford University and Cardiff University, respectively.

https://crossexaminedblog.com/2011/08/29/john-lennox-responds-to-stephen-hawking/

In this article, Lennox analyzes 3 statements written by Stephen Hawking. Lennox shows that there are at least 3 times where circular reasoning is used in the passage. I urge you to read this article to understand this circular reasoning.

Here is the passage by Stephen Hawking which are found in the article above. I added the underlining for emphasis.

“Because there is a law such as gravity, the universe can and will create itself from nothing. Spontaneous creation is the reason there is something rather than nothing, why the universe exists, why we exist. It is not necessary to invoke God to light the blue touch paper and set the universe going.”

Now, Stephen Hawking was a famous theoretical physicist and theoretical cosmologist. He also authored or coauthored a number of books, some of which are quite famous. To deny that he was brilliant is absurd. For 30 years he was the Lucasian Professor of Mathematics at Cambridge, one of the most prestigious academic positions. Isaac Newton at one time held that position too. Newton was one of the greatest mathematicians and physicists of all time. He invented Calculus independently along with Leibniz, the Newtonian laws of motion and the Newtonian theory of gravity. The Newtonian laws of motion and the Newtonian theory of gravity stood for about 250 years until Albert Einstein improved upon them. Newton was a Bible believing creationist who even calculated how long ago God created the earth using the Bible.

So, Stephen Hawking must be considered a leading expert, if not the leading expert, in cosmology. Thus, he counts as an expert witness. This proof does not delve into the circular reasoning that Hawking used as the evaluation by Lennox so brilliantly does. It takes a different approach to show how these statements prove God created all things.

First it must be noted that Hawking is attempting to explain the origin of the universe in these statements. That shows great insight as the universe and even time itself must have had a beginning. So, Hawking makes no mistake in that the origin of the universe must be explained, thus identifying the crux of the matter.

The following is just one irrefutable and infallible proof that the universe, all things, and even time itself must have had a beginning.

Graph any physical quantity of anything against time with time as the horizontal axis. That time axis is shown below.

-infinity <—- now —-> +infinity

Now look at the right time axis with the arrow pointing to positive infinity. It is infinitely far from now. We will never get there. Now look at the left time axis with the arrow pointing

to negative infinity. It too is infinitely far. So, we were never there. So, all physical things even time itself must have had a beginning. This is true whether evolutionists propose multiple universes, anti-universes, parallel universes, any number of dimensions, dark matter, dark energy, etc.

Thus, the time axis of all graphs of any physical quantity should look like this where t=0 is the beginning of time. Amazingly all graphs of any physical quantity are in error in this regard.

t=0 |—— now —-> +infinity

The word of God declares this is its first verse – “In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth” - Genesis 1:1. By the way, God is not a thing so the above does not apply to Almighty God who created all things.

Analysis of the passage

Hawking, in this set of statements, inherently identifies that either God created the universe, or it came into being by itself from nothing. Now, here is the part to focus on first. Hawking wrote “the universe can and will create itself from nothing”. Lenox showed that this is classical circular reasoning. That is the universe, which did not even exist, created itself from nothing. But the universe did not exist before the universe existed. So, the universe could not have created itself. What the statement should say is – absolutely nothing created the universe. Or more accurately, no thing created the universe. So, there is no thing that created the universe. Just to emphasize how nothing is actually absolutely nothing, the phrase can be written without a subject as “created the universe.” But that is not a complete sentence and is nonsensical.

Now look at this sentence that Hawking wrote in this passage. “It is not necessary to invoke God to light the blue touch paper and set the universe going.” So, Hawking has identified that because his conjecture about the origin of the universe was according to him true, there was no reason to invoke God. But Hawking’s conjecture has been shown to be false. So that means God **MUST** be invoked as the cause of the existence of the universe. It is then trivial to then prove that the Bible is the true word of God.

But there is more to the passage from Hawking. First, how could someone as brilliant as Stephen Hawking have made such a logical mistake? Note Hawking knows that the universe and time must have had a beginning, and the universe either came from nothing or God created it. He is that close and yet missed the mark. The reason is actually revealed in the last sentence of the passage. He chose not to invoke God as the reason and that is why he made such a logical mistake.

Well, the Bible predicts that many would be deceived in the last days, our time, with exact details and exact timing in a number of passages – 1 Tim 6:19-20, 2 Tim 3, 2 Tim 4, 2 Peter 3, 2 Thess 2, etc. And these and many other predictions, many with exact details and exact timing, further prove the Bible is the true word of God.

Here is the wisdom of God of how an analysis of the creation proves God created it but many choose to reject that.

19 Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. **20**For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse: **22** Because that, when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. **22** Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools, - Romans 1:19-22

And this wisdom, that an analysis of the creation proves God created it, is especially true now with all the modern discoveries of science as predicted by the following verse.

But thou, O Daniel, shut up the words, and seal the book, even to the time of the end: many shall run to and fro, and **knowledge shall be increased**. - Daniel 12:4

The following post proves that God created the universe recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18ZXkZaQvG/

98. How the collapse of the junk DNA theory refutes common descent, evolution and billions of years, and proves God created all things recently. (TOC) (back)

Answers to additional reasonable common objections to this post can be found at this link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18Xx61mMnW/

For many years, many evolutionists have pushed the idea that the genomes of species are mostly junk DNA, with claims of over 90% to even up to 99% being junk DNA. Junk DNA being a sizable percentage of the genome is an absolute consequence of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS over billions of years. If there is no sizable percentage of junk DNA, evolution and billions of years are falsified as well as common descent of species.

According to predictions by evolutionists using the evolutionist model, most of the genome is just “junk DNA”, remnants of DNA still in the genome with no functionality and no longer needed because the organisms evolved. Many evolutionists have used this argument in the past as evidence for evolution. Amazingly many still do even today. But why would anyone make such an illogical and unscientific claim such as junk DNA at all? Just because functionality had not been found yet does not mean it had no functionality. This shows a propensity to make speculations without evidence to sell the theory of evolution. This is counter to logic, and the scientific method.

Modern discoveries have revealed that large chunks of what evolutionists claimed was junk DNA are functional and not junk at all. For example, introns are regions of genes which do not code for proteins. But it is now known that they have functionality, and all eukaryotes would go extinct without introns. For people, introns makeup 25% of the genome. But when this was discovered, there should have been major worldwide announcements of this great failed prediction of evolution. This should have been announced worldwide through TV, newspapers, magazines, the Internet, and updated courses. Headlines should have read “Another failed prediction by evolution, introns are not junk. Evolutionary theory is junk science”.

The following post proved that it is impossible that evolution could have produced introns through DNA mutation ERRORS. Introns, the proteins that are involved in processing them, and the requirement to actually edit the DNA of an organism in inserting them, would have to have occurred simultaneously in the very same organism. And this one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles would have happened many times. Introns are just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved from DNA mutation ERRORS.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EELhp2of5/

A similar thing happened with the discovery that ERVs also have functionality. For people, ERVs make up about 8% of the genome. This was discussed in the following proof which used ERVs to disprove evolution, billions of years and common descent.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DZZdMX6tj/

More and more of the supposed junk DNA has been found to be functional with things like LINEs, SINEs, TADs, etc. In fact, recent discoveries place the amount of plausible junk DNA at about 8%, since over 90% of the genome codes for RNA but not proteins, and about 1-2% codes for proteins.

https://rna.umich.edu/about/about/

And of course, it would be illogical and unscientific to claim that the last at most 8% is junk just because no functionality has been found yet. And the percentage of junk DNA cannot go back up since functionality has already been verified. So, the percentage of junk DNA is now so low that a major required consequence of evolution has been refuted. Evolution and billions or years, as well as common decent have been refuted yet again. After all, where did all the accumulated junk DNA through mutation ERRORS from the supposed billions of years of evolution go?

But wait, there is now another great refutation against evolution and billions of years due to the collapse of the theory of junk DNA. When there was supposedly 98-99% junk DNA and only 1-2% of DNA coded for proteins, that in itself was still enough to refute evolution and its sidekicks billions of years and common descent. There is no way for such a system could have come from chemicals turning into a first living thing. But now

that the junk DNA theory has collapsed, there is at least 50x more functionality in the genome to account for. The fact is that this new functionality uses the same DNA code translated into RNA to make a higher-level regulatory control system of the gene expression of the protein encoding genes among other things. This takes the inexplicable to the realm of insanely inexplicable.

But wait there is yet another problem. This makes it even more impossible for a species to have evolved into another species through DNA mutation ERRORS due to the extra layer of interconnectedness and interdependent functionality. After all changes in one part must be accompanied by changes in several other parts and in a precise manner. And these super great miracles of miracles would have had to happened in the very same creature simultaneously. And this would had to occur a vast multitude of times to have produced all the species that have ever lived, with each species itself requiring very many of these simultaneous super great miracles of miracles.

But wait there is yet another problem. Although there is a 50x increase when considering the proportion of functional DNA from the 1-2% level, secondary, tertiary and possible higher levels of inter functionality and interconnectedness, and interdependence increase the problems for evolution by up to 50^2 or 50^3 or more. So, it is not just 50x the level of inexplicableness for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS of just 1-2% of the DNA encoding for proteins, but up to 2500x or 125,000x or more.

Each time that parts of supposed junk DNA were found to be functional, there should have been major worldwide announcements of this great failed prediction of evolution. These should have been announced worldwide many times by now through TV, newspapers, magazines, the Internet, and updated courses. Headlines should have read “Another failed prediction by evolution, there is no junk DNA. Evolutionary theory is junk science”. Consider the following analogy of an actual great tree representing the supposed evolutionary common descent tree. Each time more functionality was found for supposedly junk DNA was like a giant ax chopping at the base of the trunk of the tree. Well, there has been many of these chops to that trunk with all the discoveries of functionality in various parts of the supposedly junk DNA. And with at most 8% as the maximum percentage for junk DNA left, that trunk is only at most 8% of the original trunk left. So, the tree has come crashing down. Of course, there were many proofs which chopped down that tree many times over the years. The following is just one. The rates of accumulation DNA mutation error in all species, especially for mitochondrial DNA, proves that all species are less than about 10,000 years, thus for the earth and its surface too. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1T56zaD2hF/

And the following proof chopped out all the branches of the evolutionary common descent tree.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18TumjojkF/

These should be a worldwide announcement through TV, newspapers, magazines, the Internet, and updated courses. Headlines should read “Evolution and billions of years as well as common descent have been falsified forever. Young earth Biblical creation science now reigns as the one true and only origin science.”

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

https://www.sciencing.com/advanced-tree-felling-techniques-8611141/

99. Mankind is made in the image of God. Mankind is different from all other creatures. This matches the Biblical record but refutes common descent, evolution and billions of years. (TOC) (back)

Answers to additional reasonable common objections to this post can be found at this link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Qnbk79mjc/

Mankind is unlike any creature in the world and by an enormous amount. This matches the Bible and refutes common descent, evolution and billions of years.

And God said, **Let us make man in our image, after our likeness**: and **let them have dominion** over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth. – Genesis 1:26

Mankind has done and or is capable of doing things that no other creature can. Here is a small sampling.

Mankind writes books, writes plays, makes movies, and writes poems.
Mankind generates electricity from a variety of sources, distributes it and makes things that use it.
Mankind named the animals and plants and studies them.
Mankind heats homes with natural gas, electric heat, propane, coal, wood, and wood chips.
Mankind makes computers and the software that runs them and the many software applications that run on them.
Mankind makes lamps, and light bulbs of various kinds.

Mankind makes streetlights, street signs, highways, automatic tolls, and gas stations.
Mankind makes solar panels, nuclear reactors, coal plants, windmills, and wind turbines.
Mankind makes piers, boat docks, sails, and marinas.
Mankind makes telescopes of all kinds and puts them in many places even space.
Mankind studies the planets, the sun, the moon, comets, stars, galaxies, asteroids, meteors, novas, supernovas, neutron stars, nebula, and black holes.
Mankind makes microscopes, lasers, fireworks, metallic alloys, and seeds clouds.
Mankind predicts the weather, predicts rainfall amounts, predicts tides, and predicts eclipses.
Mankind has theories of gravity, electromagnetism, strong nuclear force, weak nuclear force, atomic structure, atomic particles, etc. that yield results which match reality.
Mankind makes spectrometers, centrifuges, and litmus paper.
Mankind makes sea walls, canals, and dams.
Mankind can modify their own DNA and the DNA of other species.
Mankind makes automobiles, trucks, trains, train tracks, cities, bridges, airplanes, skyscrapers, factories, boats, kayaks, paddle boards, and ships.
Mankind makes TVs, cell phones, the Internet, and a variety of useful appliances
Mankind makes art, music, sheet music, musical instruments, and stadiums.
Mankind makes helicopters, rockets, satellites, maps, and robots.
Mankind invented equations, logic, algebra, calculus, statistics, probability, the table of chemical elements, and has determined the atomic mass of the elements and their isotopes.
Mankind makes amusement rides, board games and puzzles.
Mankind makes supermarkets, fertilizer, submarines, kites, and surfboards.
Mankind makes memory chips, disk drives, microchips, cables, power supplies, batteries, and capacitors.
Mankind makes pulleys, paint, steps, roofs, doors, and shutters.
Mankind has explored mountains, planets, deserts and the ocean depths.
Mankind invented the printing press, ink jet printers, and fonts.
Mankind can transplant organs, and has invented X-ray machines, MRI machines, CAT scans and ultrasounds.
Mankind makes large scale water distribution systems and sewer systems, and sewer treatment plants.
Mankind invented plastic, nylon, zip lock bags, Tupper ware, rubber bands, and Post It notes.
Mankind makes gutters, stucco, windows, and floor plans.
Mankind makes credit cards, cash registers, ATMs, driver licenses, and photo IDs.
Mankind makes dishes, bowls, forks, knives, spoons, cups, glasses, and scissors.
Mankind makes rope, thread, wire, hooks, and twine.
Mankind makes nails, screws, washers, bolts, lug nuts, screw drivers, wrenches, hammers, drills, and vices
Mankind makes shades, blinds, and pottery.
Mankind makes compasses, magnets, heart monitors and blood pressure cuffs
Mankind makes tables, chairs, tablecloths, dressers, hutches, sofas, bed frames, mattresses and box springs.

Mankind makes shoes, pants, shirts, buttons, zippers, Velcro, coats, scarfs, gloves, socks, hats, boats, umbrellas, blankets and pillows.
Mankind makes eyeglasses, sunglasses, contact lens, eye charts, eye tests, and writes eye prescriptions
Mankind makes microwaves, ovens, gas stoves, electric stoves, dishwashers, dryers, washing machines, and coffee makers.
Mankind makes jewelry, precision cuts of diamonds and gems, gold coins, silver coins, paper currency, and digital currency.
Mankind makes air conditioners, gas heaters, electric heaters, water heaters, and fans.
Mankind makes microphones, cameras, and speakers.
Mankind can do things that cause species to become extinct.
And the list goes on and on.

The rest of all creatures in the world are incapable of such.

When people have children, those children are of the same kind, mankind.

The chasm between intelligent mankind today and some supposed man/chimp last common ancestor (LCA) could never have been crossed. The facts refute evolution and billions of years

The evolutionary model has lots of explaining to do. But a simple analysis shows that the facts refute the evolutionary model.

First, it has been shown that that earth must be less than 10,000 years old by considering the DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rate in all individual creatures in all species, especially mitochondrial DNA. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1AJmkSNU92/

Secondly, an analysis of the supposed similarity in ERVs between people and chimps proves that they did not evolve from some supposed last common ancestor in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15a3EvuC4M/

Thirdly, evolutionist have no possible explanation for how intelligent mankind supposedly evolved from some supposed LCA of chimps and people. There are significant differences between the 2 kinds. Obviously, the greatest difference lies in the differences in their brains. The brains of people are 3x the size of that of chimps. If the common ancestor of both is assumed to have the same brain size as chimps, then mankind's brain somehow evolved to be 3x larger and the brains of chimps never changed. But why would chimps never have had their brains increase in size then? But if the common ancestor had a smaller brain size than chimps, then mankind's brain who have had to increase in size by more than 3x, maybe even 4-6x. Why did this amazing brain size growth not occur in any other species or primates?

But it is not just brain size that is the difference between the brains of people and chimps. The brains of people have a larger percentage of cerebral cortex which is responsible for higher cognitive functions like reasoning and language. The cerebral cortex of people contains 2x as many cells as that of chimps. And the network of cells in the cerebral cortex of people behaves differently than that of chimps. Also, the pattern of folds in the cortex of people is more complex than that of chimps. And the asymmetry of left and right hemispheres of the brains of people is larger than that of chimps. This asymmetry is evidence of further differences in the functional organization of the brain. And the pathways connecting different parts of the brain in people are more extensive and complex than that of chimps. Further the prefrontal cortex is significantly larger in people than chimps. Furthermore, people have a much larger proportion of association cortex within the neocortex compared to chimps. Grooves on the brain surface have subtle differences between people and chimps. There are also significant distinct differences in connectivity patterns in the frontal lobe between people and chimps. The temporal lobe is important for language processing and memory. Also, the Broca's and Wernicke's areas are more developed and specialized in the brains of people than of chimps. These regions are critical for language production and comprehension respectively. And there are other significant differences in the brains of chimps and people. Also note that the skulls of the line leading to mankind from the LCA must have gotten larger as the brain got larger. And those skulls had to change shape too.

So, it is not just the difference in brain size between chimps and people, nor even that massive increase in brain size of people since the LCA of people and chimps, as impossible as these are, that defies evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS as an explanation. It is also all the other significant number of significant qualitative changes that must have occurred to produce the brain of mankind that destroys any possibility that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS as the explanation.

But wait, there are even bigger problems for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS to be the explanation for the origin of mankind’s intelligence. There are other changes that must have occurred to drive the emergence of intelligence in mankind for it to have supposedly evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. And 2 of those changes are the increase in hand dexterity and the ability to walk on 2 legs all the time. But these 2 and other needed changes must have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS in coordination with the increase in brain size and all the other changes in brain organization and functionality. If these were not impediments, then most species should have shown massive increases in brain size over the last millions of years. Such is not the case. Even evolutionists have acknowledged this. But this presents further contradictions for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS.

To develop the hand dexterity needed to drive the emergence of intelligence in mankind, the hands become less suited for climbing trees. So, that removes a major defense for the supposed evolutionary line leading to mankind from the supposed man/chimp LCA. But the new hand dexterity would have not driven the development of enough intellect to ward off predators. So, the increase in hand dexterity actually would have led to extinction before intelligence emerged. The same is true of the emergence

of bipedal locomotion. As the supposed evolutionary line leading to mankind from the supposed man/chimp LCA became truly bipedal, it would have also lost much of the ability to dwell in trees. This would have happened before the development of enough intellect to ward off predators. So, again this actually would have led to extinction before intelligence emerged.

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. This was proved in this post. So, intelligent mankind could not have evolved from the supposed man/chimp LCA.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1B9pgQ47NS/

Where are all the fossils of the supposed trillions of individual primates that would have existed for the last 7 million years?

If people and chimps supposedly evolved from the supposed man/chimp LCA, where are all the bones from all the individual creatures of the lines of descent from of that common ancestor? Assume an average intergeneration time of 7 years in both lines of descent. Since that common ancestor supposedly lived about 7 million years ago, that comes to about 1 million generations. Now assume there are an average of 1 million total individuals during that time. **Where are the remains of the approximately 1 trillion individual descendants from their LCA of people and chimps in those 7 million years?** This proves that the supposed 7 million years since the LCA of chimps and people is nonexistent. And this does not include the other several trillions individual primates that would have existed in that 7 million years. **Where are the remains of the trillions of individual primates in those 7 million years?**

The drawings and depictions of the supposed intermediate species from the supposed man/chimp LCA to people today are just made up. They are based on pure speculation. Never give any credence to such made up “evidence”. The fact that such is actually used is an embarrassment for evolutionists. The total number of fossils of the supposed intermediate species from the LCA to people today are about 600 fossilized fragments from what should be about half a trillion missing individual creatures. Evolutionists with their desperate need to manufacture some evidence to support their ridiculous theory have ignored the real facts. If there were a worldwide flood today such as in the days of Noah, it would produce a similar set of fossils, yet none would be the ancestors of any. Consider variations in the size of bones and skulls in particular. There are people today that are over 7 feet tall and people today that are less than 5 feet tall. The same type of variation is found in all primates. Further consider, that before the flood, the size of similar species may have been different from that of species after the flood. And many of those species may not have survived the flood since it is just 2 of every kind on the ark. Also, according to the Bible, some people lived to 900 years or older. How the bones of someone about 900 years old would look is not known. Also, people were probably stronger back then. So, the ability for evolutionist “scientists” to reconstruct the old fake drawings of the ascent of man from a total of only 600 fossil fragments is just

not there, especially when they are trying to fit it into their theory to prove their theory. That is, they have a false interpretation of the actual facts. By the way, an analysis of Lucy shows that she did not walk on 2 legs. Further there have been many deceptions and mistakes in the past by evolutionists in this very endeavor.

Here is just one example of the manufactured “evidence” for evolution.

https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-9707035/Famous-diagram-showing-ascent-man-idea-wrong-sends-leading-geneticist-ape.html

Furthermore, there exist today no other species other than mankind from the branch off from the LCA of chimps and people. All branch offs are nonexistent today. This too makes no sense at all. In the supposed 7 million years since the man/chimp LCA, there should have been quite a number of branch offs. So, they were all unfit for survival?

Where are all the history, buildings, tools, and other artifacts produced by man for the supposed 50,000 to 100,000 years that intelligent man existed?

While one can speculate when man supposedly became intelligent in the evolutionary framework using the size of skulls, direct evidence of such intelligence in creatures is by considering the artifacts they produced. First, consider toolmaking. Supposedly toolmaking in the form of stone tools has been going on for about 3 million years. If the average intergenerational time over those 3 million years is about 15 years, that comes to about 200,000 generations. With an average population of about 500,000 over that time, there would have been about 100 billion individuals capable of toolmaking. Where are all the stone tools they made? Such tools should last and there should be tens of billions of them. Even if just the last 100,000 years is considered, there should be millions of these stone tools. Where are they?

Next consider agriculture. Supposedly mankind was as intelligent as today for about 100,000 years. Yet agriculture is supposedly only about 10,000 years old. So, for 90,000 years intelligent man could not figure out how to drop a seed in the ground? And note that when agriculture does arise, it is quite sophisticated and appears in many disparate and separated places around the world almost simultaneously. This makes no sense.

Next consider architecture. There are many amazing architectural wonders from the ancient world. Some are extremely advanced and sophisticated. The pyramids of Egypt are just one example. Scientists, engineers, and architects are still unsure of how they were constructed and would be challenged to build such a structure today. The Great Pyramid at Gaza was the world’s tallest building for over 3700 years. And some of the pyramids in the Americas have larger volumes than that of the pyramids in Egypt. And all these sophisticated architectural wonders appear in many disparate and separated places around the world almost simultaneously. This makes no sense. Not only that, but there is not much evidence of other buildings prior to the construction of these amazing structures. This makes no sense.

Now consider calendars. The oldest running calendars are the Chinese calendar, which is about 4724 years, and the Hebrew calendar, which is about 5786 years. Note how both are less than 6000 years which is the age of the earth according to various scriptures in the Bible. But why are there no continuing calendars older than 6000 years? And it makes no sense for intelligent mankind to have not been counting the years much earlier than 10,000 or 20,000 or 50,000 years ago. It is useful to keep a count of years. Even lines in stone with a line per year is helpful. Surely, it does not require much intelligence to figure how to do that for people who had just as much intelligence as modern man. This makes no sense. As an aside, it is interesting that the Hebrew calendar would have started about the time of the birth of Enos which happened 325 years after creation, and the Chinese calendar would have started about the time of the death of Mahalalel which happened 1290 years after creation.

Now consider language. Without writing of some kind, there is no way of knowing that spoken language existed. The oldest written languages are supposedly from about 4500-5000 years ago. Sanskrit is about 4000 years old. It is quite sophisticated. So how could some of the earliest written languages be so sophisticated right from the start? This makes no sense. And again, written languages appear in many disparate and separated places around the world almost simultaneously. This makes no sense.

Recorded history only dates back to about 6000 years ago with Bible. There is no recorded history prior to that. Even shipping which appears about 4500 years ago is quite sophisticated from the start and appears out of nowhere in many disparate and separated places around the world almost simultaneously. This makes no sense.

Another thing to note is that evolutionists claim that people have been as intelligent as they are today for about 100,000 years and yet all these advancements happened in just the last several thousand years. And technology, architecture and other things are quite sophisticated from the start with no record of any evolution from primitive forms over tens of thousands of years. This makes no sense at all.

It is interesting to note that there are many ancient cultures which record events similar to the worldwide flood in the days of Noah and the fall in the garden with many details that match. These appear in disparate cultures from various places around the world. Thus, these events are actually recorded history.

There is also much evidence that man and dinosaurs coexisted at some point in the past. There are various artifacts which depict dinosaurs of various kinds which look like the ones in modern depictions today. How could people have possibly known what dinosaurs looked like when, according to evolution and billions of years, people could never have seen any? Job, one of the oldest books in the Bible, has God describing a dinosaur in Job 40:15-24.

Artifacts of things made by mankind have been found in supposedly old rock layers. This in itself refutes evolution and billions of years.

But there is an explanation that does make sense, that matches all the facts and explains them. And that is, that God created all things in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution and mankind has always been intelligent with the capabilities of written and spoken language. That there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah. And that there was a confusing of languages and the dispersal of people from the Tower of Babel hundreds of years after the flood.

People are different from all other creatures.

Evolutionists believe that all of the following are distant cousins or distant relatives of people: bananas, carrots, parsley, sea urchins, slugs, flies, rats, flowers, bees, amoebas, crabs, blueberry bushes, sea gulls, monkeys, oak trees, spiders, dolphins, whales, penguins, worms, and all creatures in the world today. They believe that a one celled creature is their great, great, great, …, great grandma. Going to the zoo, or a farm, or a farm fair, or the aquarium, or a safari is like a family get together. This is a required belief for those that believe in common descent, and evolution and billions of years. The following will show that this is not true and that is delusional.

Many evolutionists claim that people and mice have 85% similarity in their DNA. They do not. The following proved that if there is any similarity in the DNA of people and mice, it proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/13L7cJL8qL/

Obviously, people and mice are not similar at all as can be seen at this link.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/House_mouse?fbclid=IwY2xjawIGDvFleHRuA2FlbQIxMQABHZ77XsiVYgIgSzbE8SbwHZVVv75-KOvrzQeb9EP8efRMO2jKBuSV-qSipg_aem_8PXskKPUNlXWBos-RYZ-PA

Please note that none of these are your distant cousins either.

https://animalfact.com/rodents/

Many evolutionists claim that people and dogs have 84% similarity in their DNA. They do not. The following proved that if there is any similarity in the DNA of people and dogs, it proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14e5cNCYGj/

Obviously, people and dogs are not similar at all as can be seen at this link.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dog?fbclid=IwY2xjawIGDkhleHRuA2FlbQIxMQABHd73jEesYo1igVbqM7X0oaThcz7XRGR5bEFgY6X0zWADZVPreY-vZOPRFg_aem_LyZE3ccsSmE4HLkMLCZgyw

Please note that none of these are your distant cousins either.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_canids

Many evolutionists claim that people and cats have 90% similarity in their DNA. They do not. The following proved that if there is any similarity in the DNA of people and cats, it proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18HsbHyQLz/

Obviously, people and cats are not similar at all as can be seen at this link.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cat?fbclid=IwY2xjawIGCAFleHRuA2FlbQIxMQABHToxlk_QticxG4wPHfPlkuo3nogzctHvUOqCQqNS7SvrenXHweoF7cLvcA_aem_NaaBDQ_hO0T52pref6m-kw

Please note that none of these are your distant cousins either.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_felids

Many evolutionists claim that people and kangaroos have 50% similarity in their DNA. They do not. The following proved that if there is any similarity in the DNA of people and kangaroos, it proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/permalink.php?story_fbid=pfbid0qoDCrKpQ89bqJm3BBxNRNkikkwGA8jjv7uj9xMb2Vo5JC8xX8cRWeP7C1qf2wipDl&id=61567130998189&rdid=mutmBoUcoczKLNHt#

Obviously, people and kangaroos are not similar at all as can be seen at this link.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kangaroo?fbclid=IwY2xjawIGB2pleHRuA2FlbQIxMQABHd0ljiKwlpzPgygwu_D3WbboOm14UkX8mKkr9RoMNy94p8aIM4Xb1XpGZw_aem_M_TZeJ03XIiWEst-ZRjNlA

Please note that none of these are your distant cousins either.

https://animalfact.com/marsupial/

Many evolutionists claim that people and platypuses have 60% similarity in their DNA. They do not. The following proved that if there is any similarity in the DNA of people and

platypuses, it proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17TBQf6Jsu/

Obviously, people and platypuses are not similar at all as can be seen at this link.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Platypus?fbclid=IwY2xjawIGBw9leHRuA2FlbQIxMQABHadAvjvu2WHaQ0oRmjhyD9sD6IVbNNu9KQbjJWbxMCoCFKUWdxm5iV452w_aem_sUvEivG74sbx8CWtk9C_MQ

Many evolutionists claim that people and birds have 60% similarity in their DNA. They do not. The following proved that if there is any similarity in the DNA of people and birds, it proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14NxWFreMd/

Obviously, people and birds are not similar at all as can be seen at this link.

https://www.nationalgeographic.com/animals/birds?fbclid=IwY2xjawIGBoVleHRuA2FlbQIxMQABHZgFI03DBZ78YtBnQUXPSKxgRnHGmbMRWay9PFsn0R4zZv84eJO2CfVeFQ_aem_djBZ0JACbcCU9nFmBMl5SQ

Many evolutionists claim that people and the green anole lizard have 30% similarity in their DNA. They do not. The following proved that if there is any similarity in the DNA of people and green anole lizard, it proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Goo1Vvkat/

Obviously, people and the green anole lizard are not similar at all as can be seen at this link.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anolis_carolinensis?fbclid=IwY2xjawIGA7hleHRuA2FlbQIxMQABHTqXMlKnzActN6vBZ1vox-MjJEJY3Gyrj5x7eebwDctY0d3_8gZS6cvWvg_aem_0_GU1JzCSCxDT4EaZrHNwA

Please note that none of these are your distant cousins either.

https://www.activewild.com/list-of-reptiles/

Many evolutionists claim that people and zebrafish have 60% similarity in their DNA. They do not. The following proved that if there is any similarity in the DNA of people and zebrafish, it proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14jvDzoqeR/

Obviously, people and zebrafish are not similar at all as can be seen at this link.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/zebrafish?variant=zh-tw&fbclid=IwY2xjawIGAW9leHRuA2FlbQIxMQABHf-IkSZnoC8aXL6-groGuAm37kX0aCOj5AdP5wwsnPeOdCvBZYCyc-T6Sw_aem__2FenAQeJrk5Zv6zoos7IA

Please note that none of these are your distant cousins either.

https://fishingbooker.com/fish

Many evolutionists claim that people and sea urchins have 70% similarity in their DNA. They do not. The following proved that if there is any similarity in the DNA of people and sea urchins, it proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17W9T7DGB6/

Obviously, people and sea urchins are not similar at all as can be seen at this link.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sea_urchin?fbclid=IwY2xjawIGAFZleHRuA2FlbQIxMQABHWDowdwwwg91Uh6DZhd5AjsoOPwf8Fem8NDJFmrFt7kjL85Kcecox2MrXw_aem_hCCm8T_-ecCWO75uwwie7A

Please note that none of these are your distant cousins either.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_marine_aquarium_invertebrate_species

Many evolutionists claim that people and honeybees have 45% similarity in their DNA. They do not. The following proved that if there is any similarity in the DNA of people and honeybees, it proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17owbmpfnh/

Obviously, people and honeybees are not similar at all as can be seen at this link.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Honey_bee?fbclid=IwY2xjawIF_fdleHRuA2FlbQIxMAABHe0RgdO7jrgr85dV9ST6Vqk3bKeAaDo4R8HrsGli4yE1kljxZjgp0GrmrQ_aem_4wJGK3b13BDYxLmh2GWwnQ

Many evolutionists claim that people and bananas have 50% similarity in their DNA. They do not. The following proved that if there is any similarity in the DNA of people and

bananas, it proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19VRrSY9ES/

Obviously, people and bananas are not similar at all as can be seen at this link.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Banana?fbclid=IwY2xjawIF-2VleHRuA2FlbQIxMQABHUdmLWrehJP6_TVSh_6pkDsW1guquVvKJCJ8pacNMhBpquyTBxKKcWEnaA_aem_E9yuRGgdiRD5hKCkTLovMQ

Please note that none of these are your distant cousins either.

https://ospi.k12.wa.us/sites/default/files/2023-08/fruita-z.pdf

https://www.grow-it-organically.com/alphabetical-list-of-vegetables.html

https://www.webstaurantstore.com/guide/1014/types-of-grains.html?srsltid=AfmBOoo6nvX-m5pxxDkUVxnvDi_TDXMXeeZC5d5B9KTwszu4FY8WcSgi

https://www.tasteofhome.com/collection/types-of-herbs/?srsltid=AfmBOoo7KJT2vZ3GSRklRE3e_vggzm9x2IVWLCK4Txhc7tAwZRWkybDv

https://www.allrecipes.com/article/types-of-beans/

https://www.ediblearrangements.com/blog/different-types-of-nuts/?srsltid=AfmBOopU1CV69guLoXSmeM0qZo8iMnMjKFicqU-K5d43e7Ax_iHbcTX0

Please note that none of these are your distant cousins either.

https://www.pinterest.com/pin/vertebrates-wonderful-list-of-340-vertebrates-around-the-world-visual-dictionary--79868593382237446/

And this is not what your great, great, great … great grandma looked like.

https://www.ck12.org/c/biology/prokaryotes/lesson/Prokaryote-Evolution-BIO/?referrer=concept_details

Chimpanzees and people are not alike at all.

People walk upright on extended limbs. Chimps walk with a crouched posture on flexed limbs.

People have less body hair than chimpanzees. In fact, chimps have fur and not hair.

People have superior motor control.

People have 46 chromosomes. Chimps have 48.

Chimps' feet are quite different.

https://chimpsnw.org/2020/11/chimp-feet-are-pretty-handy/

Chimps' hands are quite different.

https://science.howstuffworks.com/life/evolution/man-chimp-ape.htm

Chimps live in trees.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?app=desktop&v=nok3TOwOxsE&t=0s

People have bodies designed for walking on 2 legs. Chimps walk mostly on 4 legs. Their bodies are not designed for walking on 2 legs regularly.

https://www.clipstock.com/clip/chimpanzee-walking-all-fours-slow-motion

Chimps do not sound like people or look like people.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uSvCNnUnR60

Chimps do not look like people at all. In fact, no one unless they were quite drunk would ever mistake a chimp for a person. Never. And they would be easy to pick out in a police lineup too.

This reminds me of the tale of the emperor has no clothes, but in this case the emperor in not a ruler but the evolutionists.

The Bible has been proved to be the word of God by many people many times in many irrefutable and infallible ways. This post is just one of those proofs.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19rPA1kuok/

Since the Bible has been proved true through many infallible proofs, what it says is true. And what it says is that the Lord Jesus Christ is God Almighty, the Creator of all things, and that the only way to be saved is to believe the gospel of Christ (see Rom 1:16, 1 Cor 15:1-4, Acts 16:30-31, Eph 2:8-9, Eph 1:13, Rom 3:22-25, Rom 4:4-5). That is saved forever by grace through faith alone in the crucified and risen Lord Jesus Christ and His shed blood as the complete, finished and only payment for all our sins, and not by any works of any kind.

28 Come unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest.
29 Take my yoke upon you, and learn of me; for I am meek and lowly in heart: and ye shall find rest unto your souls. - Matt 11: 28-9

1 Moreover, brethren, I declare unto you the gospel which I preached unto you, which also ye have received, and wherein ye stand;
2 By which also ye are saved, if ye keep in memory what I preached unto you, unless ye have believed in vain.
3 For I delivered unto you first of all that which I also received, how that Christ died for our sins according to the scriptures;
4 And that he was buried, and that he rose again the third day according to the scriptures: - 1 Cor 15:1-4

For I am not ashamed of the gospel of Christ: for it is the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth; to the Jew first, and also to the Greek. Rom 1:16

People and chimps are not similar at all. It is delusional, as predicted by the Bible, to believe that they do.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chimpanzee

100. Many prophecies from the Bible have come true and many are coming true with exact timing and exact details even in our time as predicted. This is just one infallible proof that the Bible is the true word of God. Thus, what it says is true. And it says that God created all things in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution and there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago. (TOC) (back)

Answers to additional reasonable common objections to this post can be found at this link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15gfDe8LFG/

A. The establishment of the claim that many fulfilled Biblical prophecies prove that the Bible is the true word of God.

It is a fact that there exists a book called the Holy Bible. It is also a fact that the contents of that book exist and are known. It is also a fact that the scripture of the Bible was written between about 3500 to 2000 years ago for the Old Testament (OT) and about 2000 years ago for the New Testament (NT) which was competed circa 70 AD before the destruction of the temple by the Romans. Further it is a fact that the Bible claims to be inspired by God in 2 Tim 3:16-17, 2 Peter 1:21, etc. Thus, the following is not circular reasoning at all, but straightforward impeccable logic. These many fulfilled prophecies will be used to prove that the Holy Bible must be the very word of God.

B. Understanding Biblical prophecy

There are 2 kinds of prophecy in the Bible. One is where a prophet reveals that something will happen like Agabus did in Acts 11:28 and Acts 21:10-11. Another type is that a prophecy found in a certain piece of scripture has come true. An example of this type of prophecy is found when Peter showed how that the speaking in tongues by the apostles at Pentecost was a fulfillment of a prophecy found in the book of Joel. The key to this type of prophecy is found in verse 16 and amounts to this, that this which is happening in the world now is that which a piece of scripture predicted would occur. It is this type of prophecy that is the subject of this proof.

16 But **this is that which was spoken by the prophet Joel; 17** And it shall come to pass in the last days, saith God, I will pour out of my Spirit upon all flesh: and your sons and your daughters shall prophesy, and your young men shall see visions, and your old men shall dream dreams: - Acts 2:16-17

There are several passages in the Bible where God said that He would use fulfilled prophecy found in His word to prove that He really is God Almighty. These are found in the chapters of Isaiah 41 through 48 - Isa 41:22-24, Isa 42:9, Isa 43:12, Isa 44:7, Isa 45:21, Isa 46:10, and Isa 48:3,5,14-16.

Furthermore, the Lord Jesus Christ said, “But take ye heed: behold, **I have foretold you all things** in Mark 13:23. And Revelation 19:10 says “for **the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy**”.

To understand scripture and thus doctrine, it is important to keep certain principles in mind. The following passage is one of those. Verse 10 is the key verse. A “precept” is an unmistakable doctrine clearly stated in the word of God. And precepts must be upon precepts. For example, that Christ is God, as clearly stated in John 1:1 and other passages, is a fundamental precept of scripture. “Line upon line, line upon line” refers to context as things taken out of context, can be used to deceive. Context can be as small as the immediately surrounding text or larger as to a whole passage even a book or the fact that the OT law was for the children of Israel and not for the saved in this age of grace. “Here a little, there a little” refers to the fact of how information in the Bible including fundamental precepts is sometimes scattered in various places. What is amazing is the very same technique can be used to deceive as in verse 13. I underlined the same technique in verses 9 and 13. The difference in getting doctrine right is that verse 9 says “whom shall he teach”, referring to the Lord, verus “unto them” which shows false denominational teachings. Note the plural.

9 Whom shall **he teach knowledge**? and whom shall he make to understand doctrine? them that are weaned from the milk, and drawn from the breasts.
10 For precept must be upon precept, precept upon precept; line upon line, line upon line; here a little, and there a little:
13 But the word of the Lord **was unto them** precept upon precept, precept upon precept; line upon line, line upon line; here a little, and there a little; that they might go, and fall backward, and be broken, and snared, and taken.

Other important passages to understand scripture are that Paul is the apostle of the Gentiles, that scripture is to be studied to show thyself approved unto God and not men, rightly dividing the word of truth, which is scripture, and to consider what Paul says, that is, wrote.

For I speak to you Gentiles, inasmuch as I am the apostle of the Gentiles**, I magnify mine office: - Romans 11:13**

Study to shew thyself approved unto God, a workman that needeth not to be ashamed, **rightly dividing the word of truth**. - 2 Timothy 2:15

Consider what I say; and the Lord give thee understanding in all things. - 2 Tim 2:7

While doctrine is quite clear in scripture, prophecy is not always. Nor is prophecy needed for salvation. For example, the language used in prophecy is many times figurative. An example of this is in Revelation 19:1, where the beast from the sea has 7 heads and 10 horns.

Now lots of prophecies from the Bible have already been fulfilled. For example, Christ fulfilled over 400 OT prophecies. Many prophecies from the OT were fulfilled by later events in the OT. Many others from the OT and NT have been fulfilled over the last 2000 years. Many others from the OT and NT are being fulfilled right now in our times. But many from the OT and NT are for a future time and have not yet been fulfilled. These refer to the future events of the rapture of the church, the tribulation, the return of Christ to destroy the wicked, the 1000 year reign of Christ, and Christ creating a new heaven and new earth.

Now in the next verse it says God will do nothing unless He reveals it to His prophets who wrote it down or were recorded speaking.

Surely **the Lord God will do nothing, but he revealeth his secret unto his servants the prophets**. - Amos 3:7

For **the prophecy** came not in old time by the will of man: **but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost**. - 1 Peter 1:21

To understand this, consider that God warned the children of Israel that He would eventually remove them from the land of Israel which was fulfilled when they were carried away into Babylon, thus matching Amos 3:7. That is, God could not carry them away into Babylon unless God had not warned them about it in scripture. But God did warn them and then had them carried away. In fact, God warned them way ahead of time as it says in the following verse. That “rising early” phrase occurs 11 times in Jeremiah.

And the Lord hath sent unto you all his servants the prophets, **rising early and sending them**; but ye have not hearkened, nor inclined your ear to hear. - Jer 25:4

Also consider that the word of God prophesied about Christ many times throughout the OT starting in Genesis 3:15. Unfortunately many of the children of Israel did not understand these scriptures and did not recognize Christ as the Messiah. That is Christ could not come unless God had prophesied about it throughout the OT thus matching Amos 3:7.

But the next great event in scripture is the rapture of the church and the tribulation culminating in the return of the Lord Jesus Christ. And this cannot happen unless God had not warned about such. These prophecies are throughout the whole Bible since the whole Bible was completed about 2000 years before these events. Thus, matching Amos 3:7 and the prophets rising early.

Now some things which literally happened in the OT have a spiritual match to things in the NT. An example of this is the brazen serpent that Moses made in the wilderness (Numbers 21:5-9) but fulfilled in Christ crucified for our sins as Christ referred to in John 3:14-15.

Howbeit that was not first which is spiritual, but that which is natural; and afterward that which is spiritual. - 1 Cor 15:46

14 And **as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, even so must the Son of man be lifted up**: **15 That whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have eternal life.** - John 3:14-15

Paul said the natural man, that is unsaved, cannot understand spiritual things which the Holy Spirit reveals to the saved. That is why many things in the Bible cannot be understood by the unsaved.

But **the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God**: for they are foolishness unto him: **neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned**. - 1 Cor 2:14

One more thing to understand is that many things that will occur during the tribulation require that certain things must be in place prior to the tribulation and thus prior to the rapture. For example, Revelation 9:16 mentions an army of 200 million which would not be possible without a worldwide population of billions. So that population level must be in place prior to rapture. The world population hit 3 billion circa 1960 and is now over 8 billion.

I will also include advanced scientific knowledge contained in the Bible that has only become known in modern times as part of prophecy since Daniel 12:4 said knowledge will be increased in the last days.

C. Exact timing of the prophecies from the Bible explained.

The Bible predicts many things will happen in the last days. All these are predicted to happen from scripture written about 2000 to 3500 years ago. Not only were they predicted to happen in some indeterminate future but in our time specifically, the last days.

So, what are the last days and when are the last days? Paul wrote about them, and all should know this. 2 Timothy is the last letter Paul wrote to the saved of the church age and 2 Tim 3 and 4 are the last chapters he wrote, and they are about the last days before rapture.

This know also, that in the last days perilous times shall come. - 2 Tim 3:1

Now Peter wrote a precept that is valid for prophecy. 1 day is as 1000 years.

But, beloved, be not ignorant of this one thing, that **one day is with the Lord as a thousand years, and a thousand years as one day**. – 2 Peter 3:8

Now consider the following prophecy. Since it is prophecy, a day could be and in this case is as 1000 years.

After two days will he revive us: in the third day he will raise us up, and we shall live in his sight. – Hosea 6:2

So, 2000 years after Christ rose from the dead, the 1000 year reign of Christ would start. Christ rose circa 30-35 AD. So, the 1000 year reign could start circa 2030-2035 AD. That would put the window for rapture starting in 2023-2028 AD given the 7 years for the tribulation. It is important to also understand that the bridegroom, that is the Lord, tarried in the parable concerning the 10 virgins in Matthew 25:1-13. So, it is not known when exactly Christ will return just that the window for rapture has opened, and we are in the last days before the rapture.

There is another prophecy that also puts the last days in our time. God created all things in 6 days each which lasted 24 hours, and then rested the 7th day which was also 24 hours as recorded in Genesis 1-2:3. But this is also a prophecy for the timeline of history. And since this is prophecy, a day could be and in this case is as 1000 years. Currently it is about 6000 years since the 6 day creation. So that part of history is about over. This too then puts the last days before the rapture in our time with the bridegroom, the Lord, tarrying. The 7th day then is the 1000 year reign of Christ which is to come. It is interesting to note that all 6 days were said to be good except the 2nd day in Genesis 1. But that prophetically would be the 2nd millennium since creation when the worldwide flood occurred and thus not good.

Another thing to note is that the 6000 years of history are divided into 3 parts. 2000 years from creation to the birth of Abraham, 2000 years from then to the ministry of

Christ, and 2000 years since the ministry of Christ to now, the last days before the rapture.

Now to understand this exact timing of all these prophecies coming true in our time as predicted, you must understand how they all line up and build up. The easiest way is by an analogy. There is a path. A person decides to walk along that path. This is analogous to the first prophecy coming true. For example, knowledge is increased in the last days. Knowledge has taken off and is increasing rapidly with that knowledge now available to billions throughout the world with the Internet. That may have started to come true about 100 years ago. But it is still increasing rapidly, so it is still true. Is it exact timing? Yes, to the exact 100 years or the last decade in many cases and even until this very day. This is the equivalent to the first person continuing his walk along the path. As another prophecy comes true, that is the equivalent to a second person joining the first person walking along the path. As each prophecy comes true, another person joins in this walk along the path until there are many walking along together. Now all these prophecies have come true in the same time period, the last days in our time. This is analogous to a giant tsunami of fulfilled prophecy where the wave is growing larger and larger before it crashes. And since all these prophecies from all parts of the Bible are all coming true as exactly predicted would happen in the last days, it is like many parts of the Bible are all lighting up with fulfilled Biblical prophecies. So much so that the Bible has predicted almost all things occurring in the world today even down to the current headlines, daily news and how people act, think and speak. And it also predicted that most people will not be aware of this as in the days of Noah.

Now some will argue against the phrase “exact timing”. They would only consider exact timing as being on such a date something will happen maybe down to the hour and minute. But I will argue against that. These prophecies were written down about 3500 to 2000 years ago. And not only have they come true in some indeterminate time in the future, but to a very specific time that was prophesied in scripture, our time. The prophecy in Daniel 12:4 about knowledge being increased began to be fulfilled about 100 years ago with all the emergence of discoveries in many fields of science. But that was just the beginning of the explosion in knowledge that has occurred since billions have these ever-increasing discoveries of science available with the Internet which started to grow rapidly about 25 years ago. Even that has increased even more as more discoveries have been made with more information being made more easily available with better search engines and social media which has taken off since about 2010. So, this prophecy is fulfilled within the last 25 years or even 10 years from a prophecy written about 2500 years ago.

And remember it is not just one prophecy fulfilled in our time but a large number of them. For example, the emergence of a one world religion is also prophesied to happen in tribulation. But that must be forming prior to rapture. Some of these events to promote this one world religion have happened in the last 5 years. So, this prophecy is fulfilled within the last 5 years from a prophecy written about 2000 years ago.

But there is something even more astonishing. Some of these fulfilled Biblical prophecies indeed are very precise in timing. I have been posting proofs that prove God created all things recently and that evolution and billions of years are false and delusional for the last 18 months in many forums and Facebook groups. I also did this over a decade ago. Each of these will produce a response by many evolutionists usually the day of the post or within hours or minutes. Sometimes either as a response to a post or a comment I make about these truths, within minutes. And these responses by evolutionists fulfill a number of prophecies from the Bible for the last days with exact details and exact timing (to the day, hour or minutes). They cannot even help themselves in fulfilling prophecy this way which is itself a prophecy.

Now compare the amazing fulfillment of Biblical prophecies made 3500 to 2000 years ago and fulfilled in our time as predicted, with the prophecies of mankind.

Around 1800 when the world's population was about 1 billion, Malthus predicted that the world's population would collapse as food production would not be able to keep up with the growth in population. Today there are over 8 billion people, and the calories consumed per person has actually increased. In the 1950s scientists predicted a coming ice age. That failed and then became global warming. Predictions of the end of winters were made. That failed so now it is climate change. Doomsday predictions have been spectacularly wrong.

In the 1981 Arkansas creation trial, an evolutionist predicted that they would soon have an answer to abiogenesis. Over 40 years later, there is no answer. This was discussed in Robert Gentry's book, Creation's Tiny Mystery. An evolutionist also predicted that that this tiny mystery in Gentry's book would be salved. Over 40 years later it has not. Scientists had also predicted that they would have an answer to the origin of the universe. That has not happened. Then there was the 15 days to flatten the COVID curve with lockdowns. Took quite longer than that. Some said you would not get COVID if you were vaccinated. Turns out you could. Then there have been predictions that people would be living to 200 or 500 years old or more. Still less than 120 years as predicted in Genesis 6:3. Then there was the prediction that most of the genome is junk DNA and that failed as none of the genome is junk.

The Titanic was said to be unsinkable. It sank on its maiden voyage. World War 1 was called the war to end all wars. That failed with World War 2. Neville Chamberlain said that there would be peace in our time. That failed the next year when Hitler invaded Poland. The Nazis said the Third Reich would last 1000 years. It ended in 1945. The fall of the Berlin Wall was a surprise in 1989 as was the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991. No one predicted a major war in Europe again. But there is a war between Russia and Ukraine. Trump's 2016 election was a surprise to many even the day before it happened.

Most economists miss stock market crashes and recessions. A good predictor of possibility of such is when they predict it will not happen in the next year. In the 1960s

there was talk of permanent lunar bases and people going to Mars. 60 years later neither has happened. In fact, mankind has not walked on the moon since late 1972.

Weather forecasts are sometimes accurate for the next day or even a week out. However long-term forecasts are less so. I am sometimes relieved when they predict lots of snow for the Northeast because many times it is the exact opposite.

So, mankind has failed terribly in many predictions or the timing of them even with those predictions made very near to the time the predictions are made. The fulfillment of Biblical prophecy blows that away.

As to whether prophecy has any use when preaching the gospel, it was used by Peter at Pentecost. And Paul showed it can with this passage.

24 But if all prophesy, and there come in one that believeth not, or one unlearned, he is convinced of all, he is judged of all: **25** And thus are the secrets of his heart made manifest; and so falling down on his face he will worship God, and report that God is in you of a truth. - 1 Cor 14:24-25

Please consider these prophecies and watch how they predict so well what is happening in the world today.

D. Please note some of the objections to this proof especially from evolutionists.

Evolutionists and those that believe in billions of years and common descent have a false position to defend. So, they must resort to everything else and not a discussion of facts. I call these debate 101 techniques. One of those techniques is to deny truth and facts. An example of this in this particular case is to deny that knowledge has increased dramatically in our time. Pay attention to any fact denying and other debate 101 techniques by evolutionists as it is indicative of their false position.

E. The prophecies about Christ.

The Bible contains many prophecies that have been fulfilled. The Lord Jesus Christ fulfilled over 400 prophecies from the Old Testament. The OT was written about 1500 to 500 years before Christ lived.

https://www.amazon.com/Prophecies-Appearances-Foreshadowings-Christ-Testament/dp/0985516909

https://www.newtestamentchristians.com/bible-study-resources/351-old-testament-prophecies-fulfilled-in-jesus-christ/

https://glimpseofinfinity.com/my-case-for-god-my-case-for-christ/the-prophecies-about-jesus/

F. Here is a small sampling of specific prophecies from the Bible. There are many more than these. I hope to post more in the future. But there is no way to cover them all and their fulfillment in the world today.

The Bible in Daniel 12:4 predicted that knowledge shall be increased in the last days. The total amount of knowledge has taken off and is increasing rapidly with that vast knowledge now available to billions of people throughout the world with the Internet. This first started to come true about 100 years ago. But it is still increasing rapidly with more discoveries, more access to the Internet for more people, better search engines and social media. This has been fulfilled.

But thou, O Daniel, shut up the words, and seal the book, **even to the time of the end**: **many shall run to and fro**, and **knowledge shall be increased**. - Dan 12:4

The same verse says many shall run to and fro. This is referring to worldwide travel. Today very many people travel and have travelled to very many places, some of which are very far away. At no time in history have so many traveled so far and so often as today. Air travel makes these long-distance trips possible for many. And traveling by car and train does too. Thus, this prophecy has been fulfilled in the last days as predicted.

Revelation 9:16 mentions an army of 200 million during the tribulation, which would not be possible without a worldwide population of billions. So that population level must be in place prior to rapture. The world population hit 3 billion circa 1960 and is now over 8 billion.

And the number of the army of the horsemen were **two hundred thousand thousand**: and I heard the number of them. - Rev 9:16

The following verse refers to the later part of the tribulation. A great invasion will occur leading to the battle of Armageddon. This invasion will come from Asia as the phrase “the way of the kings of the east” indicates. To do that, the kings of the east must be quite technologically and economically advanced. Just consider how China has become a manufacturing, economic and technological power in the last days. Other Asian nations have also achieved that status. Note that the flow of the Euphrates River has been diminished in our times. So, it is almost dried up.

And the sixth angel poured out his vial upon the great river Euphrates; and the water thereof was dried up, **that the way of the kings of the east might be prepared**. - Rev 16:12

During the tribulation, there will be wars and slaughters like never before. That points to advanced technology which must be in place before rapture. Thus, the existence of this advanced technology to do this has been fulfilled. Also, the beast will force the mark of the beast and those that do not take that mark will not be able to buy or sell. That also takes advances technology. But that technology is now in place. During COVID, there were apps on cell phones which showed a person’s vaccine status. And the insertion of

a RFID as an identification tag under the skin is now possible. Also, the use of a mark on the skin that can now be read for identification can also be used. These all help identify a person and what they have done, that is, taken the mark of the beast. These technologies can now be used in the tribulation to enforce the mark of the beast. Some people even suggested during the COVID crisis that those people without a COVID vaccine should not be allowed into supermarkets. All these things are now in place and ready for the tribulation.

In the last days, false science will emerge. Evolution and billions of years fulfill that prophecy 100%. And amazingly many of those that claim to be Christian believe in evolution and billions of years.

20 O Timothy, keep that which is committed to thy trust, avoiding profane and vain babblings, **and oppositions of science falsely so called**: **21** **Which some professing have erred concerning the faith**. Grace be with thee. Amen. - 1 Tim 6:20-21

Christ spoke the words in the following passage. So, in the last days many will have no idea of what is coming even though it is predicted in the Bible and many of those predictions have now been fulfilled.

37 But as the days of Noah were, so shall also the coming of the Son of man be. **38** For as in the days that were before the flood they were eating and drinking, marrying and giving in marriage, until the day that Noe entered into the ark, **39** **And knew not until the flood came, and took them all away; so shall also the coming of the Son of man be.** - Matthew 24:37-39

As part of the days of Noah, Genesis 6-8 gives more information of what was happening then and thus should be happening now as Christ indicated. The phrase “his way” refers to God’s gospel of salvation which was first mentioned in Genesis 3. Today very many have corrupted the gospel of Christ. So, this prediction has been fulfilled in our time.

And God looked upon the earth, and, behold, it was corrupt; for **all flesh had corrupted his way upon the earth**. - Genesis 6:12

As part of the days of Noah, there should be men of renown in the last days. And there are many people in a variety of spheres of influence who are very well known and followed by many - in politics, entertainment, sports, tv, music, movies, books, activists, religious leaders, influencers, and other types of personalities. Very many people have been and will be led astray by many such famous people.

There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children to them, **the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown**. - Genesis 6:4

The following passage is a prophecy by Peter that has come true as many today willingly deny the worldwide flood in the days of Noah about 4500 years ago, even those who supposedly believe the Bible.

3 **Knowing this first**, that there shall come **in the last days scoffers**, walking after their own lusts,**4** And saying, Where is the promise of his coming? for since the fathers fell asleep, all things continue as they were from the beginning of the creation. **5** **For this they willingly are ignorant of**, that by the word of God the heavens were of old, and the earth standing out of the water and in the water:**6** **Whereby the world that then was, being overflowed with water, perished:** **7** But the heavens and the earth, which are now, by the same word are kept in store, reserved unto fire against the day of judgment and perdition of ungodly men. - 2 Peter 3:3-7

There will be a falling away from faith before the rapture and tribulation. This is happening in our times and getting worse. That is, denominations and churches are starting to fall away from the truth of the gospel of Christ and many other doctrines. Also, people who were raised in Christian families are leaving the faith.

Let no man deceive you by any means: **for that day shall not come, except there come a falling away first,** and that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition; - 2 Thess 2:3

The following verse is part of Paul's last days passage. There are many who are deceiving and being deceived. And this continues to grow in scope. These ever-increasing deceptions are now worldwide and have even infiltrated many denominations and churches.

But **evil men and seducers shall wax worse and worse, deceiving, and being deceived**. - 2 Tim 3:13

If you consider this "deceiving, and being deceived" phrase, it is not necessary for a deceiving person to actually be purposely lying. It is only necessary that they believe the deception. In fact, it is easier for Satan to run such a system if the vast majority of the deceived are true believers in the deceptions. This is also how a number of secret societies are run. The number of leaders of the secret society is quite small. They knowingly practice deception but even they themselves are still deceived. Now those at the top level can deceive those on the next level. Note the next level down is larger and thus has more people. This continues down to the bottom level where the largest number of people are. They are the ones who are true believers of the deceptions and are very useful. Masons have multiple levels with a 33rd degree Mason supposedly being the highest. There are a small number of them.

In the last days, many who refuse to receive the love of the truth are under a God sent delusion. This will only get worse after the rapture.

10 And with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish; **because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved**. **11** And **for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie: 12** That they all might be damned who believed not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness. - 2 Thess 2:10-12

Revelation is a book of prophecy. And Revelation 2 and 3 is a prophecy of the 2000 year history of the church age and the state of the churches in the days leading up to the rapture. Note that the word church vanishes from scripture at the end of Revelation 3 with only a single mention in Revelation 22 which is a reference back to Revelation 1. The reason is rapture. The 7th and last church age is the church of the Laodiceans. It is the lukewarm church that Christ spews from His mouth. Many today are Laodicean. This is in contrast to the 6th church age, which continues up till rapture (Rev 3:10), the church in Philadelphia (Rev 3:7-13). There are many today in the church of Philadelphia.

14 And unto the angel of the church of the Laodiceans write; These things saith the Amen, the faithful and true witness, the beginning of the creation of God; **15** I know thy works, that thou art neither cold nor hot: I would thou wert cold or hot. **16 So then because thou art lukewarm, and neither cold nor hot**, I will spue thee out of my mouth. **17** Because thou sayest, I am rich, and increased with goods, and have need of nothing; and knowest not that thou art wretched, and miserable, and poor, and blind, and naked: **18** I counsel thee to buy of me gold tried in the fire, that thou mayest be rich; and white raiment, that thou mayest be clothed, and that the shame of thy nakedness do not appear; and anoint thine eyes with eyesalve, that thou mayest see. **19** As many as I love, I rebuke and chasten: be zealous therefore, and repent. - Rev 3:14-19

The following passage has the phrase “drunk with the wine of her fornication”. This is a spiritual drunkenness and not from actual alcohol. For example, belief in evolution and billions of years is spiritual drunkenness. There are many other false beliefs that those that are spiritually drunken believe in the last days.

1 And there came one of the seven angels which had the seven vials, and talked with me, saying unto me, Come hither; I will shew unto thee the judgment of the great whore that sitteth upon many waters:**2** With whom the kings of the earth have committed fornication, and **the inhabitants of the earth have been made drunk with the wine of her fornication**. - Rev 17:1-2

The following verse says that although God is merciful, God took vengeance on their inventions. The falling away from belief in the gospel of Christ, and the following of false religious beliefs and trusting in works means that God may not bless the works of people but may actually curses some of those works. This prophecy is being fulfilled. Examples are the widespread use of plastics has caused many people to now be polluted with microplastics. Heavy use of chemical fertilizers has reduced the mineral content in food. Heavy use of pesticides has also caused bad health effects in many people. The ability to produce drugs has also led to the ability to produce things like

fentanyl which has killed many. Then there were the meltdowns of the Chernobyl and Fukushima nuclear reactors. There are many other things today that have fulfilled this prophecy.

Thou answeredst them, O Lord our God: thou wast a God that forgavest them, though **thou tookest vengeance of their inventions**. - Psalm 99:8

In 2 Tim 3:1-5, Paul describes the characteristics of many people the last days. Many exhibit these now. To see this, just look at some of the comments by people on social media. This prophecy has been fulfilled.

1 **This know also, that in the last days** perilous times shall come. **2** For **men shall be lovers of their own selves**, **covetous, boasters, proud, blasphemers, disobedient to parents, unthankful, unholy**, **3** **Without natural affection, trucebreakers, false accusers, incontinent, fierce, despisers of those that are good,** **4** **Traitors, heady, highminded, lovers of pleasures more than lovers of God**; **5** Having a form of godliness, but denying the power thereof: from such turn away. - 2 Tim 3:1-5

In 2 Tim 4:1-5, Paul describes how many will turn away from the truth and sound doctrine. Verses 2 and 5 are what the saved are commanded to do to counter this. Not many do, however. This prophecy has been fulfilled.

1 I charge thee therefore before God, and the Lord Jesus Christ, who shall judge the quick and the dead at his appearing and his kingdom; **2** **Preach the word; be instant in season, out of season; reprove, rebuke, exhort with all long suffering and doctrine.** **3** **For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears;** **4** And **they shall turn away their ears from the truth, and shall be turned unto fables**. **5** But watch thou in all things, endure afflictions, **do the work of an evangelist, make full proof of thy ministry**. - 2 Tim 4:1-5

In the last days, our time, there are many calls for peace and safety, and many are hopeful that such will come about. However, this will not be the case especially after rapture. And many groups who should be wary of such calls due to past history and declared non peaceful intent, are strangely coming together and forming alliances.

1 But of the times and the seasons, brethren, ye have no need that I write unto you. **2** For yourselves know perfectly **that the day of the Lord so cometh as a thief in the night**. **3** **For when they shall say, Peace and safety; then sudden destruction cometh upon them**, as travail upon a woman with child; **and they shall not escape.** - 1 Thess 5:1-3

Israel has become a nation again (1948 AD) after almost 2000 years. The Romans came and destroyed much of Jerusalem and the temple circa 70 AD. This was predicted by Christ about 40 years before. Many Jews over the centuries were then scattered around the world. However, in the last days, many Jews are returning or have

returned to Israel as the Bible predicted. Today, about half of the world's Jews are in Israel. The following 2 passages predicted this, which has come to pass.

For I will take you from among the heathen, **and gather you out of all countries, and will bring you into your own land.** - Ezekiel 36:24

32 Now learn a parable of the fig tree; **When his branch is yet tender, and putteth forth leaves, ye know that summer is nigh**: **33** So likewise ye, when ye shall see all these things, know that it is near, even at the doors. - Matthew 24:32-33

Revelation 17 describes a one world religion that will be in place during the tribulation. This is coming together now before the rapture as predicted.

And upon her forehead was a name written, **Mystery, Babylon The Great, The Mother Of Harlots And Abominations Of The Earth**. - Revelation 17:5

Revelation 18 describes a worldwide economic system. This has come to pass now before the rapture as predicted.

For all nations have drunk of the wine of the wrath of her fornication, and the kings of the earth have committed fornication with her, **and the merchants of the earth are waxed rich through the abundance of her delicacies**.

The Bible contains advanced scientific information that could not have been known at the time it was written. And this knowledge has only been discovered in modern times. Thus, this counts as a prediction fulfilled.

Very few people live beyond the age of 120. There are stories in the news of the oldest woman or oldest man or oldest person in the United States or in the world. All are less than 120 years old. This reduction in the lifespan of people is seen in scripture. Prior to the flood people lived even to 900 years old and more. Noah lived 950 years. But his son Shem lived only 600 years. Shem' son Arphaxad only lived 438 years Arphaxad's son lived only 433 years. Job lived about 210 years. Abraham lived 175 years. Isaac lived 180 years. Jacob lived 147 years. Miriam lived 126 years. Aaron lived 123 years. Moses lived 120 years. After that no one in the Bible lived more than 120 years. This shows that God did impose a lifespan limit of about 120 years which holds today even with medical advances. This limit was predicted in Genesis 6:3 written about 3500 years ago.

And the Lord said, My spirit shall not always strive with man, for that he also is flesh: **yet his days shall be an hundred and twenty years.** - Genesis 6:3

The following passage pertains to the rapture. Note in verses 34, 35, and 36, the one taken is taken during the night in verse 34 and in the day for the 1 of the 2 women grinding in verse 35, and also in the day for the 1 of the 2 men in the field in verse 36. The last 2 being taken in the day is deduced by the fact that they are working. And the

rapture happens almost simultaneously around the world as it is described as lightning fast. So, the Bible correctly has that night in one part of the world and day in another part of the world exists at the same time. There was no way to know that back then. It also proves that the Bible declared the earth as a rotating sphere.

24 For as the lightning, that lighteneth out of the one part under heaven, shineth unto the other part under heaven; so shall also the Son of man be in his day. **26** And as it was in the days of Noe, so shall it be also in the days of the Son of man. **27** They did eat, they drank, they married wives, they were given in marriage, until the day that Noah entered into the ark, and the flood came, and destroyed them all. **34** I tell you, in that night there shall be two men in one bed; the one shall be taken, and the other shall be left. **35** Two women shall be grinding together; the one shall be taken, and the other left. **36** Two men shall be in the field; the one shall be taken, and the other left. - Luke 17:24,26-27,34-36

Also note that this shows that 3 parts of the world have been identified with these 3. The first would be North and South America, the second Asia and Australia, and the third Europe and Africa. These longitudinal swaths represent where the largest concentrations of the saved exist.

For as the lightning cometh out of the east, and shineth even unto the west; so shall also the coming of the Son of man be. - Matt 24:27

In the following passage, the word of God says that an analysis of the creation, especially living things and especially mankind proves the existence of God who created all things. This is undeniable. It was true 2000 years ago when this was written. And even with the discoveries of modern science as predicted by the increase of knowledge in Daniel 12:4, this wisdom of God is even more undeniable. Thus, this prophecy has also been fulfilled.

19 Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them.**20 For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen**, **being understood by the things that are made**, even his eternal power and Godhead; **so that they are without excuse:** - Rom 1:19-20

The following verse makes a direct comparison of the number of stars and the grains of sand by the seashore. Now obviously the grains of sand are vast and could never be counted. But even on the darkest and clearest night only about 4000 stars can be seen, and these can be counted. So how did they know that the number of stars is actually vast?

That in blessing I will bless thee, and in multiplying I will multiply thy seed **as the stars of the heaven, and as the sand which is upon the sea shore**; and thy seed shall possess the gate of his enemies; - Genesis 22:17

The verse says worlds were made, not just one world. When this was written the earth was the only known world as the planets were thought of as wandering stars. It also reveals that the things that people see are made of things like atoms which people cannot see unless they use very advanced technology.

Through faith we understand that **the worlds were framed by the word of God**, so that **things which are seen were not made of things which do appear.** - Hebrews 11:3

The following underlined text is also true. Everything that is seen will eventually cease to exist as it is today. Without Christ coming back, the sun will turn into a red super giant then a white dwarf then a black dwarf, the earth will be burned up, and all matter will be scattered as particles across a vast expanding universe. Back when this was written people did not even contemplate that sun would not last forever.

While we look not at the things which are seen, but at the things which are not seen: **for the things which are seen are temporal;** but the things which are not seen are eternal. - 2 Cor 4:18

Please consider these prophecies and look at what is happening in the world. And note that these are just a small sampling of this phenomenon.

G. Believe the gospel of Christ and be saved forever.

Salvation is guaranteed forever by grace alone through faith alone in the crucified and risen Lord Jesus Christ and His shed blood as the complete, finished and only payment for all your sins and not through any works of any kind.

For **I am not ashamed of the gospel of Christ: for it is the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth**. - Rom 1:16

1 Moreover, brethren, I **declare unto you the gospel** which I preached unto you, which also ye have received, and wherein ye stand; **2** **By which also ye are saved**, if ye keep in memory what I preached unto you, unless ye have believed in vain. **3** For I delivered unto you first of all that which I also received, **how that Christ died for our sins according to the scriptures; 4** **And that he was buried, and that he rose again the third day according to the scriptures**: - 1 Cor 15:1-4

30 And brought them out, and said, Sirs, **what must I do to be saved**? **31** And they said, **Believe on the Lord Jesus Christ, and thou shalt be saved**, and thy house. - Acts 16:30-31

8 For **by grace are ye saved through faith;** and that not of yourselves: **it is the gift of God**: **9** **Not of works**, lest any man should boast. - Eph 2:8-9

But **to him that worketh not, but believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness**. - Rom 4:5

In whom **ye also trusted, after that ye heard the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation: in whom also after that ye believed, ye were sealed with that holy Spirit of promise,** - Ephesians 1:13

22 Even the righteousness of God which is by faith of Jesus Christ unto all and upon all them that believe: for there is no difference: **23 For all have sinned**, and come short of the glory of God;**24** Being justified freely by his grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus: **25 Whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in his blood**, to declare his righteousness for the remission of sins that are past, through the forbearance of God; - Rom 3:22-25

To understand the gospel of Christ, and for resources to understand the Bible and creation, go to

https://www.the-gospel-of-christ.com/post/where-will-you-spend-eternity

All scripture and scripture references are from the King James Bible.

101. It is impossible for any new functionality, organs, tissues, systems, subsystems, etc. to come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. The odds against are incomprehensibly vast, and the time it would take is unimaginable. (TOC) (back)

In the book that I wrote entitled, “Evolution is false because it cannot explain all the unique genes that have ever existed”, there were many proofs that proved that it is impossible for any new functionality, organs, tissues, systems, subsystems, etc. to come into existence by evolution through DNA mutations ERRORS. That book will be called “All the Unique Genes”. Consider all the following which will be applicable to any new functionality having supposedly evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. And this is especially true for diploids that reproduce sexually.

Sections 1 through 7 of “All the Unique Genes” established 2 models and their respective equations to approximate the odds against any new unique genes coming into existence by any means through DNA mutation ERRORS. To produce a new unique gene there are in essence only 3 possibilities. Either some code from some source is copied, either directly or indirectly, to produce a gene which is then mutated by DNA mutation ERRORS, or an existing gene is mutated by DNA mutation ERRORS, or the DNA code for a gene comes into existence spontaneously. That book proved that the odds against all 3 of these possibilities are so vast that it would require very many trillions of times the age of the universe to produce any significant number of them, let alone over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. Those models took into account that there are associated controls for protein coding genes which are contained in non-coding genes,

intergenic regions, and epigenetics. Those models also took into account that there must have been a coordinated DNA mutations ERRORS path of the complete set of the protein coding gene of its associated controls, if that were even possible. Furthermore, those models also took into account that not all genes would be functional within any particular organism. In fact, the vast majority would be rejected by natural selection. This is just simple probability for the case when there are such large numbers of combinations and permutations, which is the case with large amounts of DNA code, as explained in section 7 of that book. The odds are extremely vast against any new unique genes, especially for diploids that reproduce sexually. Those odds are far greater than 10^6000 to 1 for an average sized gene by any means. These odds were greatly underestimated in that book. The technique used was lower bound mathematics, which ensures that if something is impossible for the lower bound it is impossible for any number greater than that.

In section 12 of “All the Unique Genes”, it was shown that even if there is only an average of a 1 in a trillion chance against these new unique genes, then evolution is false. This is a surprising result which has significant implications. The average odds against for each of the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed would by themselves make the average odds against exceed this required threshold for evolution. Thus, each of these 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes are proofs against evolution. And the total odds against vastly exceeds this required threshold for evolution. In fact, if evolution cannot account for a single unique gene of these over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes, then evolution is false. If there is just 1 of these, it is a contradiction to the theory of evolution and that proves that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. And that also proves these are all false. And that also proves the God created all things recently.

If evolutionists claim that such genes did indeed come into existence because they assumed 2 species had a common ancestor, that is just a claim based on an already proven false assumption that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are true. Thus, that claim is just circular reasoning, and is cannot be used as evidence.

Please note that this particular refutation of evolution, billions of years, and common descent using all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, does not claim that NOT A SINGLE unique gene could have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. Nor does it claim that not many or even very many could not have done so. The claim is that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS cannot have produced a vast multitude of unique genes in the supposed 3.8 billion years that life supposedly existed on earth or even for the supposed 13.8 billion years since the supposed Big Bang. And certainly not any number of such remotely approaching the over 100 trillion (possible over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. So, in this case, showing any number of such unique genes coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, does not

refute this refutation of evolution but is just a straw man argument against this refutation.

In fact, evolutionists must prove that each and every one of the over 100 trillion (possible over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species with absolute certainty came into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And this must be done as discussed in the section 8 of “All the Unique Genes” entitled “DNA mutation ERRORS path requirement”. If evolutionists cannot account for 1 of these unique genes then evolution is false. Furthermore, from section 12 of that book, it was proved with simple mathematical equations that the genome, including all its genes and intergenic regions, of any of the supposed species from supposedly more than about 6,000 years ago is not known, and cannot be known. Thus, evolutionist cannot meet this 1 in a trillion chance required threshold. Nor can they establish that for very many trillions of genes, that any were even possible.

Consider the following which was discussed in section 2 of “All the Unique Genes”. Spontaneously created genes must have been the first protein coding genes, as an existing gene that is copied and then mutated or an existing gene that is just mutated requires a previously existing gene. This was proved prior. And spontaneously created protein coding genes must have come into existence with no misplaced stop codons. This also was proved in that book. If the first living cell had at least 400 of these with an average of 9,000 base pairs, the odds against all having no misplaced stop codons are far greater than 10^25,020 to 1, with each having average odds against of greater than 3x10^62 to 1. So even if, for all the other unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, it was 100% sure that they could have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, then the average odds against are far greater than 10^50 to 1. This is 10^62 times greater than the average of only a 1 in a trillion chance that on average a unique gene could not come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And even that threshold proved evolution was false. So, even with this great gift to evolution, the odds are vastly against evolution and prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently.

Not only that, but even if there were only 1 spontaneously created gene with an average of 9,000 base pairs that came into existence with no misplaced stop codons, and all the other unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, it was 100% sure that they could have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, then the average odds against are far greater than 10^47 to 1. And this is a lower bound result as the odds against a spontaneously created genes are far greater. This is 10^59 times greater than the average of only a 1 in a trillion chance that on average a unique gene could not come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And even that threshold proved evolution was false. So, even with this great gift to evolution, the odds are vastly against evolution and prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently.

A theory is just a conjecture that may be true or it may be false. There are ways to prove a theory is true and there are ways to prove a theory is false. One method to prove a theory is false is to find data that contradicts the theory. Just 1 piece of data that does not match the theory proves that the theory is false and false forever. This is sometimes called refutation by counterexample or contradiction. For example, someone proposes a theory that the sum of any 2 numbers is 10. But 100 + 100 = 200, so the theory is false and false forever. That is a contradiction that refutes the theory.

One method to prove a theory is true, is to first assume it is false. If that assumption leads to at least one contradiction, then the original assumption must be false, and thus its opposite must be true. So, assume that God does not exist and did not create all things, and by necessity that the Bible is false. This will lead to a vast number of contradictions, although only 1 contradiction or counterexample is needed. So, the assumption of “no God” must be false. And the opposite of the “no God” assumption must be true. Thus, God exists and created all things.

Please note that this type of proof that God exists and created all things does not assume that God exists or that the Bible is true. In fact, this type of proof assumes that God does not exist, and by necessity, that the Bible is false. So, it is in no way circular reasoning at all. And this type of proof can be used with a vast number of things as the counterexample or contradiction and thus prove that God exists and created all things. Thus, there are a vast number of proofs that God does exist and created all things. Now many atheists will falsely claim that these proofs assume that the Bible is true or that God exists. The opposite is the case. And it is atheists that assume that God does not exist. And it is evolutionists that assume that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true, which are just false assumptions and circular reasoning.

Furthermore, it was established in section 16, that it is impossible for new functionality to come into existence by any means since the transition from old functionality to new functionality very many times requires that the during the transition the old functionality and the new functionality must coexists at the same time. And the old functionality should be removed at some point, but such removal had never been tried before, so it is virtually impossible to have succeeded without being selected against by natural section. And the new functionality would only work if all or almost of the new unique genes for that new functionality were all present. But then the new functionality had never been tried before. So, it is virtually impossible to have succeeded when that new functionality was first tried without it being selected against by natural section. Furthermore, at some time during the long time leading up to all the new unique protein coding genes of that new functionality being mutated into their final form, one or more of them would not have been expressed or for all intents and purposes not expressed. But that leads to those protein coding genes, that are at some times were not expressed or for all intents and purposes not expressed, would have misplaced stop codons which would persist until now. This is due to the great persistence of misplaced stop codons scattered through a protein coding gene. That is not observed in any species today. All these refute the theory of evolution.

Furthermore, for any spontaneously created gene, the vast odds against it being functional would mean that it would be “junk” DNA. And the first living cell must have had about 400 spontaneously created genes. And over time there would be copies of that these spontaneously created genes, or copies of copies with even a sequence of copies. They would all also be “junk” DNA. And since this does not reset with “new” species, all organisms of all species would have an enormous amount of “junk” DNA. This would lead to over 90% possibly up to over 99% of all genomes of all species littered with “junk” DNA. That is not observed in any species today. All these refute the theory of evolution.

Furthermore, the removal of the old functionality would have left lots of “junk” DNA in the genome of the species, that should be still there today. And this is true of all previous removals of all old functionality over the vast eons and trillions of generations since the first living cell. That amount of “junk” DNA percentage would be greater than 90% or even 99% since “junk” DNA does not reset with “new species”. This is an absolute requirement and prediction of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. But there is no or very little “junk” DNA in any organism of any species living today. Certainly, it is far less than the greater than 90% or even 99% predicted and required by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And it is illogical and unscientific to label the last small percentage of DNA as “junk” DNA. Just because a function has yet been found does not prove there is no functionality. Also, there should be some form of vestigial systems, subsystems, organs, tissues, etc. in all individual organisms of all species that are alive today from the removal of all previous removals of all old functionality over the eons and trillions of generations since the first living cell, since vestigial things do reset with “new species”. That is also not observed in any species today. All these refute the theory of evolution.

Furthermore, this should be also observed in the fossil record. But the fossil record is missing millions of chains of missing links. No chain has ever been found. The fossil record shows disparate fully formed species. All these refute the theory of evolution.

Diploids have paired chromosomes. And for those diploids that reproduce sexually, any structural mismatch between any paired chromosomes will be either deadly or lead to less offspring. And thus, will be rejected by natural selection. But almost all new unique genes would have come into existence from some mechanism that leads to a chromosome mismatch of some kind. The only exception would be by a mutation only process, which still has vast odds against each such gene. This was proved by several things in sections 1 through 7 of “All the Unique Genes”. Thus, for any new functionality that has 10 or 100 or 1000 or 10,000 more new unique genes that would have had to come into existence to produce that new functionality, there would have been many chromosome mismatches for diploids that reproduce sexually. Thus, it would be certain that any such jump would have resulted death or led to less offspring. And thus, would be rejected by natural selection. And if 10 or 100 or 1000 or 10,000x more new unique genes that would have had to come into existence to produce that new functionality, which would be the case in all cases, it happened in a succession of many smaller jumps, each small jump would have resulted in death or led to less offspring. And thus,

will be rejected by natural selection. Each time there are these chromosome mismatches, natural selection will reject such from making it into the genome of a species many times before any would actually make into a species. It may be rejected more than 100x or 1000x or 10,000x or 100,000x more times respectively, or an infinite number of times depending on the total amount of chromosome mismatches in the jump. So, if supposedly one organism got all these genetic mutations at once to gain that new functionality, almost all such would be rejected by natural selection. But if after 100x or 1000x or 10,000x or 100,000x more times that one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles did happen once, then there had to be another one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles for another organism of the same species with all the same genes and intergenic regions, with exactly the same sizes and same locations in its DNA. And this must have happened within in relatively short time in a close enough geographic area where by some chance found each other and mated with each other. This is far more preposterous than the abiogenesis of the first living cell.

Now, the following discusses the total odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS against over 10 or 100 or 1000 or 10,000 or more new unique genes coming into existence for new functionality. It also discusses the total time it would take. The total odds against would be approximately the product of the individual odds against. And the total time it would take would be approximately the sum of the individual times each would take. Here are calculations worked out for various examples of new functionality of different total sizes for both diploids that reproduce sexually and for haploids.

For diploids that reproduce sexually:
New functionality consisting of 10,000 new unique genes has odds against on average per new unique gene that are far greater than 10^6000 to 1. These are rejected at a rate of about 100,000x or more. So, the total odds against and average odds against each of these genes are far greater than 10^(6 trillion) to 1, possibly infinite odds against. And it would take far greater than 10^(6 trillion) years and generations for this to happen and possibly never.

New functionality consisting of 1000 new unique genes has odds against on average per new unique gene that are far greater than 10^6000 to 1. These are rejected at a rate of about 10,000x or more. So, the total odds against and average odds against for each of these genes are far greater than 10^(60 billion) to 1, possibly infinite odds against. And it would take far greater than 10^(60 billion) years and generations for this to happen and possibly never.

New functionality consisting of 100 new unique genes has odds against on average per new unique gene that are far greater than 10^6000 to 1. These are rejected at a rate of about 1000x or more. So, the total odds against and average odds against for each of these genes are far greater than 10^(600 million) to 1, possibly infinite odds against. And it would take far greater than 10^(600 million) years and generations for this to happen, and possibly never.

New functionality consisting of just 10 new unique genes has odds against on average per new unique gene that are far greater than 10^6000 to 1. These are rejected at a rate of about 100x or more. So, the total odds against and average odds against for each of these genes are far greater than 10^(6 million) to 1, possibly infinite odds against. And it would take far greater than 10^(6 million) years and generations for this to happen, and possibly never.

And for just one new unique gene coming into existence by any mean, the odds against on average are far greater than 10^6000 to 1. These are rejected at a rate of about 10x or more. So, the total odds against and average odds against are far greater than 10^(60,000) to 1, possibly infinite odds against. And it would take far greater than 10^(60,000) years and generations for this to happen, and possibly never.

The equations used for these calculations in diploids that reproduce sexually are given above. The odds against new functionality coming into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS consisting of N genes in diploids that reproduce sexually are far greater than

O^(10N^2) to 1

And the average odds against for each of these N genes are far greater than

O^(10N^2) to 1

where O is the odds against an average size gene, which are far greater than 10^6000 to 1, and N is the number of genes that comprise the new functionality. This is actually a vast underestimate of the odds against, and the odds against may be infinite.

From section 12 of “All the Unique Genes” even if there is on average only a 1 in a trillion chance that on average a unique gene could not have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, evolution is false. So, any new functionality makes the average odds against evolution to vastly exceed that threshold. And each new unique gene of that new functionality would have odds against that are vast and also make the average odds against exceed that threshold. So, that is N contradictions to the theory of evolution which prove it is false. And that is true for all functionality that has ever existed.

The time it would take and generations for that to happen are far greater than

O^(10N^2) years and O^(10N^2) generations

where O is the odds against an average size gene, which are far greater than 10^6000 to 1, and N is the number of genes that comprise the new functionality. This is actually a vast underestimate of the time and generations it would take, and the time and number of generations it would take may be infinite.

The reasons that the 4 equations are virtually identical are as follows. There have only been about 10^45 genes that have ever existed in all organisms that have ever existed. That is not just unique genes, but actual genes with many duplicates. That is the total chances to reduce those odds. And those chances must have occurred over a period of about 3.8 billion years which is the supposed time that life has existed on earth. So, to convert the 1st part of the odds against to the years it would have taken, divide by 10^45 and multiple by 3.8 billion years. That reduces the exponent by less than 36, which is insignificant with such large exponents, especially considering that odds against are always calculated to be “far greater than”. For average odds against just divide equation 1 by 100 trillion (possibly 500 trillion). And for the number of generations just multiple equation 3 by 4N for diploids that reproduce sexually to have mutations fixed in a population. Again, due to the large exponents it makes no difference.

This was proved in proof 101 of this book and based on proofs in sections 1-7, and 16 of “All the Unique Genes”.

For haploids:
The equations used are given below. The odds against new functionality coming into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS consisting of N genes in haploids are far greater than

P(NS, rNS) to 1

where S is the average size of the genes, which is about 10,000 base pairs, N is the number of new unique genes that comprise the new functionality, and r is the percentage of mutated base pairs across those N genes. This is a coordinated DNA mutation ERRORS path, so permutations are used. Since it would take a vast time for this to occur r is assumed to be equal to 0.4. If anyone objects try a smaller value of r, say 0.1. It makes no difference in this proof that evolution is false. This is actually a vast underestimate of the odds against, and the odds against may be infinite.

These N genes raise the average odds against evolution producing the over 100 trillion (possibly 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed to far greater than

P(NS, rNS) to 1

From section 12 of “All the Unique Genes” even if there is on average only a 1 in a trillion chance that on average a unique gene could not have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, evolution is false. So, any new functionality makes the average odds against evolution to vastly exceed that threshold. And each new unique gene of that new functionality would have odds against that are vast and also make the average odds against exceed that threshold. So, that is N contradictions to the theory of evolution which prove it is false. And that is true for all functionality that has ever existed.

The time in years and number of generations it would have taken for that to have happened are far greater than

P(NS, rNS) years and P(NS, rNS) generations, respectively. This was proved in post 101 of this book.

This is actually a vast underestimate of the time and number of generations it would take, and the time and number of generations it would take may be infinite.

The reasons that the 4 equations are virtually identical are as follows. There have only been about 10^45 genes that have ever existed in all organisms that have ever existed. That is not just unique genes, but actual genes with many duplicates. That is the total chances to reduce those odds. And those chances must have occurred over a period of about 3.8 billion years which is the supposed time that life has existed on earth. So, to convert the 1st part of the odds against to the years it would have taken, divide by 10^45 and multiple by 3.8 billion years. That reduces the exponent by less than 36, which is insignificant with such large exponents, especially considering that odds against are always calculated to be “far greater than”. For average odds against just divide equation 1 by 100 trillion (possibly 500 trillion). And for the number of generations just multiple equation 3 by 2N for haploids to have mutations fixed in a population. Again, due to the large exponents it makes no difference.

Here is the problem with chromosome mismatches. If a new gene did spontaneously come into existence in an organism, whether a spontaneously created new gene or from a copy of an existing gene or any other means except by a mutation process only, it is in general a disadvantage for an organism that is of a diploid species that reproduces sexually. Diploids have chromosomes which come in pairs with one set from each parent. Haploids do not have paired chromosomes. First, this event must produce a corresponding gene of the same size, and at the same location on the homologous paired chromosome, else it is a structural chromosomal mismatch, sometimes called a chromosomal disorder, and will very likely be selected against by natural selection as discussed below. Secondly, for this gene to make it into the population of that species, when this organism was to mate, its offspring would get a chromosome from one parent which has the new gene, and a homologous chromosome from a parent which does not have the new gene. This leads to a situation which is in general disadvantageous to that offspring, and natural selection selects against that gene from making it into that population. And this initial barrier is always present in diploid species that use sexual reproduction. This is a structural chromosomal mismatch. Structural chromosomal mismatches are in general selected against by natural selection. These can be detrimental to viability or possibly cause death at an early age before any offspring will be produced, or infertility, or reduced fertility, and any offspring may have the same problems. Many chromosomal mismatches are not inherited at all. So, in that case, they will not make it into the population of that species. Structural chromosomal mismatches will just be referred to as the more general term of chromosomal mismatches in throughout this book.

And, even if one offspring did get the new gene after one generation of one organism with the new gene mating with another organism that did not have the new gene, that offspring will have to find a mate who either has the new gene or it will need to mate with an organism that does not have the new gene. So, that new offspring will still have a disadvantage which natural selection selects against. This problem will continue for some number of generations until a large enough population, that has the new gene, emerges within a close enough geographical region that would be species dependent.

So, one possible path is detrimental trying to mate individual creatures with a different number of genes on homologous chromosomes, thus a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And this barrier, which always exists in diploids that reproduce sexually, continues for some number of generations until a large enough population within a close enough geographical region emerges which has the new gene. Thus, this is a disadvantage in terms of natural selection, and this barrier must be overcome many times until that happens. The other path has inbreeding in a small population which has the new gene. This in general may also be disadvantageous to that offspring, and natural selection selects against that gene from making it into that population. But even if it did, that small population would still be of the same kind. This is just one aspect of variation and adaptation within created kinds.

For all of the above claims, section 27 of “All the Unique Genes” gives a large number of refutation tests which refute all evolutionist claims for all these things. Thus, evolution does not count as observable science since its claims are against all observations and have never been observed. And all observations are against all its claims. Science should be observable, testable and repeatable. Evolution fails on all 3 accounts. In fact, all observations and tests refute evolution, and these refutation tests are repeatable all the time.

One of the greatest impossible hurdles for any new functionality of any kind and size coming into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS are enzymes. And any new functionality has this same impossible hurdle. An enzyme can never come into existence by evolution through mutation ERRORS. The main function of enzymes is to catalyze crucial biochemical reactions. They do that by reducing the activation energy of these biochemical reactions. Without their catalytic functionality, these reactions will either never happen or would require vast amounts of time. But those reactions are involved in all aspects of the functionality, survival and replication of cells or any other supposed living thing. Not a single function could be carried out by any cell or any biological organism without these enzymes. All cells or any biological organism would die within a short time if these enzymes did not function. Each of these enzymes are very specific to their role in a particular biochemical reaction. So, there is no way DNA mutation ERRORS could have produced these enzymes without the very specific biochemical reaction. But the biochemical reaction could have never functioned at all or at a vastly too slow rate without the particular enzyme. So, natural selection cannot have helped. This is an impossible to resolve contradiction for evolution.

Furthermore, there have been over 1 trillion (possibly 10 trillion) unique enzymes and their associated over 1 trillion (possibly 10 trillion) unique protein coding genes in all species that have ever existed. Each one represents new functionality that supposedly came into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. Thus, there are over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) contradictions to the theories of evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science", and thus God created all things recently.

So, to recap, this is what happened to an individual organism of a particular species that is a diploid that reproduces once upon a time. Evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS somehow produce some new functionality composed of 10 or 100 or 1000 or 10,000 or more new unique genes. The remainder of this paragraph is for a somewhat larger set of new unique genes for new functionality. But it still holds for smaller number of new genes for new functionality composed of 10 or 100 or 1000 or 10,000 or more new unique genes. All this, with over 1000 new unique genes, must have happened all simultaneously in one creature. Then within a relatively short time later, another individual organism of that same particular species must have done the exact same thing in the exact same manner. And both of these 2 organisms must have had the exact same set of all these new unique genes with the exact same sizes and same exact locations of their respective homologous pairs of genes. The odds against this happening are truly mind boggling and the time it would take defies all imagination. And these multiples of some of the greatest super great miracles of miracles must have not only occurred within a relatively short time of each other, but in a very nearby location, and they somehow found each other and mated. But these multiples of some of the greatest super great miracles of miracles still will not work because there still would have to overcome chromosome mismatches through enough generations so that a sufficient population within a small geographic area emerges.

Very many people have been deceived into believing that natural selection somehow produces new species and new genes, and new functionality, tissues, organs, systems, etc. But natural selection can only select from what DNA mutation ERRORS produce. And these DNA mutation ERRORS will never produce any new information, functionality, tissues, organs, systems, etc. for natural selection to select from. This was proved in the Introduction of "All the Unique Genes" where a simple analogy to text was given. So, not only will ERRORS never produce any significant useful information whether for DNA or text, they will absolutely destroy any significant useful information that does exist whether for DNA or text. In fact, the destruction rate caused by the accumulation of ERRORS, whether the DNA or text, is vastly greater than the miniscule or non-existent rate of the creation of information of either kind. And the accumulation of these DNA mutation ERRORS will eventually destroy the functionality of all genes, and all biomolecules that they produce. And of course, that includes all organs, tissue, functions, system, subsystems, etc. in all species. This is similar to how all things made by mankind eventually end up useless and eventually end up completely destroyed with enough time. So vast amounts of time do not help evolution at all. In fact, more time is the mortal enemy of the theory of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS.

And the rate of accumulation of DNA mutations ERRORS, especially in mitochondrial DNA, of all individual creatures of all species, proves that all species, the oceans, the earth and everything in it are less than 10,000 years old. This is proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/pV2LNwJHZcETaB3s/

Furthermore, there exists similar genetic information decay functions for all nodes of the supposed evolution descent tree. And all of these very many genetic information decay functions refute each node, each node's supposed node time since some supposed last common ancestor, and all the subtrees from that node. This absolutely destroys evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" and proves that God created all things recently. And there also exists similar genetic information decay functions for all new functionality that came into existence by any means. And these functions refute all the times that evolutionists claim any new functionality supposedly came into being. Very many of these to less than 10,000 years for diploids that reproduce sexually and very many to less than 1 million years for haploids.

For each node of the supposed evolution descent tree and each new functionality, there exists a genetic information decay function given by

$I(t) = I(0)\, e^{(-kt)}$

where I(t) is the amount of information in species or descendant lines from the last common ancestor associated with that node, I(0) is the amount of genetic information in that LCA, k is the decay constant due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS for the LCA, and t is the time since that LCA. And similar genetic information decay functions for all new functionality that has ever existed.

Part 2 of "All the Unique Genes" gives many proofs that prove that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" are false, and that God created all things recently. So, that assumption can never be used in determining the origin of things or how long ago they occurred. In fact, that false assumption should be retracted worldwide immediately.

102. An analysis of enzymes and proteins essential for DNA replication, and thus reproduction, and protein synthesis, and thus survival, proves that common descent, evolution, and billions of years are false. They also prove God created all things recently. This proof considers topoisomerase, polymerase, ligase, primase, helicase, nucleases, single-strand binding (SSB) proteins, and peptidyl transferase. (TOC) (back)

A. How these enzymes and proteins disprove evolution, common descent, and billions of years.

Since most of these proteins and enzymes are absolutely essential to DNA replication and thus reproduction, and some of these are absolutely essential to protein synthesis and thus survival, they all must have been there since the first living cell. This is discussed in section B. This makes abiogenies, the first cell that supposedly came into being from non-living chemicals without God, and one of the greatest of the super great miracles of miracles, even more impossible and ludicrous. That is because the first living cell must have been very complicated with all the many required features to survive and reproduce. Abiogenies without God was proved false in great detail and in many ways in sections 104 and 105 of this book and in the following posts.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BtmRG7ueK/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1CGdNMd1GU/

To both transcribe and replicate DNA, many specialized proteins and enzymes are required. But those proteins are made from DNA which is transcribed into RNA and translated into proteins. But those proteins must have already existed for any proteins to be made, as each step requires proteins. And any cell would have required DNA, RNA and proteins. But DNA, RNA and proteins require a cell for their existence. These are an impossible to resolve set of contradictions. And no DNA could ever be replicated without these proteins already existing.

Now some may argue that these proteins and enzymes are not essential. That is against the facts as DNA, its structure, functions, replication, expression, handling of its 3d structure in all phases, require these proteins and enzymes.

But suppose someone actually argues that these proteins and enzymes evolved after the fact. Consider any new enzyme with new functionality to handle DNA. The first time any of these came into being it would kill the organism because it would mishandle the DNA. Also, without enzymes all biological processes either never occur, or they occur at such a slow rate that the organism dies quickly. This is discussed further in section B.

But the case against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS is much worse. There are big differences between these in bacteria vs archaea, prokaryotes vs eukaryotes, species using sexual reproduction vs asexual reproduction only, and single cell organisms vs multi cell organisms. And they are different across species.

All these variations in these proteins, enzymes and the genes that code for them are the result of species-specific design when they were created by God. That is the only possible explanation.

B. Descriptions of these enzymes and proteins, and their essential functionality for survival and reproduction.

The following articles describe these enzymes and proteins and their functions. Excerpts from an article are shown below the link. I added the underlining for emphasis.

1. Topoisomerase is essential to all cells. Without them no cell could ever reproduce.

https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3397423/#:~:text=Abstract,measuring%20DNA%20cleavage%20in%20vitro.

“Topoisomerases are nuclear enzymes that play essential roles in DNA replication, transcription, chromosome segregation, and recombination. All cells have two major forms of topoisomerases: type I, **which makes single-stranded cuts in DNA**, and type II enzymes, **which cut and pass double-stranded DNA**.”

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Topoisomerase

“**DNA topoisomerases** (or **topoisomerases**) are enzymes that catalyze changes in the topological state of DNA, **interconverting relaxed and supercoiled forms**, linked (catenated) and unlinked species, **and knotted and unknotted DNA**.[1][2] Topological issues in DNA arise due to the intertwined nature of its double-helical structure, which, for example, can lead to overwinding of the DNA duplex during DNA replication and transcription. If left unchanged, this torsion would eventually stop the DNA or RNA polymerases involved in these processes from continuing along the DNA helix. A second topological challenge results from the linking or tangling of DNA during replication. Left unresolved, links between replicated DNA will impede cell division. The DNA topoisomerases prevent and correct these types of topological problems. They do this by binding to DNA and cutting the sugar-phosphate backbone of either one (type I topoisomerases) or both (type II topoisomerases) of the DNA strands. This transient break allows the DNA to be untangled or unwound, and, at the end of these processes, the DNA backbone is resealed.”

There are both DNA and RNA topoisomerases. DNA topoisomerases are essential for DNA replication. They alter the topology of DNA, making breaks and re-ligating the strands to relieve supercoiling. Topoisomerases, both RNA and DNA, are different in prokaryotes vs eukaryotes. Topoisomerases, both RNA and DNA, are different in bacteria vs archaea. Topoisomerases, both RNA and DNA, are different in different species. DNA topoisomerases exist in all eukaryotes, and all prokaryotes, both bacteria and archaea. RNA topoisomerases exist in all eukaryotes but are not in all bacteria and archaea although they are prevalent in almost all bacteria and archaea.

From the above descriptions, topoisomerases are absolutely essential for DNA replication, and thus reproduction. So, it must have been there in the very first cell. And if anyone would claim these came after, then they would need to prove how any cell could have survived and reproduced without them. They would also have to prove how they could have come into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS afterward. And they would have to prove how they could have integrated itself into a living cell. Imagine, some DNA mutation ERRORS produced a set of genes which somehow produced a set of topoisomerases. Now this in itself is ludicrous due to the very large sizes of the genes that produce them. And these miraculous topoisomerases

would have had to start making breaks in the DNA strands. If these breaks are not made, then the DNA will break and be permanently damaged due to torsional stress that happens during processes like replication and transcription and the organism dies immediately. But if these topoisomerases break the DNA, then the organism dies because the DNA will be permanently damaged since nothing exists to re-ligate the DNA. And any breaks that are made must be breaks that can be re-ligated correctly. But the ability to re-ligate does not exist, so the organism dies. Nor could the ability to re-ligate have come into existence a priori, since there would have been no DNA with these exact breaks in existence. So, natural selection could not have helped at all since there was never anything to select. And the ability to determine when the stress is becoming too great and how to select where to break the DNA must have also evolved. And all must work to perfection else the organism dies. The reason is that the DNA would be permanently damaged and thus no protein synthesis would be possible leading to death. This certain death from the first topoisomerase coming into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS absolutely negates natural selection helping in any way. And this proves evolution, billions, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and that God created all things recently.

And the above is just part of the impossible to resolve set of contradictions related to topoisomerases. And there are many more impossible to resolve set of contradictions for all 8 of these enzymes and proteins since they are all interdependent and essential for either survival or replication or both.

The following video shows how 6 of these enzymes and proteins are involved in DNA replication. If you pause the video at any time after 7 seconds, you will see a diagram that shows DNA replication.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cwRzplFt6A8

If you look at that diagram, it becomes obvious how all 6 are needed. This interdependence will be discussed later as a further proof against these coming into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Now there are a number of genes involved in producing topoisomerase. 7 of them have sizes in the 25k to 95k base pair range - TOP1, TOP2, TOP2A, TOP2B, TOP3A, TOP3B, TOP1MT. None of these could have come into existence by DNA mutation ERRORS. The odds against such are far greater than 10^15,000 to 1 for the 25k size and far greater than 10^57,000 to 1 for the 95k size. These odds are inconceivable.

2. Polymerases are essential to all cells. Without them all cells would die and certainly could never reproduce.

https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2391090/#:~:text=The%20primary%20role%20of%20DNA,in%20most%20of%20these%20pathways.

“The primary role of DNA polymerases is to accurately and efficiently replicate the genome in order to ensure the maintenance of the genetic information and its faithful transmission through generations. This is not a simple task considering the size of the genome and its constant exposure to endogenous and environmental DNA damaging agents. Thus, a number of DNA repair pathways operate in cells to protect the integrity of the genome. In addition to their role in replication, DNA polymerases play a central role in most of these pathways. Given the multitude and the complexity of DNA transactions that depend on DNA polymerase activity, it is not surprising that cells in all organisms contain multiple highly specialized DNA polymerases, the majority of which have only recently been discovered.”

https://www.news-medical.net/life-sciences/What-is-DNA-Polymerase.aspx

“DNA polymerases are enzymes that create DNA molecules by assembling nucleotides, the building blocks of DNA. These enzymes are essential to DNA replication and usually work in pairs to create two identical DNA strands from one original DNA molecule. During this process, DNA polymerase “reads” the existing DNA strands to create two new strands that match the existing ones.”

Polymerases are absolutely essential for DNA replication and protein synthesis, thus essential for reproduction and survival. DNA polymerase creates new DNA strands by adding nucleotides, one at a time, to an existing DNA strand. The strand must have a primer created by primase. Note the interdependence of primase and polymerase. RNA polymerase performs its functionality during transcription. Polymerases, both RNA and DNA, are different in prokaryotes vs eukaryotes. Polymerases, both RNA and DNA, are different in bacteria vs archaea. Polymerases, both RNA and DNA, are different in different species. Polymerases, both RNA and DNA, are in all eukaryotes, prokaryotes both bacteria and archaea.

From the above descriptions, polymerase is absolutely essential for DNA replication, which is essential for reproduction, and protein synthesis, which is essential for survival. So, it must have been there in the very first cell. And if anyone would claim it came after, then they would need to prove how any cell could have survived and reproduced without it. They would also have to prove how it could have come into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And they would have to prove how it could have integrated itself into a living cell. Imagine, some DNA mutation ERRORS produced a set of genes which somehow produced a set of polymerases. Now this in itself is ludicrous due to the very large sizes of the genes that produce them. And these miraculous polymerases just started creating new DNA strands by adding nucleotides, one at a time, to an existing DNA strand. But wait, is the primer from primase there or not? If not, polymerase will need to make another super great miracles of miracles later to then switch to the new primer that will come later from primase. And it will have to do it with simultaneous changes in the same organism when primase comes into being else the organism dies. And all must work to perfection else the organism dies. The reason is that the DNA would be permanently damaged and thus no protein synthesis possible leading to death. But if the primer from primase already existed, why was it

thinking ahead? There could not have been any polymerase that used the primer prior to the existence of primers. And the very first polymerase will have to work to perfection when primers first came into being else the creature dies. And the primers would have to be removed later. But there would have been nothing that does that. And the removal of primers could not have evolved without primers already existing. And the removal of primers must be perfect at the very start, els eth DNA would have been permanently damaged the very first time the removal was attempted. This certain death from the first DNA polymerase coming into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS absolutely negates natural selection. And this proves evolution, billions, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" are false, and that God created all things recently.

And the above is just part of the impossible to resolve set of contradictions related to DNA polymerases. And there are many more impossible to resolve set of contradictions for all 8 of these enzymes and proteins since they are all interdependent and essential for either survival or replication or both.

The following video shows RNA polymerase in DNA to RNA transcription.

https://youtu.be/_Zyb8bpGMR0?si=4nwLOoi5vuEYQOb0

RNA polymerase unzips a small segment of DNA and then zips it back up moving along the gene to produce RNA. But both parts of this are dependent on the other part existing and working perfectly at the same time. If it unzips the DNA, then it is damaged and the organism dies since there would have been no ability to zip it back up. But the ability to zip DNA back up could have never evolved a priori since there did not exist unzipped DNA before. So, natural selection could never have helped to evolve either part. If the RNA polymerase does not work correctly when it first came into existence, with the ability to unzip and then zip up the DNA perfectly, then the DNA is permanently damaged and thus no protein synthesis will ever happen, and the creature dies. And during the time when the DNA is unzipped, many things have to happen including reading a DNA strand and synthesizing an RNA strand. But they could never have worked before and there would be no reason for their existence until RNA polymerase had the ability to zip and unzip DNA perfectly. So why would they ever have existed? Did evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS think ahead and have a design all ready to go? And if these did not exist, then there is no transcription and thus no living creature until they eventually pop into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. So, they would have to have also popped into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS simultaneously in the exact same organism that the first miraculous RNA polymerase did with all their capabilities working to perfection else the organism dies. The reason is that the DNA would be permanently damaged and thus no protein synthesis possible leading to death. This certain death from the first RNA polymerase coming into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS absolutely negates natural selection.

RNA polymerase is absolutely essential protein synthesis, which is essential for survival. So, it must have been there in the very first cell. And if anyone would claim it

came after, then they would need to prove how any cell could have survived. They would also have to prove how it could have come into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And they would have to prove how it could have integrated itself into a living cell. Imagine, some DNA mutation ERRORS produced a set of genes which somehow produced a set of RNA polymerases. Now this in itself is ludicrous due to the very large sizes of the genes that produce them. And these miraculous RNA polymerases just started unzipping the double stranded DNA. But if they don't work to perfection the creature dies. The reason is that the DNA would be permanently damaged and thus no protein synthesis possible leading to death. This certain death from the first RNA polymerase coming into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS absolutely negates natural selection.

And the above is just part of the impossible to resolve set of contradictions related to RNA polymerases. And there are many more impossible to resolve set of contradictions for all 8 of these enzymes and proteins since they are all interdependent and essential for either survival or replication or both.

Now there are quite a number of genes involved in producing DNA polymerase and RNA polymerase and quite a number of them are in the 25k to 300k base pair range. None of these could have come into existence by DNA mutation ERRORS. The odds against such are far greater than 10^15,000 to 1 for the 25k size and far greater than 10^180,000 to 1 for the 300k size. These odds are inconceivable. And that is just for one of these.

3. DNA ligase is essential for DNA replication, and thus reproduction.

https://fiveable.me/key-terms/cell-biology/dna-ligase

"DNA ligase is an essential enzyme that facilitates the joining of DNA strands by forming phosphodiester bonds between the 3' hydroxyl and 5' phosphate ends of adjacent nucleotides. This process is critical for sealing nicks in the DNA backbone during DNA replication, repair, and recombination, ensuring the integrity of the genetic material across various cellular processes."

https://lifesciences.danaher.com/us/en/library/unveiling-dna-ligation.html

DNA ligase is absolutely essential for DNA replication. RNA ligase is absolutely essential for other aspects of the cell and thus survival. Ligase, both RNA and DNA, are different in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Ligase, both RNA and DNA, are different in bacteria and archaea. Ligase, both RNA and DNA, are different in different species. Ligase RNA and DNA is in all eukaryotes, and almost all prokaryotes, both bacteria and archaea. RNA ligase is not in all bacteria and archaea, but in many bacteria, and prevalent in archaea.

From the above descriptions, DNA ligase is absolutely essential for DNA replication, which is absolutely essential for reproduction. So, it must have been there in the very

first cell. And if anyone would claim it came after, then they would need to prove how any cell could have reproduced without it. They would also have to prove how it could have come into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And they would have to prove how it could have integrated itself into a living cell. Imagine, some DNA mutation ERRORS produced a set of genes which somehow produced a set of DNA ligases. Now this in itself is ludicrous due to the very large sizes of the genes that produce them. And these miraculous ligases just started ligating pieces of DNA fragments on the lagging strand. But if they don't work to perfection the creature dies. The reason is that the DNA would be permanently damaged and thus no protein synthesis possible leading to death. But there is no way that the ability pf DNA ligase could have evolved since there could have never been DNA that needed to be ligated, since that would not have been in existence prior to DNA ligase already existing since the need for that would have already killed the organism. So, natural selection could never have helped since there was nothing to select. This certain death from the first ligase coming into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS absolutely negates natural selection. And this proves evolution, billions, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" are false, and that God created all things recently.

And the above is just part of the impossible to resolve set of contradictions related to ligases. And there are many more impossible to resolve set of contradictions for all 8 of these enzymes and proteins since they are all interdependent and essential for either survival or replication or both.

Some of the genes involved in making DNA ligase are in the 25k to 55k base pair range. None of these could have come into existence by DNA mutation ERRORS. The odds against such are far greater than 10^15,000 to 1 for the 25k size and far greater than 10^33,000 to 1 for the 55k size. These odds are inconceivable.

4. Primase is essential to all cells. Without them no cell could ever reproduce.

https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/primase#:~:text=For%20years%2C%20primase%20has%20been,well%20as%20for%20telomere%20maintenance.

"For years, primase has been considered a key enzyme in DNA replication because it is the only enzyme that can initiate the synthesis of the new DNA strands *de novo*. More recent data, however, indicate that this enzyme is also critical for coupling replication and repair, as well as for telomere maintenance. Because primase activity is probably tightly regulated and monitored via interactions with other proteins, it is clearly crucial to elucidate with which proteins pol α–primase interacts, as well as the mechanistic consequences of these interactions, to understand both the mechanism and regulation of eukaryotic DNA replication."

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Primase

“**DNA primase** is an enzyme involved in the replication of DNA and is a type of RNA polymerase. Primase catalyzes the synthesis of a short RNA (or DNA in some living organisms[1]) segment called a primer complementary to a ssDNA (single-stranded DNA) template. After this elongation, the RNA piece is removed by a 5' to 3' exonuclease and refilled with DNA.”

Primases are absolutely essential to DNA replication and thus to reproduction. Primases make short RNA primers, which act as a starting point for DNA replication by providing a 3'-hydroxyl group that DNA polymerase can attach new nucleotides to. Primases are different in prokaryotes vs eukaryotes. Primases are different in bacteria vs archaea. Primases are different in different species. Primases are in all eukaryotes, and prokaryotes, both bacteria and archaea.

From the above descriptions, primases are absolutely essential for DNA replication, which is absolutely essential for reproduction. So, it must have been there in the very first cell. And if anyone would claim it came after, then they would need to prove how any cell could have reproduced without it. They would also have to prove how it could have come into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And they would have to prove how it could have integrated itself into a living cell. Imagine, some DNA mutation ERRORS produced a set of genes which somehow produced a set of primases. Now this in itself is ludicrous as the genes that produce them are very large. And these miraculous primases started making primers. But wait. If they start making primers, then the rest of the organism’s DNA polymerases are incapable of handling primers since these primers never existed before. Polymerases read primers. But those polymerases would have to change how they function or come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS at the exact same organism in the exact same instant the primers miraculously came into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS, else the organism dies. But the ability to handle primers by polymerases could never have evolved because either there were no primers in existence or if they did exist, the organism would have died since there were no polymerases that could have handled existing primers. But there would have been nothing that would have removed primers, so the organism dies. But the ability to remove primers could not have evolved unless primers existed. And the first time a primer was removed it had to be perfect else the organism dies since the DNA would be permanently damaged. And all must work to perfection else the organism dies. Note also how a first primase that came into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS must work to perfection else the organism dies. The reason is that the DNA would be permanently damaged and thus no protein synthesis possible leading to death. This certain death from the first primase coming into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS absolutely negates natural selection. And this proves evolution, billions, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and that God created all things recently.

And the above is just part of the impossible to resolve set of contradictions related to primases. And there are many more impossible to resolve set of contradictions for all 8

of these enzymes and proteins since they are all interdependent and essential for either survival or replication or both.

Some of the genes involved in making primase are in the 20k to 330k base pair range. None of these could have come into existence by DNA mutation ERRORS. The odds against such are far greater than 10^12,00 to 1 for the 20k size and far greater than 10^198,000 to 1 for the 330k size. These odds are inconceivable.

5. Helicase is essential to all cells. Without them no cell could ever reproduce.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Helicase

"**Helicases** are a class of enzymes thought to be vital to all organisms. Their main function is to unpack an organism's genetic material. Helicases are motor proteins that move directionally along a nucleotidic backbone, separating two hybridized nucleic acid strands (hence *helic-* + *-ase*), using energy from ATP hydrolysis. There are many helicases, representing the great variety of processes in which strand separation must be catalyzed. Approximately 1% of eukaryotic genes code for helicases.[1]"

https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article/62/5/1565/491330

"DNA helicases are enzymes that are able to unwind DNA by the use of the energy-equivalent ATP. They play essential roles in DNA replication, DNA repair, and DNA recombination in all organisms. As homologous recombination occurs in somatic and meiotic cells, the same proteins may participate in both processes, albeit not necessarily with identical functions."

DNA helicases are absolutely essential for DNA replication, and thus reproduction. Helicases, both RNA and DNA, are different in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Helicases, both RNA and DNA, are different in bacteria and archaea. Helicases, both RNA and DNA, are different in different species. Helicases, both RNA and DNA is in all eukaryotes, all prokaryotes both archaea and bacteria with the exception that RNA helicase is not in all bacteria, but prevalent in bacteria.

From the above descriptions, DNA helicase is absolutely essential for DNA replication, which is absolutely essential for reproduction. So, it must have been there in the very first cell. And if anyone would claim it came after, then they would need to prove how any cell could have reproduced without it. They would also have to prove how it could have come into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And they would have to prove how it could have integrated itself into a living cell. Imagine, some DNA mutation ERRORS produced a set of genes which somehow produced a set of helicases. Now this in itself is ludicrous as the genes that produce them are very large. And these miraculous helicases just started separating double stranded DNA. But that would permanently destroy that DNA, and the organism would die immediately, since there would have been nothing to recombine DNA strands. But the ability to recombine DNA strands could never have evolved through natural selection without separated

DNA strands already existing. And if all of these don't work to perfection the organism dies. The reason is that the DNA would be permanently damaged and thus no protein synthesis possible leading to death. This certain death from the first helicase coming into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS absolutely negates natural selection. And this proves evolution, billions, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" are false, and that God created all things recently.

And the above is just part of the impossible to resolve set of contradictions related to helicases. And there are many more impossible to resolve set of contradictions for all 8 of these enzymes and proteins since they are all interdependent and essential for either survival or replication or both.

Some of the genes involved in making DNA helicase are in the 30k to 180k base pair range. None of these could have come into existence by DNA mutation ERRORS. The odds against such are far greater than 10^18,00 to 1 for the 30k size and far greater than 10^108,000 to 1 for the 180k size. These odds are inconceivable.

6. Nucleases are essential to all cells. Without them no cell could ever reproduce.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nuclease

"In biochemistry, a **nuclease** (also archaically known as **nucleodepolymerase** or **polynucleotidase**) is an enzyme capable of cleaving the phosphodiester bonds that link nucleotides together to form nucleic acids. Nucleases variously affect single and double stranded breaks in their target molecules. In living organisms, they are essential machinery for many aspects of DNA repair."

https://www.nature.com/articles/1206135

"DNA nucleases catalyze the cleavage of phosphodiester bonds. These enzymes play crucial roles in various DNA repair processes, which involve DNA replication, base excision repair, nucleotide excision repair, mismatch repair, and double strand break repair."

Nucleases are absolutely essential for DNA replication, and thus reproduction. Nucleases, both RNA and DNA, are different in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Nucleases, both RNA and DNA, are different in bacteria and archaea. Nucleases, both RNA and DNA, are different in different species. Nucleases both RNA and DNA are in all eukaryotes, all prokaryotes, both archaea and bacteria, which the exception that RNA nucleases are not in all bacteria, but prevalent in bacteria.

One of the functions of nucleases in DNA replication is to remove the primers that primase created. This works in conjunction with the ligases joining Okazaki fragments on the lagging strand of DNA. From the above descriptions, nucleases are absolutely essential for DNA replication, which is absolutely essential for reproduction. So, it must

have been there in the very first cell. And if anyone would claim it came after, then they would need to prove how any cell could have reproduced without it. They would also have to prove how it could have come into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And they would have to prove how it could have integrated itself into a living cell. Imagine, some DNA mutation ERRORS produced a set of genes which somehow produced a set of nucleases. Now this in itself is ludicrous as the genes that produce them are very large. And these miraculous nucleases just started removing the primers created by primase. But if they don't work perfection the organism dies. The reason is that the DNA would be permanently damaged and thus no protein synthesis possible leading to death. But the ability of them to remove the primer could have never evolved since the primers could have not existed before for natural selection to have helped. But without the ability of something to remove primers then the DNA would be permanently damaged, and the organism would have died. This certain death from the first nuclease coming into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS absolutely negates natural selection. And this proves evolution, billions, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" are false, and that God created all things recently.

And the above is just part of the impossible to resolve set of contradictions related to nucleases. And there are many more impossible to resolve set of contradictions for all 8 of these enzymes and proteins since they are all interdependent and essential for either survival or replication or both.

Some of the genes involved in making DNA nucleases are in the 20k to 80k base pair range. None of these could have come into existence by DNA mutation ERRORS. The odds against such are far greater than 10^12,00 to 1 for the 20k size and far greater than 10^48,000 to 1 for the 80k size. These odds are inconceivable.

7. Single stranded binding (SSB) proteins are essential to all cells. Without them no cell could ever reproduce.

https://www.nature.com/articles/cr201331#:~:text=Single%2Dstrand%20DNA%20(ssDNA),%2Dstrand%20breaks%20(DSBs).

"Single-strand DNA (ssDNA) binding proteins are essential for DNA replication, DNA recombination/repair and the maintenance of genomic stability (reviewed in1). A hallmark of these proteins is that they possess oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide binding folds (OB-folds) that bind ssDNA2. In eukaryotes, the major SSB is replication protein A (RPA), a heterotrimeric protein critically important for DNA replication and repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs)"

Most single-strand binding (SSB) proteins are essential for DNA replication, and thus reproduction. Single-strand binding (SSB) proteins are different in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Single-strand binding (SSB) proteins are different in bacteria and archaea. Single-strand binding (SSB) proteins are different in different species. Single-strand

binding (SSB) proteins are in all eukaryotes, and almost all prokaryotes, both bacteria and archaea.

Single-strand binding proteins are absolutely essential for DNA replication, which is absolutely essential for reproduction. So, it must have been there in the very first cell. And if anyone would claim it came after, then they would need to prove how any cell could have reproduced without it. They would also have to prove how it could have come into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And they would have to prove how it could have integrated itself into a living cell. Imagine, some DNA mutation ERRORS produced a set of genes which somehow produced a set of single strand binding proteins. Now this in itself is ludicrous as the genes that produce them are very large. And these miraculous single strand binding proteins started preventing separated DNA strands from rejoining and protecting them from degradation while other enzymes accessed the DNA strands during DNA replication. But such a capability could never have evolved unless the DNA strands had been separated before. But if they were separated before, then they would have been damaged permanently since there were no single-strand binding proteins to protect them while they were separated. And thus, no replication would have ever happened. And natural selection could have never evolved single-strand binding proteins since there could not have existed any separated single-strand binding proteins because there would have never been anything to select from. And all things that separated DNA for replication would have permanently damaged the DNA because there would have never existed any single-strand binding proteins to protect the DNA while it was separated. But if they don't work to perfection the organism cannot replicate. The reason is that the DNA would be permanently damaged. This lack of the ability to replicate without single strand binding proteins coming into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS absolutely negates natural selection. And this proves evolution, billions, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" are false, and that God created all things recently.

And the above is just part of the impossible to resolve set of contradictions related to single-strand binding proteins. And there are many more impossible to resolve set of contradictions for all 8 of these enzymes and proteins since they are all interdependent and essential for either survival or replication or both.

Some of the genes involved in making single-strand binding (SSB) proteins are in the 20k to 80k base pair range. None of these could have come into existence by DNA mutation ERRORS. The odds against such are far greater than 10^12,00 to 1 for the 20k size and far greater than 10^48,000 to 1 for the 80k size. These odds are inconceivable.

8. Peptidyl transferase is essential to all cells. Without them all cells would die and certainly could never reproduce.

https://library.fiveable.me/key-terms/biological-chemistry-i/peptidyl-transferase-activity

“Peptidyl transferase activity refers to the enzymatic function of forming peptide bonds between amino acids during protein synthesis, specifically during translation. This activity is primarily associated with the large subunit of the ribosome, where it catalyzes the reaction that links the carboxyl group of one amino acid to the amino group of another, thereby elongating the polypeptide chain. The precision and efficiency of this process are crucial for the accurate assembly of proteins based on mRNA templates.”

“Peptidyl transferase plays a crucial role in protein synthesis by catalyzing the formation of peptide bonds between amino acids. This enzymatic activity occurs within the ribosome during translation, where it facilitates the transfer of the growing polypeptide chain from one tRNA to another. By enabling this bond formation, peptidyl transferase ensures that proteins are synthesized accurately according to the genetic code carried by mRNA.”

Peptidyl transferases are essential for RNA to amino acid translation and thus survival. They are also indirectly essential for DNA replication and thus reproduction. Peptidyl transferases are in all eukaryotes, all prokaryotes, both bacteria and archaea. Peptidyl transferases are different in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Peptidyl transferases are different in bacteria and archaea. Peptidyl transferases are different in different species.

Peptidyl transferase catalyzes the formation of peptide bonds between amino acids. This is what links the amino acids together to make a protein during protein synthesis. And if anyone would claim it came after, then they would need to prove how any cell could have reproduced without it. They would also have to prove how it could have come into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And they would have to prove how it could have integrated itself into a living cell. Imagine, some DNA mutation ERRORS produced a set of genes which somehow produced a set of peptidyl transferases. Now this in itself is ludicrous as the genes that produce them are very large. And these miraculous peptidyl transferases started creating peptide bonds that join amino acids into polypeptide. So, what was joining the amino acids prior to the peptidyl transferases? But if they don’t work to perfection the organism dies. The reason is that the DNA would be permanently damaged and thus no protein synthesis possible leading to death. This certain death from the first peptidyl transferases coming into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS absolutely negates natural selection. And if there was something else that was joining amino acids into polypeptides, then the new miraculous peptidyl transferases would need to replace what did it before, the old way must cease, and the new miraculous peptidyl transferases must work to perfection all simultaneously in the very same organism through DNA mutation ERRORS else the organism dies. This negates natural selection. And this proves evolution, billions, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and that God created all things recently.

And the above is just part of the impossible to resolve set of contradictions related to peptidyl transferases. And there are many more impossible to resolve set of contradictions for all 8 of these enzymes and proteins since they are all interdependent

and essential for either survival or replication or both.

Look again at the video mentioned before. Again, pause the video at any time after 7 seconds, you will see a diagram that shows DNA replication.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cwRzplFt6A8

From the diagram, it becomes obvious how all 6 mentioned in the video are needed and all must work together. First consider DNA topoisomerase. Without it, the DNA double strand cannot be unwound enough for the others to do their jobs. So, the others serve no purpose. But if topoisomerase just popped into existence, it must not only work properly to unwind the DNA but do so without causing it to become damaged. That is itself miraculous for a first time in existence enzyme. But the others, if they did exist, must change how they operate simultaneously in the same organism to now work perfectly with the topology changes with the new topoisomerase. Or they must also pop into being simultaneously in the same organism, all working to perfection. In either case, if perfection is not achieved the DNA will be damaged, and the organism will not reproduce, and actually die.

Now consider DNA helicase. Without it the DNA double strand cannot be separated for the others to do their jobs. So, the others serve no purpose. And helicase would never be able to separate the strands correctly unless topoisomerase unwinds the DNA double strand. But if helicase just popped into existence, it must not only work properly to separate the strands, but it also must not damage the strands when it separates them. That is itself miraculous for a first time in existence enzyme from DNA mutation ERRORS. But the others, if they did exist, must change how they operate simultaneously in the same organism since the topology changes with the new helicase. Or they must also pop into being simultaneously in the same creature, all working to perfection. In either case, if perfection is not achieved the DNA will be damaged, will not reproduce, and actually die. Furthermore, there would have not existed anything to put the DNA strands together again, so the organism dies. And the ability to put the DNA strands together again could never have evolved because there never existed separated strands so that the rejoining could have evolved by natural selection.

Now consider primase. Without it the primer will not exist, and DNA polymerase cannot do its job. But primase could not have done its job unless topoisomerase and helicase had done their jobs. So, they serve no purpose. But if primase just popped into existence, it must not only work properly, but it must also not damage the DNA strand it works on. That is itself miraculous for a first time in existence enzyme from DNA mutation ERRORS. But polymerase, if it did exist, must change how it operates simultaneously in the same organism, to work properly with the newly created primer. Or it must also pop into being simultaneously in the same creature, working to perfection. In either case, if perfection is not achieved the DNA will be damaged, will not reproduce, and actually die. And there would have not existed anything to remove the primer when the primer was first added. So, the DNA is permanently damaged. And the ability to remove the primer could not have evolved because no primers existed before.

So, natural selection could not have helpled.

The interdependencies for the others can be established using the same logic as above. And this is just a small sampling of proteins and does not include all the other proteins involved in DNA replication and reproduction. And the same pattern will emerge but with a very large set of interdependent proteins and their functionality. If one of these just popped into existence, then many of the others could not have even had done their jobs or many of the others must change how they operate simultaneously in the same creature to work properly with the newly created proteins. In all cases if perfection is not achieved the DNA will be damaged, will not reproduce, and actually die.

And this only touched upon DNA replication and reproduction, a small part of the functionality of a cell. There are many other areas with similar sets of many proteins and their functionality. For example, DNA to RNA transcription and RNA translation to amino acid selection to build proteins. These are absolutely essential to survival and reproduction.

C. The much larger case against evolution and billions of years.

Now all of these things require many genes which could not have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced the DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by gene comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computers software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times.

Some evolutionists will try to argue that there are less evolved versions of similar functionality and try to imply that they are not irreducibly complex. But in all cases are they fully functional, intelligently designed, and irreducibly complex. So, this just adds to the proofs against evolution. Obviously, evolution and billions of years should be retracted worldwide immediately.

There exist no DNA mutation ERRORS paths to produce all these diverse types of functionalities, organs, systems, tissues, and genes in all these species. Natural selection cannot help because DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce anything useful to select in any creature, except possibly a small amount of such in bacteria which have vast populations. All these vast multitudes of super great miracles of miracles are just fairy tales.

All individual creatures of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate. And the rates of accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS is significantly higher. An analysis of the information content of the DNA for genes shows that that emergence of a new gene, which could produce new functionality, would be an extremely rare event, and even more so with large multicell organisms. Instead, the species would go extinct many eons before that happens. So, the longer the time that goes by, the more likely by far the extinction of any species. So deep time does not help. And the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS, eliminates deep time to less than about 10,000 years, thus eliminating deep time. That also proves all evolutionist descent trees are fictious. And therefore, there is no common descent. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19vLbWHNBp/

D. Evolution is the theory of nothing since it does not have an answer to the origin of anything. It is the most refuted theory ever. It is not science, but anti-science. In fact, it is just a false religion.

There are major differences between these 8 types of enzymes and proteins in bacteria vs archaea, prokaryotes vs eukaryotes, species using sexual reproduction vs asexual reproduction only, and single cell organisms vs multi cell organisms. And they are different across species. So, all of the following events which would have had to occur to lead up to these different types of organisms must have occurred in the past. If even a single one did not occur, then evolution, billons of years, common descent, and “the no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false. And all of these events have been proved to have not happened, so evolution, billions of years, common descent, and “the no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false. They should be retracted worldwide immediately. And this also proves God created all things recently.

Abiogenies without God was proved to be impossible in many ways in sections 104 and 105 in this book and in the following posts.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BtmRG7ueK/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1CGdNMd1GU/

Prokaryotes could never have evolved into eukaryotes. This also proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created all things. This is found in post 12 of this book and at this link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19udBckjma/

Supposedly, evolution produced introns through DNA mutation ERRORS. That is impossible. Introns, the proteins that are involved in processing them, and the requirement to actually edit the DNA of an organism in inserting them, would have to have OCCURRED in the very same organism simultaneously. And this one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles would have happened many times. Introns are just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved from DNA mutation errors. – Parts 1 and 2. This is found in posts 93A and 93B of this book and at the following links respectively.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17ajuuqjud/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Bm9RRJsof/

The transcription RNA codon table is not universal. Each of the many exceptions to the standard table proves that evolution is false, and God created all things. Also, the supposed origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts is impossible which also prove evolution is false. This is found in post 10 of this book and at the following link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EdoTb3Yod/

Multi cell creatures could not have evolved from a single cell creature through DNA mutation ERRORS. It is impossible. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/15uzhVGRPZ/

Creatures that use sexual reproduction could not have evolved from a creature that used asexual reproduction only through DNA mutation ERRORS. It is impossible. This was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1FKH5pUUk1/

Any new functionality will require at least 1 or more enzymes for that new functionality to have come into existence. But each of the functionalities that the above enzymes ad proteins carry out would require enzymes. So, it is impossible for any to have come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. This is proved in post 68 of this book.

The much larger case against any new functionality is described in post 101 of this book.

For a very detailed example using introns and spliceosomes in eukaryotes of this is found in posts 93A and 93B of this book and at the following links respectively.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17ajuuqjud/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Bm9RRJsof/

It was established in section 16 of “All the Unique Genes”, that it is impossible for new functionality to come into existence by any means since the transition from old functionality to new functionality many times requires that the during the transition the old and new must coexists at the same time. And the old functionality has to be removed at some point, but such removal had never been tried before, so it is virtually impossible to have succeeded without being selected against by natural section. And the new functionality would only work if all or almost of the new unique genes for that new functionality were all present. But that new functionality had never been tried before. So, it is virtually impossible to have succeeded when that new functionality was first tried without it being selected against by natural section. And any new functionality is precarious for any organism or any species for the same reasons. Furthermore, at some time during the long time leading up to all the new unique protein coding genes of that new functionality being mutated into their final form, one or more of them would not have been expressed or for all intents and purposes not expressed. But that leads to those protein coding genes, that are at some times were not expressed or for all intents and purposes not expressed, would have misplaced stop codons which would persist until now. This is due to the great persistence of misplaced stop codons scattered through a protein coding gene. That is not observed in any species today. All these refute the theory of evolution.

Furthermore, if any of the genes for any new functionality came into existence spontaneously or by any other means, then the odds against any being a functional new gene are vast. So, these would end up as “junk” DNA. And this is true of all new functionality over the vast eons and trillions of generations since the first living cell. That amount of “junk” DNA percentage would be greater than 90% or even 99% since “junk” DNA does not reset with “new species”. This is an absolute requirement and prediction of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. But there is no or very little “junk” DNA in any organism of any species living today. Certainly, it is far less than the greater than 90% or even 99% predicted and required by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Furthermore, the removal of the old functionality would have left lots of “junk” DNA in the genome of the species, that should be still there today. And this is true of all previous removals of all old functionality over the vast eons and trillions of generations since the first living cell. That amount of “junk” DNA percentage would be greater than 90% or even 99% since “junk” DNA does not reset with “new species”. This is an absolute requirement and prediction of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. But there is no or very little “junk” DNA in any organism of any species living today. Certainly, it is far less than the greater than 90% or even 99% predicted and required by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And it illogical and unscientific to label the last small percentage of DNA as “junk” DNA. Just because a function has yet been found does not prove there is no functionality. Also, there should be some form of vestigial systems, subsystems, organs, tissues, etc. from the removal of all previous removals of all old functionality over the eons and trillions of generations since the first living cell, since vestigial things do reset with “new species”. That is also not observed in

any species today. All these refute the theory of evolution. Furthermore, this should be also observed in the fossil record. But the fossil record is missing millions of chains of missing links. No chain has ever been found. The fossil record shows disparate fully formed species. All these refute the theory of evolution.

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Replisome

103. Yet another proof that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently. Certain proteins, such as ATP synthase, produce ATP which is a molecule that stores and provides most of the energy used by cells. But ATP is required to make proteins including the proteins such as ATP synthase that make ATP. So how could proteins such as ATP synthase that make ATP have been produced since they require ATP for their very existence? (TOC) (back)

Certain proteins, such as ATP synthase, produce ATP which is a molecule that stores and provides most of the energy used by cells. But ATP is required to make proteins including the proteins such as ATP synthase that make ATP. So how could proteins such as ATP synthase that make ATP have been produced since they require ATP for their very existence?

ATP is required for DNA to RNA transcription. ATP is required for RNA translation leading to protein synthesis, and thus survival. ATP is required for DNA replication and thus reproduction. Without ATP there would be no DNA, no RNA, no proteins, no cells, and no living things. Many things in living things require ATP to work.

ATP is a very specific molecule consisting of 47 atoms in a specific arrangement and does not originate naturally outside of cells. It is inconceivable that living things through random DNA mutation ERRORS, which have absolutely no intelligence at all, could ever have figured out such a large specific molecule, nor the detailed chemical processes to produce such a molecule. Proteins that produce ATP such as ATP synthase could never have come into being through DNA mutation ERRORS. There are quite a number of genes that are involved in the synthesis of ATP producing proteins, and some have sizes in the 20k to 40k base pair range, with odds against about 10^12,000 to 1 and 10^24,000 to 1 respectively. Those proteins must have always been there from the very first cell.

For it to be ATP, it must be the exact 47 atom molecule in its specific arrangement else does nothing. And all chemical reactions must be in place else it does nothing. Note almost all parts of a cell now use ATP, so they would have had to switch from something else to ATP at some point. But that would require simultaneous changes in the DNA code, in how it lives, survive, and reproduces in the very same creature. And this would have to be for all parts that used something else but now use ATP all at once or one at a time or some at the same time. Thus, one of the greatest great super great miracles of miracles of all time must have happened or a large number of super great miracles of miracles must have happened.

In fact, ATP is needed to provide the energy necessary to link nucleotides into RNA strands. And without RNA strands there is no survival for any cell, no survival for a living thing, no reproduction of cells or any living thing. And no ATP. Thus, ATP must have predated DNA, RNA, and proteins in living things. But that is impossible since ATP requires DNA, RNA, and proteins, such as ATP synthase to exist.

This impossible to resolve ATP contradiction for evolution just adds to the overwhelming case against abiogenesis. In fact, a detailed analysis of almost all parts of a cell shows that none of them could have come into being afterward but must have been there in the very first cells. And one of the main reasons is that such things would require many simultaneous super great miracles of miracles in the very same creature as they are required for the cell to function, survive, and to reproduce.

Here is just one example. A detailed analysis of enzymes and proteins essential for DNA replication, and thus reproduction, and protein synthesis, and thus survival, proves that common descent, evolution, and billions of years are false. They also prove God created all things recently. This is proved just by considering topoisomerase, polymerase, ligase, primase, helicase, nucleases, single-strand binding (SSB) proteins, and peptidyl transferase. Since most of these proteins and enzymes are absolutely essential to DNA replication and thus reproduction, and some of these are absolutely essential to protein synthesis and thus survival, they all must have been there since the first living cell. That was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Bw1e4G95d/

This makes abiogenies, the first cell that supposedly came into being from non living chemicals without God, one of the greatest of the super great miracles of miracles, even more impossible and ludicrous. That is because the first living cell must have been very complicated with all the many required features to survive and reproduce. Thus, God must have created all things. That abiogenesis is impossible was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BZrANgQUT/

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all

species are less than 10,000 years. These facts refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently.

Each time there is an offspring, there are going to be ERRORS in the DNA code that even the correction system misses. These will accumulate in the DNA code over time in all individual creatures of all species. And there is no way to eliminate them as all individual creatures will have acquired even more of these in their DNA and at different locations, passing them on to all offspring. While deadly and debilitating ERRORS are eliminated over time, those that are mildly disadvantageous or even neutral are not eliminated. Also natural selection cannot help because all individual creatures of all species have the accumulation, and all decedent lines of all survivors will continue to accumulate ERRORS. And these random ERRORS in the DNA do not reset with a new "species". So according to evolution and billions of years, there should be a large genetic load in ALL individual creatures of ALL species. This is not the case. This is a global clock for all living things that proves that life is not billions or millions of years old, but much less. This phenomenon is sometimes called genetic load or genetic entropy.

Using the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially in mitochondria, proves that all living things are less than 10,000 years old. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, the results of all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all intelligently designed things have an intelligent designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19b6BoWPJA/

ATP is described in some detail in the following article.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ATP_synthase

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many.

It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

104. Proof that abiogenesis is impossible. There are many things that prove that abiogenesis is impossible without God. Plus, the following will show that evolution, billions of years and common descent are false. This refutes the "no God" assumption of Atheistic Origin Science" which is the basis of evolution, billions of years and common descent. And this proves that God created all things recently. Part 1. (TOC) (back)

Part 2 of this 2-part proof is found at the following link and in post 104 in this book.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1CGdNMd1GU/

There are many things that proof that abiogenesis is impossible without God. Plus, the following will show that evolution, billions of years and common descent are false. This refutes the "no God" assumption of Atheistic Origin Science" which is the basis of evolution, billions of years and common descent. And this proves that God created all things recently.

A. The only possibility for the first genes is for new DNA code for new genes to have come into existence spontaneously. But the vast odds against this and the problem with misplaced stop codons refute evolution, billions of years, and common descent.

In essence, these are the only possibilities to generate new genes. Either existing DNA code from some source is copied, either directly or indirectly, and changed by DNA mutation ERRORS or an existing gene is changed by DNA mutation ERRORS, or novel DNA code must come into existence spontaneously.

For genes that come into existence spontaneously, the odds against for each of the following have been reduced by the total population of all genes that have ever existed (10^45) as noted above. For the spontaneous addition of 3,000 base pairs to a copy of a gene to make a specific new functional gene or for a specific new functional gene of that size coming into existence spontaneously, the odds against are far greater than 10^1,761 to 1. For the spontaneous addition of 9,000 base pairs to a copy of a gene to make a specific new functional gene or for a specific new gene of that size coming into existence spontaneously, the odds against are far greater than 10^5,373 to 1. For the spontaneous addition of 20,000 base pairs to a copy of a gene to make a specific new functional gene or for a specific new gene of that size coming into existence spontaneously, the odds against are far greater than 10^11,996 to 1. And for the spontaneous addition of 50,000 base pairs to a copy of a gene to make a specific new functional gene or for a specific new gene of that size coming into existence spontaneously, the odds against are far greater than 10^30,057 to 1. These odds against are incomprehensibly vast. So, it is virtually impossible for any significant number of these to have happened in the supposed 13.8 billion years since the supposed Big Bang, let alone trillions of them. Thus, evolution, billions of years,

common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false. Thus, God created all things recently.

The above odds against were calculated using the following equation. The odds against are approximately (4^n)/(10^45) to 1 where n in the number of spontaneously created base pairs in the gene, and that divided by 10^45 which is approximately the total number of genes that have ever existed. This is Model 3 of an equation to estimate the odds against spontaneous addition of base pairs to a copy of a gene to make a specific new functional gene or for a specific new functional gene of that size coming into existence spontaneously. A more accurate model that includes the associated non-coding control genes in given in section 7 of my book entitled, “Evolution is false because it cannot explain all the unique genes that have ever existed”, which will referred to as “All the Unique Genes” for short. That model (Model 5) allows for very many combinations of DNA base pairs for a gene to greatly reduce the odds against evolution. Yet that model will also yield odds against that are so vast as to be incomprehensible. In fact, the odds against in all cases shown above are vastly higher using Model 5.

As will be proved later, spontaneously created protein coding genes must be the ultimate source of the first genes as a copy of an existing gene that is mutated or mutation of an existing gene always requires a previously existing gene. But from the above incomprehensibly vast odds against as calculated above, and as will be proved later, it is impossible for spontaneously created genes to be the ultimate source of the first genes. So, evolution, billions of years and common descent are false, since they cannot account for the all the unique genes that have existed in all species that have ever existed. In fact, since evolution cannot account for the first genes, evolution cannot account for any genes. Remember just 1 contradiction refutes evolution, billions of years, common descent, the “no God” assumption, and proves God created all things recently. This is actual science, logic and rational thought. And there are a vast multitude of contradictions, including all the unique genes that have ever existed for all species that have ever existed.

But there is another problem for a protein coding gene coming into existence spontaneously. And this insurmountable problem has to do with misplaced stop codons. If a protein coding gene with novel DNA code came into existence spontaneously, then the DNA code for that gene would consist of random DNA base pairs and thus random DNA codons. (A DNA codon is a consecutive sequence of 3 DNA base pairs that codes for a particular amino acid.) Therefore, a fraction (about 3/64) of these codons would be stop codons for nuclear DNA. The following discusses nuclear DNA, but a similar argument applies to mitochondrial DNA. These stop codons would be scattered throughout the gene instead of just one at the end of the gene as is the case in almost all protein coding genes. So, these spontaneously created genes do not match what is observed. So, to get to what is observed, all these extra stop codons must be changed, through DNA mutation ERRORS, to codons which are not stop codons. Please note that if the logical implications of evolutionary theory do not match what is observed, then evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false,

and God created all things recently. The phrase, does not match what is observed, will be used a number of times in the following discussion. So, keep in mind that if it does not match what is observed then evolution cannot be true.

A stop codon in the DNA of a protein coding gene becomes a corresponding stop codon at the same location in the mRNA strand produced through transcription (converts DNA code to RNA code). But during translation (takes RNA triplets and selects an amino acid), the protein produced will be cutoff at the first stop codon in that mRNA strand. So, if a spontaneously created protein coding gene has extra stop codons, then the protein that the gene creates will most likely be truncated during the translation process due to those misplaced stop codons. This will very likely occur at the first misplaced stop codon. There is the possibility of read-through, but it is the exception to the rule. In this case, the protein produced will most likely be useless or even disadvantageous and depending on the reason for the misplaced stop codon, this situation will be deadly or quite disadvantageous and rejected by natural selection. In the case of spontaneously created genes, which have many misplaced stop codons scattered throughout, it is very doubtful that it will read-through all those misplaced stop codons which occur throughout the gene about every 1 in 21 codons. An average size protein coding gene of 10,000 base pairs would have about 156 of them.

The following assumes that there is a start codon at the beginning of this spontaneously created protein coding gene and a proper stop codon at the end. It also assumes that there is a corresponding valid functional promoter for this spontaneously created gene that came into being spontaneously along with this spontaneously created gene, else the protein coding gene is useless. However, since this gene is spontaneously created, there is no guarantee that these assumptions hold. The odds against a particular valid functional promoter coming into existence spontaneously range from about 10^50 to 1 to greater than 10^600 to 1.

Now if a spontaneously created novel gene did come into existence, it would have an average of about 3/64 of its codons being stop codons. Then the rate of creating new misplaced stop codons is about same as the rate of eliminating existing misplaced stop codons through DNA mutation ERRORS for the remaining part of that gene after the first misplaced stop codon. So, in general the number of misplaced stop codons stays the same in the remaining part. So, that gene does not match what is observed. But if for some reason the number of misplaced stop codons began to drop in that remaining part, the rate of creation of new misplaced stop codons becomes greater than the rate of elimination of misplaced stop codons in the remaining part. And this rate difference becomes greater the more the number of misplaced stop codons decreases in the remaining part of the gene. So, not only is there an average of about 3/64 of its codons being misplaced stop codons at the time of spontaneous creation, but that fraction is also the stability point in the remaining part of that gene. Again, this spontaneously created gene does not match what is observed. Now any DNA mutation ERROR that creates a new stop codon before the current first misplaced stop codon will shorten the protein produced by that gene, and this abrupt change will very probably lead to death or significant disadvantage that will be eliminated by natural selection. However, any

new misplaced stop codon after the first misplaced stop codon has no effect on the protein produced, so natural selection is not involved at all.

Now every once in a while, as this spontaneously created gene is mutated in a population over generations, the first misplaced stop codon is changed to a codon which is not a stop codon by a DNA mutation ERROR. Over time, all current misplaced stop codons could conceivably be removed leaving just the last codon being a stop codon. That gene then would match what is observed. But there are 2 major problems for this scenario. The first has to do with how long it would take to eliminate all the misplaced stop codons. The second, and the bigger problem, is that this scenario is impossible.

The first problem has to do with the time it would take to remove all misplaced stop codons so that this spontaneously created protein coding gene matches what is observed. As noted above, when new misplaced stop codons are being produced in the remaining part of the gene after the first misplaced stop codon, they are not removed by natural selection since there is no change in the protein created. So, over time, new misplaced stop codons are being created in the remaining part of the gene after the first misplaced stop codon. And all misplaced stop codons, whether from the initial set that was created at the time of spontaneous creation of the gene, or these new misplaced stop codons, will all have to be eventually changed to non stop codons through DNA mutation ERRORS to match what is observed. It works out that a total of at least approximately (n^2)/4 misplaced stop codons will have to be mutated into codons which are not stop codons, where n equals the average number of initial misplaced stop codons, which is approximately (3/64)N, where N is the number of codons in the gene. For a 3,000 base pairs gene, a relatively small gene, the number of initial misplaced stop codons would be about 46. For a 10,000 base pairs gene, an average size gene, the number of initial misplaced stop codons would be about 156. For a 30,000 base pairs gene, a relatively large gene, the number of initial misplaced stop codons would be about 468. And for a 100,000 base pairs gene, a large gene, the number of initial misplaced stop codons would be about 1,562. These are just the initial number of misplaced stop codons. The total number of misplaced stop codons is at least approximately (n^2)/4 where n is the initial number of them. For 46 initial misplaced stop codons in a relatively small gene with 3,000 base pairs, that comes to a total of at least 529 misplaced stop codons. For 156 initial misplaced stop codons for the average size gene with 10,000 base pairs, that comes to a total of at least 6,084 misplaced stop codons. For 468 initial misplaced stop codons in a relatively large gene with 30,000 base pairs, that comes to a total of at least 54,756 misplaced stop codons. For 1,562 initial misplaced stop codons in a large gene with 100,000 base pairs, that comes to a total of at least 610,350 misplaced stop codons.

And a DNA mutation ERROR is needed to change each and every one of these misplaced stop codons to non stop codons so that it will match what is observed. But the rate of nuclear DNA point mutation ERRORS occurring in a particular protein coding gene is quite low. There may not be any for many generations. And the misplaced stop codons are only about 4.7% of all codons in these spontaneously created genes. So,

only about 1 in 21 DNA mutation ERRORS eliminates a misplaced stop codon. Thus, it would take very many billions of generations, depending on the size of the gene, to remove all misplaced stop codons. Even an average size gene would require trillions of generations. So, this is not some process that is hard to catch but should be easily observed in spontaneously created protein coding genes that are happening today. That is not what is observed and so spontaneously created protein coding genes that would make it into a population must come into existence with no misplaced stop codons. Or the process has mysteriously stopped, and due to the great persistence of misplaced stop codons, stopped well over a billion years ago. But there is no reason why such a process should not be happening in prokaryotic (cells with no nucleus) haploids, if not in eukaryotic (cells with a nucleus) diploids. And thus, it should be observed. Again, evolution does not match what is observed.

The second, and the bigger problem, is that this scenario is impossible. Each time the current first misplaced stop codon is removed, the protein produced by that protein coding gene would be lengthened by an average of about 20 amino acids. And this abrupt change will most probably lead to death or significant disadvantage that will be eliminated by natural selection. And the lengthened amount will vary so that it may be greater than 50 codons and thus greater than 50 amino acids in the produced protein. Or it could be less than 10 codons and thus less than 10 amino acids in the produced protein. And none of the DNA code after that removed first misplaced stop codon up to the now new first misplaced stop codon has ever been subjected to natural selection. Thus, it is just random DNA code. This makes this event even more probable that it will lead to death or significant disadvantage that will be eliminated by natural selection. And the number of times that this will need to occur is at least equal to the initial number of misplaced stop codons which is about 3/64 of the total number of codons in the initial spontaneously created protein coding gene. For a 500 base pairs gene, a very small gene, that comes to at least 8x that this lengthening event occurs. For a 1,000 base pairs gene, quite a small gene, that comes to at least 15x. For a 3,000 base pairs gene, a relatively small gene, that comes to at least 46x. For a 10,000 base pairs gene, an average size gene, that comes to at least 156x. For a 100,000 base pairs gene that comes to at least 1,562x. For a 1,000,000 base pairs gene that comes to at least 15,624x. Each of these lengthening events caused by the removal of the current first misplaced stop codon will most probably lead to death or significant disadvantage that will be eliminated by natural selection. So, multiple ones would be virtually impossible. The idea that any gene would survive over time in any population from such a large sequence of abrupt protein lengthening events is preposterous. So, it is not possible that spontaneously created protein coding genes could have come into existence with misplaced stop codons.

Some might argue that misplaced stop codons in protein coding genes did not result in protein truncation in the early stages of DNA. But then all protein coding genes would have been littered with misplaced stop codons equal to an average of about 3/64 of all codons. So, as soon as that functionality came into existence, all organisms that had this new functionality would have died as described above. In fact, if any of the essential existing protein coding genes had misplaced stop coding, the creature would have died

as soon this functionality came into existence. So, the supposed first cell must have had all its initial protein coding genes be without any misplaced stop codons. But the odds against that are vast. Some might argue that maybe there were only 1 or 2 and not 3 stop codons for protein coding genes in nuclear DNA in the early stages of DNA, or that there were different stop codons then. However, the same argument against these speculations given prior holds against these also.

So, the only way for spontaneously created protein coding genes to come into existence is without any extra stop codons. But this greatly reduces the ability to generate any significant number of them, if at all. For example, suppose a 3,000 base pairs gene came into existence spontaneously. The odds against it having no extra stop codons are over 7x10^20 to 1 for a haploid organism. That means that there would have to be over 10^16 spontaneously created genes of that size for a small chance that such would have happened 1x in the supposed 3.8 billion years of life on earth. And that is for a relatively small gene. For a diploid organism, the odds against are over 5x10^41 to 1. That would have taken well over a trillion times a trillion years for just 1. For an average size gene with 10,000 base pairs coming into existence spontaneously, the odds against it having no extra stop codons are over 3x10^69 to 1 for a haploid organism. That would be extremely unlikely even if life on earth were a trillion times a trillion times a trillion times a trillion times a trillion years old. For a diploid organism, the odds against are over 9x10^138 to 1. For a 100,000 base pairs gene coming into existence spontaneously, the odds against it having no extra stop codons are over 9x10^694 to 1 for a haploid organism. That is inconceivable odds against. For a diploid organism, the odds against are over 9x10^1,389 to 1. Later it will be shown that the odds against are vastly far greater.

But spontaneous creation of genes must ultimately be how genes started, as you cannot copy and mutate something that does not exist or even just mutate something that does not exist. And copying of genes which are mutated or existing genes which are mutated cannot explain the continuum of gene sizes as will be explained later. So, there must have been spontaneous creation of many genes of a large range of sizes, some which are extreme in size. For 2.4 million base pairs, the odds against no extra stop codons are far greater than 10^33,360 to 1 for a diploid. Later it will be shown that the odds against are vastly far greater.

Please note that a spontaneously created gene must be close to an actual gene that exists or has existed. If not, it is spontaneous creation of a gene followed by a coordinated DNA mutation ERRORS path. So, there are not many combinations for spontaneous creation of novel genes since the must be a close match to an actual gene that exists or has existed. Or there would have to have been a very long path of coordinated DNA mutation ERRORS path would be required after the spontaneous creation of a gene.

Take an average size protein coding gene with 10,000 base pairs that came into existence spontaneously, which then becomes part of the genome of a species. This would have to be the case if spontaneously created genes are a source of new genes. It

would have on average of about an extra 156 misplaced stop codons. And on average the first misplaced stop codon would occur at about the 21st codon, although it could be located at the 41st codon or as far back as the 201st codon or further. While the odds against increase as the position of the first misplaced stop codon increases beyond the 21st, they are still vastly more likely than the no misplaced stop codon case. So, they could occur. If at the 201st codon position, the protein produced would be 200 amino acids in length, and there are a number of proteins of that size or less. Now the DNA point mutation error rate for nuclear DNA is about 2x10^(-8) point mutations per generation with variation across species, depending on genome size and intergenerational time. For a 10,000 base pairs protein coding gene, there would be 1 point mutation about every 5,000 generations. If that point mutation occurs after the first misplaced stop codon, this protein coding gene has no change in the protein it creates, so this gene remains in the genome of that species. In fact, about 155 of every 156 of these point mutations will on average occur after the first misplaced stop codon in this average size gene. So, on average, it takes about 390,000 generations before a point mutation occurs prior to the first misplaced stop codon or at the first misplaced stop codon.

Now every once in a while, an individual organism of this species gets a point mutation either before or at the first misplaced stop in that gene. If it is a new misplaced stop codon before the first misplaced stop codon, or if it eliminates that first misplaced codon, that organism will either get a shortened protein or a lengthened protein respectively due to this event and that abrupt change would be deadly or disadvantageous to be rejected by natural selection. But that is only for the individual organism that received that point mutation which would be rejected by natural selection. Thus, the remaining population still has the gene in its genome with the very same first misplaced stop codon. Thus, it actually persists and possibly persists forever.

In general, for a protein coding gene with N base pairs, there is an average N/64 misplaced stop codons for spontaneously created genes. And a point mutation will occur about 1/(Nr) generations in that gene, where r is the approximate point mutation error rate per generation for nuclear DNA protein coding genes. Thus, it takes about 1/(64r) generations for a point mutation to occur at or before the first misplaced stop codon. And that comes to about 390,000 generations. And that is just the amount of time to potentially remove only the first misplaced stop codon. And only about 21 of these point mutations with be in the first misplaced codon. So, on average, it takes about 8 million generations for that point mutation to occur in the first misplaced stop mutation. And even then, a few of those point mutations do not remove a stop codon, as some of them change that stop codon to another stop codon. And remember that is not the end of the gene in the genome of that species. Further to get to that which is observed, all misplaced stop codons will have to turned into codons which are not stop codons. But the total number of misplaced stop codons that will need to be changed into non stop codons is approximately at least (n^2)/4, where n is the initial number of misplaced stop codons. For the average size gene of about 10,000 base pairs, it would take at least 48 billion generations to remove all misplace stop codons, or possibly forever. So, it would take very many billions of generations to turn these spontaneously

created protein coding genes, littered with misplace stop codons upon creation, into that which is observed. And since any lengthening event caused by the removal of the first misplaced stop codon will very likely lead to rejection by natural selection as discussed above, it would take at least 5 trillion generations or possibly forever to turn these spontaneously created protein coding genes, littered with misplace stop codons upon creation, into that which is observed. That is greater than the number of supposed generations since the supposed first living cell.

Since no protein coding gene is observed today littered with misplaced stop codons, then this is not how new protein genes come into existence or came into existence. The great persistence of all those misplaced stop codons rules that out. Nor could the first cell have had protein coding genes with misplaced stop codons as will be proved later. And since the odds against a spontaneously created protein coding gene with no misplaced stop codons are so vastly against, then that too is not how new genes came into existence either. But that covers the only possibilities for spontaneously created genes. Therefore, it is impossible for spontaneously created protein coding genes to be the source of the first protein coding genes. But spontaneously created genes must be the source of the first protein coding genes, since copy and mutate or mutation of an existing protein coding gene cannot be the sole source of new genes. The simple reason is that both of these mechanisms require a preexisting gene. So, this observation refutes evolution, billions of years, and common descent irrefutably. It also irrefutably proves God created all things recently.

But now there is yet another problem. Eventually that spontaneously created gene will be copied if copying of a gene is a mechanism for new genes. And that copy will have the very same first misplaced stop codon at the same location, and the same great persistence across very many billions of generations or more. And during that time, other copies of genes littered with extra stop codons will probably occur, whether copies of a copy or copies of the initial spontaneously created gene. Thus, a species should have several protein coding genes with a same signature of the same gene size and a first misplaced stop codon at the same location. This too is not what is observed. And this phenomenon does not reset with a “new” species. So, species should have inherited sets of genes with the same signatures from branch offs of the supposed common descent tree of evolution. In fact, all species should have several different sets of genes, each set with their own unique same signatures. In fact, one could trace the supposed evolutionary descent by these common signatures. This is absolutely not the case at all and is not what is observed. Furthermore, prokaryotes should have even more different sets of genes each with its own unique same signatures as they can get genetic material through transduction, conjugation, and transformation. This is absolutely not the case at all and is not what is observed. So, these observations refute evolution, billions of years, and common descent irrefutably. They also irrefutably prove God created all things recently.

Spontaneously created protein coding genes must be the source of the first protein coding genes, since copy and mutate or mutation of an existing protein coding gene cannot be the sole source of new genes. But the great odds against spontaneously

created genes coming into existence without misplaced stop codons prove that all of them must have misplaced stop codons scattered throughout about every 1 in 21 codons. And due to the great persistence of misplaced stop codons in spontaneously created protein coding genes, as proved prior, all protein coding genes in the genomes of all species must have misplaced stop codons scattered throughout about every 1 in 21 codons. But that is completely against what is observed. So, this observation refutes evolution, billions of years, and common descent irrefutably. It also irrefutably proves God created all things recently.

There is another problem with spontaneously created protein coding genes. The average position of the first misplaced stop codon is at the 21st position with some variance in the exact position. If it is in on average at about the 21st position, the truncated protein would only be on average about 20 amino acids in length. And that is the case for such spontaneously created genes independent of the size of the protein coding gene. Would such a gene even be selected by natural selection? It is doubtful that such a small protein would give any advantage. And it would be detrimental in using up energy and other resources to replicate such a long gene or to produce mRNA strands from it. Imagine a gene with 10,000 or 50,000 or 100,000 or more base pairs, where only the first 60 base pairs are used to make a protein. The waste would be over 99% in energy and other resources just for an average size protein coding gene of 10,000 base pairs. Or conversely, it would require over 100x more energy and resources. And since evolutionists claim the earliest living cells were prokaryotes, they did not have mitochondria, which are the real powerhouse for energy production. Thus, this great waste of energy and resources would have been an insurmountable obstacle, especially for the supposed first cell and the supposed earliest cells. These were all supposedly primitive. So, they could not have had protein coding genes with misplaced stop codons.

There is even another problem for spontaneously created protein coding genes in diploids using sexual reproduction. Since the DNA base pairs would be random in spontaneously created genes, there is no expectation of any correlation for the DNA code in that gene in one of the homologous chromosomes and the corresponding code in the same location in the other homologous chromosome in diploids that reproduce sexually. And since the DNA code after the first misplaced stop codon is immaterial as to natural selection, this lack of correlation would persist after the first misplace stop codon. Again, this does not match what is observed. Further, if a lengthening event happened when the first misplaced stop codon is removed by a DNA mutation ERROR, the next segment of the gene up to the next misplaced stop codon will now code for part of the protein produced. Yet the code of the homologous pair of chromosomes in that segment are completely unrelated with minimum correlation. Note, that this too does not match what is observed. Furthermore, since all the DNA code after the first misplaced stop codon is not used to produce a protein in a protein coding, then DNA mutation ERRORS in the remaining part are not subject to natural selection. So, the natural state will always move toward minimal correlation between all the alleles of that gene in a population after the first misplaced stop codon. So, even if some alleles of that gene are removed for any reason in a population, the remaining alleles of that gene will still move

toward minimal correlation after the first misplaced stop codon. This too does not match what is observed.

And there is yet another problem for spontaneously created genes in diploids using sexual reproduction. If a spontaneously created gene did come into existence in one chromosome of a homologous pair, a corresponding one must also occur at the same location with the same size in the other chromosome of that homologous pair. If not, then this organism will have a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And even if both chromosomes of the homologous pair get the same size spontaneously created gene at the same location, its offspring would still have to mate with an organism that does not. This is a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And even if that somehow produces an offspring with the new gene, that offspring too will need to mate with an organism that does not have the new gene. Again, this is a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And this will have to continue for a number of generations until a large enough population, that has the gene, emerges within a close enough geographical region. Thus, many times there is a mating of an organism with the new gene and an organism without the new gene. Each of these are a significant barrier to overcome a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against.

And there is yet another problem for spontaneously created genes in diploids using sexual reproduction. A protein coding gene has associated gene expression and regulation controls else it is effectively useless or disadvantageous or deadly. Some of these controls will be in associated non-coding genes. There may be 1 or more of these, with 4 more likely being an upper limit. So, not only is there a spontaneously created gene in one chromosome of the homologous pair, and the required gene of the same size and location in the other chromosome of the homologous pair, but there must be 1 to several associated non-coding genes in one chromosome of the homologous pair, and the required genes of the same sizes and same locations in the other chromosome of the homologous pair. If all these conditions are not met, then that organism will have a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And even if both chromosomes of the homologous pair get the same size spontaneously created genes at the same locations, its offspring would still have to mate with an organism that does not. This is a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And in this case, there are 2 to 5 chromosomal mismatches. And even if that somehow magically produces an offspring with the new genes, it too will need to mate with an organism that does not have the new genes. Again, there are 2 to 5 chromosomal mismatches which are strongly selected against. And this will have to continue for a number of generations until a large enough population, that has the entire gene set, emerges within a geographical region. Thus, many times there is a mating of an organism with the new genes and an organism without the new genes. Each of these requires a miracle to overcome 2 to 5 chromosomal mismatches which are strongly selected against.

It may be possible to get new DNA code from a spontaneously created RNA strand which through reverse transcription generates DNA code which is somehow

incorporated into a new protein coding gene. But such an RNA strand would have misplaced stop codons which will become misplaced stop codons in the resulting DNA. So, the same arguments presented here are against this possibility.

The following are not spontaneously generated DNA code but just a form of copying existing DNA code in some manner or another. Thus, they cannot be the source of the first genes, and thus in no way resolves the problem of the ultimate source of the first genes. Any DNA code from any existing source, whether from another species or from another organism of the same species or from some gene in the same organism, is obviously just a copy of existing code. Thus, they cannot be the source of the first genes, and thus in no way resolves the problem of the ultimate source of the first genes. If the DNA code is from a non-coding gene, then it almost for sure will have misplaced stop codons because stop codons are not stop codons in non-coding genes. So, if DNA code from a non-coding gene which has misplaced stop codons, and somehow that is incorporated into a protein coding gene, the same arguments against that presented here apply to this possibility. Note that copying DNA code from a non-coding gene to a protein coding gene or vice versa will most likely be rejected by natural selection as they have a different function. But no matter what, this is still from existing DNA code. Thus, they cannot be the source of the first genes, and thus in no way resolves the problem of the ultimate source of the first genes. And if an RNA strand which came from the transcription of an already existing DNA source or from a copy of already existing RNA strand from an existing DNA source, then it is not novel code. So, if through reverse transcription it somehow becomes DNA which is incorporated into a gene, then it is in essence a copy, albeit through a multi-step process. Thus, this cannot be the source of the first genes, and in no way resolves the problem of the ultimate source of the first genes.

Some may propose that the first genes were not protein coding genes but non-coding genes. This would remove the need for no misplaced stop codons since there are no codons that act as stop codons for non-coding genes. There are several reasons which prove that this is not possible. First, non-coding genes do not produce proteins. But proteins are required for all aspects of survival and reproduction. So, such a creature would not have survived or been able to reproduce. Secondly, this will not lead to protein coding genes as any copy of these non-coding genes will have many misplaced non stop codons. This will never work as proved prior. The same reasoning eliminates snippets of non-coding genes, reverse transcription of any RNA that non-coding genes would produce, or reverse transcription of any snippets of any RNA that non-coding genes would produce. Thirdly, genes are composed of DNA code, and DNA code requires RNA and proteins to be useful and replicate. Finally, the following post refutes the idea that the first living creature was anything other than a cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/16ahmPCiDj/

B. Consider the supposed first living cell. It must have had DNA, RNA, proteins, and genes including protein coding genes. One of the smallest known free-living things is a

bacterium, called Mycoplasma genitalium, with about 475 protein coding genes and a genome of about 580,000 base pairs. It may be that that is about the same genome size as the supposed first living cell. But assume a simpler cell of just 400 protein coding genes with an average of 9,000 base pairs per gene. After all, there must be a minimum set of features, and thus genes, for a first cell to survive and reproduce. And for many reasons, a much smaller cell is preposterous. And these protein coding genes must have had no extra stop codons as proved above. The odds against that average size gene coming into existence spontaneously without any extra stop codons are greater than 3x10^62 to 1. And the total odds against all 400 genes without stop codons are greater than 10^25,020 to 1. So, even if such a first living cell came into being, the odds against it having no extra stop codons are far greater than 10^25,020 to 1 just for this simple restriction. Put another way, it would take more than 10^25,000 such spontaneous creation abiogenesis events of a supposed first living cell for even 1 of those to be remotely likely. And it would take more than 10^50 events for a gene of that average size without any extra stop codons to even be remotely likely, with much vaster odds against for even larger genes. Yet somehow all 400 of these super great miracles of miracles happened at the exact same time in the very same creature. That is preposterous. So, abiogenesis is impossible.

The vast odds against a set of spontaneously created protein coding genes that would produce any useful coordinated proteins due to all the possible variations of DNA base pairs in a first living cell can be calculated. And there would have been at least 400 of them in the first living cell. From model 5 developed later, for just 1 average size protein coding gene coming into existence spontaneously that would have made up a first living cell, the odds against are far greater than 10^4,000 to 1. For 400 such protein coding genes, the total odds against are far greater than 10^160,000 to 1. Put another way, there would have to have been an inconceivable number of such spontaneous abiogenesis events to have produced one. And each such event would have required a time that would be vastly inconceivably greater than the supposed 13.8 billion years since the universe existed. Of course, each of these events are actually impossible as proved below.

Furthermore, the odds against that much DNA code in this smaller supposed first cell are far greater than 10^240,000 to 1. And since all the DNA base pairs must be right-handed, the odds against are far greater than 10^360,000 to 1. And since the DNA would be double stranded with complementary strands, the odds against are far greater than 10^720,000 to 1. And these odds against are just for the DNA code. And these odds against do not include all the other atoms which are required to maintain the shape and integrity of the physical structure of the DNA backbones of both strands. Furthermore, the 2 intertwined strands of the double helix for the DNA must be completely aligned so that that double helix would have the exact shape. And this alignment would have to be near perfect so that the hydrogen bond forces would hold it together. There would have to be already created RNA strands and proteins in such a first cell at the moment of spontaneous creation of this first cell for it to have survived and replicated, else it is all for naught. And these nucleotides for the RNA strands and the amino acid sequences of the proteins would all have to match each other and the

DNA code according to the rules of transcription and translation. And all the nucleotides for the DNA and for all RNA strands would have to be right-handed, and the amino acids all left-handed. And all the DNA, all the RNA strands, and all the proteins would have to be in their correct positions within the cell at the time of spontaneous creation so it could even survive. And it would have had at least tens of thousands of proteins, each of the proper type and amounts of each type, with each in the proper location. All genes in first living cell would have to have the ability to produce a coordinated set of proteins that work together in a very precise manner to survive and replicate without excessive DNA mutation ERRORS. And thus, the protein coding genes are also a very particular set of DNA code and that includes all intergenic regions with any epigenetics working precisely. And these odds against do not include all the other atoms which are required to maintain the shape and integrity of the physical structure of the RNA strands. So, the odds against the DNA, RNA and proteins would be far greater than 10^(1 billion) to 1. And all of the at least tens of thousands of proteins must have been folded into their exact correct 3-dimensional shapes so that they could function properly. And this is especially true of all the many thousands of proteins that act as enzymes. And throughout this supposed first living cell, the temperature, pH, and the concentrations of a number of key ions must have been within their controlled ranges. And similar constraints would have to have been met for these things in the environment of the outside of the cell. And within the cell, even relative motion of molecules must have been within tolerable limits. Such a first cell would have had greater than 100 billion atoms of various elements arraigned in a particular 3D configuration. The odds against that are far greater than 10^(100 billion) to 1. And all of these would have had to occur within a very small window of time and in a very small volume. Furthermore, the laws of science would make it impossible for such a configuration from ever coming together in that small volume in such a small window of time. And it would be impossible anywhere for this to have happened in the universe, including anywhere on or in the earth.

But even if the supposed first living cell could have come into being spontaneously, survived and replicated, all first-generation descendants would have already been modified by DNA mutation ERRORS. So, that would mean that they could not have had any offspring that would have survived or replicated even if they could have survived. And any second-generation offspring would have acquired even more DNA mutation ERRORS.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. These facts refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19b6BoWPJA/

C. Many evolutionists do not understand the ramifications of the fact that all individual organisms of all species accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS. While deadly and

disadvantageous mutations are removed by natural selection, natural selection cannot help with the accumulation of the other DNA mutation ERRORS because all individuals of all species have the accumulation and all descendant lines of all survivors will continue to accumulate more and more with each generation. Some even claim that this accumulation does not happen. In fact, if this does not occur then evolution, common descent and billions of years are false, since there would be no change in the genomes of any species. But these rates do exist and they have been measured in a many species. These measured rates are given in the above post. This phenomenon leads to things such as increasing genetic entropy, aka increasing genetic load. It also leads to genetic divergence and genetic drift. Evolutionists use these rates to supposedly date when species supposedly branched off from some supposed last common ancestors (LCA).

Now consider the rate of DNA mutation ERRORS in all species. If that DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rate is 0, there is no change in the genome of species and so evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, since there would be no change in the genomes of any species. But if the rate is very small, then it would have taken incomprehensibly vast ages to produce any new species, a far greater time than the supposed about 13.8 billion years than the universe existed. But even under this case, the extinction of all species would have happened long before that. And any rate about 1/10 of today's actual rates or more will lead to extinction relatively quickly. DNA mutation ERRORS destroy information. They do not create information. Thus, under no value for the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS will evolution be true. So, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" have been falsified again. Thus, God created all things.

The supposed first cell would not have had as an advanced DNA error correction, DNA error repair system, and DNA duplication system as exists today. So, the DNA of these early generations would have exponentially decayed quickly. The number of generations from that supposed first living cell along all descendant lines to extinction would have been probably 10 or less but certainly at most 1000. And there is no real chance of any new functionality coming into being at all. This is proved many times in this book, as any new functionality would have required at some point a number of simultaneous changes in the very same creature as to how it survives and replicates. Even after very many generations, no new functionality would have emerged at all because DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce any significant new functionality. This is why for many reasons, a much smaller supposed first living cell is preposterous.

Any first cell coming into existence spontaneously in an abiogenesis event would have produced a vast leap in information content. And that information includes all the DNA, RNA, proteins and all structures contained in such. That is impossible. But from then on, DNA mutation ERRORS would have caused a degradation of the information of that species and all individual organisms along all descent lines. And the decrease of information is proportional to the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS and also proportional to the amount of total information. Thus, the information content can be modeled, using a first order approximation, as having a constant decay constant. So,

the solution for the amount of information over time is the exponential decay function given below. This equation is applicable to all species and descendant lines from that first living cell.

$I(t) = I(0)\, e^{(-kt)}$

where I(t) is the amount of information in species or descendant lines from the first living cell, I(0) is the initial amount of information in the first cell due to abiogenesis, k is the decay constant due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, and t is the time since abiogenesis.

Also, note that any supposed pre-DNA cell could have never come into being spontaneously, survived, and replicated, and evolved into a living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins. This is proved later. And even if it did, all descendant lines would have gone extinct within just a few generations as the mutation ERRORS rate would be even larger in any RNA organism. And of course, there is no possibility to have made the jump to a living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins no matter what kind it was. This is also proved later.

Now at first it must be the case that that first living cell would have had a much more fragile system of DNA error correction, DNA error repair, and DNA duplication as exists today. This is simply because anything more robust, sophisticated and accurate would require a much more complex first creature from abiogenesis. That would make abiogenesis even more preposterous. So, more than likely this abiogenesis event would not last many generations at all, maybe not past the first one or a few. Thus, the supposed time since abiogenesis of the first living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins to extinction of that species and all descendant lines would have been significantly less than 10,000 years. This refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

For eukaryotes, the decay of genetic information is an even faster decay rate due to the larger mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rate. Mitochondrial DNA accumulates DNA mutation ERRORS at a rate at least 100x that of nuclear DNA. Thus, all eukaryote species must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, this situation will certainly not lead to an increase to many millions of times the amount of information from that first cell. Thus, the supposed time since abiogenesis must be less than 10,000 years as will be proved below. And again, this refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

But there are yet more problems for evolution. The following discusses 3 events claimed by evolutionists to have happened in the ancient past and required to have happened in the ancient past for evolution to be true. These events are the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes.

Now, all 3 of these have been proved false by many people, many times and in many irrefutable and infallible ways, including by the proofs in this book. So, these refute the theories of evolution. Consider the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria. Mitochondrial DNA accumulates DNA mutation ERRORS at a significantly higher rate than nuclear DNA. Based on measured rates today, the rate for mitochondrial DNA is at least 100x that of nuclear DNA. But the accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS at the time of the endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria must have been at least as great, if not greater than the measured rates today. Thus, due to this even greater rate, the time from this supposed endosymbiotic event to the extinction of mitochondria and thus any eukaryotes that contained such and used them for ATP production must be significant less 10,000 years. The same argument holds for the other required supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes. All 3 would have had a similar type of exponential decay function as given above for the first living cell each with their own decay constant. And due to all of these rates being significantly higher than the current large accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS measured today, then the time from each of these events to the extinction of eukaryotes must be significantly less than 10,000 years. Thus, the entire timeline since abiogenesis to extinction, and the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, and the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes all collapse to significantly less than 10,000 years. Also note that at the time of these 3 supposed events, the rate accumulation of nuclear DNA mutation ERRORS in prokaryotes would have also been significantly higher than the current large accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS measured today. The reason is that mitochondria and chloroplasts supposedly came from an engulfed prokaryote and they got their DNA from the supposed engulfed prokaryotes. So, prokaryotes would have gone extinct in significantly less than 10,000 years since the time of the 3 events mentioned above. So again, these refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth. Furthermore, there exists similar genetic information decay functions for all nodes of the supposed evolution descent tree. And all of these very many genetic information decay functions refute each node, it’s supposed node time since some supposed last common ancestor, and all the subtrees from that node. This absolutely destroys evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” and proves that God created all things recently.

For each node of the supposed evolution descent tree, there exists a genetic information decay function given by

$$I(t) = I(0)\, e^{(-kt)}$$

where I(t) is the amount of information in species or descendant lines from the last common ancestor associated with that node, I(0) is the amount of genetic information in

that LCA, k is the decay constant due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS for the LCA, and t is the time since that LCA.

So, the rate of DNA mutation ERRORS at the time of the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and in the prokaryotes at the time of the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes must be greater than the rate of DNA mutation ERRORS measured today. And that rate is 100x or more than the rate of nuclear DNA mutation ERRORS measured today.

Now, all of the supposed below events have been proved impossible. But even if they did occur, all will end in extinction within significantly less than 10,000 years.
All descent lines since the abiogenesis of the first living cell.
Emergence of mitochondria from an endosymbiotic event and all descent lines from the first organism that used such.
Emergence of chloroplasts from an endosymbiotic event and all descent lines from the first organism that used such.
Emergence of eukaryotes from prokaryotes and all descent lines.
Prokaryotes and all descent lines from the time these endosymbiotic events.
And working back from today, all eukaryotes and prokaryotes must be less than 10,000 years old.

Now based on this proof, all of the supposed entire evolution descent tree must be false. And all supposed major branch offs never happened. And there is no possibility that any new kinds could have emerged. And all of the supposed emergence of any complex function, organ, system, subsystem also never happened. And all of the supposed times of all branch offs of the supposed entire evolution descent tree completely collapse. And there is absolutely not enough time to have produced the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. And by simple reasoning, all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth has been falsified since they are all correlated with the above living things. Therefore, the entire house of cards that evolution is built upon has collapsed. Thus, evolution, common descent, billions of years, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false. And God created everything recently. And of course, evolution, common descent, billions of years, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately.

Abiogenesis has never been observed. It is not observed now. There is no record of it having ever occurred in the past. And all analyses prove it is indeed impossible. And even scientists using intelligently designed experiments have failed miserably. And even if one day they succeed, it would not reflect what has happened in the past or in the present in the wild without intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. In fact, it would prove that it takes intelligence to do this even if one day they succeed.

Many people, many times and in many ways have proved abiogenesis is impossible. Thus, the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science has been proven false and

false forever. Evolution, billions of years, and common descent require the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science and are thus false and false forever. Thus, God Almighty created all things and recently. And that means the “no God” assumption can never be used in determining when did things come into existence.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nucleic_acid_double_helix

105. Proof that abiogenesis is impossible. There are many things that prove that abiogenesis is impossible without God. Plus, the following will show that evolution, billions of years and common descent are false. This refutes the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science” which is the basis of evolution, billions of years and common descent. And this proves that God created all things recently. Part 2. (TOC) (back)

Part 1 of this 2-part proof is found at the following link and in post 104 in this book.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BtmRG7ueK/

D. A cell, DNA, RNA, and proteins are dependent on each other for survival and replication, an impossible to resolved set of contradictions. And any supposed first living creature that evolved to a cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins is preposterous.

A cell is required to make DNA, RNA and proteins. But you need DNA, RNA and proteins to make a cell, and for that cell to survive and replicate. DNA, RNA and proteins are required to make DNA. But DNA, RNA and proteins are required to make RNA. And DNA, RNA and proteins are required to make proteins. So, how could any of these ever come into existence since they require themselves and the other things to even exist?

So, the first living thing must have been very complex from the very start. Thus, the first living cell must have been created by God as it could have never come into being from non-living chemicals without God.

Some evolutionists have theorized about a self-replicating RNA world that existed before cells with DNA. First there is no evidence whatsoever that any such world existed. There are RNA viruses today, but they require a host for replication. That host must be a cell which always has DNA, RNA and proteins. So, they are not independently living creatures. They are not self-replicating as they require a host cell for replication. And they always remain viruses. They do not become anything other than viruses. And they never become self-replicating or independently living creatures. So, these cannot be that made up self-replicating RNA world that supposedly existed before cells with DNA.

And if a self-replicating RNA world did exist, where did it go? There is no such thing in the world today. In fact, a self-replicating RNA world should exist and be thriving today with far greater than 10^20 self-replicating RNA organisms in the world today if it were

even possible. And why are there no new self-replicating RNA worlds coming into existence now? If the self-replicating RNA world theory is true, there is nothing preventing it from coming into existence now. In fact, there should be multiple self-replicating RNA worlds in existence today from times past, each with their own unique signatures. After all, if it is so easy to come into existence, it must have happened several times in the last 4 billion years or so. Remember when you make that first step supposedly easy, then it is indeed easy and so should have happened multiple times already and happening today.

And even if such a self-replicating RNA world existed, it would never be able to evolve into a cell that has DNA, RNA and proteins. To exist and reproduce, it must have a minimum set of features. And if it only consisted of RNA, then DNA must have evolved afterward. But that requires very many more super great miracles of miracles in addition to the super great miracle of miracles of the first such self-replicating RNA creature coming into existence from non-living chemicals. It would also be impossible as the creature would have to switch how it survives and reproduces all at once to the new method of using DNA all simultaneously in the very same creature. Such an event would destroy it. This is more preposterous than an abiogenesis event of a first living cell with DNA, RNA and proteins coming into existence spontaneously from non-living chemicals. The same argument refutes any first living thing that consisted of RNA and proteins, RNA, proteins and something else, or RNA and something else.

Some evolutionists have theorized about an even smaller first living thing to make that first living thing even possible. It could be protein only or something else entirely. First there is no evidence whatsoever that any such thing existed. And there is no such thing that exists now. And if such a world did exist, where did it go? In fact, self-replicating such creatures should exist and be thriving today with far greater than 10^20 organisms in the world today if it were even possible. And why are there no new such things coming into existence now? If this new smaller thing world theory is true, there is nothing preventing it from coming into existence now. In fact, there should be multiple such things in existence today each with their own unique signatures. After all, if it is so easy to come into existence, it must have happened many times in the last 4 billion years or so. Remember when you make that first step supposedly easier, then it is indeed easier and so should have happened multiple times already.

But even if such a smaller first living thing world existed, it would never be able to evolve into a cell that has DNA, RNA and proteins. To exist and reproduce, it must have a minimum set of features. If it is only consisted of proteins, then RNA and DNA must have evolved somehow afterwards. Both of these steps are more preposterous as a cell coming into being from non-living chemicals. And both would require very many more super great miracles of miracles in addition to the proteins only super great miracles of miracles for the first such creature. It would also be impossible as the creature would have to switch how it survives and reproduces all at once to the new method of using RNA and DNA all simultaneously in the very same creature. Such an event would destroy it. This is more preposterous than an abiogenesis event of a first living cell with

DNA, RNA and proteins coming into existence spontaneously from non-living chemicals.

And if it was something other than proteins only, then DNA, RNA, and proteins must have evolved somehow afterwards. But that would require very many more super great miracles of miracles in addition to the non-protein miraculous first such creature. It would also be impossible as the creature would have to switch how it survives and reproduces all at once to the new method of using DNA, RNA, and proteins all simultaneously in the very same creature. This is more preposterous than an abiogenesis event of a first living cell with DNA, RNA and proteins coming into existence spontaneously from non-living chemicals.

When evolutionists try to make the first living thing as small as possible, they still have not made even that smaller first step possible. Not only that, but if the first living thing is too small and primitive then it would never have survived and replicated. Not only that, but now there is an enormous amount of change to evolve to the first living cells with DNA, RNA, and proteins. And this evolution to eventually get to a first living cell which uses DNA, RNA, and proteins either happens in one large impossible jump or a series of still impossible small jumps. Each are impossible because for each jump a creature would have to switch how it survives and reproduces all at once with a large number of simultaneous super great miracles of miracles in the very same creature. This step wise fairy tale is more preposterous than a living cell with DNA, RNA and proteins coming into existence all at once from non-living chemicals.

The theory that there were better conditions for abiogenesis in the past is not true. Physics, chemistry, biology, mathematics, statistics, probability, logic and reality were exactly the same in the early earth as they are today. These are what make any first living thing impossible to have come into being from non-living chemicals, whether it was a cell with DNA/RNA and proteins, RNA based, RNA and protein based, protein based or some other smaller first living thing. And these are what make any supposed evolution from any RNA based, RNA and protein based, protein based or some other smaller first living thing to a cell with DNA, RNA and proteins impossible. So, supposed better conditions in the past do not help at all. And of course, there is no proof that there were better conditions in the past. In fact, there is no proof that the earth is more than 10,000 years old. In fact, there is proof that the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And all species are less than 10,000 years old. The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. These facts refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19b6BoWPJA/

Abiogenesis has been proven to be impossible without God. It is not happening now. There is no record of it ever happening in the past. And a detailed analysis shows that is impossible. So, if evolutionists claim that it happened before, then they must prove that

it did and give the exact details. All claims bring a burden of proof. If evolutionists refuse to accept such a burden, then they are not allowed to make any claims or counter claims. And thus evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science should be retracted worldwide immediately.

Now many evolutionists insist that abiogenesis is not part of evolution. But it is required to maintain the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science. Since abiogenesis has been proved to be impossible, then the “no God” assumption is false. And that means that God must be considered in all parts of origins including how and when things came to be.

But evolutionists must have a first living thing to start with evolution. For example, where did the second living thing come from except it must have come from a first living thing? So, to answer that required question, evolutionists must know what the first living thing was. If it was a complete living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins, then they must establish that that could come into being from non-living chemicals without God. And if there was some very small first living thing, then they must state what that was, name the features it had, and prove that such was possible without God. From there they must show that that somehow evolved through trillions of generations to a complete living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins.

Either evolutionists must show how the first living cell with DNA/RNA/proteins came into existence from non-living chemicals without God or give at least the following information that leads up to the first living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins without God. There are many questions more than this, but these are enough to show that evolutionists have no answer how life started without God. So yet again evolution proves to be the theory of nothing because it does not have the answer for the origin of anything. Until then evolution is not even a scientific theory and should be retracted worldwide immediately.

What was the first living thing and what features did it have?
It must have been able to survive and replicate. Both of these features require many functions. List all of these features and give exact detailed descriptions of how these are carried out down to the operation of all bio molecules, their production, destruction, coordination, etc.
Was it DNA/RNA/protein based, RNA/protein based, RNA only based, protein only based, or something else?
How large was it?
Where did this happen? When did this happen?
What were all the codes for it based on the type of creature it was?
If it had something similar to genes, how many, and what was their sizes and codes?

What was the second generation of living things, where did that come from, and what features did that have?
It must have been able to survive and replicate. Both of these features require many functions. List all of these features and give exact detailed descriptions of how these are

carried out down to the operation of all bio molecules, their production, destruction, coordination, etc.
Was it DNA/RNA/protein based, RNA/protein based, RNA only based, protein only based, or something else?
How large was it?
Where did this happen? When did this happen?
What were all the codes for it based on the type of creature it was?
If it had something similar to genes, how many, and what was their sizes and codes?

Now repeat this last set of questions until you get to the first living cell with DNA/RNA/proteins. How many generations would that be? Hundreds of billions? Trillions? So, not only have evolutionists not even given any possible answer for abiogenesis, which they hide because they have no answer because it is impossible, but they have also no possible answer for the 2nd through the next at least several trillion generations, each depending on the generation before all the way back to the first living thing. So, it not just the first living thing that evolutionists have no answer for, but the next at least several trillion generations.

Then start with the first cell which had DNA/RNA/protein/genes.
What features did it have?
It must have been able to survive and replicate. Both of these features require many functions. List all of these features and give exact detailed descriptions of how these are carried out down to the operation of all bio molecules, their production, destruction, coordination, etc.
How large was it?
Where did this happen? When did this happen?
How many genes did it have?
What were all the codes for all the genes?
Did it have single stranded DNA as its genome?
If so, document all descendant lines in great detail until double stranded DNA evolved.
How many generations was that?
Also give the DNA code for all intergenic regions.

What was the second generation?
What features did it have?
It must have been able to survive and replicate. Both of these features require many functions. List all of these features and give exact detailed descriptions of how these are carried out down to the operation of all bio molecules, their production, destruction, coordination, etc.
How large was it?
Where did this happen? When did this happen?
How many genes did it have?
What were all the codes for all the genes?
Also give the DNA code for all intergenic regions.

Now repeat this last set of questions for next at least several trillion generations down all descent lines. So, not only have evolutionists not even given any possible answer for abiogenesis, which they hide because they have no answer because it is impossible, but they have also no possible answer for the 2nd through the next at least several trillion generations, each depending on the generation before all the way back to the first living thing. So, it not just the first living thing that evolutionists have no answer for, but the next several trillion generations down all descent lines. And that is true for all descent lines from the first living thing or the first living cell. By the way, why do evolutionists have no definitive answer to what the first living thing or the first living cell was, and how did they come into existence?

There is an additional insurmountable obstacle against some supposed primitive first living thing without DNA that would supposedly evolve into a cell which has DNA, RNA, and proteins. RNA is much more fragile than DNA. It would require an even more advanced and intricate protection, repair and maintenance system than a cell which has DNA, RNA, and proteins. Thus, any organism with RNA but not DNA, which is not a full cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins, would be destroyed quickly even if such an organism came to be. And there is no way that is could have produced any offspring that would be viable or even be able to survive. And certainly not any offspring that could reproduce. All such organisms therefore are for naught. Thus, any organism that supposedly came before a DNA based cell whether RNA only, RNA and proteins, RNA and something else, or RNA and proteins and something else, all have the same weak link of RNA as an unsurvivable barrier. And any organism that consisted of protein only, protein and something else, or something else only would run into the same dead end if RNA is added as a later step to a DNA/RNA/protein cell. And the building blocks deception also refutes RNA code just like it does for DNA.

There is another insurmountable obstacle for abiogenies without God. All cells have double stranded DNA for their genome. No cell has single stranded DNA for their genome. Certain viruses have single stranded DNA, but they are not cells and depend upon cells for replication. So, if the first cell with had double stranded DNA, that makes abiogenesis even more preposterous. But if the first cell had single stranded DNA for their genome, then double stranded DNA would have had to have evolved afterward. And that would be one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles of all time since that would have had to occur in the very same organism as its survival and replication depends upon it. That is more preposterous than a living cell with DNA which is double stranded, plus RNA and proteins from non-living chemicals without God. And such a cell with single stranded DNA would have been very fragile and such a cell would have either died quickly, not been able to replicate, or all descendant lines from it going extinct after only several generations.

E. All falsification tests prove abiogenesis without God is impossible. And all these tests are repeatable all the time.

The Miller-Urey experiment tried to produce life from non-living chemicals. Yet even this intelligently designed experiment was an abysmal failure. The only thing produced were

some amino acids, but not all the 20 types that are found in living things. And they were 50-50 racemic, and not all left-handed as is found in living things. And the amount was very low. And the apparatus was a rigged setup which did not use what evolutionists claim were the conditions of the earth when life supposedly came into being. And there were no proteins made of chains of amino acids. And there were no RNA or DNA strands at all. Please note that life cannot start in the presence of free oxygen because of ability of oxygen to oxidize. But without oxygen, there is no ozone layer. And without ozone, there is no protection from radiation that would destroy any attempt at living things on the surface of the earth where it would not be protected from the radiation. Yet this is still used in textbooks and courses as supposedly showing how life might have started from non-living chemicals. And since this is still used, it proves that in the over 70 years since, evolutionists have not even come close. This falsification test falsified evolution, billions of years, and common decent. Amazingly, this abject failure is still used to convince very many people that abiogenesis actually happened with 100% certainty.

As proved prior and later in this book and by many others many times, all observations prove evolution, billions of years and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently. So observational science refutes evolution, billions of years and common descent. Living things always come from existing living things. Cells always come for existing cells. Like kind always produces the same kind and is never produced by another kind.

Experiments by intelligent scientists using intelligent interventions and controlled environments are being done by intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. Thus, it does not reflect what has happened in the past or in the present in the wild without intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. So, what scientists can accomplish does not show what happened without scientists and thus is no proof at all.

Thought experiments and philosophical speculations are of course worthless. And any simulation is just based on a model. There is no way to accurately carry out any simulation for what happened in the past to make a first living cell. And there is no way to design any simulation to show how all these new unique genes coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS that would be valid. <u>All would be based on many false assumptions.</u>

Scientists could conduct <u>experiments which have minimal intervention</u>. Although still not a reflection of what happened in the wild without scientists using intelligently designed procedures, they could shed some light on these issues.

Here are some examples of experiments with minimum intervention concerning abiogenesis. Make a mixture of chemicals with a certain amount of each. That mixture should reasonably match what evolutionist claim was the environment at some time in the past, reflecting where such supposedly happened. This is sometimes referred to as “primordial soup”. Now release the mixture in various places and let it alone. Wait until a

first cell emerges. Evolutionists can run very many of these at the same time using all kinds of appropriate mixtures of chemicals (different “primordial soup” recipes) and release them in very many places. When these all fail, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide. And each failure should be reported in all media worldwide as they happen, explaining why the “primordial soup” theory was never possible. And those announcements should explain why the theory of evolution and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” does not count as science until the problem of abiogenies is actually solved. And if evolutionists do not do these tests, then evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide.

The following experiment addresses the “building blocks of life” deception. Evolutionists claim that if “the building blocks of life” are present, such as all the amino acids that comprise proteins found in living things, and all the nucleotide bases (G, C, A, T and U for RNA instead of T) that make up DNA and RNA, that life will emerge. This is a deception. Living things need long strands of DNA, RNA, and amino acids in very specific sequences. The analogy are the letters, numbers, and punctuation marks that comprise understandable text. The claim that since these exist, understandable text will emerge without intelligence is a deception. Consider that if on each of all the planets, moons, asteroids, comets and stars in the universe, that there were 1,000 trillion monkeys typing at 1,000,000 words per minute for the entire supposed 13.8 billion years that the universe supposedly existed. That is over 10^40 monkeys typing that fast for over 400,000 trillion seconds. The odds against that they would type out a 400,000 character sequence that matched any 400,000 character sequence that exists in all the writings of any kind that mankind has ever produced (about half the size of the New Testament) are far greater than 10^720,000 to 1. It would take over 250 pages to type that number out in long form.

To test “the building blocks of life” theory, take all 5 nucleotide bases and all 20 amino acids found in living things. They all must be racemic. Choose any proportion of them. Make the concentrations of each of any amount, even as high as possible. Call it “the building blocks of life” “primordial soup”. This is an enormous help for the evolutionist cause. Now release the mixture in various places and let it alone. Wait until a first living cell emerges. Evolutionists can run very many of these at the same time using all kinds of appropriate mixtures (different “the building blocks of life” “primordial soup” recipes) and release them in very many places. When these all fail, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide. And each failure should be reported in all media worldwide as they happen, explaining why the “the building blocks of life” “primordial soup” theory was never possible. And those announcements should explain why the theory of evolution and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” does not count as science until the problem of abiogenies is actually solved. And if evolutionists do not do these tests, then evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide.

Here is another experiment that addresses the “building blocks of life” deception. Start with the smallest free-living cell. Dissect and separate it into 100 pieces of approximately equal size but randomly cut. This will be another kind of “the building blocks of life” “primordial soup”. Note that this mixture has many long strands of DNA, RNA, and amino acids in very specific sequences. This is an enormous help evolutionists. Now release the mixture in various places and let it alone. Wait until a first cell emerges. Evolutionists can run very many of these at the same time using different species of free-living cells (different “the building blocks of life” “primordial soup” recipes) and release them in very many places. Or take a living cell and wait until it has completely stopped functioning so that it is dead. This is an enormous help for the evolutionist cause. Now release the mixture in various places and let it alone. Wait until a living cell reemerges. Evolutionists can run very many of these at the same time using different species of free-living cells (different “the building blocks of life” from a dead cell “primordial soup” recipes) and release them in very many places. When these all fail, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide. And each failure should be reported in all media worldwide as they happen, explaining why the “the building blocks of life” “primordial soup” theory was never possible. And those announcements should explain why the theory of evolution and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” does not count as science until the problem of abiogenies is actually solved. And if evolutionists do not do these tests, then evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide.

What will never happen with any “primordial soup” mixture or any of the kinds of “the building blocks of life” “primordial soup” mixtures are that a cell which has DNA, RNA, proteins, and at least 400 protein coding genes will emerge that will survive and be able to reproduce. This proves that the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” is false. And this false assumption should be retracted worldwide immediately. And its use in attempting to determine the origin and long ages of things should also be retracted worldwide immediately. If these experiments are not carried out, that would be an admission that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption should be retracted worldwide immediately. And each failure should be announced worldwide in all media and all textbooks, courseware, and courses that are related to this should be updated immediately. And the Miller experiment should be declared a failure as well as all other attempts by evolutionists. Evolutionists have been promising a solution to abiogenesis for over 100 years. If they claim that they are getting near to the answer or have given up, then the above worldwide retraction should be enforced until they actually produce a cell which has DNA, RNA, proteins, and at least 400 protein coding gene that will survive and be able to reproduce. Anything less than that does not solve the problem of abiogenesis.

The following refutation tests refute the supposed self-replicating RNA world which supposedly lead to the first living cell that had DNA, RNA, and proteins. The claim is that self-replicating RNA led to a RNA creature, that over time became the first living cell with DNA. Take strands of already assembled RNA from any source cell. This is a great help to evolutionists. Call it “RNA primordial soup”. Now release the mixture in various places in the wild and let it alone. Wait until a first living cell with DNA, RNA and proteins emerges or if it becomes massive amounts of self-replicating RNA. Now take as many different strands of different RNA sequences and as many as each of those as desired. Or just take a mixture of any concentration of the 4 nucleotides not connected into strands. Evolutionists can run very many of these at the same time using all kinds of appropriate mixtures (different “RNA primordial soup” recipes) and release them in very many places. When these all fail to produce a first living cell with DNA or fail to become massive amounts of self-replicating RNA, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide. And each failure should be reported in all media worldwide as they happen, explaining why the RNA world theory was never possible. And those announcements should explain why the theory of evolution and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” does not count as science until the problem of abiogenies is actually solved. Please note that even if a self-replicating RNA world were possible, it would never evolve into a cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins that could survive and replicate.

Of course, this type of testing happens all the time, every second throughout the world. When any organism dies, enormous amounts of RNA strands are released into the wild. No self-replicating RNA world has ever emerged. Therefore, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide.

The following refutation tests refute a supposed protein world which supposedly lead to the first living cell that had DNA, RNA, and proteins. Take strands of already assembled proteins from any source cell. This is a great help to evolutionists. Call it “high protein primordial soup”. Now release the mixture in various places in the wild and let it alone. Wait until a first living cell with DNA, RNA and proteins emerges or if it becomes massive amounts of self-replicating proteins. Evolutionists can run very many of these at the same time using all kinds of mixtures of any types of proteins of any concentrations of each (different “high protein primordial soup” recipes) and release them in very many places. When these all fail to produce a first living cell or fail to become massive amounts of self-replicating proteins, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide. And each failure should be reported in all media worldwide as they happen, explaining why a protein world theory was never possible. And those announcements should explain why the theory of evolution and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” does not count as science until the problem of abiogenies is actually solved. Please note that even if a protein world were possible, it would never evolve into a cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins that could survive and replicate.

Of course, this type of testing happens all the time, every second throughout the world. When any organism dies, enormous amounts of proteins are released into the wild. No self-replicating protein world has ever emerged. Therefore, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide.

Now, evolutionists may object to all these tests and that they do not prove evolution is false. But there are no observations of abiogenesis by any means without God occurring. And there is no record of any of it ever happening in the past. And all analyses show that it is impossible. So, they only thing left is to try and show that it is possible through a rigorous set of tests which have to be evaluated by groups of young earth creationists. That must be part of any valid peer review system. There is nothing else left for evolution to support any of its claims. And these failures will eliminate the last claim that evolution is science. If these tests fail or evolutionists refuse to carry out all these tests, then evolution is false. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately. And all courses, courseware, book, papers must be modified accordingly. And a worldwide announcement should be made in all media worldwide immediately. And that God created all things recently has been proved. And as each of these tests fail, these failures should be reported in all media worldwide immediately with all of their implications.

For a complete discussion of current falsification tests that refute evolution and very many proposed falsification tests that also refute evolution see section 27 of “All the Unique Genes”. Science should be observable, testable and repeatable. Evolution fails on all 3, because no observations match any of its claims, and all tests refute all of its claims, and all these refutation tests are repeatable all the time. And every last thing in the universe and the universe itself when analyzed refute evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

<u>F. The vast odds against any new functionality coming into existence in a step wise manner or all at once refute evolution.</u>

The odds against abiogenesis without God were estimated prior. That estimate was based on the smallest free-living cell and then calculated the odds against a smaller first living cell with about 400 genes and about 400,000 base pairs. The estimate of the odds against considered all the DNA, RNA, and proteins in that first living cell. All amino acids had to be left-handed, and all nucleotides had to be right-handed. It considered other factors such as the 3D double stranded DNA shape, the correct position of all biomolecules and even the correct 3D arrangement of all atoms in it. The odds against were so vast as to be incomprehensible. But it was also proved that the laws of physics would have prevented such from ever coming together in such a small volume in such a small window of time. So, it is impossible that such a first living cell could have come into existence without God. Furthermore, it was proved that such a first living cell would have had all its first protein coding genes spontaneously created. So, they would have

had misplaced stop codons scattered throughout. And because of the great and potentially infinite persistence of misplaced stop codons, then all species would still have almost all, if not all, of their protein coding genes with misplaced stop codons scattered throughout with sets of protein coding genes having the same unique signatures of the same locations of the first misplaced stop codon. That is not observed at all, so abiogenesis is impossible without God.

Now many evolutionists claim that the first living thing was something much smaller and simpler than the above first living cell. The following looks at this claim in detail. And that claim will also be shown to be false for the same reasons.

Assume a first living cell with DNA with only 3 genes and only about 30,000 base pairs. Such a creature is still impossible. The odds against it just for those 3 genes are far greater than 10^18,000 to 1. And the laws of nature would still prevent it from ever coming together in such a small volume in such a small window of time. So, it is impossible. And the great persistence of misplaced stop codons also proves it never happened. And anything less than a cell with DNA would never have evolved to a cell with DNA. This was proved prior. And such a first living thing would have never been able to survive and replicate.

But ignore all these impossibilities for now. The following proof is applicable to any other first living thing without DNA of any size or one with DNA with only a few genes. To get to a living cell with DNA, RNA and proteins with about 400 unique genes and about 400,000 base pairs would have to occur all at once or in some stepwise manner. The odds against that many spontaneous new unique genes are vastly greater than 10^(240,000) to 1. In fact, it is impossible and more preposterous than such a cell coming into existence from non-living chemicals without God. And the main reason is it would not only require that it would all have to happen with absolute precision in the very same creature simultaneously which affects its survival and reproduction, but the very existence of the organism that it happened to would be physically in the way of such an event happening in such a small volume in such a small window of time. Thus, the very presence of the tiny organism makes it more preposterous than a first living cell with about 400 unique genes and about 400,000 base pairs coming into existence spontaneously without God. And in this event, all the new protein coding genes would have had misplaced stop codons scattered throughout. And because of the great and potentially infinite persistence of misplaced stop codons, then all species would still have almost all or all of their protein coding genes with misplaced stop codons scattered throughout with sets of protein coding genes having the same unique signatures of the same locations of the first misplaced stop codon. That is not observed at all, so this spontaneous gain of about 400 genes with most being protein coding genes is impossible without God. And if instead of gaining about 400 new genes all at once, there was a series of smaller gains of genes, the same argument rules that out but now with a number of impossibilities and not just 1. So, this is even more preposterous.

The last possibility is to gain of about 400 genes gradually that came into being over a long time by any means. Now, from sections 1-7 of “All the Unique Genes”, the odds

against that are vastly greater than 10^(240,000) to 1. However, those models, Model 4 and 5, did not consider other issues for the emergence of new functionality over a long time. Some of those issues were covered in sections 12 and 16 of “All the Unique Genes”. So, it is almost virtually certain if not absolutely certain that it would not be possible. Also, new functionality coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS gradually over a long time would still have produced misplaced stop codons in some if not all of the new protein coding genes. And because of the great and potentially infinite persistence of misplaced stop codons, then all species would still have almost all, if not all, of their protein coding genes with misplaced stop codons scattered throughout with sets of protein coding genes having the same unique signatures of the same locations of the first misplaced stop codon. That is not observed at all, so this gradual emergence of new functionality is impossible without God.

But Models 4 and 5 from section 7 of “All the Unique Genes” vastly underestimated the odds against such new functionality coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS.

The odds against any new functionality coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS gradually over a long time for about 400 new genes are far greater than 10^(9 million) to 1. And the average odds against any of those genes are far greater than 10^(9 million) to 1. And the time it would have taken in years and the number of generations it would have taken are far greater than 10^(9 million).

The equations used for these calculations are given below. The odds against new functionality coming into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS consisting of N genes in haploids are far greater than

P(NS, rNS) to 1

where S is the average size of the genes, which is about 10,000 base pairs, N is the number of new unique genes that comprise the new functionality, and r is the percentage of mutated base pairs across those N genes. Since it would take a vast time for this to occur r is assumed to be equal to 0.4. If anyone objects try a smaller value of r, say 0.1. It makes no difference in this proof that evolution is false. This is actually a vast underestimate of the odds against, and the odds against may be infinite.

These N genes raise the average odds against evolution producing the over 100 trillion (possibly 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed to far greater than

P(NS, rNS) to 1

From section 12 of “All the Unique Genes” even if there is on average only a 1 in a trillion chance that on average a unique gene could not have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, evolution is false. So, any new functionality makes the average odds against evolution to vastly exceed that threshold. And each new unique

gene of that new functionality would have odds against that are vast and also make the average odds against exceed that threshold. So, that is N contradictions to the theory of evolution which prove it is false. And that is true for all functionality that has ever existed.

The time in years and number of generations it would take for that to have happened are far greater than

P(NS, rNS) years and P(NS, rNS) generations, respectively. This was proved in post 101 of this book.

This is actually a vast underestimate of the time and number of generations it would take, and the time and number of generations it would take may be infinite.

The reasons that the 4 equations are virtually identical are as follows. There have only been about 10^45 genes that have ever existed in all organisms that have ever existed. That is not just unique genes, but actual genes with many duplicates. That is the total chances to reduce those odds. And those chances must have occurred over a period of about 3.8 billion years which is the supposed time that life has existed on earth. So, to convert the 1st part of the odds against to the years it would have taken, divide by 10^45 and multiple by 3.8 billion years. That reduces the exponent by less than 36, which is insignificant with such large exponents, especially considering that odds against are always calculated to be “far greater than”. For average odds against just divide equation 1 by 100 trillion (possibly 500 trillion). And for the number of generations just multiple equation 3 by 2N for haploids to have mutations fixed in a population. Again, due to the large exponents it makes no difference.

Finally, the odds against evolution having produced all unique genes (over 100 trillion possibly over 500 trillion) that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed can be estimated. The total odds against are far greater than 1.5 x 10^32 to 1 for the lower number and far greater than 3.75 x 10^33 to 1 for the higher number. That makes the average odds against one of those genes far greater than 1.5 x 10^32 to 1 for the lower number and far greater than 3.75 x 10^33 to 1 for the higher number. And the time in years and generations that it would have taken for that to have happened are far greater than 1.5 x 10^32 for the lower number and far greater than 3.75 x 10^33 for the higher number. And a vast number of those events would have been impossible so the odds against are infinite and the time it would have taken infinite. The equations, which are valid for diploids that reproduce sexually of which have over ½ of all unique genes, used for these calculations are

O^(10N^2) to 1 for total odds and average odds against, and O^(10N^2) for time in years and generations it would have taken. This was proved in post 101 of this book.

G. One of the greatest impossible hurdles for any first living thing of any kind and size coming into existence without God are enzymes.

And any new functionality has this same impossible hurdle. About 25-30% of all genes in bacteria are genes that make enzymes. So, 1 in 3 to 1 in 4 genes in bacteria make enzymes. So, for about every 2 or 3 genes in bacteria there is a gene that made an enzyme. So, any new functionality composed of even 3 or 4 genes in bacteria at the time of abiogenesis had 1 gene that made an enzyme. This is a threshold for new functionality at that time. An enzyme can never come into existence by evolution through mutation ERRORS. The main function of enzymes is to catalyze crucial biochemical reactions. They do that by reducing the activation energy of these biochemical reactions. Without their catalytic functionality, these reactions will either never happen or would require vast amounts of time. But those reactions are involved in all aspects of the functionality, survival and replication of cells or any other supposed living thing. Not a single function could be carried out by any cell or any biological organism without these enzymes. All cells or any biological organism would die within a short time if these enzymes did not function. Each of these enzymes are very specific to their role in a particular biochemical reaction. So, there is no way mutation ERRORS could have produced these enzymes without the very specific biochemical reaction. But the biochemical reaction could have never functioned at all or at a vastly too slow rate without the particular enzyme. So, mutation ERRORS of any kind could never have produced that particular biochemical reaction. So, for about 400 new genes, assume there would have been about 80 genes that made enzymes (20%), and each are impossible to have come into being by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And the genetic information exponential decay function from the accumulation of mutation ERRORS would have wiped that out right away any first living creature of any kind whether DNA or not. And for about 400 genes all at once that is still about 80 genes that make enzymes but now all at once. And the genetic information exponential decay function from the accumulation of mutation ERRORS would have wiped that out right away also. This is not for just replication. This is for survival. Without enzymes to catalyze the biochemical reactions, the organism could not have even reacted to its outside environment that would have destroyed it in seconds. And it could never have obtained nutrients from its outside environment to produce not a single copy of any biochemical molecule to do anything let alone reproduce. Thus, all such first living creatures of any kind and size, which are impossible to even come into existence without God as proved above, die within seconds.

Furthermore, there have been over 1 trillion (possibly 10 trillion) unique enzymes and their associated over 1 trillion (possibly 10 trillion) unique protein coding genes in all species that have ever existed. Each one represents new functionality that supposedly came into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. Thus, there are over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) contradictions to the theories of evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, and thus God created all things recently.

H. The much larger case against abiogenies and evolution.

Abiogenesis has been proved to be impossible without God. So, that means the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” is false. Thus, it can never be used in

determining what is the origin of things and the long dating of things. This has vast implications for any science in this area of knowledge. One important area is the so-called peer review system of secular “science” in terms of origins. This is used by many to determine what is the supposed “scientific consensus”. However, the supposed peer review system is completely based on the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. No one ever has or ever will be able to prove that God does not exist, because it is not possible to do so logically. And the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” has been proved false many times by many people in many irrefutable and infallible ways. So, the supposed peer review system is completely unscientific, illogical, and irrational. This is proved and discussed in section 41 of “All the Unique Genes”.

To pull off this great deception, evolutionists eliminate creation by God, which is the only possible answer, and thus leave just one choice - common descent through evolution. Contemplate how irrational, illogical, unscientific, and deceptive this is. For example, there are 2 possibilities to explain these common features between species, creation by God or common descent by evolution. So, common features cannot be used as evidence for evolution and common descent since there is a valid alternative explanation.

Here is a simple analogy to show how illogical this deception by evolutionists is. A person is on trial for murder. The prosecutor points out that the murderer and the defendant both have a head, 2 eyes, a mouth, a nose, 2 ears, 2 hands, 2 feet, 2 legs, and 2 arms. Yet there are billions of people who have the same description. But the judge rules that no one else is allowed to be considered as a suspect. The jury must then convict. By the way, no prosecutor has ever presented such evidence as it is absolutely ridiculous to do so, and the jury would be completely perplexed if a prosecutor did this. They would know the prosecutor had no evidence at all to resort to such nonsense. Of course, the defense attorney points out that his client who was born in 1980 could not have murdered Julius Caesar as he was murdered circa 44 BC by Brutus and those guys.

In the real world, in real science and in real logic, if data can be explained by 2 competing theories, that data cannot be used to accept one theory and reject the other. The logical, rational, and scientific procedure is to look for evidence that conflicts with one theory yet matches the other. For example, when analyzing the similarities between species, inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences can be used to prove evolution and common descent are false. This is proved in section 18 of “All the Unique Genes” (excerpt here). This is refutation by counterexample which is irrefutable logic. And only 1 counterexample is needed for complete refutation. Yet in this case, there are innumerable counterexamples which prove that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false. Any theory that is so refuted and continues to be promoted is not science but a lie. In fact, in this case, it is a false religion with false religious dogma. So, when considering the similarities and differences between species, these are not evidence for evolution, billions of years, and common descent at all but actually evidence against evolution, billions of years, and common descent. Thus, one of the

supposed pieces of evidence for evolution is actually proof against it. What a great deception by evolutionists.

Now some may claim that evolutionists are not enforcing the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. But it is easy to show that they indeed are. Just look through any textbook, course material, and publications in the “scientific” literature that pertains to evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the origin and long age dating of things, and see where the word “God” appears. Also, include all media, TV documentaries, information in museums, etc. In almost all cases, the word “God” will not appear. That is 100% the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” enforced with a rod of iron. Yet they should all state clearly that what they contain is based on the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. In fact, it is illogical, irrational, and unscientific to not state that assumption.

The reality is that all their theories are false and have been proved false by many people many times in many irrefutable and infallible ways. And the only real origin scientists are those that believe that evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and that God created all things recently. And there are very many scientists that are Biblical young earth creationists. If you remove all false claims that evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are true from all the supposed scientific papers, then what is left is real evidence, facts and science. And that is what proves that all their theories are false. And the real scientific consensus is that God did create all things recently.

It is not only abiogenesis without God that it is impossible. It is every last claim of evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and anything based on the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” that is false. In terms of living things, it is every claim by evolutionists and all long ages claimed.

Here are just some irrefutable and infallible proofs against the theories of evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. There are many more than these.

Supposedly, evolution produced introns through DNA mutation ERRORS. That is impossible. Introns, the proteins that are involved in processing them, and the requirement to actually edit the DNA of an organism in inserting them, would have to have OCCURRED in the very same organism simultaneously. And this one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles would have happened over a trillion times. Introns are too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved from DNA mutation ERRORS. Spliceosomes with over 100 protein coding genes process introns but almost all its genes have introns, an impossible to resolve contradiction. This is proved in posts 93A and 93B of this book and at the following links.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17ajuuqjud/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Bm9RRJsof/

Prokaryotes could never have evolved into eukaryotes. This also proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created all things. This is proved in post 12 of this book and at the following link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19udBckjma/

The translation RNA codon table is not universal. Each of the many exceptions to the standard table proves that evolution is false, and God created all things. Also, the supposed origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts is impossible which also prove evolution is false. This is proved in post 10 of this book and at the following link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EdoTb3Yod/

Mitochondria and chloroplasts completely refute evolution and billions of years. This is proved in post 11 of this book and at the following link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17Mha62xQ5/

There are many other proofs against evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and created all things in sections 14-16, 18, 19-22, 25-26, 29-31, and 33-38 of “All the Unique Genes”, and in many of the posts in this book.

If evolutionists object to the calculations and the equations I used in my 2 books of the odds against evolution producing anything through DNA mutation ERRORS, then they must simply show the calculations and the equations to counter all of mine. Or if they object to any of the many proofs in my 2 books that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and that God created everything recently, then they must refute every last one of those proofs. And that must include all the very many proofs against evolution by very many young earth creationists. And they must show all of these things with very detailed descriptions of how all things came into existence, and not just that they claim it all must have happened with absolute certainty because they ASSUME it all must have happened with absolute certainty. And all these detailed explanations must be according to the requirements outlined in section 9 of “All the Unique Genes” and according to the information required for any abiogenesis hypothesis in sections 2 and 34 of All the Unique Genes”. And they must perform all refutation tests successfully as given in section 27 of “All the Unique Genes”. These have never been done by evolutionists, which proves their theories are just religious dogma of a false religion. None of these things outlined above will ever be done. And they cannot do these things ever because all their origin theories are false. And they do not want to do that because any calculations of the odds against these things by evolutionists prove all their claims are false. And they have no possible explanation of the origin of anything, as all of their explanations are false and preposterous. Please note that there is no evidence for evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic

Origin Science" that is scientific, logical, and rational. And until they do all these required things, all their theories should be retracted worldwide immediately, with all courses, courseware, books, and papers changed to reflect their retraction. And there should be announcements in all media worldwide immediately that all their theories are false. Furthermore, the entire peer review system for the origin of things and the dating of when that occurred should be modified as outlined in section 41 of "All the Unique Genes".

Based on the many proofs in my 2 books, the following summarizes the odds against evolution billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science". Very many of the things that must have occurred in the past for evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" to be true have been proved to be impossible. So, the odds against each one of these many things are infinite. And for each of a vast multitude of things, they have incomprehensible vast odds against evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" being true. The total odds against these theories is the product of all the individual odds against if these things are independent events. And that model is a very good lower bound approximation for the total odds against these theories, although it will vastly underestimate the odds against. So, the total odds against these theories are infinite. But even if evolutionists claim that nothing is impossible, then those things that are impossible would still have incomprehensibly vast odds against these theories. And so, the total odds against these theories are incomprehensibly vast. Thus, the total odds against these theories are vastly greater than googolplex^(googol^3) to 1 even if you exclude the very many things which are impossible. That number could never be typed out even if the universe were unfathomable times older than it supposedly is. And that number if typed out would not fit in the universe even if each 0 were as small as a Plank length, and even if the universe was unfathomable times larger than it is. And remember that even if just 1 of these things could not have occurred according to these theories, then these theories are false and false forever. And that proves infallibly and irrefutably that all their theories are false, and God created all things recently.

The level of "proof" required by evolutionist based on the level they used in accepting evolution, billions of years, commons descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" is either absolutely 0 chance or as close to zero as possible. On the other hand, for God to have created all things, the odds are that it is either absolutely certain or as close to certain as certain can be. Thus, the level of proof that God created all things is either infinitely more certain or a close to infinitely more certain that evolution could be true. Thus, the burden of proof has been met for the case that God created all things and recently. It is a proven fact.

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution and billions of years worldwide immediately. Evolution and billions of years should be renounced by all who believe it.

Answers to reasonable common objections to the claims of this book are given at the following link.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1bBro3iHS4/

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nucleic_acid_double_helix

120. The gospel of Christ. (TOC) (back)

It is absolutely for certain that God did indeed create all things recently. This has been proved by many people, many times in many infallible and irrefutable ways.

The following passage from the word of God says that anyone who denies the existence of God the Creator is without excuse.

19 Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. 20 For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, **being understood by the things that are made**, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse: - Romans 1:19-20

It is absolutely for certain the Bible is the word of God. This too has been proved by many people, many times in many infallible and irrefutable ways. So, what the Bible says is the absolute truth.

The Bible says that “all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God” (Rom 3:23). The glory of God is the sinless perfection of Jesus Christ the Lord. Every thought, word and deed that breaks God’s laws is sin. And all those that have sinned are under damnation unless they get saved. And the only way to be saved is to believe the gospel of Christ, by grace through faith alone in the shed blood of the Lord Jesus Christ as the complete, finished and only payment for all your sins without any works of any kind or any merit of any kind.

Now I love you, and all that you know and love, too much to not tell you the truth. To be saved, a person must believe the gospel of Christ. The following is a preaching of the gospel of Christ. Come and read about the greatest act of love ever by the greatest hero ever. It does not matter what you have done. It does not matter whether you have hated Jesus Christ or mocked and cursed Him. It does not matter whether you have hated all the saved of Christ or mocked and cursed them. Anyone can be saved just by believing the truth of the gospel of Christ. No one can stop you from being saved except you. And you can do that right now.

Once you believe in the gospel of Christ you are guaranteed to be saved forever. Nothing can ever take that away. You will have the greatest gift ever and will know true peace and joy.

https://www.the-gospel-of-christ.com/post/where-will-you-spend-eternity

The following gives information how to understand the Bible.

https://www.the-gospel-of-christ.com/_files/ugd/8d6670_015ccb4451c24ce582b75e5864be522d.pdf

The following page contains links to additional resources about certain topics in the word of God.

https://www.the-gospel-of-christ.com/resources

The following is another preaching of the gospel of Christ using scripture only.

15 So, as much as in me is, **I am ready to preach the gospel to you** that are at Rome also. **16** For I am not ashamed of **the gospel of Christ: for it is the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth**; to the Jew first, and also to the Greek. - Rom 1:15-16

1 Moreover, brethren, **I declare unto you the gospel which I preached unto you**, which also ye have received, and wherein ye stand; 2 **By which also ye are saved**, if ye keep in memory what I preached unto you, unless ye have believed in vain. 3 For I delivered unto you first of all that which I also received, **how that Christ died for our sins according to the scriptures;** 4 **And that he was buried, and that he rose again the third day according to the scriptures**: - 1 Cor 15:1-4

30 And brought them out, and said, Sirs, what must I do to be saved? 31 And they said, **Believe on the Lord Jesus Christ, and thou shalt be saved**, and thy house. - Acts 16:30-31

8 For **by grace are ye saved through faith**; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God: 9 **Not of works**, lest any man should boast. - Eph 2:8-9

In whom ye also trusted, after that ye heard the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation: in whom also after that ye believed, ye were sealed with that holy Spirit of promise, - Eph 1:13

22 Even **the righteousness of God which is by faith of Jesus Christ unto all and upon all them that believe**: for there is no difference: 23 For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God; 24 Being justified freely by his grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus:25 **Whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in his blood**, to declare his righteousness for the remission of sins that are past, through the forbearance of God; - Rom 3:22-25

But **to him that worketh not, but believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness**. - Rom 4:5

17 For **Christ sent me not to baptize, but to preach the gospel:** not with wisdom of words, lest the cross of Christ should be made of none effect. 18 **For the preaching of the cross is to them that perish foolishness; but unto us which are saved it is the power of God.** 19 For it is written, I will destroy the wisdom of the wise, and will bring to nothing the understanding of the prudent. 20 Where is the wise? where is the scribe? where is the disputer of this world? hath not God made foolish the wisdom of this world? 21 For after that in the wisdom of God the world by wisdom knew not God, **it pleased God by the foolishness of preaching to save them that believe**. 22 For the Jews require a sign, and the Greeks seek after wisdom: 23 But **we preach Christ crucified,** unto the Jews a stumblingblock, and unto the Greeks foolishness; 1 Cor 1:17-23

For the wages of sin is death; but the gift of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord. - Rom 6:23

14 And as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, even so must the Son of man be lifted up: 15 **That whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have eternal life**. - John 3:14-15

For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, **that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life**. - John 3:16

He that believeth on him is not condemned: but he that believeth not is condemned already, because he hath not believed in the name of the only begotten Son of God. - John 3:18

He that believeth on the Son hath everlasting life: and he that believeth not the Son shall not see life; but the wrath of God abideth on him. - John 3:36

Verily, verily, I say unto you, **He that believeth on me hath everlasting life**. - John 6:47

25 Jesus said unto her, **I am the resurrection, and the life: he that believeth in me, though he were dead, yet shall he live**: 26 And **whosoever liveth and believeth in me shall never die. Believest thou this?** - John 11:25-27

1 In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, **and the Word was God.** 2 The same was in the beginning with God. 3 **All things were made by him; and without him was not any thing made that was made**. 10 He was in the world, **and the world was made by him,** and the world knew him not. 11 He came unto his own, and his own received him not. 12 **But as many as received him, to them gave he power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on his name**: - John 1:1-3, 10-12

27 My sheep hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me: 28 **And I give unto them eternal life; and they shall never perish, neither shall any man pluck them out of my hand.** 29 My Father, which gave them me, is greater than all; and no man is able to pluck them out of my Father's hand. 30 **I and my Father are one**. - John 10:27-30

These things have I written unto you **that believe on the name of the Son of God; that ye may know that ye have eternal life**, and that ye may believe on the name of the Son of God. - 1 John 5:13

Let your conversation be without covetousness; and be content with such things as ye have: **for he hath said, I will never leave thee, nor forsake thee**. - Heb 13:5

Not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to his mercy he saved us, by the washing of regeneration, and renewing of the Holy Ghost; - Titus 3:5

For I am not ashamed of the gospel of Christ: for it is the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth; to the Jew first, and also to the Greek. - Rom 1:16