Evolution is false because it could never have produced all the unique genes that have ever existed.

Subtitle:

Evolution could never have produced all the unique genes that have ever existed. This proves that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently. Plus, proof that the Bible is the true word of God.

Copyright © Mark S Nigro, 2026
All rights reserved.

The author grants permission for this work to be shared, in whole or in part, for non-commercial purposes, provided full attribution is given, that no alterations are made, and that the purpose is to promote Biblical young earth creation for the glory of the Lord Jesus Christ, the only Saviour. Using this work for commercial gain is strictly prohibited without the express written permission of the author.

Preliminary version dated November 1, 2026

Dedication

This book is dedicated to my Saviour, the crucified and risen Lord Jesus Christ, who paid the penalty for all my sins by shedding His blood on the cross, and has given me everlasting life though faith alone in Him. To God my Father and my Lord, God and Saviour Jesus Christ be glory forever.

Evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS could never have produced the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. This proves that evolution, billions of years, common descent are false, and that God created all things recently.

Introduction

This book is divided into two parts.

The first part deals with the impossibility that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS produced all these unique genes.

To produce a new unique gene there are in essence only 3 possibilities. Either some code from some source is copied, either directly or indirectly, to produce a gene which

is then mutated by DNA mutation ERRORS, or an existing gene is mutated by DNA mutation ERRORS, or the DNA code for a gene comes into existence spontaneously. This book will show that the odds against all 3 of these possibilities are so vast that it would require very many trillions of times the age of the universe to produce any significant number of them, let alone over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. Furthermore, it will be proved that in diploids (species with paired chromosomes) that reproduce sexually, there is a formidable barrier to the copy of a gene that is then mutated or the spontaneous creation of a gene to make it into a population. This is due to the problem of structural chromosomal mismatches. This greatly increases the odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS producing all the unique genes in all diploid species that have ever lived which reproduce sexually. All these prove that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false. And all these also prove that God created all things recently.

Both the copy of a gene which is then mutated or an existing gene which is then mutated require a previously existing gene. Thus, they cannot be the ultimate source of the first genes, which must have been spontaneously created protein coding genes. However, it will be shown that is impossible for spontaneously created protein coding genes to be the first genes. This is due to the problem of misplaced stop codons as well as the vast odds against spontaneously created genes. This also proves that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently.

The problem of misplaced stop codons in protein coding genes also proves that abiogenesis is false, as do many other things. And the problem of misplaced stop codons combined with the existence of supposed orphan genes proves that the entire evolution descent tree is false, and thus common descent is false. Furthermore, the problem of misplaced stop codons proves that any new functionality, which would require even a few new protein coding genes, could never have happened through DNA mutation ERRORS, as do many other things. And the problem of misplaced stop codons will be used to refute evolution in additional ways.

Now evolutionists might object to the calculations in this book of the odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS producing all these unique genes. But even if there was on average only a 1 in a trillion chance that each of these unique genes could not have been produced by evolution, then the odds against evolution producing all of them are still so vast that it is as certain as certain can be that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently. This is a surprising result. Because of this 1 in a trillion threshold, evolutionists must produce exact calculations for the odds for evolution through DNA mutations producing all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, and for each and every one of them. If not evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” have been falsified and should be retracted worldwide immediately. But there is no way that they can ever do this. And the problem of misplaced stop codons in spontaneously created

protein coding genes by itself will vastly exceed this required threshold. So yet again evolution has been falsified.

Furthermore, it will be proved with simple mathematical equations that the genome, including all its genes and intergenic regions, of any of the supposed species from supposedly more than about 6,000 years ago is not known, and cannot be known. Thus, any claim of common descent could never be established from genetic comparisons of existing species. This is a great error in evolutionary theory. Thus, the entire evolution descent tree will be shown to be completely made up without a shred of evidence for it or evolution. The only thing available is anatomical similarities which cannot be used to establish common descent. As will be shown, even evolutionists must acknowledge this. And common features shared between species, whether anatomical or genetic, prove God created all things as inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years. This eliminates similarities, whether anatomical or genetic, as being a proof of common descent. Yet evolutionists still erroneously claim that such is the case. This is a great error in evolutionary theory, and very many have been deceived by this. In the spirit of scientific honesty, there should be a worldwide announcement immediately in all media to retract this great fallacy. And all textbooks, papers, courseware and courses concerning such things should also be updated.

Please note that evolutionists and atheists are deceived in this area of knowledge and are thus in delusion. Thus, what they believe, say, and write are false when it comes to origins and the supposed long ages of things. However, since all of these are deceived, I do not assume that any are purposefully deceiving. They actually believe these false theories and act accordingly. There may be some that do purposely deceive but even they are deceived. So, in this book, when it says deception, it in no way implies anyone is doing this purposefully. They actually believe these things.

Each of the following examples refute evolution as will be proved later, since it is impossible for any of these to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. Examples of irreducibly complex functionality that could never have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS will be analyzed such as over 1 trillion (possibly 10 trillion) unique enzymes and their associated over 1 trillion (possibly 10 trillion) unique protein coding genes in all species that have ever existed. In addition, it will be proved that motor proteins such as kinesins, dyneins and myosins prove that common descent, evolution, and billions of years are false. Furthermore, it will be proved that enzymes and proteins such as topoisomerase, polymerase, ligase, primase, helicase, nucleases, single-strand binding (SSB) proteins, and peptidyl transferase which are essential to DNA replication, and thus reproduction, and protein synthesis, and thus survival, prove that common descent, evolution, and billions of years are false.

Several other topics will be presented in part 1 that prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently.

The second part deals with the much larger case against evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science". This presentation is just a very small part of the case against evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science". Many others have refuted all these theories, many times, in many infallible and irrefutable ways.

It will be proved that all the evidence, facts, observations, physics, chemistry, biology, mathematics, probability, statistics, logic, geology, history, archeology, rational thought, and reality itself prove that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption are false. Thus, God created all things recently. Evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" are the most refuted theories ever without a shred of evidence for them. They are some of the greatest delusions ever, and the greatest scientific delusion ever.

Thus, evolution does not count as observable science since all its claims are against all observations and all observations are against all of its claims. Science should be observable, testable and repeatable. Evolution fails on all 3 accounts. In fact, all observations and all tests refute evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and these refutation tests are repeatable all the time. This will be discussed in detail later where many other additional falsification tests will be presented. These tests will further falsify evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" besides the existing falsification tests that also do so.

Imagine someone looking at all the information that mankind has ever produced in all books ever written, all notes ever written, all text files, all posts, all comments, all text messages, all blogs, all diaries, all verbal conversations, all other written or typed text, etc. and claim that no intelligent beings were the producers of all that information. If someone claimed such a thing, you would suspect they were just joking or very drunk or had left reality for some reason. But the information in the DNA of all creatures that have ever existed or exists today dwarfs all the information that mankind has ever produced by a vast amount. Yet many evolutionists will deny that all that DNA information must have come from an intelligent Being. Not only that, but many evolutionists will accuse anyone who says that all that information must have come from an intelligent Being as being illogical and unscientific.

Imagine someone who looking at all the things that mankind has ever made and claiming that they were not produced by intelligent beings. There are a vast multitude of things such as cell phones, cell towers, cell phone networks, satellites, cars, trucks, SUVs, planes, helicopters, drones, rockets, bikes, TVs, laptops, ovens, grills, dishwashers, dryers, heaters, air conditioners, books, libraries, manufacturing plants, houses, furniture, roads, bridges, buildings, sidewalks, chairs, forks, knives, spoons, mixers, bowls, plates, cups, pots, keys, locks, doors, windows, radios, traffic lights, boats, fishing reels, suitcases, movies, artwork, picture frames, door frames, staircases, vases, lamps, flashlights, signs, and the list goes on and on and on. If someone claimed that these things were not made by intelligent beings, you would suspect they were just

joking or very drunk or had left reality for some reason. Yet the intelligently designed things in all cells that have ever existed or exist today dwarfs the things that mankind has made by a vast amount in both number and the intricacies of these functional bio molecular micro machines. Yet many evolutionists will deny that these things must come from an intelligent Being. And not only that, but many evolutionists will accuse anyone who says that all these things must have come from an intelligent Being as being illogical and unscientific. And the reason for such denials is to maintain the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

This is an established law of reality. And it is supported by a vast number of instances from the real world with no exceptions. Many evolutionists, in their desperation, claim that somehow information and intelligently design things must be treated completely different, in the exact opposite manner from this established law of reality based on a vast amount of instances with no exceptions. But it is evolutionists that must prove that this great violation of this established law of reality is justified. And of course, they have not and cannot.

At this point, it is absolutely certain that God created all things. And therefore the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” is false. Thus, the “no God” assumption can never be used in determining the origin and long ages of things. Simple common sense and rational thinking are enough to understand this.

The following is a proof using adaptive machine learning software (in this case R software) and mathematical induction to prove that God created all things. Thus, this is an irrefutable proof that God exists and created all things. Your mind and reasoning are doing the same thing as discussed just prior due to the vast information content and vast number of intelligently designed things in all living things versus that which mankind has made. This application analyzes living things and proves that they are all intelligently designed, thus all created by God. It does this for both before and after the discoveries of modern biology. It even extends this to prove that God must have created all subatomic particles, all of atomic structure including electronic orbitals, and all the elements. It also contains an interactive screen where you can test anything else. To understand what the screens show, what they mean, and how to use the interactive screen to test things, read the supplied documentation. It also provides the source code for the application, and the data sets used for both training and testing. This software application is incredibly accurate in its predictions of whether something is designed by an intelligent being or not. It is based just on facts. It has no agenda at all. And it agrees completely with the established law that information and intelligently designed things must have been designed by an intelligent being. And this classifier will correctly classify almost everything in the universe correctly. So again, it is evolutionists that must prove that this great violation of this established law of reality is justified. And of course, they have not and cannot.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1VCiJGyL3T/

Now evolutionists will claim that somehow biological information is different because it is passed down by reproduction. The first problem for evolutionists is the very first cell. It is not an offspring of anything that existed prior to it. So, this refutes this claim at the very start. And such a first cell would have had a vast amount of information, and a vast amount intelligently design things in it at the very beginning. Such could not have arisen from non-living chemicals. This is proved later in this book, and has been proved many times by many others in many infallible and irrefutable ways. The following proves that DNA mutation ERRROS cannot produce any information nor any intelligently designed things. In fact, DNA mutation ERRORS destroy all information and all intelligently designed things eventually, as all ERRORS do. This is also an established law of reality. And the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in all individual organisms of all species will later be used shown to prove that all living things must have been created less than 10,000 years ago.

In this book, the phrase “DNA mutation ERRORS” will be used many times with ERRORS always capitalized to emphasize a great error in evolutionary theory. Very many people have been deceived into believing that natural selection somehow produces new species and new genes, and new functionality, tissues, organs, systems, etc. But natural selection can only select from what DNA mutation ERRORS produce. And these DNA mutation ERRORS will never produce any new information, functionality, tissues, organs, systems, etc. for natural selection to select from. Here is an analogy to DNA code using text instead. Consider that if on each of all the planets, moons, asteroids, comets and stars in the universe, that there were 1 million trillion monkeys typing at 1 billion words per minute for the entire supposed about 13.8 billion years that the universe supposedly existed. That is over 10^40 monkeys typing that fast for over 400,000 trillion seconds. The odds against that they would type out a single 3,000 character sequence that matched any 3,000 character sequence that exists in all the writings of any kind that mankind has ever produced are far greater than 10^5300 to 1. It would take over 2 pages to type that number out in long form. And that is just for one 3,000 character sequence let alone over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique 3,000 character sequences. This is an analogy to the odds against an average size spontaneously created functional gene, which will be shown to be far greater. And if they instead just began typing over all written text, the odds against any sequence of that size matching any other unique sequence of that size in all the writings of any kind that mankind has ever produced would be about the same, far greater than 10^5300 to 1. And that is just for one 3,000 character sequence let alone over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique 3,000 character sequences. What would happen instead is that all written text would become utter nonsense. And the same is true for DNA from DNA mutation ERRORS. This is an analogy to the odds against a copied gene that is mutated or an existing gene that is just mutated to produce a particular functional unique gene, which will be shown to be far greater. This last observation when analyzed for DNA mutation ERRORS and their accumulation rates in all species, especially in those species that have mitochondria, will be used to prove that all species are less than 10,000 years old. So, not only will ERRORS never produce any significant useful information whether for DNA or text, they will absolutely destroy any significant useful information that does exist whether for DNA or text. So vast amounts of time do not help

evolution at all. In fact, more time is the mortal enemy of the theory of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Here are a number of resources which refute evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Please take a look at these.

I have added many shared posts about creation by God from a number of Facebook groups, pages, organizations, and individuals to the following Facebook page.

Facebook page – 6-day creation about 6000 years ago

https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=61556140114922

This page now has well over 4,000 posts so far. I hope to add 100s more in the future. I encourage you to follow this page and to look through these posts. These posts are very informative. These posts prove that common descent, evolution and billions of years are false, and prove that God created everything in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution, and that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah. As you look through these, you will learn of many amazing creatures and the things that comprise them, which can only have come into being by creation by God. They are all just too irreducibly complex, functional, information rich, and intelligently designed to have been produced by DNA mutation ERRORS. Other areas which these posts touch upon that are the problems for evolution and the “no God” assumption in areas such as cosmology, the Big Bang, the solar system, the finely tuned universe, DNA, cells and their intricate makeup, geological evidence for the flood, archaeological evidence for the flood and the Bible, and more.

You may want to join or follow one or more of the groups and follow pages from where some particular posts came from. Many of these groups, pages, organizations, and individuals are excellent sources of creation information. Many of these groups are well run and there may be commentators who can answer questions that you have.

I have over 80 proofs that prove evolution, billions of years, common descent are false, and that God created all things recently and that the Bible is the word of God. These can be found at the following Facebook page – Proofs against evolution and billions of years. I will hopefully add more posts in the future. You can view these posts individually at this page. You can follow this page for future posts.

https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=61567130998189

Please look at these videos of some of these miraculous biomolecular micromachines. You can also look at some of the suggested videos that are shown as part of a playlist on the side. And if you select any of these, there are even more videos of functionality that could never have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS.

This is a link to a YouTube Playlist about cells and the other incredibly irreducibly complex things in them. All these can only be explained by creation by God.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLI1-pAQgbOGdUZaC29kPWyZVrmTj3fe2R&si=l-auIpDaw90sV0JS

This is a link to a YouTube Playlist about Amazing Creatures which evolution could not have produced.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLI1-pAQgbOGc_gKj7cup_6fpQE9shUrqF&si=VOLnhGbvN_LT9ind

Here is another video.

https://youtu.be/vbgkFEbmGrU?si=qP89YruoWwgQHYTT

There are also many Biblical young earth creation organizations, individuals, papers, books, websites, etc. on the Internet. I encourage you to access these resources.

Very many people believe that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true because of the censorship, propaganda, indoctrination and deceit that they have been subjected to for all or almost all of their lives. Some then make that total censorship by self-censoring because they never consider the arguments against these false claims. Very many have been told that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true with absolute certainty. In reality, evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false with absolute certainty.

The key false philosophy used for these deceptions is the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Please note that many claim that logic is a subset of philosophy. But that means that the only part of philosophy that is necessarily logical is logic, and the rest is not necessarily logical. Therefore, it is possible to be deceived by deceived people with their false use of philosophy. Such is the case for the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

There are many who claim to believe that the Bible is the word of God, but they also believe that the earth and the universe are billions of years old, even that evolution and common descent are true, and even that mankind evolved from some apelike creature over millions of years. First, the Bible empathically declares that these are lies and that God created all things about 6,000 years ago, with no evolution, and that man was made in the image of God. And, that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah. This is proved in the link below. And all the facts, evidence, science, and logic agree. The reason that these particular people believe that the earth and the universe are billions of years old, even that evolution and common descent are true, and even that mankind evolved from some apelike creature over millions of years is because they have been told that things are absolutely true. And the deceit is the result of the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. That assumption can be

restated as the following. There is either no God or if there is a God, God has never done any miraculous thing in the last about 6,000 years. Those miraculous things include 6-day creation as described in Genesis 1, Christ rising from the dead, and all the miracles that Christ did. Thus, no one that claims to believe that the Bible is the word of God should ever be deceived by the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1XJEkEsAxx/

The fact that in our times very many people believe that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true proves that that there are great deceptions and delusions. This is actually predicted by the Bible with exact details and exact timing and is just another proof that the Bible is indeed the word of God. In fact, very many predictions from the Bible are all coming true with exact details and exact timing from all parts of the Bible just as the Bible said would happen in our times. Yet these scriptures were written over a period of time from about 3,500 years to about 2,000 years ago. There are many other things which prove that the Bible is the true word of God.

There are many other topics which will be presented in the second part of this book that will prove the evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and that God created all things recently.

But you owe it to yourself, and to those that you know and love, to consider the arguments against these false claims by evolutionists.

If at any time you are convinced that God does exist and created all things, then just scroll down to near the bottom of this book or search for “the gospel of Christ”. If you become bleary eyed or bored with all the calculations of odds against and the repetition in part 1, you can skip to part 2. Later you can come back to part 1.

Table of Contents

Part 1 - Evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS cannot account for the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, whether they use asexual or sexual reproduction.

1. One possible source for new unique genes claimed by evolutionists is when an existing gene is copied and then mutated into a new unique gene. Another is that an existing gene is just mutated into a new unique gene. These mechanisms could not have produced all the unique genes.

2. The only alternative is for new DNA code for new genes to come into existence spontaneously. But the vast odds against this and the problem with misplaced stop codons refute evolution, billions of years, and common descent.

3. There are many significant additional problems for the copy and mutate process or mutation of an existing gene to create new unique genes. Plus, a simple 3D topology model which shows the absurdity that DNA mutation ERRORS could produce any significant number of new unique genes

4. There is another problem for evolution and that concerns the distribution of gene sizes.

5. There are further problems with the copy and mutate process or mutation of an existing gene as the source of new unique genes.

6. More problems for spontaneously created genes

7. The extremely vast odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS producing new unique genes in all major cases prove evolution, billions of years and common descent are false, and prove God created all things recently. Plus, 2 equations and their models to calculate the odds against evolution producing new unique genes through DNA mutations with calculations for representative examples.

8. Amazingly evolutionists still have Lamarckian thinking even after the discovery of DNA. This is a great embarrassment for evolutionists.

9. The DNA mutation ERRORS path requirement that no evolutionist has met or will ever meet for the existence of anything in living things.

10. All species are less than 10,000 years old.

11. The great odds against evolution prove evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS is false and God created all things recently.

12. So, what are the odds against any unique gene coming into existence by DNA mutation ERRORS according to evolutionists? Even if there is on average only a 1 in a trillion chance that on average a unique gene could not have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, evolution is false. Plus, many other things that prove evolution is false, including simple equations that expose the myth of the supposed evolution descent tree.

13. Time is not the friend of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS but its deadly enemy.

14. Orphan genes disprove evolution, common descent and billions of years, and prove God created all things recently.

15. Where are all the vestigial systems, functions, tissues, organs, etc. in all species? Where are all the vestigial DNA, vestigial genes, and "junk" DNA in all species? Yet more proofs that evolution is false.

16. It is impossible for new complex functionality, systems, organs, tissues, etc. to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, especially with diploids that use sexual reproduction.

17. The great foolishness of the great gamble.

Part 2 - The much larger case against evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" ASSUMPTION of Atheistic Origin Science.

18. Common features shared between species, whether anatomical or genetic, prove God created all things as inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years.

19. Macro evolution never happened. The fossil record proves this. And since there are no partially developed organs, functions, etc. in any creature today, macro evolution is not happening now.

20. An analysis of the things in creation, especially living things, proves that God Almighty exists and created all things.

21. Living fossils prove evolution, common descent and billions of years are false. They also prove God created all things recently. And there are dozens of living fossils each of which refute evolution, common descent and billions of years

22. The DNA similarity between species contradicts the supposed time since their last common ancestor (LCA) based on the DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rates of each species and all intermediate species on each branch.

23. The claim that the theory of evolution is like the theory of gravity is a deception. All observations prove that evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false, and that God created all things recently Cells only come from cells. Living things only come from living things. Like kind produces like kind and is never produced from some other kind.

24. Linear projection outside of a measured range is a pseudoscience, which those that believe in evolution, billions of years, and common descent use.

25. Supposed examples of evolution are not examples of one kind turning into another kind.

26. Supposed examples of "beneficial" mutations prove that there are none.

27. All falsification tests refute evolution, billions of years, and common descent. And prove God created all things recently.

28. "The god of the gaps" deception is an accusation used by atheists and evolutionists against young earth creationists. In fact, it is an admission that evolution is impossible.

29. The laws of physics prove God created all things.

30. All physical things had a beginning even time itself. Thus, God Almighty must have created all things even time.

31. The extremely finely tuned, extremely orderly universe prove God created all things.

32. The Multiverses Deception. Also, the finite speed of light is a major problem for Atheistic Origin Science in trying to explain the origin of the universe. However, the finite speed of light is no problem for God Almighty and a universe which is only about 6000 years old.

33. The Cambrian explosion equivalent for cells, DNA, RNA, proteins, functional information, functional irreducibility complex design, genes, etc., refutes evolution and billions of years and proves God created all things recently.

34. DNA, RNA and proteins are required to make DNA. But DNA, RNA and proteins are required to make RNA. And DNA, RNA and proteins are required to make proteins. So, how could any of these ever come into existence since they require themselves and the others to even exist? Also, it will be proved that any first living thing must have been a cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins. All other theories are impossible.

35. Certain proteins, such as ATP synthase, produce ATP which is a molecule that stores and provides most of the energy used by cells. But ATP is required to make proteins including the proteins such as ATP synthase that make ATP.

36. More proofs that evolution, billions of years, and common decent are false, and that God created all things. Also, some false reasoning used by evolution are discussed.

37. Just some of the things that could never have come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. There are very many others.

38. There are many things which prove that earth is young, and that all things were created recently

39. Proof that the Bible is the true word of God

40. Science is knowledge and true science is truth. And false science is not the truth, but a lie. And evolution is a false science.

41. The deception of the peer review system for evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and the supposedly "scientific" claim that evolution, billions of years, and common descent have been proved true.

42. More proofs that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently and that the Bible is the word of God

43. Pascal's wager revisited and updated

44. The Bible is the true word of God and thus what it says must be the truth.

45. The gospel of Christ

46. It is absolutely for certain and has been established by many irrefutable and infallible proofs, that there must exist an Intelligent and Almighty FIRST cause for all created things. Thus, God Almighty created all things.

47. Summary of the book. Why evolution, billions of years, common decent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" should be retracted worldwide immediately.

Part 1 (to TOC) (back)

Introduction

Evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS cannot account for the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, whether they use asexual or sexual reproduction. And sexual reproduction presents additional hurdles. That makes it impossible for supposed evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS to account for the tens of trillions (possibly over 100 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed that use sexual reproduction. This proves that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently.

To account for all the species that have ever existed, and for all organs, systems, functions, tissues, etc. in all species that have ever existed, the theory of evolution must work at the basic level of genes which are the basic units that provide functionality. Thus, evolution must account for all the unique genes and all intergenic regions that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. And this must be done for organisms that use asexual reproduction, and also for organisms that use sexual reproduction. As will be proved, there is no real mechanism to do this for organisms that

use asexual reproduction or sexual reproduction. However, sexual reproduction presents additional hurdles. The following analyzes the additional hurdles that sexual reproduction causes for evolution, and the insurmountable hurdles for both asexual and sexual reproduction for evolution. As will be proved in many ways, evolution cannot account for all these unique genes at all. And that proves that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently.

The following 3 concepts will be useful to understand these proofs.

About the use of very large numbers and the odds against something

The following are proofs that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently. As part of some of these proofs, many very large numbers will be calculated and presented. Most of these have to do with a calculation of the odds against evolution producing something. These numbers are so large that they must be expressed in exponential form. A typical example is the odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS having produced a particular functional average size protein coding gene that are far greater than 10^6,000 to 1. The number after the caret ^ is the exponent part. In this example that represents the number 1 followed by over 6,000 zeroes if written out in long form. It would require about 3 pages to type that out. Any odds against something that are greater than 10^50 to 1 can be considered to be so rare that that something will happen or has happened in the about 13.8 billion years that the universe supposedly existed. So, if the number after the caret is greater than 50, it can be considered to be so rare that that something will happen or has happened in the about 13.8 billion years that the universe supposedly existed. And as that exponent becomes much larger than 50, (say 100, or 300 or 1,000 or 3,000 or 10,000 or 30,000 or 100,000 or 1 million or 10 million or 1 billion or much more) then it is so extremely rare that it is inconceivable that it ever happened any significant number of times in the about 13.8 billion years that the universe supposedly existed. Just look for the number after the caret when the odds against evolution are presented. And if there are a vast number of things which each have these enormous odds against, then it is as certain as certain can be that evolution is indeed impossible. Of course, some things are indeed impossible without God. So, then it is certain that evolution is impossible. Some of those things that are impossible without God will be pointed out in these proofs.

About the use of the approximation of large numbers, and the technique of proof through the use of a lower bound or an upper bound number

It is difficult to estimate odds against something for many things in the real world as opposed to simpler situations such as the flipping of a coin, playing the lottery, or in games of chance such as roulette, etc. However, models can be developed and approximate odds against something can be calculated. That can be combined with a method of proof using lower bound mathematics. When used appropriately, the technique proves that if something is false for a minimum number, the lower bound, then it is false for any number greater than that. Conversely, when used appropriately,

the technique proves that if something is true for a minimum number, the lower bound, then it is true for any number greater than that. For example, the distance between San Diego and Philadelphia is over 2,100 miles. Assume an extraordinary person could travel almost 150 miles per day over a consecutive stretch of days just by walking. So, it would take at least 14 days for someone to go from San Diego to Philadelphia by just walking. In the above example, 2,100 miles is a lower bound number as the distance is more than that. And 14 days is a lower bound number calculated by mathematical approximation. So, if anyone claimed that they walked that distance in less than 14 days by walking only, then that claim is false unless someone can walk more than the extraordinary person mentioned above. An alternative is upper bound mathematics. When used appropriately, the technique proves that if something is false for a maximum number, the upper bound, then it is false for any number less than that. Conversely, when used appropriately, the technique proves that if something is true for a maximum number, the upper bound, then it is true for any number less than that. In the above example, the number of miles traveled that an extraordinary person could travel per day over a consecutive stretch of days by just walking is an upper bound number.

The information and data used in this book to refute evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are generally available on the Internet and in the literature in various forms. And this information and data is from evolutionist sources and not just from young earth creationist sources. That is, these are the agreed upon facts. And they are accurate as of 2026. Numbers may vary depending on the source. But the case against evolution presented here uses appropriately either lower bound or upper bound mathematics, and are so vastly against evolution, that it is independent of the actual numbers. And as more discoveries are made, especially when it comes to how DNA works, the case against evolution becomes even more certain.

As to the number of unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, the following information was used. Many sources have the number of current species at approximately 10 million, and that over 99.9% of all species that have ever existed are extinct. Using an average of 10,000 unique genes per species gives over 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. However other sources have the number of current species at approximately 1 billion. With over 99.9% of species extinct, and an average of 10,000 unique genes per species gives over 10,000 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. Finally, some have the number of current species at approximately 1 trillion, which gives over 10 million trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. But no matter what, the case against evolution is certain under all numbers. In fact, this book vastly underestimates the odds against evolution.

How to prove a theory is false, and how to prove a theory is true

A theory is just a conjecture that may be true or it may be false. There are ways to prove a theory is true and there are ways to prove a theory is false. One method to prove a theory is false is to find data that contradicts the theory. Just 1 piece of data that

does not match the theory proves that the theory is false and false forever. This is sometimes called refutation by counterexample or contradiction. For example, someone proposes a theory that the sum of any 2 numbers is 10. But 100 + 100 = 200, so the theory is false and false forever. That is a contradiction that refutes the theory.

One method to prove a theory is true, is to first assume it is false. If that assumption leads to at least one contradiction, then the original assumption must be false, and thus its opposite must be true. So, assume that God does not exist and did not create all things. This will lead to a vast number of contradictions, although only 1 contradiction or counterexample is needed. So, the assumption of “no God” must be false. And the opposite of the “no God” assumption must be true. Thus, God exists and created all things.

Please note that this type of proof that God exists and created all things does not assume that God exists or that the Bible is true. In fact, this type of proof assumes that God does not exist, and by necessity, that the Bible is false. So, it is in no way circular reasoning at all. And this type of proof can be used with a vast number of things as the counterexample or contradiction and thus prove that God exists and created all things. Thus, there are a vast number of proofs that God does exist and created all things. Now many atheists will falsely claim that these proofs assume that the Bible is true or that God exists. The opposite is the case. And it is atheists that assume that God does not exist. And it is evolutionists that assume that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true, which are just false assumptions and circular reasoning.

Note that evolutionists will hide the vast number of contradictions that prove their theories are false by calling them anomalies or ignoring them altogether in some manner or another. These anomalies are vast in number. And the more that science learns, the more anomalies are found. And there is no explanation for any of those things that are known to be anomalies. And these anomalies exist throughout the earth, throughout its surface and the details thereof, the fossil bearing rock layers, the fossil record, the oceans, the earth’s atmosphere, its magnetic field, throughout the interior of the earth, in all living things, in radioactive dating and all dating techniques that give a long age to things. And these anomalies exist throughout all the planets, moons, comets, and asteroids in the solar system. And these anomalies exist throughout our galaxy, all other galaxies, and throughout the universe. And the list goes on and on and on. That is, anomalies here, anomalies there, anomalies everywhere. Thus, contradictions here, contradictions there, contradictions everywhere. Each of these anomalies are contradictions to the theories of evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science. Thus, these anomalies, aka contradictions, prove all these theories false, and prove that God created all things recently.

Many evolutionists will claim that certain things could never have been done by God because they are impossible and violate the laws of nature. But this is just the “no God” assumption again. That assumption has been proved false by many people, many times, in many irrefutable and infallible ways. God created all things including the very

laws of nature. And the act of creation itself violates the laws of nature. Such limitations do not apply to **God Almighty**. Such claims are just straw man arguments. And all claims that God should not have done something in a certain way, and all claims that God is unrighteous in His judgements, are also just straw man arguments. These too are philosophical arguments but not logical at all as they appeal to emotions and limited understanding of people. Remember that many claim the logic is a subset of philosophy. But that means that the only part of philosophy that is necessarily logical is logic, and the rest is not necessarily logical.

Very many people have been deceived by a philosophy that God ALMIGHTY could not have created all things because that would be impossible. They will claim that God ALMIGHTY cannot violate physical laws. But it has already been proved that God ALMIGHTY did indeed create all things. And that includes the extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly universe that obeys the laws of nature which were created by God. Creation by God itself violates the laws of nature. So, God ALMIGHTY can violate the laws of nature at will since there is nothing impossible with God ALMIGHTY.

Evolutionists then complete the deception, and invent their own version of god almighty, that can violate the laws of nature. They do this by assuming that everything that exists must have come into existence without God, and that evolution, billions of years, common descent are true. This false god almighty of evolutionists is “enough time”. That is, given enough time all things are possible even the violation of the laws of nature. This is one of the greatest deceptions ever and is unscientific, illogical and irrational. Many things are impossible without God. And even an infinite amount of time will not make them possible. As will be shown below, time itself must have had a beginning and that there was nothing in existence before that. But nothing cannot do anything even if there was an infinite amount of time. There are many other things that are impossible without God because they would violate the laws of nature. Thus, any claim that given enough time they will happen is unscientific, illogical and irrational. Many other things are so implausible due to the incomprehensibly vast odds against that they would require a trillion times a trillion … times a trillion times a trillion years, where the phrase “times a trillion” is repeated so many times as to fill a large sized book or even very many books. Yet the universe is only about 13.8 billion years old according to evolutionists. So, it is as certain as certain can be that they did not happen in all that time. Thus, time is not infinite but finite and not almighty.

Finally, it will be proved that all things are less than 10,000 years old. And none of the things that evolutionist claim happened could have happened in that time. So, the false god of evolution, “enough time”, is absolutely powerless. There are quite a number of things pertaining to the origin of things that are impossible without God. And there are a vast multitude of things pertaining to the origin of things that are so improbable based on the incomprehensibly vast odds against that each is about as certain as certain can be that they could never have happened without God. But evolutionists turn this all on its head and claim that all happened with absolute 100% certainty without any evidence or shred of proof. They do this by assuming evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true combined with refusing to consider that God created everything. Thus,

they have removed the only possibility - that God created everything. This leaves only "Atheistic Origin Science" with its "no God" assumption. Therefore, the real God Almighty can't do anything, and their god almighty, enough time, can do all things according to the false "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science".

One last note. Evolutionists will claim that they can account for all these unique genes. But that is just because they ASSUME that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true. Therefore, evolution, billions of years, and common descent must be true, because they ASSUME that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true. That is pure CIRCULAR REASONING. Therefore, similarities between genes and species are ASSUMED to be evidence that these things happened in the past. But these are just FALSE ASSUMPTIONS and pure CIRCULAR REASONING, which can never be used as evidence. In fact, evolutionists have no evidence of anything that they claim happened in the past older than about 6,000 years without the use of ASSUMPTIONS and CIRCULAR REASONING. And the only possibility, that God created all things, is not allowed. Therefore, according to their FALSE ASSUMPTIONS, CIRCULAR REASONING, and the elimination of God as Creator, evolution, billions of years, and common descent must be true by the use of these deceptions used by evolutionists. This is the most illogical, irrational and unscientific reasoning ever. It is just false religious dogma. This will be discussed in more detail later. Besides, it has already been irrefutably and infallibly proved that God ALMIGHTY does exists and created all things.

1. One possible source for new unique genes claimed by evolutionists is when an existing gene is copied and then mutated into a new unique gene. Another is that an existing gene is just mutated into a new unique gene. These mechanisms could not have produced all the unique genes. (to TOC) (back)

First, evolutionists are ignoring the fact that they have no explanation for the existence of the original gene that was copied and then mutated or one that was just mutated. In either case, an existing gene is assumed to exist. And they have also assumed the existence of a cell which has DNA, RNA, genes, proteins, and many other things in cells for which they have no explanation at all. By starting at that point, they have admitted that not only have they no explanation for the origin of any gene, but they have in essence started off with creation by God Almighty the Creator of all things. This is the same kind of deception used by evolutionists in ignoring the impossibility of abiogenesis (life from non-living chemicals without God), only on a much greater scale of the number of things that are impossible to account for, the equivalent of over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) times that of abiogenesis. Please note that not only do evolutionists have no explanation for the supposed first living thing, but they have no answer for the supposed next at least several trillion generations after that. And that is true for every descent branch of the supposed evolution descent tree from the supposed first living thing to any species. This will be discussed later.

And in reality, of course, evolutionists have no actual explanation for the origin of cells, DNA, RNA, proteins, chromosomes, genes, introns, enzymes, prokaryotic cells,

eukaryotic cells, multicellular organisms, sexual reproduction, cell type differentiation in multicellular organisms, hox genes, homeobox genes, ATP, all organelles, any other part of a cell, the extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly universe, the laws of physics, flagella, cilia, flight, sight, protein folding, epigenetics, the supercoiling of DNA, DNA repair, the complete metamorphosis of caterpillars into butterflies, cascading blood clotting in vertebrates, the defense mechanism of the Bombardier Beetle, motor proteins like kinesins, dyneins and myosins, developmental gene regulatory networks, embryonic development, or anything else for that matter. And the list goes on and on and on. You name it, they have no actual explanation for it. Evolution is the theory of nothing because it cannot explain the origin of anything. Not only that, but all these and everything else in existence refute the "no God" assumption, since they are all impossible without God. And that proves God created all things. In fact, biology is the study of God's amazing creation of living things, and always refutes evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science".

If a copy of a protein coding gene that already existed did spontaneously occur, it would not provide any new functionality. It would only potentially change the dosage of an already existing particular protein or of the various kinds of proteins that the gene produces for protein coding genes with introns. Even that first step may be selected against by natural selection. And a deletion of any gene is the possible removal of functionality, not the creation of such. And if that new copy of an existing gene is then mutated through successive generations in a population, it will be producing a variation of an already existing protein or already existing proteins for protein coding genes with introns. It would then be potentially disadvantageous as the new variant of the already existing protein is actually different. So, to protect the organism from this potential disaster, that new mutated copy may have to be shut off and no longer expressed. Or natural selection may select against this event. And to produce new functionality, if an existing gene is just mutated, then it must either supply the same function as it did with the original gene and produce a new function also, or it must remove the original functionality while on its way to some new functionality with the switchover being precarious. This would probably be deadly or disadvantageous either way. Later the implications of gene expression and regulation including epigenetics will be discussed as this is an insurmountable problem for evolution with the copy and mutate theory, as well as the vast odds against such from occurring. The same will be done for existing genes which supposedly are mutated into new unique genes.

In fact, DNA mutation ERRORS are many times harmful or disadvantageous enough that natural selection eventually selects against them by reducing future populations. If not, then they eventually lead to the degradation of the entire genome, including almost all genes and intergenic regions, due to the accumulation of these DNA mutation ERRORS for all species. And it would take at least on average about 50 or more DNA mutation ERRORS to an average size gene to produce a new unique gene, and not just a new allele of an existing gene. About 25-30% DNA mutation ERRORS are synonymous in protein coding genes meaning they do not change the amino acid selected and thus there is no change to the protein created. Few, if any, DNA mutation ERRORS would be supposedly beneficial. The fact that Sickle Cell Anemia is listed as

one of the few “beneficial mutations” shows there are none. All of their small list of supposed “beneficial” mutations are either a loss of genetic information and functionally or are based on the ASSUMPTION that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true. And there is none that lead to new functionality as will be proved later.

When scientists insert a “new” gene into an organism, the “new” gene is usually not new but only new to the target organism as it already existed in another organism. It is not a copy of a gene that already existed in the target organism. It is functionality that preexisted in the source organism with some possible modification. So, this is not a new gene at all. And this event is being done by intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. Thus, it does not reflect what has happened in the past or in the present in the wild without intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. So, what scientists can accomplish does not show what happened without scientists and thus is no proof at all. And allelic variants of a gene are not new genes at all. And when intelligent scientists produce novel new genes artificially, it only proves that it takes intelligence and intelligently designed procedures to do so.

If a new gene did spontaneously come into existence in an organism, whether a spontaneously created new gene or from a copy of an existing gene, it is in general a disadvantage for an organism that is of a diploid species that reproduces sexually. Diploids have chromosomes which come in pairs with one set from each parent. Haploids do not have paired chromosomes. First, this event must produce a corresponding gene of the same size, and at the same location on the homologous paired chromosome, else it is a structural chromosomal mismatch, sometimes called a chromosomal disorder, and will very likely be selected against by natural selection as discussed below. Secondly, for this gene to make it into the population of that species, when this organism was to mate, its offspring would get a chromosome from one parent which has the new gene, and a homologous chromosome from a parent which does not have the new gene. This leads to a situation which is in general disadvantageous to that offspring, and natural selection selects against that gene from making it into that population. And this initial barrier is always present in diploid species that use sexual reproduction. This is a structural chromosomal mismatch. Structural chromosomal mismatches are in general selected against by natural selection. These can be detrimental to viability or possibly cause death at an early age before any offspring will be produced, or infertility, or reduced fertility, and any offspring may have the same problems. Many chromosomal mismatches are not inherited at all. So, in that case, they will not make it into the population of that species. Structural chromosomal mismatches will just be referred to as the more general term of chromosomal mismatches in the rest of this book.

And, even if one offspring did get the new gene after one generation of one organism with the new gene mating with another organism that did not have the new gene, that offspring will have to find a mate who either has the new gene or it will need to mate with an organism that does not have the new gene. So, that new offspring will still have a disadvantage which natural selection selects against. This problem will continue for some number of generations until a large enough population, that has the new gene,

emerges within a close enough geographical region that would be species dependent. This is not the same as GMOs where intelligent scientists insert a new gene into an organism and use selective breeding or some other technique to produce a population with enough size where all will eventually have the new gene. Producing GMOs is being done by intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. Thus, it does not reflect what has happened in the past or even in the present in the wild without intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. So, what scientists can accomplish does not show what happened without them in the past or in the present in the wild and thus is no proof at all.

So, one possible path is detrimental trying to mate individual creatures with a different number of genes on homologous chromosomes, thus a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And this barrier, which always exists in diploids that reproduce sexually, continues for some number of generations until a large enough population within a close enough geographical region emerges which has the new gene. Thus, this is a disadvantage in terms of natural selection, and this barrier must be overcome many times until that happens. The other path has inbreeding in a small population which has the new gene. This in general may also be disadvantageous to that offspring, and natural selection selects against that gene from making it into that population. But even if it did, that small population would still be of the same kind. This is just one aspect of variation and adaptation within created kinds. Furthermore, any such new gene would not provide any new functionality. This is discussed later.

This thus shows that there is a barrier to protect created kinds for diploid creatures that use sexual reproduction from such events. That is, each created kind can be modeled as an N space manifold where N is the number of unique genes within a kind. And there is no viable path, short of manipulation by intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures, to move from one manifold of a kind to another manifold of another kind. That is, the manifolds for each kind are disjoint. Like kind always produces like kind and is never produced from another kind. This is a law of Biology. That matches what the Bible says but refutes evolutionary theory which claims that a first living cell evolved into all species and all kinds that exist today or ever have existed. Thus, evolution does not count as observable science since its claims are against all observations and have never been observed. And all observations are against all its claims. Science should be observable, testable and repeatable. Evolution fails on all 3 accounts. In fact, all observations and tests refute evolution, and these refutation tests are repeatable all the time. Please note that the actual barrier against new unique genes in diploid creatures that use sexual reproduction, whether a copy or spontaneously created, entering a population or species or kind is greater than this simplistic look. This will be proved later.

And this barrier for diploids that use sexual reproduction, combined with the great odds against new unique genes, whether a spontaneously created new gene or a copy of an existing gene, as proved later, shows that it would have taken an incomprehensible vast amount time to produce all the unique genes that that must have come into existence over the eons to account for all the tens of trillions (possibly more than 100 trillion) of

unique genes that have ever existed in all diploid species which use sexual reproduction that have ever existed. This mechanism is too slow to accomplish that, especially considering that the odds against any new gene coming into existence by any means are vast as will be shown later. So, evolution, billions of years and common descent are false since they cannot account for all the unique genes in diploid species which use sexual reproduction. Remember just 1 contradiction refutes evolution, billions of years, common descent, the “no God” assumption, and proves that God created all things recently. This is actual science, logic and rational thought. And just for this one issue, there are tens of trillions (possibly more than 100 trillion) contradictions.

The following applies to both asexual and sexual reproduction. DNA mutation ERRORS will not produce any beneficial new function for natural selection to select. And the DNA of all species will be completely corrupted before any new gene would be plausible, except possibly a small gene in the case of species with a short intergenerational time which also have vast populations such as bacteria. Start with a copy of an existing gene, or just an existing gene, with 9,000 nucleotide base pairs. That is a bit smaller than the average protein coding gene. The odds against just 100 of those base pairs, a small number of changes, being randomly mutated by DNA mutation ERRORS to make a specific functional new gene are far greater than 10^284 to 1. Now there has been about 10^40 cells that have ever existed, so the total number of genes that have ever existed in all organisms that have ever existed is at most 10^45. That is an estimate of the total number of chances then. Please note that the actual estimate of the number of chances for a new unique gene in a particular species is just the total number of genes in the population of a particular species is probably limited to some geographical region. So, the use of the greater 10^45 chances helps reduce the odds against evolution greatly. Now the odds against even 100 new base pairs in that 9,000 base pairs gene coming into existence from random DNA mutation ERRORS to make a specific new gene are still far greater than 10^239 to 1 when reduced by the total population of 10^45. For 300 base pairs of a 9,000 base pairs gene being randomly changed to make a specific functional new gene, the odds against are far greater than 10^667 to 1, when reduced by the total population. For 2,000 base pairs of a 10,000 base pairs gene being randomly changed to make a specific functional new gene, the odds against are far greater than 10^3,080 to 1, when reduced by the total population. For 5,000 base pairs of a 25,000 base pairs gene being randomly changed to make a specific functional new gene, the odds against are far greater than 10^7,771 to 1, when reduced by the total population. These odds against are so vast as to be incomprehensible. So, it is virtually impossible for any significant number of these to have happened in the supposed 13.8 billion years since the supposed Big Bang, let alone trillions of them. Thus, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false. Thus, God created all things recently.

The above odds against were calculated using the following equation. The odds against are approximately (3^r) x C(n,r)/(10^45) to 1 where n in the number of base pairs in the gene, r is the number of mutations changing the original gene to the new gene, and that divided by 10^45 which is approximately the total number of genes that have ever

existed. This is Model 1 for an equation to estimate the odds against genes that were copied and mutated or just existing genes mutated to produce specific functional new genes. More accurate models are presented later. And they will also yield odds against that are so vast as to be incomprehensible.

Furthermore, as the copied gene or just an existing gene is changed over time through DNA mutation ERRORS over successive generations of descendants on its journey to becoming a particular functional new gene in a species, all intermediate states must be viable. That is, they must not be deadly or disadvantageous enough so that natural selection does not reject it at any of these intermediate states. This increases the vast odds against enormously. Since most unique genes differ by 100s or 1000s or more base pairs, the copy and mutate theory or mutation of an existing gene will in general require a very long path of DNA mutation ERRORS, which increases the odds against greatly.

This is further complicated by the fact that a protein coding gene is regulated, directly or indirectly, by non-protein coding genes (hereafter referred to as non-coding genes), epigenetics, intergenic regions, and introns if it has them. In this book, non-coding genes, and intergenic regions will be modeled as just non-coding genes, where some are actually non-coding genes, and intergenic regions are lumped together as an additional non-coding gene. This is a simplistic model which actually underestimates the odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS by a vast amount. These associated non-coding genes increase the vast odds against even more enormously, as these controls must work along this DNA mutation ERRORS path. This will be discussed later. In fact, it may be that there is no viable path at all with the copy and mutate process or mutation of an existing gene for a vast number of unique genes. Furthermore, even if there was a path of random DNA mutation ERRORS to get to the final functional new gene, the odds against that path being traversed by a sequence of random DNA mutation ERRORS are enormous. Thus, the above odds are increased enormously.

Here are the above odds against recalculated when considering the requirement of a viable DNA mutation ERRORS path. The odds against for each of the following have been reduced by the total population of all genes that have ever existed (10^45) as noted above. The odds against even 100 new base pairs in that 9,000 base pairs gene coming into existence from random DNA mutation ERRORS to make a specific new unique gene are still far greater than 10^297 to 1. For 300 base pairs of a 9,000 base pairs gene being randomly changed to make a specific new functional gene, the odds against are far greater than 10^1,282 to 1. For 2,000 base pairs of a 10,000 base pairs gene being randomly changed to make a specific new functional gene, the odds against are far greater than 10^8,815 to 1. For 5,000 base pairs of a 25,000 base pairs gene being randomly changed to make a specific new functional gene, the odds against are far greater than 10^24,097 to 1. These odds against are so vast as to be incomprehensible. So, it is virtually impossible for any significant number of these to have happened in the supposed 13.8 billion years since the supposed Big Bang, let alone tens of trillions of them. Later it will be shown that the odds against are vastly

larger than even these numbers. Thus, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false. Thus, God created all things recently.

The above odds were calculated using the following equation. The odds against are approximately (3^r) x P(n,r)/(10^45) to 1 where n in the number of base pairs in the gene, r is the number of mutations to change the original gene to the new gene, and that divided by 10^45 which is approximately the total number of genes that have ever existed. This is Model 2 for an equation to estimate the odds against genes that were copied and mutated or just existing genes mutated to produce specific functional new genes. It takes into account the requirement of a valid DNA mutation ERRORS path. A more accurate model that includes the associated non-coding control genes will be presented later. That model (Model 4) will allow for very many combinations of DNA base pairs for a gene and very DNA mutation ERRORS paths to greatly reduce the odds against evolution. Yet that model will also yield odds against that are so vast as to be incomprehensible.

So, getting new unique genes by a copy of an existing gene which is mutated or just an existing gene which is then mutated will never account for the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. Each would be a miraculous event. And evolution would then require over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) such miraculous events. Note that the mutated copy or an existing mutated gene are the same size as the original gene that was copied. The implications of this will be discussed later. The odds against are just too vast and require vast eons to happen. And remember that there is a barrier against the copy and mutate process for diploids that reproduce sexually. Thus, many miraculous events are likely to be rejected in this case. And this will vastly increase the odds against as well as the time it would take for such events to occur as will be proved later. Thus, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false. Thus, God created all things recently.

Other possibilities to get new unique genes, such as reverse transcription of RNA from some source, or DNA from a variety of different sources, will be discussed later.

2. The only alternative is for new DNA code for new genes to come into existence spontaneously. But the vast odds against this and the problem with misplaced stop codons refute evolution, billions of years, and common descent. (to TOC) (back)

In essence, these are the only possibilities to generate new genes. Either existing DNA code from some source is copied, either directly or indirectly, and changed by DNA mutation ERRORS or an existing gene is changed by DNA mutation ERRORS, or novel DNA code must come into existence spontaneously. For genes that come into existence spontaneously, the odds against for each of the following have been reduced by the total population of all genes that have ever existed (10^45) as noted above. For the spontaneous addition of 3,000 base pairs to a copy of a gene to make a specific new functional gene or for a specific new functional gene of that size coming into existence

spontaneously, the odds against are far greater than 10^1,761 to 1. For the spontaneous addition of 9,000 base pairs to a copy of a gene to make a specific new functional gene or for a specific new gene of that size coming into existence spontaneously, the odds against are far greater than 10^5,373 to 1. For the spontaneous addition of 20,000 base pairs to a copy of a gene to make a specific new functional gene or for a specific new gene of that size coming into existence spontaneously, the odds against are far greater than 10^11,996 to 1. And for the spontaneous addition of 50,000 base pairs to a copy of a gene to make a specific new functional gene or for a specific new gene of that size coming into existence spontaneously, the odds against are far greater than 10^30,057 to 1. These odds against are incomprehensibly vast. So, it is virtually impossible for any significant number of these to have happened in the supposed 13.8 billion years since the supposed Big Bang, let alone trillions of them. Thus, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false. Thus, God created all things recently.

The above odds against were calculated using the following equation. The odds against are approximately (4^n)/(10^45) to 1 where n in the number of spontaneously created base pairs in the gene, and that divided by 10^45 which is approximately the total number of genes that have ever existed. This is Model 3 of an equation to estimate the odds against spontaneous addition of base pairs to a copy of a gene to make a specific new functional gene or for a specific new functional gene of that size coming into existence spontaneously. A more accurate model that includes the associated non-coding control genes will be presented later. That model (Model 5) will allow for very many combinations of DNA base pairs for a gene to greatly reduce the odds against evolution. Yet that model will also yield odds against that are so vast as to be incomprehensible.

As will be proved later, spontaneously created protein coding genes must be the ultimate source of the first genes as a copy of an existing gene that is mutated or mutation of an existing gene always requires a previously existing gene. But from the above incomprehensibly vast odds against as calculated above, and as will be proved later, it is impossible for spontaneously created genes to be the ultimate source of the first genes. So, evolution, billions of years and common descent are false, since they cannot account for the all the unique genes that have existed in all species that have ever existed. In fact, since evolution cannot account for the first genes, evolution cannot account for any genes. Remember just 1 contradiction refutes evolution, billions of years, common descent, the “no God” assumption, and proves God created all things recently. This is actual science, logic and rational thought. And there are a vast multitude of contradictions, including all the unique genes that have ever existed for all species that have ever existed.

But there is another problem for a protein coding gene coming into existence spontaneously. And this insurmountable problem has to do with misplaced stop codons. If a protein coding gene with novel DNA code came into existence spontaneously, then the DNA code for that gene would consist of random DNA base pairs and thus random

DNA codons. (A DNA codon is a consecutive sequence of 3 DNA base pairs that codes for a particular amino acid.) Therefore, a fraction (about 3/64) of these codons would be stop codons for nuclear DNA. The following discusses nuclear DNA, but a similar argument applies to mitochondrial DNA. These stop codons would be scattered throughout the gene instead of just one at the end of the gene as is the case in almost all protein coding genes. So, these spontaneously created genes do not match what is observed. So, to get to what is observed, all these extra stop codons must be changed, through DNA mutation ERRORS, to codons which are not stop codons. Please note that if the logical implications of evolutionary theory do not match what is observed, then evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption are false, and God created all things recently. The phrase, does not match what is observed, will be used a number of times in the following discussion. So, keep in mind that if it does not match what is observed then it cannot be true.

A stop codon in the DNA of a protein coding gene becomes a corresponding stop codon at the same location in the mRNA strand produced through transcription (converts DNA code to RNA code). But during translation (takes RNA triplets and selects an amino acid), the protein produced will be cutoff at the first stop codon in that mRNA strand. So, if a spontaneously created protein coding gene has extra stop codons, then the protein that the gene creates will most likely be truncated during the translation process due to those misplaced stop codons. This will very likely occur at the first misplaced stop codon. There is the possibility of read-through, but it is the exception to the rule. In this case, the protein produced will most likely be useless or even disadvantageous and depending on the reason for the misplaced stop codon, this situation will be deadly or quite disadvantageous and rejected by natural selection. In the case of spontaneously created genes, which have many misplaced stop codons scattered throughout, it is very doubtful that it will read-through all those misplaced stop codons which occur throughout the gene about every 1 in 21 codons. An average size protein coding gene of 10,000 base pairs would have about 156 of them.

The following assumes that there is a start codon at the beginning of this spontaneously created protein coding gene and a proper stop codon at the end. It also assumes that there is a corresponding valid functional promoter for this spontaneously created gene that came into being spontaneously along with this spontaneously created gene, else the protein coding gene is useless. However, since this gene is spontaneously created, there is no guarantee that these assumptions hold. The odds against a particular valid functional promoter coming into existence spontaneously range from about 10^50 to 1 to greater than 10^600 to 1.

Now if a spontaneously created novel gene did come into existence, it would have an average of about 3/64 of its codons being stop codons. Then the rate of creating new misplaced stop codons is about same as the rate of eliminating existing misplaced stop codons through DNA mutation ERRORS for the remaining part of that gene after the first misplaced stop codon. So, in general the number of misplaced stop codons stays the same in the remaining part. So, that gene does not match what is observed. But if for some reason the number of misplaced stop codons began to drop in that remaining

part, the rate of creation of new misplaced stop codons becomes greater than the rate of elimination of misplaced stop codons in the remaining part. And this rate difference becomes greater the more the number of misplaced stop codons decreases in the remaining part of the gene. So, not only is there an average of about 3/64 of its codons being misplaced stop codons at the time of spontaneous creation, but that fraction is also the stability point in the remaining part of that gene. Again, this spontaneously created gene does not match what is observed. Now any DNA mutation ERROR that creates a new stop codon before the current first misplaced stop codon will shorten the protein produced by that gene, and this abrupt change will very probably lead to death or significant disadvantage that will be eliminated by natural selection. However, any new misplaced stop codon after the first misplaced stop codon has no effect on the protein produced, so natural selection is not involved at all.

Now every once in a while, as this spontaneously created gene is mutated in a population over generations, the first misplaced stop codon is changed to a codon which is not a stop codon by a DNA mutation ERROR. Over time, all current misplaced stop codons could conceivably be removed leaving just the last codon being a stop codon. That gene then would match what is observed. But there are 2 major problems for this scenario. The first has to do with how long it would take to eliminate all the misplaced stop codons. The second, and the bigger problem, is that this scenario is impossible.

The first problem has to do with the time it would take to remove all misplaced stop codons so that this spontaneously created protein coding gene matches what is observed. As noted above, when new misplaced stop codons are being produced in the remaining part of the gene after the first misplaced stop codon, they are not removed by natural selection since there is no change in the protein created. So, over time, new misplaced stop codons are being created in the remaining part of the gene after the first misplaced stop codon. And all misplaced stop codons, whether from the initial set that was created at the time of spontaneous creation of the gene, or these new misplaced stop codons, will all have to be eventually changed to non stop codons through DNA mutation ERRORS to match what is observed. It works out that a total of at least approximately (n^2)/4 misplaced stop codons will have to be mutated into codons which are not stop codons, where n equals the average number of initial misplaced stop codons, which is approximately (3/64)N, where N is the number of codons in the gene. For a 3,000 base pairs gene, a relatively small gene, the number of initial misplaced stop codons would be about 46. For a 10,000 base pairs gene, an average size gene, the number of initial misplaced stop codons would be about 156. For a 30,000 base pairs gene, a relatively large gene, the number of initial misplaced stop codons would be about 468. And for a 100,000 base pairs gene, a large gene, the number of initial misplaced stop codons would be about 1,562. These are just the initial number of misplaced stop codons. The total number of misplaced stop codons is at least approximately (n^2)/4 where n is the initial number of them. For 46 initial misplaced stop codons in a relatively small gene with 3,000 base pairs, that comes to a total of at least 529 misplaced stop codons. For 156 initial misplaced stop codons for the average size gene with 10,000 base pairs, that comes to a total of at least 6,084 misplaced stop

codons. For 468 initial misplaced stop codons in a relatively large gene with 30,000 base pairs, that comes to a total of at least 54,756 misplaced stop codons. For 1,562 initial misplaced stop codons in a large gene with 100,000 base pairs, that comes to a total of at least 610,350 misplaced stop codons.

And a DNA mutation ERROR is needed to change each and every one of these misplaced stop codons to non stop codons so that it will match what is observed. But the rate of nuclear DNA point mutation ERRORS occurring in a particular protein coding gene is quite low. There may not be any for many generations. And the misplaced stop codons are only about 4.7% of all codons in these spontaneously created genes. So, only about 1 in 21 DNA mutation ERRORS eliminates a misplaced stop codon. Thus, it would take very many billions of generations, depending on the size of the gene, to remove all misplaced stop codons. Even an average size gene would require trillions of generations. So, this is not some process that is hard to catch but should be easily observed in spontaneously created protein coding genes that are happening today. That is not what is observed and so spontaneously created protein coding genes that would make it into a population must come into existence with no misplaced stop codons. Or the process has mysteriously stopped, and due to the great persistence of misplaced stop codons, stopped well over a billion years ago. But there is no reason why such a process should not be happening in prokaryotic (cells with no nucleus) haploids, if not in eukaryotic (cells with a nucleus) diploids. And thus, it should be observed. Again, evolution does not match what is observed.

The second, and the bigger problem, is that this scenario is impossible. Each time the current first misplaced stop codon is removed, the protein produced by that protein coding gene would be lengthened by an average of about 20 amino acids. And this abrupt change will most probably lead to death or significant disadvantage that will be eliminated by natural selection. And the lengthened amount will vary so that it may be greater than 50 codons and thus greater than 50 amino acids in the produced protein. Or it could be less than 10 codons and thus less than 10 amino acids in the produced protein. And none of the DNA code after that removed first misplaced stop codon up to the now new first misplaced stop codon has ever been subjected to natural selection. Thus, it is just random DNA code. This makes this event even more probable that it will lead to death or significant disadvantage that will be eliminated by natural selection. And the number of times that this will need to occur is at least equal to the initial number of misplaced stop codons which is about 3/64 of the total number of codons in the initial spontaneously created protein coding gene. For a 500 base pairs gene, a very small gene, that comes to at least 8x that this lengthening event occurs. For a 1,000 base pairs gene, quite a small gene, that comes to at least 15x. For a 3,000 base pairs gene, a relatively small gene, that comes to at least 46x. For a 10,000 base pairs gene, an average size gene, that comes to at least 156x. For a 100,000 base pairs gene that comes to at least 1,562x. For a 1,000,000 base pairs gene that comes to at least 15,624x. Each of these lengthening events caused by the removal of the current first misplaced stop codon will most probably lead to death or significant disadvantage that will be eliminated by natural selection. So, multiple ones would be virtually impossible. The idea that any gene would survive over time in any population from such a large

sequence of abrupt protein lengthening events is preposterous. So, it is not possible that spontaneously created protein coding genes could have come into existence with misplaced stop codons.

Some might argue that misplaced stop codons in protein coding genes did not result in protein truncation in the early stages of DNA. But then all protein coding genes would have been littered with misplaced stop codons equal to an average of about 3/64 of all codons. So, as soon as that functionality came into existence, all organisms that had this new functionality would have died as described above. In fact, if any of the essential existing protein coding genes had misplaced stop coding, the creature would have died as soon this functionality came into existence. So, the supposed first cell must have had all its initial protein coding genes be without any misplaced stop codons. But the odds against that are vast. Some might argue that maybe there were only 1 or 2 and not 3 stop codons for protein coding genes in nuclear DNA in the early stages of DNA, or that there were different stop codons then. However, the same argument against these speculations given prior holds against these also.

So, the only way for spontaneously created protein coding genes to come into existence is without any extra stop codons. But this greatly reduces the ability to generate any significant number of them, if at all. For example, suppose a 3,000 base pairs gene came into existence spontaneously. The odds against it having no extra stop codons are over 7x10^20 to 1 for a haploid organism. That means that there would have to be over 10^16 spontaneously created genes of that size for a small chance that such would have happened 1x in the supposed 3.8 billion years of life on earth. And that is for a relatively small gene. For a diploid organism, the odds against are over 5x10^41 to 1. That would have taken well over a trillion times a trillion years for just 1. For an average size gene with 10,000 base pairs coming into existence spontaneously, the odds against it having no extra stop codons are over 3x10^69 to 1 for a haploid organism. That would be extremely unlikely even if life on earth were a trillion times a trillion times a trillion times a trillion times a trillion years old. For a diploid organism, the odds against are over 9x10^138 to 1. For a 100,000 base pairs gene coming into existence spontaneously, the odds against it having no extra stop codons are over 9x10^694 to 1 for a haploid organism. That is inconceivable odds against. For a diploid organism, the odds against are over 9x10^1,389 to 1. Later it will be shown that the odds against are vastly far greater.

But spontaneous creation of genes must ultimately be how genes started, as you cannot copy and mutate something that does not exist or even just mutate something that does not exist. And copying of genes which are mutated or existing genes which are mutated cannot explain the continuum of gene sizes as will be explained later. So, there must have been spontaneous creation of many genes of a large range of sizes, some which are extreme in size. For 2.4 million base pairs, the odds against no extra stop codons are far greater than 10^33,360 to 1 for a diploid. Later it will be shown that the odds against are vastly far greater.

Please note that a spontaneously created gene must be close to an actual gene that exists or has existed. If not, it is spontaneous creation of a gene followed by a coordinated DNA mutation ERRORS path. So, there are not many combinations for spontaneous creation of novel genes since the must be a close match to an actual gene that exists or has existed. Or there would have to have been a very long path of coordinated DNA mutation ERRORS path would be required after the spontaneous creation of a gene.

Take an average size protein coding gene with 10,000 base pairs that came into existence spontaneously, which then becomes part of the genome of a species. This would have to be the case if spontaneously created genes are a source of new genes. It would have on average of about an extra 156 misplaced stop codons. And on average the first misplaced stop codon would occur at about the 21st codon, although it could be located at the 41st codon or as far back as the 201st codon or further. While the odds against increase as the position of the first misplaced stop codon increases beyond the 21st, they are still vastly more likely than the no misplaced stop codon case. So, they could occur. If at the 201st codon position, the protein produced would be 200 amino acids in length, and there are a number of proteins of that size or less. Now the DNA point mutation error rate for nuclear DNA is about 2x10^(-8) point mutations per generation with variation across species, depending on genome size and intergenerational time. For a 10,000 base pairs protein coding gene, there would be 1 point mutation about every 5,000 generations. If that point mutation occurs after the first misplaced stop codon, this protein coding gene has no change in the protein it creates, so this gene remains in the genome of that species. In fact, about 155 of every 156 of these point mutations will on average occur after the first misplaced stop codon in this average size gene. So, on average, it takes about 390,000 generations before a point mutation occurs prior to the first misplaced stop codon or at the first misplaced stop codon.

Now every once in a while, an individual organism of this species gets a point mutation either before or at the first misplaced stop in that gene. If it is a new misplaced stop codon before the first misplaced stop codon, or if it eliminates that first misplaced codon, that organism will either get a shortened protein or a lengthened protein respectively due to this event and that abrupt change would be deadly or disadvantageous to be rejected by natural selection. But that is only for the individual organism that received that point mutation which would be rejected by natural selection. Thus, the remaining population still has the gene in its genome with the very same first misplaced stop codon. Thus, it actually persists and possibly persists forever.

In general, for a protein coding gene with N base pairs, there is an average N/64 misplaced stop codons for spontaneously created genes. And a point mutation will occur about 1/(Nr) generations in that gene, where r is the approximate point mutation error rate per generation for nuclear DNA protein coding genes. Thus, it takes about 1/(64r) generations for a point mutation to occur at or before the first misplaced stop codon. And that comes to about 390,000 generations. And that is just the amount of time to potentially remove only the first misplaced stop codon. And only about 21 of

these point mutations with be in the first misplaced codon. So, on average, it takes about 8 million generations for that point mutation to occur in the first misplaced stop mutation. And even then, a few of those point mutations do not remove a stop codon, as some of them change that stop codon to another stop codon. And remember that is not the end of the gene in the genome of that species. Further to get to that which is observed, all misplaced stop codons will have to turned into codons which are not stop codons. But the total number of misplaced stop codons that will need to be changed into non stop codons is approximately at least (n^2)/4, where n is the initial number of misplaced stop codons. For the average size gene of about 10,000 base pairs, it would take at least 48 billion generations to remove all misplace stop codons, or possibly forever. So, it would take very many billions of generations to turn these spontaneously created protein coding genes, littered with misplace stop codons upon creation, into that which is observed. And since any lengthening event caused by the removal of the first misplaced stop codon will very likely lead to rejection by natural selection as discussed above, it would take at least 5 trillion generations or possibly forever to turn these spontaneously created protein coding genes, littered with misplace stop codons upon creation, into that which is observed. That is greater than the number of supposed generations since the supposed first living cell.

Since no protein coding gene is observed today littered with misplaced stop codons, then this is not how new protein genes come into existence or came into existence. The great persistence of all those misplaced stop codons rules that out. Nor could the first cell have had protein coding genes with misplaced stop codons as will be proved later. And since the odds against a spontaneously created protein coding gene with no misplaced stop codons are so vastly against, then that too is not how new genes came into existence either. But that covers the only possibilities for spontaneously created genes. Therefore, it is impossible for spontaneously created protein coding genes to be the source of the first protein coding genes. But spontaneously created genes must be the source of the first protein coding genes, since copy and mutate or mutation of an existing protein coding gene cannot be the sole source of new genes. The simple reason is that both of these mechanisms require a preexisting gene. So, this observation refutes evolution, billions of years, and common descent irrefutably. It also irrefutably proves God created all things recently.

But now there is yet another problem. Eventually that spontaneously created gene will be copied if copying of a gene is a mechanism for new genes. And that copy will have the very same first misplaced stop codon at the same location, and the same great persistence across very many billions of generations or more. And during that time, other copies of genes littered with extra stop codons will probably occur, whether copies of a copy or copies of the initial spontaneously created gene. Thus, a species should have several protein coding genes with a same signature of the same gene size and a first misplaced stop codon at the same location. This too is not what is observed. And this phenomenon does not reset with a “new” species. So, species should have inherited sets of genes with the same signatures from branch offs of the supposed common descent tree of evolution. In fact, all species should have several different sets of genes, each set with their own unique same signatures. In fact, one could trace the

supposed evolutionary descent by these common signatures. This is absolutely not the case at all and is not what is observed. Furthermore, prokaryotes should have even more different sets of genes each with its own unique same signatures as they can get genetic material through transduction, conjugation, and transformation. This is absolutely not the case at all and is not what is observed. So, these observations refute evolution, billions of years, and common descent irrefutably. They also irrefutably prove God created all things recently.

Spontaneously created protein coding genes must be the source of the first protein coding genes, since copy and mutate or mutation of an existing protein coding gene cannot be the sole source of new genes. But the great odds against spontaneously created genes coming into existence without misplaced stop codons prove that all of them must have misplaced stop codons scattered throughout about every 1 in 21 codons. And due to the great persistence of misplaced stop codons in spontaneously created protein coding genes, as proved prior, all protein coding genes in the genomes of all species must have misplaced stop codons scattered throughout about every 1 in 21 codons. But that is completely against what is observed. So, this observation refutes evolution, billions of years, and common descent irrefutably. It also irrefutably proves God created all things recently.

There is another problem with spontaneously created protein coding genes. The average position of the first misplaced stop codon is at the 21st position with some variance in the exact position. If it is in on average at about the 21st position, the truncated protein would only be on average about 20 amino acids in length. And that is the case for such spontaneously created genes independent of the size of the protein coding gene. Would such a gene even be selected by natural selection? It is doubtful that such a small protein would give any advantage. And it would be detrimental in using up energy and other resources to replicate such a long gene or to produce mRNA strands from it. Imagine a gene with 10,000 or 50,000 or 100,000 or more base pairs, where only the first 60 base pairs are used to make a protein. The waste would be over 99% in energy and other resources just for an average size protein coding gene of 10,000 base pairs. Or conversely, it would require over 100x more energy and resources. And since evolutionists claim the earliest living cells were prokaryotes, they did not have mitochondria, which are the real powerhouse for energy production. Thus, this great waste of energy and resources would have been an insurmountable obstacle, especially for the supposed first cell and the supposed earliest cells. These were all supposedly primitive. So, they could not have had protein coding genes with misplaced stop codons.

There is even another problem for spontaneously created protein coding genes in diploids using sexual reproduction. Since the DNA base pairs would be random in spontaneously created genes, there is no expectation of any correlation for the DNA code in that gene in one of the homologous chromosomes and the corresponding code in the same location in the other homologous chromosome in diploids that reproduce sexually. And since the DNA code after the first misplaced stop codon is immaterial as to natural selection, this lack of correlation would persist after the first misplace stop

codon. Again, this does not match what is observed. Further, if a lengthening event happened when the first misplaced stop codon is removed by a DNA mutation ERROR, the next segment of the gene up to the next misplaced stop codon will now code for part of the protein produced. Yet the code of the homologous pair of chromosomes in that segment are completely unrelated with minimum correlation. Note, that this too does not match what is observed. Furthermore, since all the DNA code after the first misplaced stop codon is not used to produce a protein in a protein coding, then DNA mutation ERRORS in the remaining part are not subject to natural selection. So, the natural state will always move toward minimal correlation between all the alleles of that gene in a population after the first misplaced stop codon. So, even if some alleles of that gene are removed for any reason in a population, the remaining alleles of that gene will still move toward minimal correlation after the first misplaced stop codon. This too does not match what is observed.

And there is yet another problem for spontaneously created genes in diploids using sexual reproduction. If a spontaneously created gene did come into existence in one chromosome of a homologous pair, a corresponding one must also occur at the same location with the same size in the other chromosome of that homologous pair. If not, then this organism will have a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And even if both chromosomes of the homologous pair get the same size spontaneously created gene at the same location, its offspring would still have to mate with an organism that does not. This is a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And even if that somehow produces an offspring with the new gene, that offspring too will need to mate with an organism that does not have the new gene. Again, this is a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And this will have to continue for a number of generations until a large enough population, that has the gene, emerges within a close enough geographical region. Thus, many times there is a mating of an organism with the new gene and an organism without the new gene. Each of these are a significant barrier to overcome a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against.

And there is yet another problem for spontaneously created genes in diploids using sexual reproduction. A protein coding gene has associated gene expression and regulation controls else it is effectively useless or disadvantageous or deadly. Some of these controls will be in associated non-coding genes. There may be 1 or more of these, with 4 more likely being an upper limit. So, not only is there a spontaneously created gene in one chromosome of the homologous pair, and the required gene of the same size and location in the other chromosome of the homologous pair, but there must be 1 to several associated non-coding genes in one chromosome of the homologous pair, and the required genes of the same sizes and same locations in the other chromosome of the homologous pair. If all these conditions are not met, then that organism will have a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And even if both chromosomes of the homologous pair get the same size spontaneously created genes at the same locations, its offspring would still have to mate with an organism that does not. This is a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And in this case, there are 2 to 5 chromosomal mismatches. And even if that

somehow magically produces an offspring with the new genes, it too will need to mate with an organism that does not have the new genes. Again, there are 2 to 5 chromosomal mismatches which are strongly selected against. And this will have to continue for a number of generations until a large enough population, that has the entire gene set, emerges within a geographical region. Thus, many times there is a mating of an organism with the new genes and an organism without the new genes. Each of these requires a miracle to overcome 2 to 5 chromosomal mismatches which are strongly selected against.

It may be possible to get new DNA code from a spontaneously created RNA strand which through reverse transcription generates DNA code which is somehow incorporated into a new protein coding gene. But such an RNA strand would have misplaced stop codons which will become misplaced stop codons in the resulting DNA. So, the same arguments presented here are against this possibility.

The following are not spontaneously generated DNA code but just a form of copying existing DNA code in some manner or another. Thus, they cannot be the source of the first genes, and thus in no way resolves the problem of the ultimate source of the first genes. Any DNA code from any existing source, whether from another species or from another organism of the same species or from some gene in the same organism, is obviously just a copy of existing code. Thus, they cannot be the source of the first genes, and thus in no way resolves the problem of the ultimate source of the first genes. If the DNA code is from a non-coding gene, then it almost for sure will have misplaced stop codons because stop codons are not stop codons in non-coding genes. So, if DNA code from a non-coding gene which has misplaced stop codons, and somehow that is incorporated into a protein coding gene, the same arguments against that presented here apply to this possibility. Note that copying DNA code from a non-coding gene to a protein coding gene or vice versa will most likely be rejected by natural selection as they have a different function. But no matter what, this is still from existing DNA code. Thus, they cannot be the source of the first genes, and thus in no way resolves the problem of the ultimate source of the first genes. And if an RNA strand which came from the transcription of an already existing DNA source or from a copy of already existing RNA strand from an existing DNA source, then it is not novel code. So, if through reverse transcription it somehow becomes DNA which is incorporated into a gene, then it is in essence a copy, albeit through a multi-step process. Thus, this cannot be the source of the first genes, and in no way resolves the problem of the ultimate source of the first genes.

Some may propose that the first genes were not protein coding genes but non-coding genes. This would remove the need for no misplaced stop codons since there are no codons that act as stop codons for non-coding genes. There are several reasons which prove that this is not possible. First, non-coding genes do not produce proteins. But proteins are required for all aspects of survival and reproduction. So, such a creature would not have survived or been able to reproduce. Secondly, this will not lead to protein coding genes as any copy of these non-coding genes will have many misplaced non stop codons. This will never work as proved prior. The same reasoning eliminates

snippets of non-coding genes, reverse transcription of any RNA that non-coding genes would produce, or reverse transcription of any snippets of any RNA that non-coding genes would produce. Thirdly, genes are composed of DNA code, and DNA code requires RNA and proteins to be useful and replicate. Finally, the following post refutes the idea that the first living creature was anything other than a cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/16ahmPCiDj/

Now consider the supposed first living cell. It must have had DNA, RNA, proteins, and genes including protein coding genes. One of the smallest known free-living things is a bacterium, called Mycoplasma genitalium, with about 475 protein coding genes and a genome of about 580,000 base pairs. It may be that that is about the same genome size as the supposed first living cell. But assume a simpler cell of just 400 protein coding genes with an average of 9,000 base pairs per gene. After all, there must be a minimum set of features, and thus genes, for a first cell to survive and reproduce. And for many reasons, a much smaller cell is preposterous. And these protein coding genes must have had no extra stop codons as proved above. The odds against that average size gene coming into existence spontaneously without any extra stop codons are greater than 3x10^62 to 1. And the total odds against all 400 genes without stop codons are greater than 10^25,020 to 1. So, even if such a first living cell came into being, the odds against it having no extra stop codons are far greater than 10^25,020 to 1 just for this simple restriction. Put another way, it would take more than 10^25,000 such spontaneous creation abiogenesis events of a supposed first living cell for even 1 of those to be remotely likely. And it would take more than 10^50 events for a gene of that average size without any extra stop codons to even be remotely likely, with much vaster odds against for even larger genes. Yet somehow all 400 of these super great miracles of miracles happened at the exact same time in the very same creature. That is preposterous. So, abiogenesis is impossible.

The vast odds against a set of spontaneously created protein coding genes that would produce any useful coordinated proteins due to all the possible variations of DNA base pairs in a first living cell can be calculated. And there would have been at least 400 of them in the first living cell. From model 5 developed later, for just 1 average size protein coding gene coming into existence spontaneously that would have made up a first living cell, the odds against are far greater than 10^4,000 to 1. For 400 such protein coding genes, the total odds against are far greater than 10^160,000 to 1. Put another way, there would have to have been an inconceivable number of such spontaneous abiogenesis events to have produced one. And each such event would have required a time that would be vastly inconceivably greater than the supposed 13.8 billion years since the universe existed. Of course, each of these events are actually impossible as proved below.

Furthermore, the odds against that much DNA code in this smaller supposed first cell are far greater than 10^240,000 to 1. And since all the DNA base pairs must be right-handed, the odds against are far greater than 10^360,000 to 1. And since the DNA

would be double stranded with complementary strands, the odds against are far greater than 10^720,000 to 1. And these odds against are just for the DNA code. And these odds against do not include all the other atoms which are required to maintain the shape and integrity of the physical structure of the DNA backbones of both strands. Furthermore, the 2 intertwined strands of the double helix for the DNA must be completely aligned so that that double helix would have the exact shape. And this alignment would have to be near perfect so that the hydrogen bond forces would hold it together. There would have to be already created RNA strands and proteins in such a first cell at the moment of spontaneous creation of this first cell for it to have survived and replicated, else it is all for naught. And these nucleotides for the RNA strands and the amino acid sequences of the proteins would all have to match each other and the DNA code according to the rules of transcription and translation. And all the nucleotides for the DNA and for all RNA strands would have to be right-handed, and the amino acids all left-handed. And all the DNA, all the RNA strands, and all the proteins would have to be in their correct positions within the cell at the time of spontaneous creation so it could even survive. And it would have had at least tens of thousands of proteins, each of the proper type and amounts of each type, with each in the proper location. All genes in first living cell would have to have the ability to produce a coordinated set of proteins that work together in a very precise manner to survive and replicate without excessive DNA mutation ERRORS. And thus, the protein coding genes are also a very particular set of DNA code and that includes all intergenic regions with any epigenetics working precisely. And these odds against do not include all the other atoms which are required to maintain the shape and integrity of the physical structure of the RNA strands. So, the odds against the DNA, RNA and proteins would be far greater than 10^(1 billion) to 1. And all of the at least tens of thousands of proteins must have been folded into their exact correct 3-dimensional shapes so that they could function properly. And this is especially true of all the many thousands of proteins that act as enzymes. And throughout this supposed first living cell, the temperature, pH, and the concentrations of a number of key ions must have been within their controlled ranges. And similar constraints would have to have been met for these things in the environment of the outside of the cell. And within the cell, even relative motion of molecules must have been within tolerable limits. Such a first cell would have had greater than 100 billion atoms of various elements arraigned in a particular 3D configuration. The odds against that are far greater than 10^(100 billion) to 1. And all of these would have had to occur within a very small window of time and in a very small volume. Furthermore, the laws of science would make it impossible for such a configuration from ever coming together in that small volume in such a small window of time. And it would be impossible anywhere for this to have happened in the universe, including anywhere on or in the earth.

But even if the supposed first living cell could have come into being spontaneously, survived and replicated, all first-generation descendants would have already been modified by DNA mutation ERRORS. So, that would mean that they could not have had any offspring that would have survived or replicated even if they could have survived. And any second-generation offspring would have acquired even more DNA mutation ERRORS.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. These facts refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19b6BoWPJA/

Many evolutionists do not understand the ramifications of the fact that all individuals organism of all species accumulate of DNA mutation ERRORS. While deadly and disadvantageous mutations are removed by natural selection, natural selection cannot help with the accumulation of the other of DNA mutation ERRORS because all individuals of all species have the accumulation and all descendant lines of all survivors will continue to accumulate more and more with each generation. Some even claim that this accumulation does not happen. In fact, if this does not occur then evolution, common descent and billions of years are false, since there would be no change in the genomes of any species. But these rates do exist and they have been measured in a many species. These measured rates are given in the above post. This phenomenon leads to things such as increasing genetic entropy, aka increasing genetic load. It also leads to genetic divergence and genetic drift. Evolutionists themselves use these rates to supposedly date when species supposedly branched off from some supposed last common ancestors (LCA).

Now consider the rate of DNA mutation ERRORS in all species. If that DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rate is 0, there is no change in the genome of species and so evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, since there would be no change in the genomes of any species. But if the rate is very small, then it would have taken incomprehensibly vast ages to produce any new species, a far greater time than the supposed about 13.8 billion years than the universe existed. But even under this case, the extinction of all species would have happened long before that. And any rate about 1/10 of today's actual rates or more will lead to extinction relatively quickly. DNA mutation ERRORS destroy information. They do not create information. Thus, under no value for the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS will evolution be true. So, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" have been falsified again. Thus, God created all things.

The supposed first cell would not have had as an advanced DNA error correction, DNA error repair system, and DNA duplication system as exists today. So, the DNA of these early generations would have exponentially decayed quickly. The number of generations from that supposed first living cell along all descendant lines to extinction would have been probably 10 or less but certainly at most 1000. And there is no real chance of any new functionality coming into being at all. This is proved many times in this book, as any new functionality would have required a number of simultaneous super great miracles of miracles in the very same creature as to how it survives and replicates. Even after very many generations, no new functionality would have emerged

at all because DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce any significant new functionality. This is why for many reasons, a much smaller supposed first living cell is preposterous.

Any first cell coming into existence spontaneously in an abiogenesis event would have produced a vast leap in information content. And that information includes all the DNA, RNA, proteins and all structures contained in such. That is impossible. But from then on, DNA mutation ERRORS would have caused a degradation of the information of that species and all individual organisms along all descent lines. And the decrease of that information is proportional to the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS and also proportional to the amount of total information. Thus, the information content can be modeled, using a first order approxiamtion, as having a constant decay constant. So, the solution for the amount of information over time is the exponential decay function given below. This equation is applicable to all species and descendant lines from that first living cell.

$I(t) = I(0)\, e^{(-kt)}$

where I(t) is the amount of information in species or descendant lines from the first living cell, I(0) is the initial amount of information in the first cell due to abiogenesis, k is the decay constant due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, and t is the time since abiogenesis.

Also, note that any supposed pre-DNA cell could have never come into being spontaneously, survived, and replicated, and evolved into a living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins. This is proved later. And even if it did, all descendant lines would have gone extinct within just a few generations as the mutation ERRORS rate would be even larger in any RNA organism. And of course, there is no possibility to have made the jump to a living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins no matter what kind it was. This is also proved later.

Now at first it must be the case that that first living cell would have had a much more fragile system of DNA error correction, DNA error repair, and DNA duplication as exists today. This is simply because anything more robust, sophisticated and accurate would require a much more complex first creature from abiogenesis. That would make abiogenesis even more preposterous. So, more than likely this abiogenesis event would not last many generations at all, maybe not past the first one or a few. Thus, the supposed time since abiogenesis of the first living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins to extinction of that species and all descendant lines would have been significantly less than 10,000 years. This refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

Note that there is no source of additional information as DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce any new information or at best even after many eons a miniscule amount. For eukaryotes, the decay of genetic information is an even faster decay rate due to the larger mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rate. Mitochondrial DNA

accumulates DNA mutation ERRORS at a rate at least 100x that of nuclear DNA. Thus, all eukaryote species must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, this situation will certainly not lead to an increase to many millions of times the amount of information from that first cell. Thus, the supposed time since abiogenesis must be less than 10,000 years as will be proved below. And again, this refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

But there are yet even more problems for evolution. The following discusses 3 events claimed by evolutionists to have happened in the ancient past and required to have happened in the ancient past for evolution to be true. These events are the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes. Now, all 3 of these have been proved false by many people, many times and in many irrefutable and infallible ways, including by the proofs in this book. So, these refute the theories of evolution. Consider the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria. Mitochondrial DNA accumulates DNA mutation ERRORS at a significantly higher rate than nuclear DNA. Based on measured rates today, the rate for mitochondrial DNA is at least 100x that of nuclear DNA. But the accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS at the time of the endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria must have been at least as great, if not greater than the measured rates today. Thus, due to this even greater rate, the time from this supposed endosymbiotic event to the extinction of mitochondria and thus any eukaryotes that contained such and used them for ATP production must be significant less 10,000 years. The same argument holds for the other required supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes. All 3 would have had the same type of exponential decay function as given above for the first living cell each with their own decay constant. And due to all of these rates being even more significantly higher than the current large accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS measured today, then the time from each of these events to the extinction of eukaryotes must be significantly less than 10,000 years. Thus, the entire timeline since abiogenesis to extinction, and the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, and the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes all collapse to significantly less than 10,000 years. Also note that at the time of these 3 supposed events, the rate accumulation of nuclear DNA mutation ERRORS in prokaryotes would have also been significantly higher than the current large accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS measured today. The reason is that mitochondria and chloroplasts supposedly came from an engulfed prokaryote and they got their DNA from the supposed engulfed prokaryotes. So, prokaryotes would have gone extinct in significantly less than 10,000 years since the time of the 3 events mentioned above. So again, these refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

So, the rate of DNA mutation ERRORS at the time of the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and in the prokaryotes at the time of the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes must be greater than the rate of DNA mutation ERRORS measured today. And that rate is 100x or more that rate of nuclear DNA mutation ERRORS measured today.

Furthermore, there exists similar genetic information decay functions for all nodes of the supposed evolution descent tree. And all of these very many genetic information decay functions refute each node, it's supposed node time since some supposed last common ancestor, and all the subtrees from that node. This absolutely destroys evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science", and proves that God created all things recently.

For each node of the supposed evolution descent tree, there exists a genetic information decay function given by

$I(t) = I(0) e^{(-kt)}$

where I(t) is the amount of information in species or descendant lines from the last common ancestor associated with that node, I(0) is the amount of genetic information in that LCA, k is the decay constant due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS for the LCA, and t is the time since that LCA.

Now, all of the supposed below events have been proved impossible as proved in this book and by many others in many irrefutable and infallible ways. But even if they did occur, all will end in extinction within significantly less than 10,000 years.
All descent lines since the abiogenesis of the first living cell.
Emergence of mitochondria from an endosymbiotic event and all descent lines from the first organism that used such.
Emergence of chloroplasts from an endosymbiotic event and all descent lines from the first organism that used such.
Emergence of eukaryotes from prokaryotes and all descent lines from the first organism that used such.
Prokaryotes and all descent lines from the time these endosymbiotic events.
And working back from today, all eukaryotes and prokaryotes must be less than 10,000 years old.

Now based on this proof, all of the supposed entire evolution descent tree must be false. And all supposed major branch offs never happened. And there is no possibility that any new kinds could have emerged. And all of the supposed emergence of any complex function, organ, system, subsystem also never happened. And all of the supposed times of all branch offs of the supposed entire evolution descent tree completely collapse. And there is absolutely not enough time to have produced the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. And by simple reasoning, all supposed long age dating of

anything in or on the earth has been falsified since they are all correlated with the above living things. Therefore, the entire house of cards that evolution is built upon has collapsed. Thus, evolution, common descent, billions of years, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false. And God created everything recently. And of course, evolution, common descent, billions of years, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately.

Abiogenesis has never been observed. It is not observed now. There is no record of it having ever occurred in the past. And all analyses prove it is indeed impossible. And even scientists using intelligently designed experiments have failed miserably. And even if one day they succeed, it would not reflect what has happened in the past or in the present in the wild without intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. In fact, it would prove that it takes intelligence to do this even if one day they succeed. The far greater case against abiogenesis, and other things which proves that it is impossible, is given in the following posts.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18dded6e1N/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17qWYsswRr/

Many people, many times and in many ways have proved abiogenesis is impossible. Thus, the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science has been proven false and false forever. Evolution, billions of years, and common descent require the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science and are thus false and false forever. Thus, God Almighty created all things and recently. And that means the “no God” assumption can never be used in determining when did things come into existence.

Later the problem of misplaced stop codons will be shown to present other insurmountable problems for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS.

3. There are many significant additional problems for the copy and mutate process or mutation of an existing gene to create new unique genes. Plus, a simple 3D topology model which shows the absurdity that DNA mutation ERRORS could produce any significant number of new unique genes. (to TOC) (back)

There are many additional problems for the copy and mutate process or mutation of an existing gene to create new unique genes in organisms with a long intergeneration time for diploids that reproduce sexually. First, there are not nearly as many individuals of many species for relatively large diploids that reproduce sexually. Thus, there are a lot less individuals to generate enough genetic variation in the genome of that species. And there are a lot less individuals for natural selection to select supposedly beneficial DNA mutation ERRORS. And the longer intergenerational time means that the time needed to potentially produce any new functional new gene would be much longer. Also, such species would have protein coding genes with many introns. Furthermore, such protein coding genes in such species are more likely to have several associated non-coding genes. Thus, the odds against new genes would be vastly against, especially considering that many of these protein coding genes have introns, and many are quite

large. And since these are diploids, when any new gene were to spontaneously come into existence, whether from a copy event or a spontaneous creation, the new gene and all its associated non-coding genes for expression and regulation control, must all occur on both chromosomes of the homologous pair at the same locations with the same sizes, else there is a chromosomal mismatch which are selected against by natural selection. And these chromosomal mismatches will be a barrier for a number of generations until eventually a large enough population emerges within a close enough geographical region, all with the full set of genes on both chromosomes of the homologous pair. All of these make the odds incomprehensibly vast against any new particular functional gene from making into such genome. This will be shown later with Models 4 and 5. And since it takes at least 10 to 100 or more protein coding genes to make new functionality, organs, tissues, etc., which only work when all or almost all are in place, the ability to do so would take very many eons, if ever. By then the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS would have made such species go extinct, especially considering that all eukaryotes have mitochondria which have a much greater rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS.

Another problem for the copy and mutate process or mutation of an existing gene to create new genes is that there may not be a viable DNA mutation ERRORS path from many particular protein coding genes to the corresponding new particular protein coding gene that it supposedly evolved into. The easiest way to visualize this is a 3D surface topology where low points on the surface are points of maximum fitness which is selected by natural selection, and the higher elevations having less fitness. In reality, it is an N dimensional surface where N is the number of base pairs in the gene. Since this is hard to visualize something with that many dimensions, a 3D surface will have to suffice. The original gene occupies a stable low point. In all discussions using this 3D visualization model, a point refers to the centroid of some distribution of all the versions of the gene in a population mapped onto this topology. As mutations are accumulated in a copy of that gene or the mutation of an existing gene in a population, the actual point begins to move away from the low point of that stability and ascends some path upward. But this is now less fit, and natural selection may eventually begin to select against this, and it may begin to produce fewer total offspring. This causes the path to meander in an area near the original stable point. As the height of the path increases, it may reach a point where there is a definite reduction in fitness and hence fewer offspring. However, at some point the height may begin to become insurmountable. But the new gene's stability point may be beyond an insurmountable ridge line that surrounds the original gene's stability point and thus no DNA path mutation ERRORS path leads from the original to the new gene. So, the original gene cannot be transformed into that particular new gene. This would probably the case for a vast number of genes.

DNA mutation ERRORS have no ability to see ahead. And natural selection can only select what DNA mutation ERRORS have produced. As a gene is mutated in a population and moved from its stability point, fitness is actually dropping. So, natural selection is working against such a move. This may eventually be accomplished by less offspring at least for those individuals in the population with the least fitness. As the DNA mutation ERRORS increase in that gene, this will become more pronounced.

Natural selection has no way to know that it is better to eventually pursue an even less fit path that will eventually lead to greater fitness and a new gene. Again, using the 3D surface visualization, as the mutation path climbs up from the stable point, DNA mutation ERRORS may miss a narrow path toward a new gene, if the only way to that narrow path is even less fitness.

There is yet another problem for DNA mutation ERRORS to produce a particular new gene from mutations in an existing particular gene or a copy of an existing particular gene. The above calculations assume, and what many evolutionists assume must have happened, is that the total number of mutations that occurred along the DNA mutation ERRORS path from the starting gene to the ending gene is equal to the number of mutation differences between the 2 genes. This is a reasonable assumption, but there is still a problem with this assumption. This assumes a direct perfect walk along that DNA mutation ERRORS path. But DNA mutation ERRORS have no ability to see ahead, and thus to make a beeline to the ending gene. So, what happened to the extra unneeded DNA mutation ERRORS? Where are they? The DNA mutation ERRORS path should have meandered. But how did it cover up this meandering path? Either the DNA mutation ERRORS path was an extremely unlikely direct perfect walk, or the new gene is not that fit which does not match that which is observed, or the extra DNA mutation ERRORS were covered up by additional DNA mutation ERORS which is preposterous. There are no other possibilities. Assume an average gene of 10,000 base pairs transformed into a new gene with 1,000 direct line DNA mutation ERRORS. The meandering path could have been twice that. So, there are an additional 1,000 DNA mutation ERRORS. To cover up that meandering, each extra base pair that was changed must receive another DNA mutation ERROR and change the codon back to the original base pair, even though it could not have knowledge of what that was. The odds against removing these are greater than 10^1,886 to 1 in this case. For 50,000 base pairs in the gene with 5,000 differences and thus an extra 5,000 changes to wipe clean the wandering path, the odds against removing these are greater than 10^9,441 to 1. And each new gene that was produced by a copy and mutate process or mutate only of an existing gene would have a similar extra set of DNA mutation ERRORS for their own wandering paths. If most of the over 100 trillion (or possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have existed are from copy and mutate or mutation of existing genes, and have similar odds against, the total odds against this are far greater than 10^(100,000 trillion) to 1 for the lower number and far greater than 10^(500,000 trillion) to 1 for the higher number. And this is in addition to the vast odds against evolution that have been calculated in this book. Obviously, evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and God created all things recently.

There are yet more problems with evolution. Species are fully formed, and adapted very well to their local environment, even within a regional or the global ecosystem. But there is no way that this could have happened by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. First consider a protein coding gene that came into existence spontaneously. The odds against are extremely against it being at maximum fitness after such a spontaneous event. To visualize this, use the 3D surface visualization model discussed above. When this spontaneously created gene comes into existence, the odds of it landing at the low

point, which is the stability point of maximum fitness, are extremely low. In fact, it very likely will be deadly or disadvantageous and thus rejected by natural selection. But if that does not happen, then somehow DNA mutation ERRORS will have to occur to move it toward that low point of stability. Now natural selection may provide some movement toward that low point as this gene mutates across generations in some species. But natural selection can only select from what DNA mutation ERRORS produce. And there are also many other genes in this population, each contributing to the fitness scores of individual organisms in this population. Those scores are a combination of fitness scores for each gene in an individual organism of that species. So, each single gene only provides a small fraction of the total fitness score for that individual organism. In some cases, this fraction may be less than 1/100,000. So, it only has so much influence. And thus, its distance from its low point will not be enough to move it to the low point. Thus, the random DNA mutation ERRORS will cause the distribution of this gene's variance in the species to wander in an area nearby.

Now of the total number of genes in a species, supposedly some could have come from spontaneously created genes if that is even possible, and some from genes that have been mutated from a copy of a gene or just an existing gene being mutated. These other genes will have a similar problem getting to the low point which is the point of stability for the same reasons that the spontaneously created genes do. So, in general, the organisms in this species will have many of its genes not at peak fitness. This is not what is observed. And that also affects the entire fitness of the genome of that species, which will affect the 3D surface topologies of each gene in its gene set. So, for each of the genes in the genome of that species, the low points are not as low and well defined in most of the 3D surfaces of those genes. This again does not match what is observed. Now consider a gene that is copied and mutated or an existing gene that is mutated. As the gene is mutated through successive generations in the population of that species, the distribution of this gene's variance in the species begins to move toward a low point which means stability. This assumes that there was a viable DNA mutation ERRORS path from the original gene to this new gene. However, the ability to actually achieve that low point is limited for the very same reasons as for the spontaneously created gene as discussed above. This is further complicated by the fact that as a gene is being mutated from a copy of a gene or an existing gene, there are associated gene expression and regulation controls from non-coding genes and epigenetics. So, this is a coordinated DNA mutation ERRORS path for the gene and the controls.

So, the situation for this species and for all species is that almost all genes in all species are not at their maximum fitness which is in the 3D visualization model is a low point on the 3D surface. This leads to a condition that for almost all genes in the genome of that species, the low points are not as low and well defined in most of the 3D surfaces of those genes. Furthermore, all individual organisms of all species are accumulating DNA mutation ERRORS at a species-specific rate and that means that all genes are being corrupted. So, each gene is being moved away from the stability point and the shapes of the 3D surface for each gene in the population of each species are changing in such a way that the stability points are becoming less defined and deep. This gets worse and worse over time. And the DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation does not reset with a

“new” species. And natural selection cannot stop this as all individuals of all species have a similar accumulation. While deadly and disadvantageous mutations are removed by natural selection, those that are neutral or only slightly disadvantageous accumulate. So, all surviving individuals of all species have approximately the same accumulation. And all of the descendent lines from those survivors continue to accumulate more DNA mutation ERRORS each and every generation. This is discussed in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19b6BoWPJA/

There are further problems with the ability to create a new gene through DNA mutation ERRORS. A protein coding gene has gene expression and regulation controls from associated non-coding genes and epigenetics. Those genes will have their own surface topology, and those topologies will have their own stability points. And the protein coding gene and the associated control genes will each influence and change the topologies of the other genes in the set. In the real world, this may result in stability points being even lower and more defined than if they were separate. And this may also increase elevations around that stability point making coordinated DNA mutation ERRORS path even less likely. And the ability to walk coordinated DNA mutation ERRORS paths is further constrained.

In fact, DNA mutation ERRORS are many times harmful or disadvantageous enough that natural selection eventually selects against them by reducing future populations. If not, then they eventually lead to the degradation of the entire genome, including almost all genes and intergenic regions, due to the accumulation of these DNA mutation ERRORS. And it would take at least on average about 50 or more DNA mutation ERRORS in an average size gene to produce a new unique gene, and not just a new allele of an existing gene. About 25-30% DNA mutation ERRORS are synonymous in protein coding genes meaning they do not change the amino acid selected and thus there is no change to the protein created. Few, if any, DNA mutation ERRORS would be supposedly beneficial. All of their small list of supposed “beneficial” mutations are either a loss of functionally or are based on the ASSUMPTION that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true. And there is none that lead to new functionality as will be proved later.

Based on this, natural selection is actually working against any unique functional protein coding gene, whether from a copy of an existing gene or an existing gene, being mutated over generations in a population of a species into a new functional unique protein coding gene that produces any benefit. Note that the proteins that protein coding genes produce almost always have to be folded into very exact shapes else they are disadvantageous. And modifications to that shape and modifications to its chemical properties will very likely be disadvantageous. Furthermore, very many proteins are actually enzymes which catalyze reactions that are essential to the survival and reproduction of cells. There may be one or more of these enzymes involved in many crucial reactions. Without some of these, chemical reactions will either never happen or take very long times to even occur. And these enzymes also control the rates of these reactions to keep needed control of these. But these enzymes only work within narrow

parameter windows. These are just some of the reasons why in general relatively long DNA mutation ERRORS paths are extremely unlikely.

If evolutionists claim that such genes did indeed come into existence because they assumed 2 species had a common ancestor, that is just a claim based on an already proven false assumption that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are true. Thus, that claim is just circular reasoning, and is cannot be used as evidence. This is discussed in detail later.

So, evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS cannot not produce that which is observed in all species or any species. In fact, the only way to explain that which is observed in all species is that God created all kinds of creatures, all genes, and all things. And recently as proved by the accumulation rates of DNA mutation ERRORS in all species.

It is certain that all species would have gone extinct, and it is near certain that not one new gene with significant beneficial function would have happened. The same reasoning would apply to all individuals of all species and for over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed. And for any new functionality, organ, system, or tissue to come into being multiple new genes would be needed. For any new functionality, organ, system, or tissue of consequence to have come into being at least 10 new genes would be needed with odds against of far greater than 10^60,000 to 1. These odds against are so vast as to be incomprehensible. Later it will be shown that the odds against are vastly greater than these numbers. The species would have gone extinct well before that ever happened. And while bacteria, which have a vast population and a short intergenerational time, could possibly produce some new functionality, albeit most are just from sharing existing genetic material through conjugation, transformation, and transduction, with large multicellular organisms the populations are much less and intergenerational times much longer.

Now it is estimated that there have been over 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. And it is possible that that number could be over 500 trillion unique genes. For the 100 trillion unique genes, that comes to an average of over 70 per day every day for the supposed 3.8 billion years since first living creature. And for the 500 trillion unique genes that comes to an average of over 360 per day every day for the supposed 3.8 billion years since first living creature. With longer lived species, there are less generations to get new genes. This will never produce the differences in these species. This mechanism is just too slow.

Not only that, but they must have occurred in a certain sequence, one after another with an average of about every 20 minutes (or on average of about every 4 minutes for the over 500 trillion number) for the supposed 3.8 billion years in a specific order, as if directed by an Almighty being with power and intelligence far beyond comprehension to have achieved all those intelligently designed creatures. That Almighty being is God Almighty, the Creator of all things.

All these things show that there is a barrier to one kind changing to another kind whether that kind uses asexual or sexual reproduction. That is, each created kind can be modeled as an N space manifold where N is the number of unique genes within a kind. And there is no viable path, short of manipulation by intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures, to move from one manifold of a kind to another manifold of another kind. That is, the manifolds for each kind are disjoint.

4. There is another problem for evolution and that concerns the distribution of gene sizes. (to TOC) (back)

If a gene is copied, it still has the same size as the original copied gene. And if a copied gene is then mutated through DNA mutation ERRORS, it still has the same size as the original copied gene. And if a gene is just mutated it still has the same size. So, these mechanisms do not produce different sized genes. If a newly copied gene was truncated or an existing gene was truncated that would be selected against as the protein produced has been drastically changed. If the newly copied gene spontaneously increased in size or an existing gene increased in size that would also be a drastic change to the produced protein and would be selected against. And if part of a gene is copied into an existing gene, that would drastically change the protein it encoded for and would be selected against. And if segments of genes are copied, or if segments of genes are copied into another gene that will again be selected against. And if genes are fused or split, that would also be a drastic change to the produced protein and would be selected against. And if exons are duplicated or if there is exon shuffling that would also be a drastic change to the produced protein and would be selected against. These are all true whether an organism uses sexual reproduction or asexual reproduction. And for non-coding genes, either there is no new gene size, or it is selected against since it would drastically alter its functionality.

If evolutionists claim that such genes did indeed come into existence because they assumed 2 species had a common ancestor, that is just a claim based on an already proven false assumption that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are true. Thus, that claim is just circular reasoning, and is cannot be used as evidence. This is discussed in detail later.

And in diploid organisms using sexual reproduction, all these cases, except mutate only, lead to chromosomal mismatches either for the organism or its offspring and are very much selected against by natural selection. These can be detrimental to viability and possibly cause death at an early age before any offspring will be produced, or infertility, or reduced fertility, and any offspring may have the same problems. Thus, whatever happened to one of the homologous chromosomes in a homologous pair, the very same thing must happen the other homologous chromosome of that pair. If not, then this organism will have a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And even if both chromosomes of the homologous pair get the exact same type of event in both size and at the same location, its offspring would still have to mate with an organism that does not. This is a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And even if that somehow magically produces an offspring with the new gene,

it too will need to mate with an organism that does not have the new gene. Again, this is a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against. And this will have to continue for a number of generations until a large enough population with the new gene emerges within a close enough geographical region. Thus, many times there is a mating of an organism with the new gene and an organism without the new gene. Each of these are a chromosomal mismatch which is in general selected against.

And for spontaneously created protein coding genes, they must come into existence without misplaced stop codons, else they will not match that which is observed due to the great persistence of misplaced stop codons. But the odds against such a gene coming into existence without misplaced codons are too great for this to have produced even a few if any at all. And there would be misplaced stop codons from any copy of non-coding gene to make new protein coding genes. Besides the fact that they have completely different functions. So, the odds against any functional protein coding gene from this mechanism are vastly against. So, the same issues rule that mechanism out. And for diploids that reproduce sexually, there is the significant barrier against any of these due to the problem of chromosomal mismatches.

A copy of a gene is the same size as the gene that was copied. So, copying of genes will not produce genes with different sizes. And if a gene is just mutated, there is no change in size. So, genes that are just mutated will not produce genes with different sizes. Yet there is a great variance in the size of genes throughout all the species that have ever existed. So, how can evolution explain this if mutation of a copy of a gene or mutation only of an existing gene are the source for new genes? It can't. If evolution and common descent were true, there should be relatively few discrete gene sizes when looking at a plot of the number of unique genes in all species that have ever existed against gene size. That is not the case as there is more of a continuum of gene sizes. Not only that but all species would have the same plot of relatively few discrete gene sizes. And this will be even more so in diploids that reproduce sexually. That is not the case at all. One gene is about 2.4 million base pairs long. Another gene is about 2.3 million base pairs long. And there are very many others spanning the range of 200,000 to 2 million base pairs in varying sizes. Where did they come from? If copy and mutate or just mutate are the source of new genes, all these large sized genes must have existed in the very first living cell, making its genome very large (far greater than 200 billion base pairs long) and thus even more preposterous for abiogenesis. Thus, this proves evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false. Remember that evolution must account for all unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. And that includes diploids that reproduce sexually.

5. There are further problems with for the copy and mutate process or mutation of an existing gene as the source of new unique genes. (to TOC) (back)

Most eukaryote species have introns. And those species that do have introns have a non-negligible percentage of protein coding genes which have introns. For example, about 97% of protein coding genes of mankind have introns. And most genes with introns have multiple introns. If the original gene had introns, the copy has the very

same introns. As the copy is then mutated, the introns in that mutating gene work with the original gene and the variety of proteins it produced. So, either the newly mutated gene and the variety of proteins it produces magically works in the same way as the original gene when it comes to the introns, or the introns will have to be mutated magically coordinated with the rest of the new gene so that they function in unison. Note that all unique genes have different introns. So, both the new gene and the introns in it must have magically had coordinated random DNA mutation ERRORS in all the introns and exon segments of the gene during its journey to becoming a new gene. And this is complicated by the fact that introns are part of the gene regulation and expression controls for that gene. But the introns are controlled by gene regulation and expression input from non-coding genes, either directly or indirectly. Furthermore, the amount of each protein created by the gene can be a feedback input to controls of the introns or the non-coding genes or both, either directly or indirectly. But all this is tied to the original gene. So, as the gene copy is being mutated, it would need to break free from being tied to the original gene's regulation and expression controls. And new gene regulation and expression controls would have to magically come into being working correctly and coordinated with the mutating gene and magically switching to the new gene regulation and expression control system. Until then it provides no new functionality. In fact, this path is precarious and if not deadly, almost certainly disadvantageous and thus selected against. And all this is further complicated by the gene expression and regulation controlled by epigenetics. Note that gene expression and regulation are controlled by non-coding genes and epigenetics whether the gene has introns or not.

Note also that while some protein coding genes share some gene expression and regulation controls with other protein coding genes, there are no unique protein coding genes that have identical gene expression and regulation controls as other protein coding genes. So, here is another problem for evolution. If the copy and mutate theory were true, there would only be a relatively small number of unique gene expression and regulation controls. And there would be very many protein coding genes with the same controls. This is not the case at all. And there would be no way to create new gene expression and regulation controls.

Furthermore, as a copied gene or an existing gene is changed over time through DNA mutation ERRORS over successive generations of descendants on its journey to becoming a functional new gene in a species, all intermediate states must be viable. That is, they must not be deadly or disadvantageous so that natural selection does not reject it at any of these intermediate states. This increases the vast odds against enormously. Since most genes differ by 100s or 1000s or more base pairs, the copy and mutate theory will in general require a very long path, which increases the odds against greatly. This is further complicated by the fact that a gene is regulated by non-coding proteins, epigenetics, and introns if it has them. This too increases the vast odds against even more enormously, as these controls must work along this mutation path. In fact, it may be that there is no viable path at all with the copy and mutate theory. Further, even if there was a path of random DNA mutation ERRORS to get to the final

functional new genes, the odds against that path being traversed by a sequence of random DNA mutation ERRORS is enormous.

6. More problems for spontaneously created genes (to TOC) (back)

Now consider a gene that spontaneously comes into being for a haploid organism. A haploid organism has chromosomes which do not come in homologous pairs. First the odds against a specific gene are far greater than 10^1,761 to 1 for a 3,000 base pairs gene. The odds against are far greater than 10^5,373 to 1 for a 9,000 base pairs gene. The odds against are far greater than 10^11,996 to 1 for a 20,000 base pairs gene. and the odds against are far greater than 10^30,056 to 1 for a 50,000 base pairs gene, where the odds against all 4 have been reduced by the total number of genes that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed which is at most 10^45. Those odds against are mind boggling. But even if such a gene did spontaneously come into being and potentially be a useful gene, it would also have no external control for gene expression and gene regulation from any existing non-coding gene, nor from any epigenetics. It would not even have a valid functional promoter for this protein coding gene. And even if there were a promoter, that promoter would have had to have been created spontaneously, and thus that promoter would almost certainly be useless since it is filled with random DNA base pairs, and thus the gene is useless. The odds against a particular valid functional promoter range from about 10^50 to 1 to over 10^600 to 1. So, this spontaneously created gene is actually useless. There would be nothing that initiates the production of this gene. And if it was in a state where protein production is always on, depending on the level of protein production, would kill the organism unless it had some gene regulation to stop gene production, which it does not. In fact, it would not have any gene enhancer or silencer. Although neither are needed for a protein coding gene, most protein coding genes have them. And in any control feedback system, there is a set point which itself will change based on feedback input which controls a balance between production and termination rates. Again, none of these things exist. Thus, it is either useless or deadly or a great disadvantage.

If a new gene were to spontaneously come into existence in a diploid that reproduces sexually, then even if the paired chromosome got a spontaneous gene of the same size and same location, there would be no correlation of the DNA code of the new alleles of that new gene. Such a gene would almost certainly be useless, deadly or disadvantageous. And this does not match that which is observed. Combine this with the fact that if this organism mated, there would be a chromosomal mismatch at the site of this new gene. Thus, any offspring would have a chromosomal mismatch. So, there is no advantage and lots of disadvantage with this event. So, natural selection strongly selects against this.

Now most eukaryote species have introns, and those species that have introns have a non-negligible percentage of protein coding genes with introns. It is preposterous for introns to be added later to a gene, so the introns must have existed when this new gene spontaneously came into existence. And it is preposterous that the new protein coding gene would not only create a viable functional set of various polypeptides but

also a coordinated set of introns that work with the exons to produce that viable functional set of various polypeptides. The odds against are the same as that calculated in Model 3 above for one part of the homologous chromosome that it occurred on. But an allele of the same size and location must occur on the other homologous chromosome else it is a chromosomal mismatch and will be selected against. Now the odds against both alleles of this spontaneous gene matching each other in DNA code on the homologous chromosome pair are increased dramatically. The odds against a specific gene would be about 10^3,490 to 1 for a 3,000 base pairs gene, about 10^10,690 to 1 for a 9,000 base pairs gene, about 10^23,890 to 1 for a 20,000 base pairs gene, and about 10^2,889,000 to 1 for a 2.4 million base pairs gene notes above, where the odds against all 4 have been reduced by the total number of genes that have ever existed in all creatures that have ever existed which is at most 10^45.

But even if such a gene did spontaneously come into being and be a potentially useful gene, it would also have no external control for gene expression and regulation from any existing non-coding gene, nor from any epigenetics. So, it is actually useless. If it is a gene with introns, then the new gene would have to magically work with the spontaneous created introns it contains. Not only that but the introns in it would also have no external control for gene expression and gene regulation from any existing non-coding gene, whether directly or indirectly, nor from epigenetics. It also would either have no valid functional promoter or a spontaneously created promoter which would be useless. The odds against a valid functional promoter range from 10^50 to1 to 10^600 to 1 or more. So, it is actually useless. There would be nothing that initiates the production of this gene. And if it was in a state where protein production was always on, depending on the level of protein production, it would kill the organism unless it had some gene regulation to stop gene production, which it does not. And in any control feedback system, there is a set point which itself will change based on feedback input which controls a balance between production and termination rates. Again, none of these things exist. Thus, it is either useless or deadly or a great disadvantage. Not only that, but such an organism would have to mate with another organism which does not have the new gene since it is the only one that has the novel gene. In that case, there is a chromosomal mismatch, which is selected against. And this may have to be overcome a number of times until a large enough population with the new genes emerges within a close enough geographical region.

7. The extremely vast odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS producing new unique genes in all major cases prove evolution, billions of years and common descent are false, and prove God created all things recently. Plus, 2 equations and their models to calculate the odds against evolution producing new unique genes through DNA mutation ERRORS with calculations for representative examples. (to TOC) (back)

So far, the odds against new unique functional genes coming into being from a process of copy and mutate, or just mutation of an existing gene, or spontaneously with novel DNA code have been shown to be so vastly against that it is impossible to have produced over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever

existed in all species that have ever existed. And this has been shown to be true for both sexual and asexual reproduction. It could never have happened in the 3.8 billion years that life has supposedly existed on earth. Nor could it have happened even if there were a trillion times a trillion … times a trillion times a trillion years, where the phrase “times a trillion” is repeated so many times as to fill a large sized book or even very many books. But the odds against are actually far more vastly against than what was determined in the previous calculations.

For the copy and mutate process, for a protein coding gene to be copied there must also be copies of the associated genes which have the gene expression and regulation controls of that gene. For haploid and diploid organisms, that could be 1 to several extra genes. Since there are approximately 4x more non-coding genes as protein coding genes, there may be on average up to 4 control genes for each protein coding gene. So, for the copy and mutate process supposedly leading to a new gene, there must be a corresponding simultaneous copy of that gene's expression and regulation controls. And they must be the exact control genes. So, if for example 4 extra genes are copied for haploids, the odds against those exact genes being copied out of about 100,000 genes are about C(100,000, 4) to 1 which is greater than 10^18 to 1. For diploids, there are the corresponding genes on the homologous chromosome. That then means there would need to be those 4 extra genes as in the haploid case plus the corresponding genes on the homologous chromosomes. That makes the total number of extra copied genes 9 with odds against about C(100,000, 9) to 1 which is greater than 10^39 to 1. But even if there is just 1 extra gene copied, the odds against that exact gene would still be about 100,000 to 1 for haploids and about 10^14 to 1 for diploids. And even in a haploid with only 1,500 genes, the odds against just 1 associated control gene being copied are still 1,500 to 1. For diploids with only 1,500 genes, the odds against just 1 associated control gene being copied are over 5.6x10^8 to 1. These odds against are not including in the calculations given below, so the true odds against are greater. But these are 2 separate events. First there is the miraculous copy of a protein coding gene and its 1 or more associated non-coding control genes. Then there is the coordinated random DNA mutation ERRORS path for the set of genes. In the case where a protein coding gene is just mutated, there would only be a coordinated random DNA mutation ERRORS path. Of course, it may be the case that the controls for a particular protein coding gene also provide gene expression and regulation control for several other protein coding genes. In fact, many non-coding genes provide gene expression and regulation for more than 1 protein coding gene. And many protein coding genes have more than 1 non-coding gene which provides gene expression and regulation. Depending on the topology of such a network, in such cases there may not be any way to get a particular new unique gene through the copy and mutate process. And this may be the case for many new unique genes. Each of these would refute evolution.

Now starting with the copied gene set, there must be a path of DNA mutation ERRORS from that initial copy to the final new gene that is viable for all intermediate states. And the associated gene expression and regulation controls must also each have followed a coordinated DNA mutation ERRORS path that is viable for all intermediate states. To estimate the odds against, the calculations will use permutations since there must have

been an ordered path, and since the random DNA mutation ERRORS must be coordinated between the protein coding gene and its associated controls, the calculations will use the following model. The odds against are approximately equal to 3^(Nr) x P(Nn,Nr) to 1 where n is the size of the protein coding gene that was copied, r is the number of mutations that the protein coding gene will need to get to the final new gene, and N is the total number of genes in the set. For diploids, N is double that of haploids to account for the homologous chromosomes. The size of associated non-coding genes is assumed to be the same as the protein coding gene since they are in general about the same size. And since they are about the same size and have similar DNA mutation error rates, the DNA mutation ERRORS paths are also about the same size. This is Model 4A for an equation to estimate the odds against genes that were copied and mutated. It takes into account the requirement of a valid DNA mutation ERRORS path and the fact that all associated gene expression and regulation controls must also be copied and mutated in a coordinated manner. This model is also valid for the mutation of an existing gene, since the odds against the copy of the associated gene expression and regulation controls was not considered. Please note that these odds against will be reduced greatly to help the evolutionist cause as discussed with Model 4 below.

Now in the below calculations for specific example cases, the total number of mutations is set to 20% of the size of the protein coding gene. The reason is that there is a significant amount of variance in the DNA code of unique genes, thus the total mutation level must be somewhat significant to account for that amount of variance. But even if that were just 10% or 5% or 2% or 1%, the odds against are so vast that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are still falsified. Since 20% is a non-negligible fraction of the total gene size, some of those mutations, up to about 20%, would occur more than once in the same location. Thus, the size of the DNA mutation path should be about 20% larger. The below calculations do not use this larger path, and thus vastly underestimate the odds against. If the larger path were used, a simple estimate is that the exponents in the odds against should be increased by at least 20% with the larger ones somewhat more.

The following site provides a calculator for permutations and combinations for most of the cases presented here. You can use this to calculate the permutation's part yourself. Remember that that is just part of the calculations of odds against.

https://www.hackmath.net/en/calculator/combinations-and-permutations

However, even this calculator is currently limited in a few of these cases due to the numbers being so large. So, I used Sterling's approximation for some parts of the calculations.

For the spontaneous creation of protein coding genes with novel DNA code, the odds against are approximately equal to 4^(Nn) to 1 where n is the size of the protein coding gene that came into existence spontaneously, and N is the total number of genes in the set. For diploids, N is double that of haploids to account for the homologous

chromosomes. The size of the non-coding genes is assumed to be the same as the protein coding gene since they are in general about the same size. This is Model 5A of an equation to estimate the odds against the spontaneous creation of a gene of that size coming into existence spontaneously. It takes into account the requirement that all associated gene expression and regulation controls must also come into existence spontaneously. Please note that these odds against will be reduced greatly to help the evolutionist cause as discussed with Model 5 below.

The following calculations will give approximations of the odds against for a number of different representative scenarios. Those scenarios are:
1) A copy of an existing gene which is then mutated or just mutation of an existing gene for haploids using asexual reproduction, for protein coding genes that have no introns
2) A copy of an existing gene which is then mutated or just mutation an existing gene for diploids using sexual reproduction, for protein coding genes that have no introns
3) The spontaneous creation of novel DNA code for haploids using asexual reproduction, for protein coding genes that have no introns
4) The spontaneous creation of novel DNA code for diploids using sexual reproduction, for protein coding genes that have no introns
5) A copy of an existing gene which is then mutated or just mutation of an existing gene for haploids using asexual reproduction, for protein coding genes that have introns
6) A copy of an existing gene which is then mutated or just mutation of an existing gene for diploids using sexual reproduction, for protein coding genes that have introns
7) The spontaneous creation of novel DNA code for haploids using asexual reproduction, for protein coding genes that have introns
8) The spontaneous creation of novel DNA code for diploids using sexual reproduction, for protein coding genes that have introns

For the cases for genes without introns, an average size gene of 10,000 base pairs will be used as well as a much smaller size gene of 3,000 base pairs for both haploids and diploids. For haploids, 2 very small gene sizes will also be used (500 and 250 base pairs). For the cases with introns, a much larger size gene of 50,000 base pairs will be used. The odds against for each of the following have been reduced by the total population of all genes that have ever existed (10^45) as noted above.

For the copy of a gene which is then mutated for haploids using asexual reproduction, not only does the gene have to be copied but all the genes that contain the gene expression and regulation controls for the copied gene must also be copied. However, for haploids, it is assumed that there is only 1 associated gene expression and regulation control, since in general haploids have less of a fraction of non-coding genes. Additionally, calculations of odds will also be given for 4 associated control genes for haploids. For diploids, 4 associated gene expression and regulation controls are assumed, since there are in general 4 non-coding genes per protein coding genes. Note that these odds against are also valid for the mutation of an existing gene, since the odds against the copy of the associated gene expression and regulation controls was not considered.

If anyone objects to including any associated gene expression and regulation controls, then just set N to 1 and do the calculations using the appropriate equation. Or for smaller versions of these controls, N can be set to 1.5 or 1.2 or 1.1, with 1 for the protein coding gene and some fraction for the associated controls. For example, for the actual non-coding control genes use 0.5 and possibly 0.1 for intergenic regions. Remember to double N for diploids in all calculations.

Many evolutionists miss the fact that not all genes are possible as to survival. Very many lead to death or are significantly disadvantage and thus rejected by natural selection. This greatly reduces the number of combinations for starting genes, ending genes and DNA mutation ERRORS paths between them. And this will vastly increase the odds against any particular starting gene, ending gene, and DNA mutation ERRORS paths between them. To understand this simple concept, consider the requirement that no protein coding gene has any misplaced stop codons. This was discussed prior. Consider how drastically the number of combinations decreases and the odds against increase drastically just by using this one rule. For a 10,000 base pairs gene, an average size, the number of possible combinations of base pairs without this rule are 4^10,000 which is about 10^6,000. Each combination is equally likely and valid. And all combinations of genes work. Now apply this single rule that there are no misplaced stop codons. The number of acceptable combinations has been reduced by about 10^69. Each combination is still equally likely, including the disastrous ones with misplaced stop codons. And the odds against a gene of that size with no extra stop codons are about 10^69 to 1. And there are more rules yet, especially due to the facts that any protein produced must not be deadly or disadvantageous, that any protein coding gene must work with some degree of precision with its associated control genes and epigenetics, that it works with the specific organism that it is contained in, that it is either neutral on only slightly disadvantageous or provides some advantage to be selected for by natural selection, that such proteins must be able to be folded properly, and that if it has introns those introns must correctly work with its exons to produce all the various proteins it produces. There are more rules which exist besides these mentioned.

As the number of combinations increases, the odds against any that are restricted by even 1 rule can become very large. This is just basic probability and statistics. Consider flipping a coin 2x with the restriction that there are no tails. The chances of no tails, that is all heads, are 1 out of 4. That is less than 50% but hardly an extraordinarily unlikely result. Now flip the coin 10,000x. The chances of no tails are 1 out of about 10^3,010. So, no tails would be a very extraordinarily unlikely result. Now flip the coin 100,000x. The chances of no tails are 1 out of about 10^30,100. Now flip the coin 1 million times. The chances of no tails are 1 out of about 10^301,000. So, no tails would be an extremely extraordinarily unlikely result. Note how just 1 rule can lead to enormous odds against as the number of combinations increases.

Now some may argue that there are very many possible genes. Note that evolution must account for the particular genes that actually exist or have existed, not just some arbitrary ones that do not exist now or have existed in all of nature. However, the

following calculations will allow for very many combinations of base pairs to help evolution reduce the odds against evolution greatly. Furthermore, for the number of possible DNA mutation ERRORS paths for the copy and mutate process or mutation only, some may argue that there are very many possible DNA mutation ERRORS paths. While, evolutionists have never established even 1 viable path, the following calculations will allow for very many DNA mutation ERRORS paths to help evolution reduce the odds against evolution greatly. So, the following calculations allow for a vast number of possible genes and a vast number of possible DNA mutation ERRORS paths. Yet the odds against are still unfathomable and refute evolution, billions of years, and common descent. In the following calculations, the number of possibilities varies, with up to a 10^100,000 times reduction in the odds against evolution in some calculations.

For new protein coding genes that come into existence from a mutated copy or an existing gene that is mutated, the calculations of odds against allow 10^(Nr/10) possible new genes and 10^(Nr/10) possible DNA mutation ERRORS paths between the old gene and the new gene, where r is number of mutated base pairs in the protein coding gene, and N is the total number of genes in the gene set, which includes the protein coding gene and the associated non-coding genes. The product of the two is equal to (10^Nr/5) possibilities. For diploids, N will be twice as many as for haploids. Thus, the odds against have been greatly reduced by these possibilities in all calculations of odds against for new protein coding genes that come into existence from a mutated copy or an existing gene that is mutated.

Thus, the odds against are 3^(Nr) x P(Nn, Nr)/((10^Nr/5)x(10^45)) to 1, where n is the size of the protein coding gene that was copied, r is the number of mutations that the protein coding gene will need to get to the final new gene, and N is the total number of genes in the set. For diploids, N is double that of haploids to account for the homologous chromosomes. The size of the non-coding genes is assumed to be the same as the protein coding gene since they are in general about the same size. And since they are about the same size and have similar DNA mutation error rates, the DNA mutation ERRORS paths are also about the same. **This is Model 4** for an equation to estimate the odds against genes that were copied and mutated. It takes into account the requirement of a valid DNA mutation ERRORS path, and the fact that all associated gene expression and regulation controls must also be copied and mutated. This model is also valid for the mutation of an existing gene since the odds against the copy of the associated gene expression and regulation controls was not considered.

For new protein coding genes that come into existence spontaneously, the calculations of odds allow 10^(Nn/5) possible genes, where n is the number of base pairs in the protein coding gene, and N is the total number of genes in the gene set, which includes the protein coding gene and the associated non-coding genes. For diploids, N will be twice as many as for haploids. Thus, the odds against have been reduced by these possibilities in all calculations of odds against spontaneously created genes.

Thus, the odds against are 4^(Nn)/((10^Nn/5)x(10^45)) to 1 where n is the size of the protein coding gene that came into existence spontaneously, and N is the total number of genes in the set. For diploids N is double that of haploids to account for the homologous chromosomes. The size of the non-coding genes is assumed to be the same as the protein coding gene since they are in general about the same size. **This is Model 5** of an equation to estimate the odds against the spontaneous creation of a gene of that size coming into existence spontaneously. It takes into account the requirement that all associated gene expression and regulation controls must also come into existence spontaneously.

Also, note that in all odds against calculations, whether haploid or diploid, copy and mutate or mutation of an existing gene or spontaneously created gene, the odds against have been further reduced by 10^45, the total number of genes that have ever existed. That is, the total number of total chances. Remember the real number of chances is less since it should be limited to the number genes in all individual organisms in a species.

1) For a haploid species using asexual reproduction, the odds against a new gene with no introns coming into being using a copy and mutate process or mutation of an existing gene are far greater than 10^14,880 to 1 for an average gene size of 10,000 base pairs with 2,000 mutated base pairs. The odds against are far greater than 10^3,805 to 1 for a gene size of 3,000 base pairs with 600 mutated base pairs. That is a relatively small gene. The odds against are far greater than 10^440 to 1 for a gene size of 500 base pairs with 100 mutated base pairs. The odds against are far greater than 10^168 to 1 for a gene size of 250 base pairs with 50 mutated base pairs. These last 2 sizes are very small. Yet even for that, the odds against are still vastly against.

The above odds are for the easier case of only 1 associated non-coding control gene. The following odds are for 4 associated non-coding control genes. The odds against are far greater than 10^41,249 to 1 for an average gene size of 10,000 base pairs with 2,000 mutated base pairs. The odds against are far greater than 10^10,774 to 1 for a gene size of 3,000 base pairs with 600 mutated base pairs. That is a relatively small gene. The odds against are far greater than 10^1,369 to 1 for a gene size of 500 base pairs with 100 mutated base pairs. The odds against are far greater than 10^581 to 1 for a gene size of 250 base pairs with 50 mutated base pairs. These last 2 sizes are very small. Yet even for that, the odds against are vastly against.

2) For a diploid species using sexual reproduction, the odds against a new gene with no introns coming into being using a copy and mutate process or mutation of an existing gene are far greater than 10^88,564 to 1 for an average gene size of 10,000 base pairs with 2,000 mutated base pairs. And the odds against are far greater than 10^23,400 to 1 for a gene size of 3,000 base pairs with 600 mutated base pairs, which is a relatively small gene.

3) For a haploid species using asexual reproduction, the odds against a new gene with no introns coming into being spontaneously are far greater than 10^7,996 to 1 for an

average gene size of 10,000 base pairs. The odds against are far greater than 10^2,367 to 1 for a gene size with 3,000 base pairs. That is a relatively small gene. The odds against are far greater than 10^357 to 1 for a gene size with 500 base pairs. The odds against are far greater than 10^136 to 1 for a gene size with 250 base pairs. These last 2 sizes are very small. Yet even for that, the odds against are still vastly against.

The above odds are for the easier case of only 1 associated non-coding control gene. The following odds are for 4 associated non-coding control genes. The odds against are far greater than 10^20,058 to 1 or an average gene size of 10,000 base pairs. The odds against are far greater than 10^5,986 to 1 for a gene size with 3,000 base pairs. That is a relatively small gene. The odds against are far greater than 10^960 to 1 for a gene size with 500 base pairs. The odds against are far greater than 10^453 to 1 for a gene size with 250 base pairs. These last 2 sizes are very small. Yet even for that, the odds against are vastly against.

4) For a diploid species using sexual reproduction, the odds against a new gene with no introns coming into being spontaneously are far greater than 10^40,161 to 1 for an average gene size of 10,000 base pairs. And the odds against are far greater than 10^12,017 to 1 for a gene size with 3,000 base pairs. That is a relatively small gene.

5) For a haploid species using asexual reproduction, the odds against a new gene with introns coming into being using a copy and mutate process or mutation of an existing gene are far greater than 10^79,022 to 1 for a gene size of 50,000 base pairs with 10,000 mutated base pairs. This is the easier case with only 1 associated non-coding control gene.

For 4 non-coding control genes, the odds are far greater than 10^241,375 to 1 or a gene size of 50,000 base pairs.

6) For a diploid species using sexual reproduction, the odds against a new gene with introns coming into being using a copy and mutate process or mutation of an existing gene are far greater than 10^512,899 to 1 for a gene size of 50,000 base pairs with 10,000 mutated base pairs.

7) For a haploid species using asexual reproduction, the odds against a new gene with introns coming into being spontaneously are far greater than 10^40,161 to 1 or a gene size of 50,000 base pairs. This is the easier case with only 1 associated non-coding control gene.

For 4 non-coding control genes, the odds are far greater than 10^100,470 to 1 or a gene size of 50,000 base pairs.

8) For a diploid species using sexual reproduction, the odds against a new gene with introns coming into being spontaneously are far greater than 10^200,985 to 1 for a gene size of 50,000 base pairs.

Now for diploids that reproduce sexually, the above odds against do not consider the issue of chromosomal mismatches. When this is considered, the odds against increase by a vast amount for both the copy and mutate case and the spontaneous creation case. From the above calculated odds against, it is extremely unlikely that a spontaneously created gene or a gene that is copied and mutated will produce a particular functional new unique protein coding gene in diploids that reproduce sexually. The odds against are greater than 10^40,000 to 1 to far greater than 10^80,000 to 1 or much more just for an average size gene of 10,000 base pairs. But even if an event did make a copy of a gene that could possibly be mutated into a particular functional new unique protein coding gene or a spontaneously created gene that would be a particular functional new unique protein coding gene, the odds are that it will not make it into a population of the species of the organism that had the event. And the reason is the problem with chromosomal mismatches in diploids that reproduce sexually. This will lead to the fact that even if 1 of these miracles happens, it is most likely be all for naught. So, it might take 10 or 100 or 1,000 or more of these miracles to happen before 1 of these makes it into a population of the species of the organism that had the event. Thus, the odds against should be multiplied together that many times, and the time it would take all added together that many times. For the 100x case, the odds against range from far greater than 10^4,000,000 to 1 or far greater than 10^8,00,000 to 1 or much more just for an average size gene of 10,000 base pairs. And the time it takes for a chance of just 1 copy of a gene that could be mutated into a particular functional new unique protein coding gene or a spontaneously created that would be a particular functional new unique protein coding gene is vastly longer. Sexual reproduction is great at producing a much larger variation and adaptation within created kinds, but it is a formidable barrier to new unique genes from a copy and mutate process or spontaneous creation.

Consider the following. From prior proofs, the great odds against spontaneously created protein coding genes, and the problem of misplaced stop codons, prove that spontaneously created genes could not have been the first genes. Yet that is the only possibility since the copy of a gene that is then mutated or mutation only of an existing gene cannot be the first genes because they both require an already existing gene. These established facts prove that the first cell could not have come into existence without God.

But it also has been shown that new unique genes could never have come from spontaneously created genes or from the copy of a gene that is then mutated in diploids that reproduce sexually. So, for diploids that reproduce sexually, the only possibility for new protein coding genes is an existing gene that is then mutated as unlikely as that is. But that leads to the problem that there is no way to increase the number of protein coding genes in diploids that reproduce sexually. So, they should all have the same number of protein coding genes, unless they lose protein coding genes or those genes cease from producing proteins. Later it will be shown that the loss of protein coding genes or protein coding genes that cease from producing proteins are problems for diploid that reproduce sexually. Again, this does not match that which exists. Furthermore, for both the copy and mutate process and mutation only, the size of genes

stays the same. Thus, all species should all have the same gene sizes. Again, this does not match that which is observed.

Now consider the following. A copy and mutate of an existing gene or mutation of an existing gene cannot have produced all the unique gene that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. Obviously, spontaneous creation of protein coding genes must be how these genes started, as you cannot copy or mutate something that does not exist. As was shown previously, the spontaneous creation of protein coding genes would require far more than a trillion times a trillion times a trillion times a trillion times a trillion years for even an average size gene, with very vast odds against. And for a very large gene, that would be a vastly longer time with even far greater vast odds against. But there cannot be any new unique gene created by a copy and mutate process or mutation of an existing gene until after there were spontaneously created genes. So, the time for genes created by a copy and mutate process or mutation only must be added to the vast time that a spontaneously created gene took. And the odds against that first set of copies must be multiplied by the odds against a spontaneously created gene. In fact, some genes supposedly may have arose in a sequence of a spontaneously created gene followed by a large number of cascaded copy and mutation events or mutation only events. Thus, all the times for such must be added together and all the odds against multiplied together. Such things would have taken a vast number of eons and a number of super great miracles of miracles. These times are vastly greater than the supposed 3.8 billion years since life started on the earth, and vastly more than the supposed 13.8 billion years since the supposed Big Bang.

These calculated odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS prove that evolution, common descent and billions of years are false, and that God created all things recently.

8. Amazingly evolutionists still have Lamarckian thinking even after the discovery of DNA. This is a great embarrassment for evolutionists. (to TOC) (back)

In the dark ages of evolutionist speculations, aka fairy tales, some evolutionists believed that when a giraffe stretched its neck to reach leaves high up in a tree, this long neck was somehow passed on to future generations. This was Lamarckism, named after Jean-Baptiste Lamarck. There was no knowledge of how this happened, but it happened with absolute certainty according to some evolutionists. This willful ignorance and lack of knowledge never stopped some evolutionists from declaring this delusion absolutely true. When DNA was discovered as the basis of inheritance, it proved that Lamarckian thought was delusional. But evolutionists ignored the implications of DNA and went into greater delusions, and evolutionists entered an even darker age. They labeled about 90-99% of DNA as “junk” DNA, a supposed vestigial remnant of supposed long ages of no longer needed DNA code to manufacture false evidence for evolution. But this makes no sense as there must exist the control system for gene expression and regulation somewhere. And of course, that would have to be in the supposed "junk" DNA. Note that this supposed "junk" DNA is almost 100x the size of the protein coding gene part. And since the gene control system is at least tertiary, the

genome is at least 1 million times (100^3) as complicated, interconnected and sophisticated. So, the odds against evolution, billions of years, and common descent are vastly greater than the odds against presented in this book. Ignoring all facts, evolutionists still have Lamarckian thinking. The giraffe wanted the leaves for itself and its future offspring, so it stretched its neck and its offspring magically got longer necks. There is no knowledge of how this happened, but it happened with absolute certainty according to evolutionists. This willful ignorance and lack of knowledge never stopped evolutionists from declaring this delusion true. As a note, based on evolution, there should be "junk" DNA that is at least 90% of the genome of all species. That is an absolute and required prediction for evolution, common descent and billions of years. There is none. That too refutes evolution, common descent and billions of years.

So now getting back to the giraffe's long neck. The main reason that evolution could not have caused the long neck of giraffes to come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS is that there are other changes that must have occurred simultaneously. It is irreducibly complex. The giraffe must not only be able reach high up, but it must still be able lower its head to the ground to drink water and at other times. This large change in the position and orientation of the neck and head creates problems. The giraffe has a system of valves in its neck. This system is quite complex and controls blood flow and blood pressure so that these 2 extremes of position and orientation are even possible and the transition between the 2 is also handled. There are other changes that facilitate the long neck of the giraffe. This could never have happened through DNA mutation ERRORS. Also, note that giraffes are large diploids which reproduce sexually and have a relatively long intergenerational time. Thus, it is inconceivable that irreducibly complex functionality could have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. Just this proves evolution, billions of years, and common descent false.

Evolutionists ignore the fact that there is no mechanism for abiogenesis, thus the "no God" assumption is false, and God created all things.

Evolutionists ignore biogenesis, an established and never violated law of Biology, that living things always come from previously existing living things. Thus, the "no God" assumption is false, and God created all things.

Evolutionists ignore the established and never violated law of Biology, that cells always come from previously existing cells. Thus, the "no God" assumption is false, and God created all things.

Evolutionists ignore the fact that there is no way to produce all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed through random DNA mutation ERRORS, thus the "no God" assumption is false, and God created all things.

Evolutionists ignore the fact that DNA copying during reproduction leaves ERRORS which accumulate at a species-specific rate in all individual creatures of all species. Evolutionists ignore the implications of this fact. Thus, the "no God" assumption is false, and God created all things recently.

Evolutionists ignore the established fact that like kind creatures always produce offspring of the same kind, and that no kind has ever been produced by any other kind. Thus, the “no God” assumption is false, and God created all things recently.

Evolutionists ignore the fact that the “no God” assumption has irrefutably and infallibly been proven false in many ways. So, it is completely illogical, irrational and unscientific to ever use the false “no God” assumption in determining the age of things. And the age question cannot be determined until the question of the existence of God the Creator of all things has been answered. That question has been answered, and the answer is God the Creator indeed does exist and created all things.

And evolutionists ignore that these facts that prove that all genes and all kinds must have existed since the time they were created by God and that all species must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, the “no God” assumption is false, and God created all things recently.

Evolutionists have never provided a DNA mutation ERRORS path for a single gene, functionality, tissue, organ, etc. to come into being.

Evolutionists have ignored all the facts, all the evidence, all biology, all chemistry, all physics, all mathematics, all statistics, all probability, all logic and all reality and all rational thought.

Evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS cannot account for the existence of all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed that use asexual reproduction. And that comes to tens of trillions (possibly over 100 trillion) unique genes whose origin is inexplicable by evolution. In fact, they cannot account for even 1. So, evolution does not work for asexual reproduction which is the easiest case. So, evolution, billions of years, common descent are false.

Evolution through DNA mutations cannot account for the existence of all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed that are eukaryotic diploids which use sexual reproduction. And that comes to tens of trillions (possibly over 100 trillion) unique genes whose origin is inexplicable by evolution. In fact, they cannot account for even 1. So, evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS does not work for eukaryotic diploids which use sexual reproduction. So, evolution, billions of years, common descent are false. And this by itself falsifies evolution, even if evolution could account for the existence of all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed that use asexual reproduction, which it cannot.

Evolution through DNA mutations cannot account for the existence of all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed that are eukaryotic diploids which use sexual reproduction and have introns. And that comes to tens of trillions (possibly over 100 trillion) unique genes and tens of trillions (possibly over 100 trillion) unique introns whose origin is inexplicable by evolution. In fact, they cannot

account for even 1. So, evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS does not work for eukaryotic diploids which use sexual reproduction and have introns. So, evolution, billions of years, common descent are false. And this by itself falsifies evolution, even if evolution could account for the existence of all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed that are eukaryotic diploids which use sexual reproduction, which it cannot.

Now some evolutionists will claim that such new genes must have come into being either through a copy and mutate process or spontaneously even though this has been thoroughly refuted. Since they KNOW that evolution and common descent are true, then it must have happened in the past. This is just circular reasoning and false assumptions. This is a deception. Common features shared between species, whether anatomical or genetic, prove God created all things as inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years. This was proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1AP1CjWWFe/

All of the supposed evolution descent tree is a mirage. It has been completely refuted in many irrefutable and infallible ways. Evolutionists have no evidence and no clue what the actual DNA codes of supposedly ancient species were. So, when they claim that any gene today came from a supposedly ancient species, it is just a deception. And any DNA from supposedly ancient tissue proves that such are absolutely not ancient. DNA does not last that long outside of a living thing. And this is especially true since these supposedly ancient tissues would have been buried deep at some point during these supposedly very ancient long ages. Thus, they would have been guaranteed to have been exposed to high temperatures for an extended period of time. Thus, all possible DNA, RNA, and proteins would have degraded long ages ago leaving no strands of DNA, RNA, or proteins. And being encapsulated in amber or anything else does not help at all, since the inside would have reached the surrounding temperatures long ages ago and would have been at the elevated temperatures for long ages. Note that such biomolecules have been discovered in many supposedly ancient tissues proving that evolution, billions or years, and common descent are false.

Evolution, atheism, billions of years, common descent are some of the greatest lies and greatest delusions of all time. These are the greatest lies and greatest delusions in science of all time.

9. The DNA mutation ERRORS path requirement that no evolutionist has met or will ever meet for the existence of anything in living things. (to TOC) (back)

The only possible source of changes in species is DNA mutation ERRORS which are passed down to subsequent generations. So, if any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or gene were to come into being it would have to have been through DNA mutation ERRORS. Here is the glaring missing information that has never, nor ever will be supplied by evolutionists. Not one time has any evolutionist started with a certain

creature with an actual specific DNA code, with a set of genes mapped to specific functionality and traced random DNA mutation ERRORS through all generations of a line of descendants, which used either asexual or sexual reproduction, and shown how any new functionality, organ, system, tissue or even a single new gene emerged. They claim these phylogenetic trees are real, and they identify supposed common descent by genetic and anatomical comparisons, but they have never actually traced the path of random DNA mutation ERRORS from one to another. With modern computer software and the computational power of modern hardware, this can easily be carried out, provided that such a model is accurate. Such an endeavor should focus of multicellular creatures since the population statistics are less overwhelming. They could start with 2 species and work back to the nearest supposed common ancestor. What they will find is that that phylogenetic node is not feasible, and the species genome would be damaged long before the genes would be transformed in the time given by the phylogenetic node times given any valid realistic simulation. Then they would have to substantiate all nodes of the evolution descent tree using the very same procedure and account for all unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed.

10. All species are less than 10,000 years old. (to TOC) (back)

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. These facts refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19b6BoWPJA/

Now it is estimated that there have been at least 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. And it is possible that that number could be over 500 trillion unique genes. For the 100 trillion unique genes, that comes to about 27 million per day every day or about 300 per second every second for the 10,000 years since first living creature and for the 500 trillion genes about 137 million per day every day or 1600 per second every second for the 10,000 years since first living creature.

11. The great odds against evolution prove evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS is false and God created all things recently. (to TOC) (back)

Consider that the number of combinations of DNA base pairs for the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed is greater than 10^(600,000 trillion) and possibly greater than 10^(3 million trillion). But the number of genes that have ever existed in all cells that have ever existed is only about 10^45. It is absolutely preposterous that that many chances could have produced such a vast number of finely tuned sets of functional unique genes in all species that have ever existed.

Now consider the great odds against even 1 gene coming into existence whether a copy of a gene that is then randomly mutated through DNA mutation ERRORS or spontaneously created. Depending on the size of the gene, these odds are typically in the range of 10^300 to 1 to 10^300,000 to 1 or far greater, with the odds for the average gene being far greater 10^6,000 to 1. Such an event would count as a miracle even if the universe were a trillion times a trillion times a trillion times … a trillion years old, where the phrase “a trillion times” is repeated over 500 times. And even if such an event did occur, there are barriers which keep it from making it into any population. These barriers would require additional miracles for any new gene to emerge, especially in the case of diploid organisms that reproduce sexually due to the problem of chromosomal mismatches.

So, to have produced over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, would require over 1,000 trillion (possibly over 5,000 trillion) miracles. But even if a few new genes happened to come into existence against these odds, which are way beyond astronomical, one gene will not provide any significant new functionality at all that would be selected by natural selection. In fact, it may be selected against. So, it would require that at least 10 new genes, requiring at least 100 miracles, would have to occur in a small population within a very short time possibly in the very same creature. And the odds against that kind of event would be far greater than 10^60,000 to 1. Such an event would count as a miracle even if the universe were a trillion times a trillion times a trillion times … a trillion years old, where the phrase “a trillion times” is repeated over 5,000 times.

So, it would take over 1,000 trillion (possibly over 5,000 trillion) miracles just to account for all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. And trillions of these are just impossible. And very many others are near impossible because of the vast odds against. Just for the DNA codes of these genes, the odds against an average size gene are far greater 10^6,000 to 1, and the odds against a mean size gene are about far greater than 10^5,000 to 1. These are the odds against for over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) such events. Total odds against for just these DNA codes are far greater than 10^(600,000 trillion) to 1 and possibly far greater than 10^(3 million trillion) to 1. And remember all species are less than 10,000 years old. Besides all the other impossibilities which are listed below. If typed out in long form, it would fill all pages ever written very many times over.

Very many things are actually impossible without God. But ignore these facts for now and try to conceive how improbable such odds against are. The number of genes that have ever existed in all cells that have ever existed is about 10^45. This represents the total number of chances available so that one of these events even happened. Now the odds against a median sized gene are far greater than 10^5,000 to 1. When reduce by all possible chances, the odds against are far greater than 10^4,955 to 1. To write that out, it would be 1 followed by 4,955 zeros and take over 2 pages to type that out in long form.

To understand how vast those odds against are, consider the Powerball lottery. In 2026, the odds against winning the grand prize jackpot with 1 ticket are about 292 million to 1. So, the odds against just 1 median sized gene coming into existence and reduced by all possible chances is the equivalent of winning the Powerball grand prize more than 580 times in a row each time without a single losing ticket. So, you can see how close to impossible it is for just one median sized gene to come into existence. And there are over 50 trillion (possibly over 250 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed that are that median size or greater. Now consider a gene of about 1,000 base pairs in length, which is quite a small gene. The odds against that are far greater than 10^600 to 1 and when reduced by the max number of chances still far greater than 10^550 to 1. That is the equivalent of winning the Powerball grand prize over 60 times in a row. Most of those 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed are that small size or greater.

Now consider the odds against all the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed come into existence. The odds against are far greater than 10^(6x10^17) to 1 for the 100 trillion number and greater than 10^(3 x 10^18) to 1 for the 500 trillion number. Those numbers would fill all the pages in the world many times over to type them out in long form. The lower odds against for the 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed are the equivalent winning the Powerball grand prize more than 70,000 trillion times in a row. The higher odds against for the 500 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed are the equivalent winning the Powerball grand prize more than 350,000 trillion times in a row. And remember that there are many things that are impossible without God in both living things and other things like the existence of the universe, and very many other things which have vast odds against without God in both living things and other things.

Furthermore, all genes are being corrupted just like all the genomes of all species are being corrupted due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in all individual creatures of all species. And natural selection is powerless to stop this as all survivors have about the same amount of corruption of DNA mutation ERRORS. And all their descendant lines will continue to accumulate more every generation. And this is found in all their genes. So, all genes are being corrupted. So, there is a great rate of destruction of genes, and no rate or a minuscule rate for their creation. And all species must be less than 10,000 years due to this accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS which occurs in all individual creatures of all species.

12. So, what are the odds against any unique gene coming into existence by DNA mutation ERRORS according to evolutionists? Even if there is on average only a 1 in a trillion chance that on average a unique gene could not have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, evolution is false. Plus, many other things that prove evolution is false, including simple equations that expose the myth of the supposed evolution descent tree. (to TOC) (back)

Now the odds against new unique genes coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS are so vastly against that it is impossible for evolution to have produced all the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have existed. This was proved prior.

So, what are the odds against any unique gene coming into existence by DNA mutation ERRORS according to evolutionists?

Well according to evolutionists, it is absolutely for sure that with 100% certainly that each and every last one of these unique genes did indeed come into being through DNA mutation ERRORS. Because if just 1 is impossible, then evolution, billions of years and common descent are falsified forever, and God exists and created all things. This is refutation by contradiction and is used in science, math and logical proofs very many times and is an absolute irrefutable proof. Just 1 contradiction proves evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false.

The ASSUMPTION by evolutionists is that it is absolutely for sure that with 100% certainly that each and every last one of these unique genes did indeed come into being through DNA mutation ERRORS, and is based solely of the ASSUMPTION that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are true. Thus, it is just pure CIRCULAR REASONING based on FALSE ASSUMPTIONS. In reality, evolution, billions of years and common descent have been proven false by many people, many times, and in many irrefutable and infallible ways.

Evolutionists have no detailed realistic calculation for the odds against or the odds for unique genes coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS by any means. They have no detailed realistic mechanism showing any. And certainly not for all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed with these genes matched to the supposed evolution descent tree in the supposed time frame of any of the assumed node times of that tree. In fact, they have no idea what the DNA code was for any species from supposed long ages past. They have no clue as to what genes they had, or the DNA code for those genes or the DNA of any intergenic region. And they cannot, as it is not possible. In fact, all of the supposed evolutionary descent tree is completely made up with absolutely no evidence for any of it. All of it is just a large number of impossible fairy tales, the equivalent of pagan myths.

Now the real odds against an average size unique gene coming into being through DNA mutation ERRORS are far greater than 10^6,000 to 1. That can also be stated as odds FOR an average size gene coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS are far less than 1/[10^(6,000)] out of 1 or out of 100,000 or out of 1 million or out of a billion or out of a trillion or out of a quadrillion. These odds for are extremely close to 0, and certainly not equal to 100% for sure.

Consider the following. To help the cause of evolution immensely, assume that on average the odds FOR each unique gene coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS are 99,999 out of 100,000. That is, on average the percent chance for each of

these genes coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS is 99.999%. Put another way, there is on average only a 1 in 100,000 chance against such a gene. These odds FOR are far greater than 10^6,000 times the actual odds calculated above. But even this extremely great generous gift for evolution will not save evolution at all. Then the total odds against evolution are greater than 0.99999^(100 trillion) for over 100 trillion unique genes and 0.99999^(500 trillion) for over 500 trillion unique genes. That makes the odds against evolution far greater than 10^(434 million) to 1 for 100 trillion unique genes and greater than 10^(2.17 billion) to 1 for 500 trillion unique genes. So, even with this great gift to evolution, the odds are vastly against evolution and prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently.

Now in the above very generous gift, on average the odds for unique genes coming into existence was assumed to be 99.999% which means that on average there was only a 1 in 100,000 chance against. But even with a vastly more generous gift than this to the evolutionist cause, the odds are vastly against evolution and prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently.

Assume an even more generous gift for evolution where on average the odds FOR each unique gene coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS are 999,999 out of 1,000,000. That is, on average the percent chance for each of these genes coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS is 99.9999%. Put another way, on average there is only a 1 in a million chance against such a gene. Then the total odds against evolution are far greater than 10^(43.4 million) to 1 for 100 trillion unique genes and greater than 10^(217 million) to 1 for 500 trillion unique genes. So, even with this great gift to evolution, the odds are vastly against evolution and prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently.

Assume yet an even more generous gift for evolution where on average the odds FOR each unique gene coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS are 999,999,999 out of 1,000,000,000. That is, on average the percent chance for each of these genes coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS is 99.9999999%. Put another way, there is on average only a 1 in a billion chance against such a gene. Then the total odds against evolution are far greater than 10^(43,400) to 1 for 100 trillion unique genes and greater than 10^(217 thousand) to 1 for 500 trillion unique genes. So, even with this great gift to evolution, the odds are vastly against evolution and prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently.

Assume yet an even more generous gift for evolution where on average odds FOR each unique gene coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS are 999,999,999,999 out of a trillion. That is, on average the percent chance for each of these genes coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS is 99.9999999999%. Put another way, on average there is only a 1 in a trillion chance

against such a gene. Then the total odds against evolution are far greater than 10^(43.4) to 1 for 100 trillion unique genes and greater than 10^(217) to 1 for 500 trillion unique genes. So, even with an incomprehensibly generous gift to the evolutionist cause, the odds are vastly against evolution and prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently.

Of course, the total number of unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed could be greater than 10,000 trillion unique genes or even greater than 10 million trillion unique genes. This was mentioned prior. For 10,000 trillion genes, the odds against evolution are far greater than 10^(4,300) to 1. And for 10 million trillion genes, the odds against evolution are far greater than 10^(4.3 million) to 1.

Finally, for an average of only a 1 in a quadrillion chance against such a gene, and 10 million trillion unique genes, the odds against evolution are far greater than 10^(4,300) to 1.

Contemplate how surprising this result is. Even if there is on average only a 1 in a trillion chance that a unique gene could not have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, then it is about as certain as certain can be that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and that God created all things. And of course, the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science is false and thus can never be used in determining the origin or age of things.

Consider the following. Spontaneously created genes must have been the first protein coding genes, as an existing gene that is copied and then mutated or an existing gene that is just mutated requires a previously exiting gene. This was proved prior. And spontaneously created genes must have come into existence with no misplaced stop codons. This also was proved prior. If the first living cell had at least 400 of these with an average of 9,000 base pairs, the odds against all having no misplaced stop codons are far greater than 10^25,020 to 1, with each having average odds against of greater than 3x10^62 to 1. So even if, for all the other unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, it was 100% sure that they could have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, then the average odds against are far greater than 10^50 to 1. This is 10^62 times greater than the average of only a 1 in a trillion chance that on average a unique gene could not come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And even that threshold proved evolution was false. So, even with this great gift to evolution, the odds are vastly against evolution and prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently.

Not only that, but even if there were only 1 spontaneously created gene with an average of 9,000 base pairs that came into existence with no misplaced stop codons, and all the other unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, it was 100% sure that they could have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, then the average odds against are far greater than 10^47 to 1. And this is a lower bound

result as the odds against a spontaneously created genes are far greater. This is 10^59 times greater than the average of only a 1 in a trillion chance that on average a unique gene could not come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And even that threshold proved evolution was false. So, even with this great gift to evolution, the odds are vastly against evolution and prove evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently.

Furthermore, if just 1 of the over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have existed cannot have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, then it is certain that evolution, billions of years, and common descent, are false, and that God created all things. And of course, then the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science is false and thus can never be used in determining the origin or age of things.

Thus, evolutionists must account for each and every one of all of these unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. Else there is at least 1 contradiction to the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science. Yet the requirement of about 400 spontaneously created protein coding genes without any misplaced stop codons in the first living cell by itself has about 400 contradictions. And the great odds against an average size gene coming into existence by any means leads to over 50 trillion (possibly 250 over trillion) contradictions to the theory of evolution.

Please note that this particular refutation of evolution, billions of years, and common descent using all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, does not claim that NOT A SINGLE unique gene could have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. Nor does it claim that not many or even very many could not have done so. The claim is that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS cannot have produced a vast multitude of unique genes in the supposed 3.8 billion years that life supposedly existed on earth or even for the supposed 13.8 billion years since the supposed Big Bang. And certainly not any number of such remotely approaching the over 100 trillion (possible over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. So, in this case, showing any number of such unique genes coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, does not refute this refutation of evolution but is just a straw man argument against this refutation.

In fact, evolutionists must prove that each and every one of the over 100 trillion (possible over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species with absolute certainty came into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And this must be done as discussed in the section “DNA mutation ERRORS path requirement”.

Yet evolutionists have no detailed calculations for DNA mutation ERRORS to have produced every single one of these unique genes. Nor do they have any detailed calculation for the average odds for or against these unique genes being produced by DNA mutation ERRORS. Yet all of this is required to make any claim that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin

Science” have been proved true or is even plausible.

But there is a major problem for evolution in this. ASSUMPTIONS and CIRCULAR REASONING are not evidence, nor proof. And the “no God” assumption, which is enforced by a rod of iron by evolutionists, is an ASSUMPTION. In fact, it has been proved by many people, many times and in many irrefutable and infallible ways to be a FALSE ASSUMPTION, as has evolution, billions of years and common descent.

Consider how an evolutionist would go about proving that unique genes came into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. As an example, consider people and chimps. Evolutionists would start by making comparisons between the genes of chimps that exist today and the genes of people that exist today. Of course, no one, not even evolutionists, are claiming that people that are alive today evolved from chimps that are alive today, or that chimps that are alive today evolved from people that are alive today. So, any such comparison of the genetics of existing species makes no sense and is useless as will be proved. Now evolutionists claim that people and chimps evolved from a supposed last common ancestor (LCA) which lived supposedly about 7 million years ago. In this claim, there are at least 7 already proven false assumptions. Actually, there are very many more than that. First, that the earth is supposedly about 4.5 billion years old so that the supposed LCA could have had enough time to have supposedly evolved from non-living chemicals to a supposed first living cell and then after several trillion generations to the supposed LCA of people and chimps. Secondly, that abiogenesis happened about 3.8 billion years ago. Again, there has to be enough time to produce the supposed LCA from the supposed first living cell. Thirdly, that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS could have produced all species and kinds that have ever existed from that first living cell to the supposed LCA. The 4th assumption is that people and chimps have a common ancestor. The 5th assumption is that that supposed LCA lived supposedly about 7 million years ago. And the 6th and 7th assumptions are that people evolved from that LCA through DNA mutation ERRORS, and that chimps evolved from that LCA through DNA mutation ERRORS. And there are very many other assumptions beside these as to the origin of the universe, the origin of the laws of nature that govern the universe, the origin of the very finely tuned and very orderly universe across space and time, the origin of the earth, etc. And all of these are based of the ASSUMPTIONS that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are true. ASSUMPTIONS and CIRCULAR REASONING are not facts, nor evidence. None of these assumptions have been proven true. Not only that, but they have no actual explanation of any of this that is scientific, logical, and rational. Remember, the theory of evolution is the theory of nothing because they have no answer for the origin of anything. These false assumptions will be addressed later in this book.

So, any claim of what genes the supposed LCA of people and chimps had is purely speculative. There is no evidence of what the genome of the supposed people-chimp LCA was. In fact, there is no way to know what the genes of that supposed LCA were. In fact, if someone supposedly found DNA from such a mythical creature, it would actually prove that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God”

assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false. The reason is that DNA does not survive for long outside of a living creature.

Here are the facts, and also the pure speculations by evolutionists expressed as equations. The function G is the genome of the species named in the parentheses and includes all the genes of that species as well as all intergenic regions, including all variations of these, and all allelic variations if applicable, within the named species. The delta function is the difference in the genomes of the 2 species named in the parentheses, where -> indicates which genome has been subtracted from which genome. Thus, delta(LCA -> people) = G(people) – G(LCA). And it includes all the differences in the genes of the named species as well as all intergenic regions. In Equations 1 and 2 below, which are true by definition, only the left sides are known, and both terms on the right side of each equation are unknown and can’t be known as explained above.

Equation 1: G(people) = G(LCA) + delta(LCA->people)
Equation 2: G(chimps) = G(LCA) + delta(LCA->chimps)

Subtracting Equation 2 from Equation 1 gives Equation 3.

Equation 3: G(people) – G(chimps) = delta(LCA->people) - delta(LCA->chimps)

The 2 terms on the left side of the Equation 3 are known. But the 2 terms on the right side are not known. Thus, there is 1 equation and 2 unknows.

So, there is no way to solve for either of those 2 terms on the right side of Equation 3. And without knowing at least either of those 2 terms there is no way to know what the genome of the supposed people-chimp LCA was. That is, G(LCA) is also not known and cannot be known. And this is true for all species that have ever existed supposedly from before about 6,000 years ago. Thus, the genomes, and the DNA of each of their genes, for all species of every node in the supposed evolution descent tree, for every species supposedly from before about 6,000 years ago, are not known. And the DNA changes from any species in that supposed evolution descent tree, whether alive today or that have ever existed, along any decent path from any supposed ancestor, are also not known. And all comparisons of existing species back to their supposed LCA of any LCA beyond 6,000 years ago are absolutely meaningless as there is no way to know what happened for any species back to an LCA from before supposedly about 6,000 years ago.

Thus, there is no way to show that any of the genes in the genome of people could ever have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS from the supposed genes in the supposed LCA in the supposed time since the supposed LCA of people and chimps. The same is true for the genes in the genome of chimps. For example, for a gene that people have but that chimps do not have, did the chimps lose that gene since the supposed LCA in the supposed time since the LCA or did people gain that gene? And each different gene is just as ambiguous as well as all differences in intergenic regions.

So, there is no way to show what the odds of any, whether losing genes, or gaining genes by whatever means. Note also that both chimps and people are diploids that reproduce sexually. So, there is a barrier to gaining genes by copy/mutate or spontaneously, or losing genes, in both due to the problem of chromosomal mismatches. And all of the supposed intermediary branches of each from the supposed LCA would also have been diploids that reproduce sexually. And depending on how long ago the supposed LCA lived, and the average intergenerational time for each descent line, there are over 500,000 to possibly over 1 million generations since the LCA for each descent line, each of which are diploids that reproduce sexually. So, for each of these generations, evolutionists have no clue what any of their DNA codes were, what DNA mutation ERRORS occurred and when. And they have no idea what the DNA mutation ERRORS path was for any change in any of the genes or intergenic regions. Nor have they any idea whether such DNA mutation ERRORS paths were even possible. And note that for each descent line, there are about 100,000 interdependent DNA mutation ERRORS paths just for the genes. And that does not include the intergenic regions. So, there is no way to know if any were even plausible in the time back to any supposed ancestor species beyond about 6,000 years ago in the time since evolutionists claim that that ancestor lived.

In more general terms, for any 2 species that supposedly have a common ancestor as claimed by evolutionists, the following 2 Equations are true by definition.

Equation 4: G(N) = G(NM_LCA) + delta(NM_LCA->N)
Equation 5: G(M) = G(NM_LCA) + delta(NM_LCA->M)

Where N and M are species that supposedly have a common ancestor as claimed by evolutionists. NM_LCA is that supposed last common ancestor species for those species N and M. And G and delta are the functions as defined above.

Subtracting Equation 5 from Equation 4 gives Equation 6.

Equation 6: G(N) – G(M) = delta(NM_LCA->N) - delta(NM_LCA->M)
for all species N and M.

The 2 terms on the right side of Equation 6 are unknown. And the terms on the left are only known for species that exist today. So, there is only 1 equation and 2 or 3 or 4 unknowns depending on if N or M are species that exist today. So, there is no way to solve for either term on the right side. And there is no way to know what the genome of any species supposedly from before about 6,000 years ago, nor what the DNA of any gene or any intergenic region was. So, for every node of the evolution descent tree, not a single genome of any species is known except for those alive today or from less than about 6,000 years ago, nor what the DNA of any gene or any intergenic region was. And for each and every line drawn connecting any supposed ancestor and descendant species, there is no way to know what the genome of any of those generations along that line of descent. These could be several 100,000 or 1 million or more generations. And none of the DNA mutation ERRORS paths are known across any of those

generations. And there could be about 100,000 interdependent DNA mutation ERRORS paths just for the genes. And that does not include the intergenic regions. Nor is it known that any are even possible. Nor is there any knowledge of when a gene may have been copied or came into existence spontaneously or was lost across any of those generations. And of course, there is no way to calculate the odds for or against any unique gene. Yet evolutionists must prove that each and every one of them did even happen. And there is no way to show that there is on average less than 1 in a trillion chance that on average a unique gene could not have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And they must do this else evolution, billions of years, and common descent should be retracted worldwide immediately. The entire evolution descent tree is a made-up fantasy. Think of it as no more than pure pagan myth.

There is another major fallacy due to erroneous claims made by evolutionists about common descent for species found as fossils in sedimentary rock layers. No species living today evolved from any other species living today. Thus, no similarity between species today, whether genetic or anatomical, is due to one species alive today having evolved from any other species living today. This is a fact. If there was a worldwide flood today as there was as recorded in Genesis in the days of Noah, all the fossil bearing sedimentary rock layers produced would look just like the existing fossil bearing sedimentary rock layers that actually exist today. Yet if that happened, evolutionists would make many claims about similarity between species, whether genetic or anatomical, being due to one species have evolved from another species. And they would be completely wrong in each and every single case. Here is absolute proof that similarities between species today, whether genetic or anatomical, is not necessarily due to one species have evolved from any other species. And there is no DNA from any species that is found in the rock layers from any time supposedly before about 6,000 years ago. If there were, evolution, billions of years and common descent are false since DNA does not last that long. So, there is no DNA evidence to support any of the made-up evolution descent tree, or any claim that any species today have a common ancestor unless from the same kind such as dog breeds. And anatomical similarities have been shown to not be due to one species have evolved from any other species. Please note that evolutionists claim the concept of convergent evolution. Convergent evolution means there is very little genetic similarity just anatomical. So, these anatomical similarities are not from common descent. So how can fossils which seem similar to either other fossils in the fossil bearing rock layers or species living today be used to claim common descent? This very claim shows that there is no way for evolutionists to claim that any similarity of any fossil from any species in any rock layer is an ancestor or descendant species of any other fossil from any species in any rock layer or an ancestor of any species alive today. Also note that living fossils disprove evolution, billions of years, and common descent as proved later. For example, horseshoe crabs living today look like fossilized horseshoe crabs from rock layers supposedly over 500 million years ago. Yet in that time, each DNA base pair of the genome of horseshoe crabs would have been changed through DNA mutation ERRORS on average 5x or more giving minimal correlation.

Many evolutionists, having no actual evidence for their claims, have manufactured "evidence" is the form of drawings, animations, and artists renderings which are in essence all made up. Pictures and videos of supposed ancient mammals or supposed ancient ancestors of mankind are obviously not from actual photos and videos. Some believe that reconstructing what a creature looked like from fossils, which are very many times just one or a few bone fragments, some of which may not even be the same creature, is established science. It is not. It is based on the assumptions used. Consider that Nebraska man was reconstructed from a tooth of a pig. This was even used by evolutionists in the infamous Scopes Monkey trial of 1925. Later, it was revealed to be wrong. They even had made up drawings of the supposed Nebraska man and his "wife". So, the "fabled" peer review system of evolution failed. The hoax about Piltdown man started circa 1912 and was not exposed until circa 1953. Again, this is another great failure of the "fabled" peer review system of evolution. And why resort to the Nebraska man pig tooth or the Piltdown man hoax?

Also, there is a very great glaring omission for common descent. Where are the remains of the over 1 trillion individual descendants from the supposed LCA of people and chimps in those supposed about 7 million years? This proves that the supposed about 7 million years since the LCA of chimps and people is nonexistent, as well as the supposed LCA. And this does not include the supposed other tens of trillion individuals of other primate species that would have existed in those supposed about 7 million years. Where are the remains of the tens of trillions of individuals of other primate species in those supposed about 7 million years? Where are all the multitude of artifacts, buildings, cities, writings, etc. made by all the vast billions of people for the last 100,000 years or more? The fact that all these are missing proves they never existed.

The pictures of the supposed rock layers representing billions of years are just drawings. There is no place on earth where all of these layers exist except in the drawings. In fact, almost all places on the earth have less than half of these with many missing layers and even layers in reverse order. By the way, these anomalies refute evolution, billions of years and common descent. Also, the ages assigned to these layers reveal how unscientific their dating is. Some are given very exact dates. For example, one depiction has an age of 273 million years old without a plus and minus error range. It gives the idea of how exact the dating techniques are, which is actually deceptive. However, such dates are actually completely against science. Even high school students would fail a high school lab report if they reported such a date without a plus and minus error range. And they would have to show equations of how such error ranges were calculated. When things are measured, there is an error range for all measured quantities. And any derived number from such measurements must carry through these error ranges through these calculations. So where are the plus and minus values for these dates? And where are the detailed calculations which determine what these error ranges are? Since both the error ranges and the calculations are missing, this is not even count as science. If the error ranges are large enough, which they are, then there is no way to determine which layer is older than another, since the age ranges would overlap. Thus, it would be impossible to even draw such layers since the order of deposition may not match the order of their supposed ages. And if these error

ranges are large enough, which they are, they would include the time of the flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah.

By the way, there is abundant irrefutable evidence that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago that created almost all fossil bearing rock layers. One of those pieces of evidence is this event is an historical event that is recorded by many ancient cultures from various places around the world. So, it is a historical fact. Also note, that there are many artifacts that describe or depict dinosaurs well before the reconstruction of such creatures from fossils in modern times. That destroys over 65 million years from the supposed vast ages proposed by evolutionists. It also refutes evolution and common descent. And many of these fossils are not C-14 dead which refutes their supposed long ages and the long ages assigned to the fossil bearing rock layers. And soft tissue and intact biomolecules have been found in such fossils, which also refutes their supposed long ages and the long ages assigned to the fossil bearing rock layers.

As proved prior, the entire evolution descent tree is completely made up. Ther is no evidence whatsoever for any of the nodes, lines, and dates for any of it.

Any claim that common features shared between species, whether anatomical or genetic, prove common decent is illogical, unscientific, irrational, and deceitful. In fact, common features shared between species, whether anatomical or genetic, prove God created all things as inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years. This is proved later.

Furthermore, much of the supposed evolution descent tree assumes that many things which are impossible actually happened. All of these are impossible without God, and some of these are all impossible through DNA mutations ERRORS. There are many more than these.
The universe coming into existence from nothing or having existed forever.
The universe, which is extremely orderly and extremely finely tuned across all space and time, existing.
Life coming into being from non-living chemicals.
Cells coming into being from non-living chemicals.
Eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes.
A creature using asexual reproduction only evolving into a creature using sexual reproduction and this supposedly happened 3x.
Mitochondria supposedly from an engulfed bacterium.
Chloroplasts supposedly from an engulfed bacterium.
Over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed from DNA mutation ERRORS.
Tens of trillions (possibly over 100 trillion) unique introns that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed from DNA mutation ERRORS.
The existence of different kinds of creatures when like kind always produces like kind, and no kind of creature has ever been procured by another kind.
The existence of DNA, RNA and proteins.
The existence of intelligently designed living things.

And the list goes on and on and on.

All of these tear the evolution descent tree completely apart. It proves that the evolution descent tree is impossible. Many of these will be discussed later.

Consider the following. It is impossible for eukaryotes to have evolved from prokaryotes thorough DNA mutation ERRORS. For that to have happened, very many super great miracles of miracles would have to have occurred in the very same creature simultaneously, affecting how it functions, survives and reproduces. And since eukaryotes did not evolve from prokaryotes, there must be another abiogenesis event of the first eukaryotic cell. Such an event is even more preposterous than the abiogenies of the first prokaryote cell. But prokaryotes and eukaryotes share the same RNA codon table for nuclear DNA. There is no reason that that should have happened. The odds against just that are far greater than 10^80 to 1. So, God must have created all prokaryotes and eukaryotes.

Consider flying birds as another example. It is impossible for flying birds to have evolved from any other creature thorough DNA mutation ERRORS. Birds have many different anatomical differences that make it possible to fly as they do. Their bones are lightweight and hollow, and tied to their respiratory system. The feather structure and wing design are also intelligently designed to allow for their ability to fly. And there are many other features that birds have that are needed for flight. Yet there would have been no reason for any of these to be selected by natural selection unless all were in place. In fact, natural selection would have selected against such. And it is impossible for such irreducible complex functionality to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. So, either God created them or there must be yet another abiogenesis event of the first bird.

Furthermore, all species are less than 10,000 years old. So, every part of the evolution descent tree is falsified. The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA of eukaryotes, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years old. These facts refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. This also proves that the entire evolution descent tree is false. And all supposed times since any node is also false.

Using the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially in mitochondria, proves that all living things are less than 10,000 years old. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, the results of all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows

from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such but actually show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all intelligently designed things have an intelligent designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19b6BoWPJA/

Furthermore, the following also refutes every part of the evolution descent tree and common descent. The DNA similarity between species contradicts the supposed time since their last common ancestor (LCA) based on the DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rates of each species and all intermediate species on each branch of the supposed evolution decent tree. This will be proved later. And this also proves that chimps and people do not have a last common ancestor.

Many evolutionists have claimed that the similarities in endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) between certain species prove common descent. Please note that these are not ERVs. Even the evolutionists will only claim that they are derived from ERVs. Either way, the following proof uses the actual data about supposed shared ERVs to prove evolution, billions of years and common descent are false. And this also proves that chimps and people do not have a last common ancestor. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/174KAJHeiQ/

Mankind is made in the image of God. Mankind is different from all other creatures. This matches the Biblical record but refutes common descent, evolution and billions of years. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1JQFHvqDZp/

Now the reasoning used by evolutionists to claim that similarities in endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) between certain species prove common descent is as follows. They argue that there are only 2 possibilities for this. The 1st one is common descent. And the only other alternative allowed by them is a series of random processes in different species which do not have a common ancestor must have produced these similarities. They then show that a series of random processes has extreme odds against, so these must have come from common descent. But that reasoning is illogical, unscientific and irrational. I agree that the series of random processes is false due to great odds against. But it is not the only alternative theory to common descent. The real alternative is that God created all the species involved and that is the only logical, scientific and rational theory. This line of reasoning is just a false straw man argument using a false dichotomy and thus is just a deception.

Furthermore, the very reasoning used to discredit the series of random processes theory falsifies all of evolution for the very same reasons. Every claim of common descent is falsified by the fact that the odds are so vastly incomprehensibly against evolution through DNA mutations ERRORS that it is vastly incomprehensibly implausible. In fact, it is impossible. The true logical argument is that there are indeed 2 possible explanations for these similarities. Either God created all things or they are the result of a series of random processes. But common descent has been proved false in many ways. Therefore, the only possible theory is God created all things even these similarities. This is covered in section 18 of this book The following proof shows that analyzing the actual evidence proves that it is impossible for these similarities to have come from common descent. Thus, God created these similarities.

When analyzing any claims made by evolutionists to support their false theories, a careful look at the language used shows that they cannot prove any to be true. There are words and phrases which reveal this. Here are some of those words and phrases. Might have, may have, could have, suggests, don't know, not yet determined, and possibly appear when claims are made. At least those that use these phrases are candid and honest in the sense they do not know, nor can they prove them. Unfortunately, these words of uncertainly are many times dropped in some less technical publications, and by many social media proponents who are evolutionists. But there are other words and phrases that are more subtle. Phrases like we have a theory, we have a hypothesis, or theoretically show that these are just conjectures which have not been proved. Even more telling is when there are more than one theory or hypothesis. Obviously, conflicting theories or hypotheses cannot both or all be true. So, at most only one could be true. But since multiple ones are given, they must not know which one is true. But if one were known to be true, why list the others? And since none are known to be true, why is that? Because all can be refuted since they do not match all observations. That is there are anomalies, aka contradictions, to any of their theories. And any date from before about 6,000 years ago has never been proved. So, if you see one of these very old dates, know that it is based on many assumptions and circular reasoning. Yet these are used very many times. And phrases such as some species evolved from some species, or some functionality evolved, are just conjectures which have been proved false. And never do evolutionists, when they use these unproven phrases, mention that it is based on the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science".

Note that there are many different similarities, whether genetic or anatomical, that can be used to compare species. There are multiple things pertaining to anatomy, and there are very many genes that evolutionists can use for comparisons. But they will end up with different versions of the evolution descent tree based on which ones are used. But there could only have been one that was supposedly true. So, this reveals that there are inexplicable differences and inexplicable similarities that are being hidden when they attempt to claim any particular descent tree. Again, all can be refuted due to contradictions. And any supposed construction of an evolutionary descent tree is purely made up and already refuted as proved prior.

Very many people believe that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true because of the censorship, propaganda, indoctrination and deceit that they have been subjected to for all or almost all of their lives. Some then make that total censorship by self-censoring because they never consider the arguments against these false claims. It should be noted that very many scientists believe that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true. And that many of these are also quite intelligent. And since they believe that these theories are true, they can and may use their intelligence to conjure up explanations to support these, even though the evidence is not there. In fact, all the evidence science and logic refute these theories. But the goal of real science is not to look for evidence that supports the current conjectures only. The goal of real science is to look for refutations to the current conjectures. Some are motivated by pride, or some hate the God of the Bible because God said some of the things that they believe and do are evil. And almost all funding is for pro evolution, not for its refutation. And the secular peer review system has bias because it is in general run by people who are deceived into believing that evolution, billions of years and common descent are true. Please note that if all claims of evolution, billions of years and common descent are removed from the papers of the secular peer review system, what is left are the very facts and evidence that prove evolution, billions of years and common descent are false.

The state of evolution is that they have no answer to the origin of anything. Yet they claim that evolution, billions of years and common descent are true with absolute certainty. And this is only because they assume that evolution, billions of years and common descent are true using the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science.

The following is an analogy of this expressed as a hypothetical conversation between evolutionists and Biblical young earth creationists.

Evolutionists - Ask us any question on origins.
Biblical young earth creationists - Where did such and such come from?
Evolutionists - We don’t know, not sure, may have, might have, there are theories, there are a number of hypotheses, etc.
After a multitude of no real answers, the Biblical young earth creationists get tired, and they stop asking the evolutionists questions.
Evolutionists - Is there anything else about origins you want to know?

13. Time is not the friend of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS but its deadly enemy. (to TOC) (back)

Consider the great odds against a particular functional average size protein coding gene coming into existence spontaneously or by a copy and mutate process in a haploid organism which is the easiest case. Those odds against are far greater than 10^7,000 to 1. The total number of genes that have ever existed in all cells that have ever existed is at most 10^45. That is the total number of chances for such a protein coding gene to have come into existence. And that is for supposedly about 3.8 billion years that life has existed on the earth. It would take far greater than 10^6,955 years for such a gene to

come into existence. That is about a trillion times a trillion times a trillion … times a trillion years, where the phrase “times a trillion” is repeated over 500 times. It would take about 4 pages to type that out. And that is just for an average size gene. Note that even evolutionists say that the universe is only about 13.8 billion years old and the earth only about 4.5 billion years old. So, there was not enough time for any of this to have happened. It is not even close. So, it is nearly impossible that any significant number of average sized protein coding genes could have come into existence in that amount of time. But the accumulation rate of DNA mutation ERRORS in all species that have ever existed would have corrupted ALL genes that have ever existed in only millions of years. A 4% level of corruption for nuclear DNA would have occurred in less than 15 million years. That level of corruption by itself would lead to extinction of all species. And a 100% level of corruption for nuclear DNA in less than 375 million years. Not a single species or a single gene would have survived. In fact, when considering the much greater accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA, all species are less than 10,000 years old. So, the rate of gene destruction is inconceivably greater than the nonexistent or almost nonexistent rate of gene creation. The ratio of those rates is far greater than 10^5,000 to 1. Thus, either God created all living things in the beginning or the first living cell must have had all unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, which must have had a genome of at least 1 million trillion (possibly over 5 million trillion) base pairs and have had all functionality of all species that have ever existed. And that must have happened less than 10,000 years ago. These are the only possibilities. Obviously, that would be impossible, so God created all things recently.

All branch offs in the supposed evolution descent tree would require a number of new genes to have come into existence. But it would take too much time for any such number of new genes, and much greater than the time since that supposed branch off. This then disproves the entire supposed evolution descent tree and the times since each branch offs.

Evolution, atheism, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are some of the greatest deceptions and delusions of all time, and the greatest deceptions and delusions in science of all time.

The only possibility is that God did create all things. It is absolutely illogical, irrational, and unscientific to refuse to consider God Almighty in determining origins. The same is true when determining the ages of things.

Evolutionists have turned all the impossibilities of evolution and all the innumerable vastly improbable things of evolution on their heads and have ASSUMED that all these impossibilities and vast improbabilities all happened with 100% certainty.

14. Orphan genes disprove evolution, common descent and billions of years, and prove God created all things recently. (to TOC) (back)

Orphan genes are genes which only exist in the genome of a single species or a group of supposedly closely related species. For example, mankind has genes which are not

present in the genome of chimps even though people and chimps supposedly share a last common ancestor from supposedly about 7 million years ago.

One possible explanation given by evolutionists for some of these genes, which are found in people but not found in chimps, is that chimps lost those genes which must have existed in their supposed last common ancestor. Losing genes is the destruction of information and functionality. So, that is the exact opposite of a central tenet of evolution that all living species came from a first living thing where natural selection selects new information and functionality that is somehow created by DNA mutation ERRORS. Since there is no mechanism to create new genes at all or at best at such an extremely minuscule rate, the loss of genes proves that all or almost all genes that have ever existed must have been in the original first cell which must have had a genome of at least 1 million trillion (possibly over 5 million trillion) base pairs and have had all functionality of all species that have ever existed. Obviously, that is preposterous. Just apply this theory and work back up the decent tree from all species. Thus, evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and God created all things recently.

Some evolutionists have tried to explain orphan genes by claiming that their existence in species today is the result that they have vanished from related species who share a common ancestor. But that makes no sense. If orphan genes were present in the genomes of ancestor species, then ALL unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed must have been present in the common ancestor of all living things, the first cell. Just apply this theory and work back up the decent tree from all species. And the genome of that cell must have been about 1 million trillion base pairs long for 100 trillion unique genes or about 5 million trillion base pairs long for 500 trillion unique genes. Not only that, but it must have had all functions of all species that have ever existed. Thus, it must have been multi cell, reproduced sexually, could fly, walk and talk. And the ancestor of all primates must have been capable of abstract thinking. Obviously, that is preposterous. The fact that such a nonsense theory has been put forth shows the utter desperation of evolutionists.

Orphan genes are a further problem for evolution. Orphan genes are genes which only exist in the genome of a single species or a group of supposedly closely related species. For example, mankind has genes which are not present in the genome of chimps even though people and chimps supposedly share a last common ancestor (LCA) from supposedly about 7 million years ago. But chimps and mankind have diploid chromosomes and reproduce sexually. As has been shown below, it is difficult to remove genes in diploids that reproduce sexually. So, it is extremely unlikely, that this happened to chimps, so that they are now missing the orphan genes that mankind supposedly got from the supposed LCA of chimps and people. And there are no protein coding genes in chimps which have ceased to be expressed which have the pattern of misplaced stop codons as described below. So, chimps did not lose those orphan genes from some supposed common ancestor of people and chimps.

But it is extremely unlikely that mankind acquired new genes in the supposed time since the supposed LCA. One possibility for new genes claimed by evolutionists would be

spontaneously created protein coding genes. The odds against any new protein coding gene coming into existence spontaneously are so vast as to be extremely rare if at all in the supposed about 13.8 billion years since the supposed beginning of the universe, let alone in the supposed about 7 million years since the LCA of man and chimps. But they would have had misplaced stop codons scattered throughout when they came into existence spontaneously. But then, they should still have misplaced stop codons scattered throughout as proved prior due to their great persistence as proved prior. And if they came into existence without misplaced stop codons, the odds against that are so vast as to be extremely rare if at all in the supposed about 13.8 billion years since the supposed beginning of the universe, let alone in the supposed about 7 million years since the LCA of man and chimps. And this would be the true for all branch offs of the supposed common descent tree of evolution. Thus, this refutes the entire supposed evolution decent tree and common descent.

Another possibility for new genes claimed by evolutionists would be genes that somehow came from non-coding genes or intergenic regions. These are sometimes referred to as de novo genes. But non-coding genes and intergenic regions would have misplaced stop codons scattered throughout since stop codons are not stop codons for non-coding genes or intergenic regions. But then, any protein coding gene derived from such a source should still have misplaced stop codons scattered throughout due to their great persistence as proved prior. And the odds against the DNA of non-coding genes or intergenic regions becoming a functional protein coding gene are extremely vast against since they have different functions. And this would be even more so for protein coding genes which have introns. And that is the case for many eukaryotic diploids which reproduce sexually. So, this too has been shown to be impossible.

The above proved that it is extremely unlikely for new genes to enter the genome of any species, let alone diploids that reproduce sexually. And certainly not all the supposed orphan genes that evolutionists claim. And certainly not in the supposed 7 million years since the LCA of chimps and people. This same conundrum applies to all other supposed orphan genes for any branch offs in the supposed evolutionists descent tree, especially in species that are diploids that reproduce sexually. So, this is a contradiction for evolution, billions of years, and common descent again proving they and the “no God” assumption are false. Thus, proving God created all things recently.

Obviously, the only explanation left for evolution for orphan genes is that they must have come into being either through a copied gene or an existing that was mutated or spontaneously. But these have been proven impossible according to the analysis above. By the way, evolutionists should be able to identify the gene that was supposedly copied and then mutated, or an existing gene that was supposedly just mutated in the supposed LCA and show the vast odds against that gene being mutated through DNA mutation ERRORS across its entire path from the copied gene or existing to the orphan gene. Or they must calculate the odds against such a gene coming into being spontaneously from nothing. And in all cases, evolutionists must show how it made it into a population for either sexual or asexual reproduction. And this must be done for all orphan genes in all species.

15. Where are all the vestigial systems, functions, tissues, organs, etc. in all species? Where are all the vestigial DNA, vestigial genes, and "junk" DNA in all species? Yet more proofs that evolution is false. (to TOC) (back)

Evolutionists claimed that there is a significant amount of "junk" DNA. This was from DNA that was supposedly no longer used as species supposedly evolved over vast eons. According to the theory of evolution, every species alive today is descended from the first living cell through several trillion generations. Thus, there would have to be lots of junk DNA, and other forms of vestigial systems, functions, tissues, organs, etc. in all species living today. Evolutionists even claimed that over 90% to almost 99% of the DNA of people was "junk" DNA. Similar precents of junk DNA (over 90% to almost 99%) must also be in the genomes of all species that exist today if evolution is true. Remember, there is no reset of such things with "new species". Evolutionists had made these claims prematurely and violated a simple principle of science and logic. Just because a function has not yet been found does not mean that there is no function. And the reason that they made this claim prematurely and violated all reason, logic, science and rational thought is because they wanted to manufacture supposed evidence for supposed evolution, billions of years and common descent. In fact, a significant amount of "junk" DNA in all species is an absolute requirement and prediction of evolution, billions of years and common descent. If that it is not the case, then evolution, billions of years and common descent are false. It is known that there is no "junk" DNA, and certainly not the required over 90% in all species that exist today. So, evolution, billions of years and common descent are false by this fact for each of the multitude of species alive today. That is about 10 million contradictions to the theory of evolution. Please note that this failure of evolutionary theory is still repeated by many of those that are still deceived by it. And the reason that it is still repeated is that there was no major worldwide announcement in all media of this failed prediction and failure of evolutionary theory, nor was it put in all textbooks, courseware, and all courses on the subject. Why not? In fact, there should have already been a number of major worldwide announcements in all media of this failed prediction and failure of evolutionary theory as a series of discoveries were made each of which reduced the supposed over 90% of "junk" DNA required by evolution and claimed by evolutionists to less than 10%. There is no "junk" DNA. But evolution is "junk science".

The following mechanisms should have produced enormous amounts of junk DNA over the vast eons and several trillion generations from the first living cell to all the species that exist today if evolution was true. And these are the very same mechanisms that the theory of evolution requires to have produced all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. These mechanisms are spontaneously created genes and copies of spontaneously created genes. The odds against any functional gene coming into existence spontaneously are extremely vast. So, if a gene did come into existence spontaneously, and become part of the genome of a species, then it is almost certain it would be non-functional. This has been proved in several ways prior. Thus, it is just junk DNA. And if that spontaneously created gene is then copied, then that copy would also be junk DNA. And the same would be true of copies

of copies, and any sequence of copies, of spontaneously created genes. But evolution through DNA mutation ERROS require both spontaneously created genes and copies of those genes. So, the vast majority of genes should be junk DNA. And there is no reset with “new” species. So, all genomes of all of the about 10 million species today should have at least 90%, if not 99% or greater, of their DNA being junk DNA. But junk DNA is nonexistent. Thus, that is about 10 million refutations of evolution. So, evolution, common descent, billions of years, and the “no God” assumption are false, and God created everything recently.

Evolutionists also claimed that there are vestigial organs and tissues in all or almost all species. Evolutionists had made these claims prematurely and violated a simple principle of science and logic. Just because a function has not yet been found does not mean that there is no function. And the reason that they made these claims prematurely and violated all reason, logic, science and rational thought is because they wanted to manufacture supposed evidence for supposed evolution, billions of years and common descent. These claims have been proved false. So, again this requirement and prediction by evolution of vestigial organs and tissues in all or almost all species has proven false. So, evolution, billions of years and common descent are false.

For example, since people are supposedly descended from fish, if you go back far enough up the supposed evolution descent tree, they must have some form of gills. They don’t. This was Haeckel’s deception with his supposed drawings of embryos. It is called ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny. That is, the development of an individual (ontogeny) repeats (recapitulates) the evolutionary past of its species (phylogeny). Many evolutionists used Haeckel’s deception for decades. And the reason that they made this claim and violated all reason, logic, science and rational thought is because they wanted to manufacture supposed evidence for supposed evolution, billions of years and common descent. Even now this deception is sometimes repeated by some that are deceived by it. And the reason is that there was no major worldwide announcement in all media of this failed prediction and failure of evolutionary theory, nor was this failure of evolutionary theory put in all textbooks and all courses on the subject. Why not?

Please note, very many times supposed evidence for evolution, billions of years and common descent have been found to be false. And there was no major worldwide announcement in all media of each failed prediction and failure of evolutionary theory, nor was each failure of evolutionary theory put in all textbooks and all courses on the subject. Why not? So, no one should ever believe that any current supposed “evidence” for evolution, billions of years and common descent is true. In fact, there should be daily updates of supposed evidence for evolution, billions of years and common descent being found to be false and in many major worldwide announcements in all media. And all textbooks, courseware and all courses on the subject should contain vast amounts of material on these supposed evidences for evolution, billions of years and common descent being found to be false. Why not?

As a heads up, the current age of the universe is claimed to be about 13.8 billion years plus or minus 0.05 billion years. However, what is not generally known is that the current Big Bang theory with Inflation, which added Inflation to save the initial falsified Big Bang theory, is a disaster. Many discoveries from the James Web Space Telescope and other new telescopes are finding too many contradictions to the Big Bang plus Inflation Theory. And they may have to almost double the estimated age of the universe. That would be an error of over 12 billion years and not the 0.05 billion years plus or minus stated. That change would be about 120 times the stated total error range.

The following looks at these vestigial claims at the gene level. Consider, the first amphibian like creature that supposedly evolved over vast eons from some fish. Evolutionists claim that such a supposed creature had both lungs and gills. So, it must have developed new genes, structures, tissues, etc. to breathe through lungs, and maintained the genes, structures, tissues, etc. that allowed it to extract oxygen under water through gills. So, eventually what happened to the old genes, structures, tissues, etc. for the gills in people and in all mammals? This is the case with many other systems, functions, tissues, organs, etc. in all species that supposedly evolved over the eons from supposed earlier life forms. That is, to switch over to some new functionality, the old and new must have coexisted at some point. Thus, new genes must have developed while the old genes still existed and were functional. So, where are all these vestigial systems, functions, tissues, organs, etc. in all species and where are all the vestigial DNA and vestigial genes which if left must be in “junk” DNA now for this old functionality that is no longer in use?

So, consider that the genes for gills coexisted with the genes for lungs at some point supposedly in far distant past as fish evolved into land animals over eons. The same reasoning will hold for many other instances of the many other systems, functions, tissues, organs, etc. in all species that supposedly evolved over the eons from earlier life forms. That is, to switch over to some new functionality, the old and new must have coexisted at some point. If some of those protein coding genes are still active, there must be some expression of those genes in some form of systems, structures, functions, tissues, organs, etc. Or those protein coding genes must have been removed from the genome, or they must be there but no longer expressed. These are the only possibilities.

So, where are the gills in people? They do not exist. Ontogeny recapitulating phylogeny was a fraud as the embryos of people never have gills. So, either the protein coding genes for gills in people must have been removed at some time in the past or they were shutoff and no longer expressed but still in the genome as “junk” DNA, which is non-existent.

Take the case that the protein coding genes were removed. The removal of genes from the genome of diploids that reproduce sexually is actually difficult. If a gene is removed spontaneously in one of the homologous chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair in an organism, then the corresponding gene should be removed in the other chromosome of the homologous pair. If not, this is a chromosomal mismatch which is

very much selected against by natural selection. But even if a gene is removed from both pair of chromosomes of a homologous pair, its offspring will have to mate with an organism that still has the gene. Thus, the offspring of that offspring will have a chromosomal mismatch which is very much selected against by natural selection. And this situation will continue for a number of generations until a large enough population that no longer has the gene emerges within a close geographical region. Even then, the population with the missing gene would have inbreeding in a small population which in general is also selected against by natural selection. Thus, the removal of genes is not likely in diploids that use sexual reproduction.

But there are more problems for the removal of genes. Protein coding genes have associated non-coding genes which control gene expression and regulation, either directly or indirectly. If one of the control genes is removed before its associated protein coding gene, then that would be either deadly or disadvantageous and be selected against by natural selection. So, either the protein coding gene should be removed first or the protein coding gene's expression completely ceased. This is true for both haploids and diploids. And in both haploids and diploids, all the associated non-coding genes that provide gene expression and regulation should be removed or they will be left in the genome as "junk" DNA, which is non-existent. And for diploids that use sexual reproduction, the removal of associated non-coding control genes would be unlikely as mentioned above. The protein coding gene and all its associated non-coding genes should be removed in both chromosomes of the homologous pair. Else it is a chromosomal mismatch which is very much selected against by natural selection. But even then, it must mate with an organism with the genes. This too is a chromosomal mismatch which is very much selected against by natural selection. And this situation will continue for a number of generations until a large enough population that no longer have the genes emerges within a close geographical region. Even then, the population with the missing genes would have inbreeding in a small population which in general is also selected against by natural selection. Thus, the removal of genes is not likely in diploids that use sexual reproduction.

But there are even more problems for the removal of genes. In general, it requires a number of protein coding genes to provide functionality, systems, structures, tissues, organs, etc. If one of the protein coding genes is removed or ceased to be expressed, then its removal may be deadly or disadvantageous, and natural selection would select against this. So, it is difficult to remove genes from even haploids, although it is more difficult in diploids that use sexual reproduction due to the issues of homologous chromosomes, and chromosomal mismatches. This has been discussed many times in this book. So, this takes very many generations to remove the expression of vestigial functionality, systems, structures, tissues, organs, etc. Thus, these should persist for very many generations, and many should be seen in species today. But they should also have been seen and persisted for many eons ago and thus be seen in the fossil record. But the fossil record has many millions of chains of missing links that are still missing. Not a single missing chain of links has been found. Thus, there is no persistence of vestigial structures in the fossil record. The fossil record shows stable disparate species, all fully formed and functional just like they are today. So, this is a

contradiction for evolution, billions of years, and common descent again proving they are false.

Another possibility is the whole set of protein coding genes that produce a functionality ceased producing their proteins. But this still has a problem in the coordination of shutting down multiple protein coding genes simultaneously to avoid partial functionality, structures, tissues, organs, etc. being deadly and disadvantageous, so that natural selection selects against. And this includes some functionality in all associated non-coding genes for all the associated protein coding genes for the functionality, structures, tissues, organs, etc. Although no known mechanism exists for such a coordination, it does solve the problem of chromosomal mismatches that would have to be overcome many times for diploids that use sexual reproduction. But then this leads to the generation of lots of “junk” DNA accumulated over the supposed eons of the past, which is non-existent.

Yet even this presents additional problems for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. And this has to do with misplaced stop codons. If the expression of a protein coding gene ceases over successive generations of that gene in the genome of a species, DNA mutation ERRORS are not subjected to natural selection since they no longer produce any proteins. Initially, those protein coding genes when they first ceased to produce proteins have just 1 stop codon at the end of the gene. But over time, they begin to accumulate misplaced stop codons. After enough generations, there should be an average of about 3/64 of their codons being misplaced stop codons. And the time since these protein coding genes ceased producing their proteins could possibly be determined from the percent of misplaced stop codons in the gene, although this would be based on assumptions. For example, a non-functioning protein coding gene with 10,000 base pairs, should accumulate an extra misplaced stop codon in about 100,000 generations or less in the genome of a species. So, for functionality, structures, tissues, organs, etc. that were shut down in the line leading to modern man, there should be protein coding genes that were shutdown 10 million years ago which now have an average of at least 10 misplaced stop codons. And from 50 million years ago with an average of at least 50 misplaced stop codons. This clock could possibly be applied against the supposed times that evolutionists claim any functionality, structures, tissues, organs, etc. were shut down for all branch offs of the supposed phylogenic common descent tree. The data will refute the supposed phylogenic common descent tree.

Vestigial functionality, structures, tissues, organs, etc. should last many ages and be evidenced in millions of chains of links in the fossil record and still be there in all species. Both are not the case. Or the genes magically vanished quickly which is unlikely even in haploids, but especially in diploids that reproduce sexually. Or they were magically turned off quickly and those protein coding genes should have many misplaced stop codons. And the time since turn off could possibly be determined by the percent of misplaced stop codons they have. That clock should be matched against the phylogenic descent tree. None of these match that which is observed or theorized. But these are the only possibilities. So, evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and God created all things recently.

Orphan genes are a further problem for evolution. Orphan genes are genes which only exist in the genome of a single species or a group of supposedly closely related species. For example, mankind has genes which are not present in the genome of chimps even though people and chimps supposedly share a last common ancestor (LCA) from supposedly about 7 million years ago. But chimps and mankind have diploid chromosomes and reproduce sexually. As has been shown before, it is difficult to remove genes in diploids that reproduce sexually. So, it is extremely unlikely, if not impossible, that this happened to chimps, so that they are now missing the orphan genes that mankind supposedly got from the supposed LCA of chimps and people. And there are no protein coding genes in chimps which have ceased to be expressed which have the pattern of misplaced stop codons as described above. So, chimps did not lose those orphan genes from some supposed common ancestor of people and chimps.

But it is extremely unlikely that mankind acquired new genes in the supposed time since the supposed LCA. One possibility for new genes claimed by evolutionists would be spontaneously created protein coding genes. The odds against any new protein coding gene coming into existence spontaneously are so vast as to be extremely rare if at all in the supposed about 13.8 billion years since the supposed beginning of the universe, let alone in the supposed about 7 million years since the LCA of man and chimps. But they would have had misplaced stop codons scattered throughout when they came into existence. But then, they should still have misplaced stop codons scattered throughout due to their great persistence as proved prior. And if they came into existence without misplaced stop codons, the odds against that are so vast as to be extremely rare if at all in the supposed about 13.8 billion years since the supposed beginning of the universe, let alone in the supposed about 7 million years since the LCA of man and chimps. And this would be the same for all branch offs of the supposed common descent tree of evolution.

Another possibility for new genes claimed by evolutionists would be genes that somehow came from non-coding genes or intergenic regions. But non-coding genes and intergenic regions would have misplaced stop codons scattered about since stop codons are not stop codons for non-coding genes and intergenic regions. But then, they should still have misplaced stop codons scattered throughout due to their great persistence as proved prior. And the odds against the DNA of non-coding genes or intergenic regions becoming a functional protein coding gene are extremely vast against since they have different functions. And this would be even more so for protein coding genes which have introns. And that is the case for many eukaryotic diploids which reproduce sexually. So, this too has been shown to be impossible.

The above proved that it is extremely unlikely for new genes to enter the genome of any species, let alone diploids that reproduce sexually. And certainly not all the supposed orphan genes that evolutionists claim. And certainly not in the supposed 7 million years since the LCA of chimps and people. This same conundrum applies to all other supposed orphan genes for any branch offs in the supposed evolutionists descent tree, especially in species that are diploids that reproduce sexually. So, these are a

contradiction for evolution, billions of years, and common descent again proving they and the “no God” assumption are false. Thus, proving God created all things recently.

16. It is impossible for new complex functionality, systems, organs, tissues, etc. to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, especially with diploids that use sexual reproduction. (to TOC) (back)

As proved prior in many ways, it is nearly impossible for DNA mutation ERRORS to have produced many unique genes. And it certainly would take an inconceivably long time for any significant number to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And this is especially true for genes of a large size. Even for an average size gene, it is extremely rare. And this is especially true for diploids that reproduce sexually. But any new functionality, systems, organs, tissues, etc. would require a number of protein coding genes, typically 10 to 100 or many more. And this provides no new functionality and no advantage until at least most if not all of these genes are in place. So, there is no reason for natural selection to select 1 of these genes without any new functionality or advantage that that gene gives. It may be that such a gene or collection of genes which only gave partial functionality are a disadvantage or deadly until most or all are in place.

Consider new functionality that requires just 10 protein coding genes. This is quite a small number of protein coding genes for new functionality. If one of these new protein coding genes for that new functionality comes into existence spontaneously, it must have no extra stop codons, else it would have misplaced stop codons which would have persisted until now. This was proved prior. For an average size gene of 10,000 base pairs, the odds against no extra stop codons are greater than 3x10^69 to 1 for a haploid that reproduces asexually. And for diploids that reproduce sexually, the odds against are far greater. So, this almost certainly never happened in the supposed 13.8 billion years that the universe supposedly existed. And the real odds against are much higher as calculated using Model 5 above. And those misplaced stop codons would still exist due to their great persistence. But that does not match that which is observed. Of course the odds against a function new protein coding gene of an average size coming into existence spontaneously are far greater than 10^6000 to 1.

So, the only possibilities are a copy of a gene that is mutated into a new specific functional gene or an existing gene that is mutated into a new specific functional gene. And in both cases, it would require DNA mutation ERRORS.

Consider the DNA mutation ERRORS requirement part for both a copy of a gene that is mutated into a new specific functional gene or an existing gene that is mutated into a new specific functional gene. Take again an average size protein coding gene of 10,000 base pairs, of which 1,000 of these will be mutated through DNA mutation ERRORS into a new specific functional protein coding gene. A gene of that size may only get 1 point DNA mutation ERROR about every 5,000 generations for nuclear protein coding genes, with variation across species depending on genome size and intergenerational time. So, it would take many generations for the mutations of such a mutating gene to

become fixed in the population of such a species. So, to generate 1,000 DNA mutation changes, it would take 1000s of generations or longer. And even if there were only 40 base pairs, that were mutated to make a new specific functional gene in the population of such a species, it would take 100s generations or longer. Note that 40 is a very small difference for a 10,000 base pairs gene. So, the odds of a collection of protein coding genes occurring in a small window of time in a set of organisms or a single organism of a particular species are vastly against.

But these long ages are just for 1 protein coding gene. First consider a hypothetical example. Later some real-life examples will be considered. As a hypothetical example, for new functionality with only 10 protein coding genes which are essential for the new functionality, all 10 of them must end their DNA mutation ERRORS path at about the same time. Here is a hypothetical representative example of a set of 10 new protein coding genes from a copy and mutated gene. The “years ago” given assume a 10-year intergeneration time which is typical of larger diploids that reproduce sexually.
Gene 1 - copied 50,000 generations ago, about 500,000 years ago, and mutated with 1,000 mutated base pairs.
Genes 2 and 3 - copied 25,000 generations ago, about 250,000 years ago, and mutated with 500 mutated base pairs.
Genes 4 and 5 - copied 2,000 generation ago, about 20,000 years ago, and mutated with 40 mutated base pairs.
Gene 6 - copied 100,000 generations ago, about 1,000,000 years ago, and mutated with 2,000 mutated base pairs.
Genes 7 and 8 - copied 15,00 generations ago, about 150,000 years ago, and mutated with 300 mutated base pairs.
Genes 9 and 10 - copied 75,000 generations ago, about 750,000 years ago, and mutated with 1,500 mutated base pairs.

From the above, at first there is just gene 6 in the population of such species. Then there are genes 6, 9, and 10. Then there are genes 1, 6, 9, and 10. Then there are genes 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, and 10. Then there are genes 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10. And then finally all 10 of them exist. Now this new functionality only works when all 10 are present. So, what would happen in each of these cases where are only some of them are present? It is extremely likely that at least 1 protein coding gene in one of these cases, that is in a particular time period, could not be expressed or for all intents and purposes not expressed, else it would be deadly or disadvantageous and thus rejected by natural selection. And it is quite likely that a few of the 10 genes would need to be not expressed or for all intents and purposes not expressed at some point in these different time period cases, else it would be deadly or disadvantageous and thus rejected by natural selection. But if a protein coding gene is not expressed or for all intents and purposes not expressed in the protein that it codes for, then point DNA mutation ERRORS will produce misplaced stop codons. This is due to the fact, that without a protein produced, natural selection is not involved. And once that begins to happen, then there is no way to stop even more misplaced stop codons from being created after the first misplaced stop codon. And this would greatly shorten any protein produced if the gene is later expressed and thus be deadly or disadvantageous and

thus rejected by natural selection. Or it would persist for over 1 billion years which would not match what is observed. Thus, it is impossible for new functionality to have come into being for both a copying of genes which are mutated into a new specific functional genes or existing genes which are mutated into new specific functional genes. And spontaneously created genes have already been eliminated as an option as proved prior. So, this covers all options. And this analysis applies to haploids and diploids. And thus, it is impossible for new complex functionality, systems, organs, tissues, etc. to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS whether for haploids or diploids, but especially with diploids that use sexual reproduction. Evolution, billions of years, and common descent have again been falsified as well as the “no God” assumption. Therefore, God created all things recently. As noted, the above analysis holds for haploids and diploids.

But there are many other problems for new complex functionality, systems, organs, tissues, etc. to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS, especially with diploids that use sexual reproduction. The above did not consider the vast odds against a copy of a gene or an existing gene being mutated through DNA mutation ERRORS to produce a new functional new gene. Nor did it consider that there must be a valid DNA mutation ERRORS path, which significantly increases the odds against. Nor did it consider that there must be associated gene expression and regulation controls in non-coding genes. There may be just 1 or several. Nor did it consider that the protein coding gene and its associated non-coding genes must have a coordinated valid DNA mutation ERRORS path. This greatly increases the odds against. Nor were any epigenetics considered.

And for the copy and mutate case in diploids that reproduce sexually, there are many issues with chromosomal mismatches with homologous chromosome pairs. For the copied protein coding gene, there must be a copy in both chromosomes of the homologous pair with the same size and same location. And for the associated non-coding genes, each must also have a copy in both chromosomes of the homologous pair with the same size and same location for each associated non-coding gene. If these conditions are not met, then that organism has a chromosomal mismatch which is selected against by natural selection. But even if these conditions are met, then if it were to mate, its offspring will get multiple chromosomal mismatches. One chromosomal mismatch for the protein coding gene and one for each of the associated non-coding genes. So, there would be 2 to 5 chromosomal mismatches. Again, this is a chromosomal mismatch which is strongly selected against, especially with 2 to 5 chromosomal mismatches. And this will have to continue for a number of generations until a large enough population, that has all these genes, emerges within a close enough geographical region. Thus, many times there is a mating of an organism with the new genes and an organism without the new genes. Each of these are a miracle to overcome multiple chromosomal mismatches which is strongly selected against. And all these apply to all the new protein coding genes that make the new functionality.

But there are even more problems for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS to produce any new functionality. Many times, when new functionality supposedly came

into existence, then either some old functionality must have been turned off or modified to incorporate the new functionality. There are many examples of these. And in almost all cases, it must have occurred in the very same organism requiring many super great miracles of miracles simultaneously. That is, the old functionality must have been shut off or modified in such a way that it incorporated the new functionality. And many of these would have been related to how the organism survives, replicates or both. So, if not correct, the organism either would have died or could not have replicated or both. Thus, it would have absolutely been rejected by natural selection as that would have been the only creature that would ever have it, since either way there was no offspring. But the removal of this particular old functionality or its modification had never been tried out before. So, natural selection could have never selected anything that could have possibly helped in this case. Thus, it virtually certain that the organism would have died or could not have replicated or both.

Furthermore, all of the new functionality would have to have been in place, with each part working almost perfectly, else the creature would have died or was unable to reproduce or both when the new functionality would have become active. But this particular new functionality had never been tried before. So, natural selection could have never selected anything that could have possibly helped in this case. Thus, it would have absolutely been rejected by natural selection as that would have been the only creature that would ever have it since there was no offspring. Thus, it virtually certain that the organism would have died or could not have replicated or both.

Here is just one example of this dilemma for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. An analysis of enzymes and proteins essential for DNA replication, and thus reproduction, and protein synthesis, and thus survival, proves that common descent, evolution, and billions of years are false. They also prove God created all things recently. This proof considers topoisomerase, polymerase, ligase, primase, helicase, nucleases, single-strand binding (SSB) proteins, and peptidyl transferase.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/174LscuRHf/

Enzymes also refute evolution. Their functionality is essential to the survival and replication of all cells and thus all living things. But it is impossible for enzymes to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. Almost all enzymes are proteins. Thus, they are the result of expressed DNA protein coding genes. There are over a trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) unique enzymes that have existed in all species that have existed. And there are over a trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) unique protein coding genes that produce enzymes that have existed in all species that have existed. The main function of enzymes is to catalyze crucial biochemical reactions. They do that by reducing the activation energy of these biochemical reactions. Without their catalytic functionality, these reactions will either never happen or would require vast amounts of time. But those reactions are involved in all aspects of the functionality, survival and replication of cells, and thus living things. Not a single function could be carried out by any cell without these enzymes. All cells would die within a short time if these enzymes did not function. Each of these enzymes are very specific to their role in a particular

biochemical reaction. So, there is no way DNA mutation ERRORS could have produced these enzymes without the very specific biochemical reaction. But the biochemical reaction could have never functioned at all or at a vastly too slow rate without the particular enzyme. So, DNA mutation ERRORS could never have produced that particular biochemical reaction. And this is true for over 1 trillion enzymes (possibly over 10 trillion) and their associated DNA protein coding gene. So, that is over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) proofs against evolution, common descent, billions of years, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. And of course, that is over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) proofs that God created everything recently. Please note, that this same impossible to resolve conundrum would also refute any supposed first living thing before a cell with DNA, RNA and proteins. That would include RNA only, RNA and proteins, RNA and proteins and something else, RNA and something else, protein only, protein and something else, and something else only.

Here is some information that gives some simple examples of the protein coding genes to make some irreducibly complex specific functionality. These are all dilemmas for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. These are for kinesins, dyneins and myosins in mankind. They are called motor proteins, and there are about 10^18 of these in the body of a typical person. Kinesins and some of the dyneins transport and deliver various kinds of biomolecular cargo in cells. There is no reason for these particular motor proteins to come into being unless they can actually carry bimolecular cargo. But the entire functionality of the motor protein must be in place before any bimolecular cargo is actually transported and delivered. So, this conundrum also proves that they could never have come into existence by DNA mutation ERRORS.

For each of these, there is a list below of some of the protein coding genes involved in making these. Note how many of these are 25,000 base pairs or greater in size, even up to several hundred thousand base pairs. Also, their DNA codes vary substantially, especially since there are many varying gene sizes. You can look up additional information with such things as GeneCards. In google, just type GeneCards and the designation of the gene. For example, type GeneCards KIF1B.

The odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS producing a gene with 25,000 base pairs are far greater than 10^15,000 to 1. And some of these are 300,000 base pairs in size with odds against evolution far greater than 10^180,000 to 1. For kinesins, there are 28 genes with sizes greater than 30,000 base pairs. For dyneins, there are 24 genes with sizes greater than 30,000 base pairs. For myosins there are 34 genes with sizes greater than 25,000 base pairs. That is 86 of them just for people. It is as certain as certain can be that these genes could never have been produced by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. Please look at these videos of some of these miraculous biomolecular micromachines. You can also look at some of the suggested videos that are shown as part of a playlist on the side. And if you select any of these, there are even more videos of functionality that could never have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gbycQf1TbM0&list=PLI1-pAQgbOGdUZaC29kPWyZVrmTj3fe2R

https://youtu.be/vbgkFEbmGrU?si=qP89YruoWwgQHYTT

Please note that the genes involved to make kinesins, dyneins and myosins are different in many kinds of organisms. So, this adds to the total number of super great miracles of miracles to account for them and the total odds against them coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Kinesin family of genes in mankind (46 genes). Of these the following kinesin involved genes have sizes of greater than 30k base pairs up to several hundred thousand base pairs.
KIFC3, KIF1A, KIF1B, KIF1C, KIF2A, KIF2C, KIF3A, KIF3B, KIF3C, KIF4A, KIF5A, KIF5C, KIF6, KIF9, KIF11, KIF13A, KIF13B, KIF14, KIF15, KIF16B, KIF17, KIF18A, KIF20B, KIF21A, KIF21B, KIF24, KIF26A, KIF26B, KIF27

Dynein family of genes in mankind (44 genes). Of these the following dynein involved genes have sizes of greater than 30k base pairs up to several hundred thousand base pairs.
DNAH1, DNAH5, DNAH9, DNAH11, DNAI1, DNAI2, DNAL1, ODAD2, ODAD4, DNAAF1, DNAAF4, DNAAF5, DNAAF6, LRRC6, SPAG1, CFAP300, DYNC1H1, DYNC2H1, DYNC2I1, DNAH1, DNAH2, DNAH8, DNAH17, PAFAH1B1

Myosin family of genes in mankind (39 genes). Of these the following myosin involved genes have sizes of greater than 25k base pairs up to several hundred thousand base pairs.
MYH1, MYH2, MYH3, MYH4, MYH6, MYH8, MYH9, MYH10, MYH11, MYH13, MYH14, MYH15, MYH16, MYO1B, MYO1D, MYO1E, MYO1F, MYO1H, MYO3A, MYO3B, MYO5A, MYO5B, MYO5C, MYO6, MYO7A, MYO7B, MYO9A, MYO9B, MYO10, MYO15A, MYO16, MYO18A, MYO18B, MYO19

17. The great foolishness of the great gamble. (to TOC) (back)

The odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS producing all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed are beyond comprehension. Now imagine gambling against those odds. Consider the following. If you buy a ticket for the Powerball lottery and lose, you just lose the price of the ticket. But consider a worse outcome where if you don't win the Powerball grand prize jackpot very many trillions of times in a row, as soon as you fail to win a jackpot, the penalty is carried out. Supposed you buy a ticket, and you don't win the jackpot, you must spend the rest of your life penniless alone in the wilderness without any modern things and must fend for yourself in the wild. Very few would take such a gamble. What if the penalty is you die as soon as you fail to win one of those Powerball jackpots? Again, few would risk it. What if the penalty is you die and go to the lake of fire to be tormented

forever as soon as you fail to win all of those Powerball jackpots? Anyone who would risk that is a fool and has completely left reality.

Now instead of gambling your money on the Powerball to do this experiment, there are sites and apps which have guess a number capability. One such site is given by the link below.

http://www.mathcats.com/explore/puzzles/guessmynumber.html

Enter the number 1 in the ".. as low as" box. Enter the number 292000000 in the "… as high as box". Click the start button. In the lower right enter your number to guess a number from 1 to 292 million. Then click the guess button. This simulates the odds of winning the Powerball jackpot with just one ticket. If you do not guess the number in on the first try, then if you are not saved you will be tormented forever in the lake of fire. If you do guess that number, then click the start button and start over and try again. As soon as you miss winning one jackpot, then if you are not saved you will be tormented forever in the lake of fire. If by some great chance you guessed it 4 times in a row, that was just the odds against a very small unique gene. But keep on trying as you must guess the number many trillions of times in a row. No one ever will. And that means if you are not saved you will be tormented forever in the lake of fire. Now repeat this game for each person that you know and love who has not been saved by believing the gospel of Christ.

Part 2

The much larger case against evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" ASSUMPTION of Atheistic Origin Science. (to TOC) (back)

18. Common features shared between species, whether anatomical or genetic, prove God created all things as inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years. (to TOC) (back)

Evolutionists have no evidence for evolution, billions of years, or common descent that is scientific, logical, or rational. Thus, many evolutionists have sometimes resorted to manufacturing what they claim is evidence. Many are fooled through the censorship, indoctrination, deception and propaganda that they have been subjected to for all or almost all their lives. Some then make that total censorship by self-censoring because they never consider the arguments against these false claims. Evolutionists, who are themselves deceived, use a set of false assumptions and circular reasoning to promote these deceptions.

One of the great deceptions used by evolutionists is the claim that common features shared by different species, whether anatomical or genetic, prove they must have had a common ancestor. But common features can also be explained by common creation by God Almighty. Intelligent designers reuse common features, designs, elements, and solutions all the time. It is a hallmark of intelligence and an absolute proof of intelligent

design by intelligent beings. All people do this very many times throughout their lives in many tasks. Engineers and scientists of all sorts do this all the time. It saves so much time, money and effort as the design already exists, has been thoroughly tested in real world applications, and just needs to be adapted, with some modification if needed, to the new problem. Note how many times the wheel has been used across many inventions and products. So, God Almighty, who has an intelligence far beyond all people put together, of course would have used common features, elements, solutions and designs across all species that He created. This even serves a purpose as it facilitates an interconnected food supply, and global cycles like water, carbon, oxygen, nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur, etc. across the world that are shared by all living creatures and even contributed to by living creatures.

To pull off this great deception, evolutionists eliminate creation by God, which is the only possible answer, and thus leave just one choice - common descent through evolution. This is the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science. Contemplate how irrational, illogical, unscientific, and deceptive this is. There are 2 possibilities to explain these common features, creation by God Almighty the Creator or common descent by evolution. So, common features cannot be used as evidence for evolution and common descent since there is a valid alternative explanation. Here is a simple analogy to show how illogical this deception by evolutionists is. A person is on trial for murder. The prosecutor points out that the murderer and the defendant both have a head, 2 eyes, a mouth, a nose, a heart, 2 ears, 2 hands, 2 feet, 2 legs, and 2 arms. Yet there are billions of people who have the same description. But the judge rules that no one else is allowed to be considered as a suspect. The jury must then convict. By the way, no prosecutor has ever presented such evidence as it is absolutely ridiculous to do so, and the jury would be completely perplexed if a prosecutor did this. They would know the prosecutor had no evidence at all to resort to such nonsense. Of course, the defense attorney points out that his client who was born in 1980 could not have murdered Julius Caesar as he was murdered circa 44 BC by Brutus and those guys.

In the real world, in real science and in real logic, if data could be explained by two competing theories, that data cannot be used to accept one theory and reject the other. The logical, rational, and scientific procedure is to look for evidence that conflicts with one theory yet matches the other. When analyzing the similarities between species, inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences can be used to prove evolution and common descent are false. This is refutation by counterexample which is irrefutable logic. And only 1 counterexample or contradiction is needed for complete refutation. Yet in this case there are a multitude of counterexamples which prove that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false. Any theory that is so refuted and continues to be promoted is not science, but a false science and in essence false. In fact, in this case, it is a false religion with false religious dogma. So, when considering the similarities and differences between species, these are not evidence FOR evolution, billions of years, and common descent at all, but actually evidence AGAINST evolution, billions of years, and common descent. Thus, one of the major supposed pieces of evidence for evolution is not only not evidence for it but actually proof against it. What a great deception by evolutionists who are themselves deceived.

The fact that evolutionists use this deception as supposed evidence for evolution, billions of years, and common descent shows that they must manufacture supposed evidence for their theories. In the spirit of honest scientific discourse, there should be a worldwide announcement in all media worldwide immediately, that common features shared by species, whether anatomical or genetic, are not proof of evolution, billions of years, and common descent since such can be explained by creation by God Almighty. And all textbooks, papers, courseware, and courses that relate to these topics should be similarly modified immediately. And there should be a worldwide announcement in all media immediately.

So, consider some of these inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences which refute evolution, billions of years and common descent. Note that there are very many more than the ones discussed below.

The DNA similarities between species contradicts the supposed time since the last common ancestor (LCA) for all nodes of the supposed evolution descent tree. This is based on DNA divergence rates due to the species-specific rates of the accumulation of DNA mutations ERRORS for each branch from any node. DNA divergence forces change in the genomes, and the longer the time since the LCA, the greater the difference in their genomes. This will also be true of protein coding, genes, introns, exons, non-coding genes, and all intergenic regions in their genomes. Thus, these inexplicable similarities refute evolution, common descent and billions of years. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1AmT1Ltf6U/

There are dozens of “living fossils”, but evolution through the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS would have forced changes in all of these over that amount of time. This is based on DNA divergence rates due to the species-specific rates of the accumulation of DNA mutations ERRORS. Furthermore, competition for food and to survive predators would also have forced changes. And many of these living fossils went through up to 5 great mass extinction events which would have also forced changes. Thus, these inexplicable similarities of the living version of a living fossil, and the fossilized version supposedly from millions, tens of millions, or hundreds of millions of years ago refute evolution, common descent and billions of years. And each one of these dozens of living fossils prove that evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/171fQVSrx7/

Supposedly, evolution produced introns through DNA mutation ERRORS. That is impossible. Introns, and the proteins that are involved in processing them, and the requirement to actually edit the DNA of an organism in inserting them, would have to have occurred in the very same organism simultaneously. And this one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles would have happened a multitude of times as there are

tens of trillions (possibly over 100 trillion) unique introns in all species that have ever existed. Introns and how they are handled in living things are just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved from DNA mutation ERRORS. Spliceosomes with over 100 protein coding genes process introns but almost all its genes have introns, an impossible to resolve contradiction. This is proved in the following posts.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1AeU3VgbZY/

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/195k5frbGX/

The RNA translation codon table is not universal. Each of these exceptions to the standard table proves that evolution is false, and God created all things. For such a change to have occurred, the DNA of the organism would have had to be precisely edited to match the new RNA translation codon table, and the processing of RNA codons changed simultaneously in the same creature. And this one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles would have happened a number of times. In this case the inexplicable differences refute evolution, common descent and billions of years. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1LX2ncAoBT/

The supposed origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts is impossible which also proves evolution is false. Each supposedly came into being when a bacterium was engulfed by a host cell over 1 billion years ago. But again, for this to have happened would have required a number of simultaneous super great miracles of miracles to have happened in the same creature. And this must have happened 2x, once each for mitochondria and chloroplasts. In this case the inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences between bacteria and mitochondria and chloroplasts refute evolution, common descent and billions of years. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19Y21xnoNF/

Many evolutionists have claimed that the similarities in endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) between certain species prove common descent. Please note that these are not ERVs. Even the evolutionists will only claim that they are derived from ERVs. Either way, the following proof uses the actual data about supposed shared ERVs to prove evolution, billions of years and common descent are false. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/174KAJHeiQ/

Evolutionists claim that eukaryotes evolved from prokaryotes. But that is impossible. One of the great impossible steps for evolution is that eukaryotes supposedly evolved from prokaryotes. It is impossible because it requires a very large number of super great miracles of miracles all happening at the same time in the very same creature. In this

case the inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences between these refute evolution, common descent and billions of years. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1ApkYybrTa/

Evolutionists claim that creatures that use sexual reproduction evolved from a creature that used asexual reproduction only. And this supposedly happened 3 times. But that is impossible. One of the great impossible steps for evolution is that a creature using sexual reproduction evolved from a creature using asexual reproduction only. It is impossible because it requires a very large number of super great miracles of miracles all happening at the same time in the very same creature. In this case the inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences between these refute evolution, common descent and billions of years. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/16qMpWAHMb/

It is impossible for any functional irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS. Such things consist of a significant number of functional irreducibly complex intelligently designed parts which are composed of functional irreducibly complex intelligently designed sub pieces down through several levels and coordinated and interconnected with other functional irreducibly complex intelligently designed parts of the organism, which are composed of functional irreducibly complex intelligently designed sub pieces down through several levels. There is no advantage until the complete functionality is working and would most likely be disadvantageous or deadly until then. Not only that, but very many of these would require that an organism change how it functions, survives, and reproduces in the very same creature. This would require many super great miracles of miracles simultaneously in the same creature. And sexual reproduction puts an end of it in any diploid species that uses sexual reproduction due to the problem of chromosomal mismatches. Furthermore, analyses of these reveals that they show more features of intelligent design than anything that mankind has made. The transcription/translation of DNA code to RNA sequences to proteins composed of specific amino acid sequences has very high functional information content and creates what can be described as intricate physical micro machines that carry out very many intricate and coordinated functionalities. Functional complexity, whether in information content, physical makeup, or specified functionality proves intelligent design. And this is very much so in biological systems. Irreducible complexity is a subset of intelligent design. It is always the case in all biological systems, because the only source of new design is DNA mutation ERRORS, which have no possible goal, no intelligence to look to the final operational product, and no benefit until at least a majority of parts are operating to give actual functionality. Only intelligence can do that. And in the case of all biological systems, an Intelligence far greater than all of mankind put together must be the answer. Obviously that Intelligence is God Almighty the Creator of all things. This is real science and reality itself. The following post proves this.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1CGuYSbLtD/

The following is a proof using adaptive learning software (in this case R software) and mathematical induction to prove that God created all things. Thus, this is an irrefutable proof that God exists and created all things. Your mind and reasoning are doing the very same thing as shown prior due to the vast information content and intelligently designed things in living things versus that which mankind has made. It analyzes living things and proves that they are all intelligently designed, thus all created by God. It even extends this to subatomic particles, atomic structure including electronic orbitals, and all the elements. It also contains an interactive screen where you can test anything else. To understand the screens read the supplied documentation. It also provides the source code for the application, and the data sets used for both training and testing.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1VCiJGyL3T/

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA of eukaryotes, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years old. These facts refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently.

Each time there is an offspring, there are going to be ERRORS in the DNA code that even the correction system misses. These will accumulate in the DNA code over time in all individual creatures of all species. And there is no way to eliminate them as all individual creatures will have acquired even more of these in their DNA and at different locations, passing them on to all offspring. While deadly and debilitating ERRORS are eliminated over time, those that are mildly disadvantageous or neutral are not eliminated. Also, natural selection cannot help because all individual creatures of all species have the accumulation, and all decedent lines of all survivors will continue to accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS. And these random ERRORS in the DNA do not reset with a new “species”. So, according to evolution and billions of years, there should be a large genetic load in ALL individual creatures of ALL species. This is not the case. This is a global clock for all living things that proves that life is not billions or millions of years old, but much less. This phenomenon is sometimes called genetic load or genetic entropy. John Sandford wrote a book titled “Genetic Entropy” where he goes into detail about this phenomenon.

Using the rates of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, especially in mitochondria, proves that all living things are less than 10,000 years old. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, the results of all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows

from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such but actually show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all intelligently designed things have an intelligent designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19b6BoWPJA/

Even if the supposed first living cell could have come into being spontaneously, survived and replicated, all first-generation descendants would have already been modified by DNA mutation ERRORS. So, that would mean that they may not have had any offspring that would have survived, or replicated even if they could have survived. And any second-generation offspring would have acquired even more DNA mutation ERRORS. Thus, all descent lines would have gone extinct relatively quickly.

Many evolutionists do not understand the ramifications of the fact that all individual organisms of all species accumulate of DNA mutation ERRORS. While deadly and disadvantageous mutations are removed by natural selection, natural selection cannot help with the accumulation of the other of DNA mutation ERRORS since all individuals of all species have the accumulation and all descendant lines of all survivors will continue to accumulate more and more with each generation. Some even claim that this accumulation does not happen. In fact, if this does not occur then evolution, common descent and billions of years are false, since there would be no change in the genomes of any species. But these rates do exist and they have been measured in many species. These measured rates are given in the above post. This phenomenon leads to things such as increasing genetic entropy, aka increasing genetic load. It also leads to genetic divergence and genetic drift. Evolutionists use these rates to supposedly date when species supposedly branched off from some supposed last common ancestor (LCA).

Now consider the rates of DNA mutation ERRORS in all species. If that DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rates are 0, there is no change in the genome of species and so evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, since there would be no change in the genomes of any species. But if the rates are very small, then it would have taken incomprehensibly vast ages to produce any new species, a far greater time than the supposed about 13.8 billion years than the universe existed. But even under this case, the extinction of all species would have happened long before that. And any rate about 1/10 of today's actual rates or more will lead to extinction relatively quickly. DNA mutation ERRORS destroy information. They do not create information. Thus, under no value for the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS will evolution be true. So, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" have been falsified again. Thus, God created all things.

The supposed first cell would not have had as an advanced DNA error correction, DNA error repair system, and DNA duplication system as exists today. So, the DNA of these early generations would have exponentially decayed quickly. The number of generations from that supposed first living cell along all descendant lines to extinction would have been probably 10 or less but certainly at most 10,000. And there is no chance of any new functionality coming into being at all. This is proved many times in this book, as any new functionality would have required a number of simultaneous super great miracles of miracles in the very same creature as to how it survives and replicates. Even after very many generations, no new functionality would have emerged at all because DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce any new functionality. This is why for many reasons, a much smaller supposed first living cell is preposterous.

Any first cell coming into existence spontaneously in an abiogenesis event would have produced a vast leap in genetic information content. And that information includes all the DNA, RNA, proteins and all structures for such. That is impossible. But from then on, DNA mutation ERRORS would have caused a degradation of the information of that species and all individual organisms along all descent lines. And the decrease of that information is approximately proportional to the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS and to the amount of total information. Thus, the information content can be modeled, using a first order approximation, as having a constant decay constant. So, the solution for the amount of information over time is the exponential decay function given below. This equation is applicable to all species and descendant lines from that first living cell.

$I(t) = I(0)\, e^{(-kt)}$

where I(t) is the amount of information in species or descendant lines from the first living cell, I(0) is the initial amount of information in the first cell due to abiogenesis, k is the decay constant due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, and t is the time since abiogenesis.

Also, note that any supposed pre-DNA thing could have never come into being spontaneously, survived, and replicated, and evolved into a living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins. And even if it did, all descendant lines would have gone extinct within just a few generations as the mutation ERRORS rate would be even larger in any RNA organism. And of course, there is no possibility to have made the jump to a living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins no matter what kind it was. This was also proved in posts 104 and 105 of this book.

Now at first it must be the case that that first living cell would have had a much more fragile system of DNA error correction, DNA error repair, and DNA duplication as exists today. This is simply because anything more robust, sophisticated and accurate would require a much more complex first creature from abiogenesis. That would make

abiogenesis even more preposterous. So, this abiogenesis event would not last many generations at all, maybe not past the first one or a few. Thus, the supposed time since abiogenesis of the first living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins to extinction of that species and all descendant lines would have been significantly less than 10,000 years. This refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

Note that there is no source of additional information as DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce any new information. For eukaryotes, the decay of genetic information is an even faster decay rate due to the larger mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rate. Mitochondrial DNA accumulates DNA mutation ERRORS at a rate at least 100x that of nuclear DNA. Thus, all eukaryote species must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, this situation will certainly not lead to an increase to many millions of times the amount of information from the first eukaryote. Thus, the supposed time since abiogenesis must be less than 10,000 years as will be proved below. And again, this refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

But there are yet even more problems for evolution. The following discusses 3 events claimed by evolutionists to have happened in the ancient past and required to have happened in the ancient past for evolution to be true. These events are the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes. Now, all 3 of these have been proved false many times and in many irrefutable and infallible ways, including by the many proofs in my 2 books. So, these refute the theories of evolution. Consider the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria. Mitochondrial DNA accumulates DNA mutation ERRORS at a significantly higher rate than nuclear DNA. Based on measured rates today, the rate for mitochondrial DNA is at least 100x that of nuclear DNA. But the accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS at the time of the endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria must have been at least as great, if not greater than the measured rates today. Thus, due to this even greater rate, the time from this supposed endosymbiotic event to the extinction of mitochondria and thus any eukaryotes that contained such must be significantly less 10,000 years. The same argument holds for the other required supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes. All 3 would have had the similar exponential decay functions as given above for the first living cell each with their own decay constant. And due to all of these rates being even more significantly higher than the current large accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS

measured today, then the time from each of these events to the extinction of eukaryotes must be significantly less than 10,000 years. Thus, the entire timeline since abiogenesis to extinction, and the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced mitochondria, and the supposed endosymbiotic event that produced chloroplasts, and the supposed great leap of eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes all collapse to significantly less than 10,000 years. Also note that at the time of these 3 supposed events, the rate accumulation of nuclear DNA mutation ERRORS in prokaryotes would have also been significantly higher than the current large accumulation rate of mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS measured today. The reason is that mitochondria and chloroplasts supposedly came from an engulfed prokaryote and they got their DNA from the supposed engulfed prokaryotes. So, prokaryotes would have gone extinct in significantly less than 10,000 years since the time of the 3 events mentioned above. So again, these refutes not only evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, but all supposed long age dating of anything in or on the earth.

Furthermore, there exists similar genetic information decay functions for all nodes of the supposed evolution descent tree. And all of these very many genetic information decay functions refute each node, it’s supposed node time since some supposed last common ancestor, and all the subtrees from that node. This absolutely destroys evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, and proves that God created all things recently.

For each node of the supposed evolution descent tree, there exists a genetic information decay function given by of DNA mutation ERRORS for the LCA, and t is the time since that LCA.

$I(t) = I(0)\, e^{(-kt)}$

where I(t) is the amount of information in species or descendant lines from the last common ancestor associated with that node, I(0) is the amount of genetic information in that LCA, k is the decay constant due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS for the LCA, and t is the time since that LCA.

Therefore, all claims of common descent are false as every part of the supposed evolution descent tree has been proved false. And any similarity between protein coding genes, introns, exons, non-coding genes, intergenic regions, systems, subsystems, functionality, tissues, structures, gene sets, etc. in all and every living creature and every species are proof of creation of God Almighty recently. Thus, all living things and the things in them refute evolution, billions of years, common descent and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, and prove God created all things recently.

Note that there are very many more than the ones discussed above.

19. Macro evolution never happened. The fossil record proves this. And since there are no partially developed organs, functions, etc. in any creature today, macro evolution is not happening now. (to TOC) (back)

Evolution cannot make large jumps. To do that would require a very large number of simultaneous super great miracles of miracles in the same organism. And sexual reproduction in diploids will end all such events due to the problem of chromosomal mismatches. But if evolution is gradual, there should be millions of chains of missing links in the fossil record. All the millions of chains of missing links are still missing. They have not found one chain of missing links at all. There are now many tens of millions of fossils that have been discovered. Why are all these chains of missing links still missing? They should be finding missing links every day. Why not? The fossil record shows stable disparate species, all fully formed and functional, just as exists today in the world.

Using a simple probability calculation, the odds against all the millions of chains of missing links still missing from the fossil record are far greater than 10^(10 million) to 1.

Evolution cannot make large jumps. To do that would require a super great miracle of miracles. And sexual reproduction in diploids will end all such events due to the problem of chromosomal mismatches. But if evolution is gradual, there should also be partially developed organs, functions, etc. in all individual creatures alive today and that have ever lived. There are not. Why? And there should be partially developed structures, functions, etc. in all the fossilized remains of all individual creatures. There are not.

Using a simple probability calculation, the odds against all the missing partially developed organs and functions in all individual creatures alive today and that have ever lived is vast. The odds against are far greater than 10^(10 billion, billion, billion) to 1.

And the species today in the world are not making jumps in evolution and are not descended from other species. Like kind always produces like kind and are never produced from any other kind just as the Bible says. So, macro evolution never happened. So, almost all those creatures that have been fossilized are just the result of the worldwide flood. The same thing would happen if there were a worldwide flood today. But since there is no macro evolution in the fossil record, it collapses the time that the sedimentary rock layers that contain fossils were supposedly laid down. In fact, there exists a significant amount of evidence which proves that almost all were laid down in only about a year. But then that means that the earth's surface is only thousands of years old. That also disproves the false science of Uniformitarianism and proves the science of Catastrophism is true. But that proves that all dating techniques, that give an old age for anything on the earth, are incorrect. That also proves that the earth and the moon are only thousands of years old. But that proves that all dating techniques, that give an old age to anything in the solar system, are incorrect. But then

that disproves the long age dating of the universe and refutes the Big Bang. Evolution and billions of years are like a house of cards, pull out one and it all collapses.

20. An analysis of the things in creation, especially living things, proves that God Almighty exists and created all things. (to TOC) (back)

The following proves that God Almighty exists and created all things.

Suppose that you had never seen a modern cell phone before or knew of its existence. You find such a device. An examination of its incredible uses and how intricately it was made would prove the existence of the intelligent maker(s) of that phone. It is preposterous to deny this fact. Other intelligently designed **things** which prove the existence of the intelligent maker(s) are cell towers, cell phone networks, satellites, cars, trucks, SUVs, planes, bikes, TVs, laptops, ovens, grills, dishwashers, dryers, heaters, air conditioners, books, libraries, manufacturing plants, houses, furniture, roads, bridges, buildings, sidewalks, chairs, forks, knives, spoons, mixers, bowls, plates, cups, pots, keys, doors, radios, traffic lights, boats, fishing reels, suitcases, movies, artwork, picture frames, vases, lamps, flashlights, signs, and the list goes on and on and on. All these prove the existence of intelligent maker(s) of these things. It is undeniable reality. If anyone does not believe this to be true, here is an experiment. Just walk around for a month, and for everyone that you meet point to these things and tell them that those things were not made by any intelligent maker. You might have to explain what you mean because they will probably not understand what you are saying. Do not in any way tell them that you are performing an experiment. Do this to family, friends, neighbors, coworkers, and strangers. The more you encounter a certain person, the more you must do this. Then report your findings and what people said. This is a reality test. Reality tests are very good at exposing false philosophical speculations that people use as part of denying the truth but obviously do not believe them.

Now consider a large modern city. There are very many cell phones in it, and a huge number of other intelligently designed things, and activities that make that city and its inhabitants functional. An analysis of that city proves the existence of the intelligent beings that made, run, and function in that city. This is even more sure than just the single cell phone case.

Now look at all of civilization. It consists of very many cell phones, many cities, and a multitude of other intelligently designed things. An analysis of that civilization proves the existence of the intelligent beings that made that civilization. This is even more sure than in the single city case.

Finally look at all living things in the world, including the intelligent people that made that civilization. The intricacies of living things (DNA, RNA, proteins, the nucleus, motor proteins, enzymes, organs, reproduction, the interconnected food chain, (and the list goes on and on and on and on) and their irreducible mutual complexity is way beyond anything that mankind has ever made and by an enormous amount. All people have done so far is to try to understand how living things work, and even now people are just

scratching the surface. Furthermore, just the sheer number of living things dwarfs the number of intelligently made things by mankind. For example, it is estimated that there are over 10^31 cells in the world, each way beyond the complexity of anything mankind has made. And there are more than 10^40 cells that have ever existed. And there are over 10^45 genes that have ever existed. And there are more than 10^50 proteins that have ever existed. And the information in the DNA code of all those organisms and species is vastly more than all the information that mankind has ever created in all books, newspapers, magazines, personal diaries, notes, posts and comments on the Internet, etc. Thus, an examination of all living things, especially intelligent people who make things, and how they interact with the rest of the creation proves the existence of Almighty God the Creator of all things. And this surety is way beyond than even the civilization example. So, here is yet another irrefutable proof that God Almighty, the Creator, exists and that God created all things. Therefore, we think and make things, so God Almighty exists. This irrefutable proof does not depend on the Bible at all. It does not assume the existence of God the Creator or that the Bible is the true word of God. So, it is not circular reasoning at all. Furthermore, the “no God” assumption can never be used in determining the origin of anything, nor the age of anything. The “no God” assumption has been proven false for all time. It is illogical, irrational, and unscientific to ever use the “no God” assumption in determining the origin of anything, nor the age of anything.

21. Living fossils prove evolution, common descent and billions of years are false. They also prove God created all things recently. And there are dozens of living fossils each of which refute evolution, common descent and billions of years (to TOC) (back)

The term “living fossil” refers to a species that exists today, thus currently living, and looks like a fossilized version of that species found in rock layers that are supposedly millions, tens of million, or hundreds of millions of years old. There are dozens of living fossils. The problem for the theory of evolution is that each one of these living fossils proves evolution, common descent and billions of years are false, and God created all things recently.

The following article lists 12 living fossils. They are goblin sharks, the coelacanth, the horseshoe crab, the duck-billed platypus, the amami rabbit, the nautilus, the Komodo dragon, the purple frog, the ginkgo trees, aardvarks, Laotian rock rat, and the cockroach.

https://www.livescience.com/animals/living-fossils-creatures-that-look-the-same-now-as-they-did-millions-of-years-ago

Other living fossils include crocodiles, lampreys, the elephant shrew, whale shark, koala, hagfish, the red panda, the sandhill crane, fig wasps, and the tuatara. And there are many others depending on whose list.

Evolutionists claim that there have been at least 5 major mass extinction events in the supposed past 500 million years. The following is a list of these, including when they supposedly occurred, and what percent of species supposedly went extinct. Exact numbers vary somewhat dependent on the source.
End Ordovician (about 444 million years ago; mya) over 85% of all species went extinct
Late Devonian (about 365 mya) over 70% of all species went extinct
End Permian (about 252 mya) over 95% of all species went extinct
End Triassic (about 208 mya) over 75% of all species went extinct
End Cretaceous (about 66 mya) over 75% of all species went extinct

During such events, global climate changes were massive, and these massive changes would have affected all ecosystems worldwide. Thus, there was no such thing as a stable environment, aka a niche, where a species was perfectly adapted to, that stayed the same, as that environment would have changed dramatically. This would force all creatures to adapt to the drastically changing environment during the mass extinction event and eventually to the new environment after the extinction event. Horseshoe crabs for example supposedly went through all 5 of these great mass extinction events. Mass extinction events would have forced change through evolution where supposedly DNA mutation ERRORS produced new functionality that were selected by natural selection due to survival of the fittest. Thus, living fossils which supposedly went through these mass extinction events and did not change prove evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false. Mass extinction events force change.

According to evolution, a species will evolve over time due to survival of the fittest within the species. But each species is in a competition with other species which are also evolving due to survival of the fittest. Thus, the food supply of a particular species will be evolving, other species which compete for that same food supply will be evolving, and predators that prey on that particular species will be evolving. So, that particular species must evolve, or it will eventually go extinct. Thus, it cannot remain unchanged for long ages. And again, there is no such thing as a stable niche environment for long ages especially during mass extinction events. The food supply for a particular species evolves through survival of the fittest, thus the niche containing that food supply has changed. The competitor species for the food supply of that particular species in the niche would have also evolved through survival of the fittest. Thus, the niche containing those competitor species has changed. And the predators of that particular species in the niche would have also evolved through survival of the fittest. Thus, the niche containing those predators has changed. All of these force change through evolution where supposedly DNA mutation ERRORS produced new functionality that was selected by natural selection due to survival of the fittest. Thus, living fossils which supposedly went through long ages of competition for survival and did not change prove evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false.

All individual creatures of all species will accumulate DNA mutation ERRORS in their genome. Each generation will accumulate even more mutations at a species-specific average rate. While deadly or disadvantageous mutations are removed from the population by natural selection, those that are neutral or only slightly disadvantageous

are not removed since all individual organism of all species accumulate them. With each generation more and more of those DNA mutation ERRORS will accumulate. This phenomenon leads to things such as increasing genetic entropy, aka increasing genetic load. It also leads to genetic divergence and genetic drift. So, the genome of the living version of the living fossil species must have diverged over time from the fossilized version of the species. After about 100 million years, greater than 10% of the genome would have changed. And in some cases, depending on DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rates possibly to a point where each base pair would have been changed on average of about one time or more. After about 500 million years, greater than 50% of the genome would have changed. And in some cases, depending on DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rates possibly to a point where each base pair would have been changed on average of about 5 times or more. Thus, there should no correlation between the genomes of the living version of the living fossil and the fossilized version from supposedly 100s of millions of years ago. And there is no way then that the living version of the fossil could look like the fossilized version from supposedly 100s of millions of years ago. The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS over long times forces changes.

Please note that evolutionists claim that people and bananas have a common ancestor. And then they note that there is a 50% similarity in DNA between bananas and mankind. So, a 50% difference. Now, a living horseshoe crab should have had each base pair in its genome changed on average at least 5x since the fossilized version from supposedly 500 million years ago. And that is a greater difference than between people and bananas. Yet the horseshoe crab looks the same, and people and bananas not at all.

Further note, that during the supposedly about 500 million years that the horseshoe crab refused to change, a fish supposedly evolved into an amphibian, and then all reptiles, all mammals, and all birds came into existence supposedly through evolution.

Also note, that some living fossils do not appear in the fossil record except in layers that are supposedly tens to hundreds of millions of years old. They are missing in all supposedly newer rock layers since then. But there are living versions alive today. So, they must have been swimming around without dying for supposedly tens to hundreds of millions of years else they would have appeared in the supposed newer rock layers. Or they must have just spontaneously came back into existence and perfectly matched the fossilized version from supposedly tens to hundreds of millions of years ago. But wait, both of these are impossible.

So, species missing in a rock layer or rock layers cannot be used to say that they did not exist during that supposed time. This proven fact is a disaster for all evolutionist speculations on the interpretation of the fossil bearing rock layers.

Premise: Evolution is forced change over time.
Fact: A living fossil did not change over vast amounts of time. That is, it did not evolve. There are usually many fossils of each living fossil species and many individuals of the

living version of each living fossil. So, there are a multitude of instances of this fact for each living fossil.
That fact is a counterexample which proves that that premise is false.
Thus, evolution, common descent, and billions of years have been falsified forever.
This is an irrefutable proof using logic, science, math, and facts.
And there are dozens of living fossils. Each of these living fossils disproves evolution and billions of years.

And there are many other proofs that refute evolution, common descent, billions of years, and the false interpretation of the age of the fossil bearing rock layers.

22. The DNA similarity between species contradicts the supposed time since their last common ancestor (LCA) based on the DNA mutation ERRORS accumulation rates of each species and all intermediate species on each branch. (to TOC) (back)

Each of the following refutes evolution, common descent, and billions of years, the supposed evolutionary descent tree, the assumed ages assigned to all associated rock layers, and the dates and dating methods of anything claimed to be ancient in those rock layers. Each of these also proves God created all things recently.

Please note that the same holds for the DNA similarity of any gene shared by species that supposedly have a last common ancestor from longs ages past.

Many evolutionists claim that the DNA of mankind and chimpanzees are about 99% similar. At the same time, they also claim that the last common ancestor (LCA) of both lived about 7 million years ago. But using the rates of accumulation DNA mutation ERRORS of both shows that there would be a larger difference between the 2 if they split about 7 million years ago. Please note that the genetic divergence between 2 species since their LCA is approximately the sum of their individual genetic drift during that time. Thus, the claim of 99% DNA similarity and the about 7 million years since their LCA is contradictory. This contradiction refutes evolution, common descent, and billions of years and proves God created all things recently. Each of these refutes evolution, common descent, and billions of years, the supposed evolutionary descent tree, the ages assigned to all associated rock layers, and the dates and dating methods of anything claimed to be ancient in those rock layers.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1B7VDWRhjU/

For each of the following creatures, the DNA similarity between the pair of named species and the claimed time since the LCA for each pair of species are contradictory. But using the rates of accumulation DNA mutation ERRORS of both shows that there would be a larger difference between each pair if they split that many years ago. Please note that the genetic divergence between 2 species since their LCA is approximately the sum of their individual genetic drift during that time. This refutes evolution, common descent, and billions of years, the supposed evolutionary descent tree, the ages

assigned to all associated rock layers, and the dates and dating methods of anything claimed to be ancient in those rock layers.

The following post shows why the similarity in DNA between mice and mankind, and the claimed time since their LCA, proves evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/13L7cJL8qL/

The following post shows why the similarity in DNA between dogs and mankind, and the claimed time since their LCA, proves evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14e5cNCYGj/

The following post shows why the similarity in DNA between cats and mankind, and the claimed time since their LCA, proves evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/18HsbHyQLz/

The following post shows why the similarity in DNA between kangaroos and mankind, and the claimed time since their LCA, proves evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1W85zDBcMc/

The following post shows why the similarity in DNA between platypuses and mankind, and the claimed time since their LCA, proves evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17TBQf6Jsu/

The following post shows why the similarity in DNA between birds and mankind, and the claimed time since their LCA, proves evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14NxWFreMd/

The following post shows why the similarity in DNA between the green anole lizard and mankind, and the claimed time since their LCA, proves evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Goo1Vvkat/

The following post shows why the similarity in DNA between African clawed frogs and mankind, and the claimed time since their LCA, proves evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1HRQEMdKDR/

The following post shows why the similarity in DNA between zebrafish and mankind, and the claimed time since their LCA, proves evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/14jvDzoqeR/

The following post shows why the similarity in DNA between sea urchins and mankind, and the claimed time since their LCA, proves evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Dos23NiEg/

The following post shows why the similarity in DNA between honeybees and mankind, and the claimed time since their LCA, proves evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17owbmpfnh/

The following post shows why the similarity in DNA between bananas and mankind, and the claimed time since their LCA, proves evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false and God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19VRrSY9ES/

The same technique can be applied to all branch off nodes in the supposed evolution descent tree. And thus, all nodes of that tree and their claimed time since the LCA of that node disproves the entire evolution descent tree, which then refutes evolution, billions of years and common descent and proves God created all things recently.

23. The claim that the theory of evolution is like the theory of gravity is a deception. All observations prove that evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false, and that God created all things recently. Cells only come from cells. Living things only come from living things. Like kind produces like kind and is never produced from some other kind. (to TOC) (back)

Amazingly, some evolutionists try to liken the theory of evolution to the theory of gravity. They claim that evolution is observed just like gravity. And some even claim even more so than gravity. And some even claim even more irrefutable than gravity. This a great deception by someone who is deceived. The theory of gravity is easily observed. You can observe it right now. Drop something and see which way it goes. Throw something

up and note how it eventually reverses course and falls down. In high school and college physics labs, students perform simple experiments and compare the results to the theory. You can conduct some experiments yourself. And the theory of gravity is used by many people in many different fields.

The theory of gravity can be expressed in equations. First there was Newtonian gravity that stood for about 250 years. But there were some conditions where Newtonian gravity does not match observations. So, Einstein came up with the Theory of General Relativity, which is expressed as equations also.

Evolution, billions of years, and common descent are not like the theory of gravity at all. None of the key claims by evolutionists are observed at all. In fact, all observations refute evolution, billions of years, and common descent. There are no equations for evolution from supposed first living cell to all the species and kinds that have ever existed or exist today. Adaptation and variation within created kinds is explained by creation by God. In this section and in the following sections, it will be shown that not only are there no observations for evolution, billions of years, and common descent, but all observations and tests refute evolution, billions of years, and common descent.

Living things only come from existing living things. There are no exceptions to this fundamental law of Biology. It is called the law of biogenesis. Living things never come from non-living things. This too is a fundamental law of Biology with no exceptions.

It is anti-science to assume that living things ever came from non-living things. Abiogenesis has been refuted. Abiogenesis has never happened before. There is no record of abiogenesis happening in the past. It is not happening today. And a simple analysis of existing life shows it could never have happened. Computer simulations, fairy tale speculations, and scientists doing intelligently designed experiments are proof that it did not happen or is happening in the wild by itself. So, it is impossible for living things to have come into being from non-living chemicals without the Almighty power of God Almighty. So, God must have created all things. It is the only possibility. So, God is the first cause of the existence of living things.

Cells only come from existing cells. This is a fundamental law of Biology. There are no exceptions. Cells never come from other than cells. This is a fundamental law of Biology.

So, it is anti-science to assume that cells ever came into being from other than cells. It never happened in the past. It is not happening now. And a simple analysis of a cell shows it could never happen. Computer simulations, fairy tale speculations, and scientists doing intelligently designed experiments are proof that it did not happen or is happening in the wild by itself. It is impossible without the Almighty power of God Almighty. So, God must have created all things is the only possibility. So, God is the first cause of the existence of cells.

Like kind produces like kind and is never produced from some other kind. This is a law of Biology.

All intelligently designed things are made by an intelligent being or beings. There are no exceptions to this law of reality and rational thought. And information only comes from an intelligent being or beings. There are no exceptions to this law of reality and rational thought. And all functional irreducibly complex things are made by an intelligent being or beings. There are no exceptions to this law of reality and rational thought. But living things, every living creature, every cell, and especially people are all intelligently designed, contain vast amounts of information, are composed of functional irreducibly complex things. So, there must be a being who created all living things. And that must be God Almighty the Creator of all things. It is the only possibility.

24. Linear projection outside of a measured range is a pseudoscience, which those that believe in evolution, billions of years, and common descent use. (to TOC) (back)

Evolutionists, and those that believe in billions of years and common descent, have a fundamental misunderstanding of science when it comes to evolution. Projecting something outside of a measured range is a pseudoscience. This is fundamental in all logic, science and mathematics. It is illogical. And they even have the worst form of this and that is linear projection outside of measured ranges. Here is a simple example. The force used to stretch a spring is modeled by a linear equation F = kx, where F is the force used to strength the spring, x is the amount of stretch, and k is the spring constant for that particular spring. The spring itself exerts an equal and opposite force given by F = -kx. For small x, this is a very good model. But as the amount of stretching is increased, this linear model does not hold. And if the spring is stretched beyond a certain limit, it breaks. Anyone who would project a very large force stretching the spring by a proportional amount does not understand a simple principle of science. There are countless examples of this in the REAL world. Any civil engineer can tell you the dangers of such an unscientific assumption, and the disasters that it would cause in the real world. In fact, in the REAL world, almost nothing is linear over all ranges. Also note that for small x, sin (x) is approximately equal to x. But the sin (x) is certainly not linear.

Yet evolutionists, and those that believe in billions of years and common descent, believe that if more mutations are added to a genome, the creature can be changed into another kind. There are no observations of this. There is no record of this. And the genetics show that it is not possible. As will be discussed later with fruit flies, this is known to be false. As more mutations are added, the organism eventually dies. This is the equivalent of the spring stretched beyond its breaking point. Yet all those who believe in evolution, billions of years and common descent imagine that this is not the case. Yet dog breeds can only be so big, people only so tall, giraffes only so tall, elephants only so big, before this becomes a negative. And pigs cannot be turned into sparrows, elephants into doves, whales into flies by DNA mutation ERRORS. And the list goes on and on and on.

Evolutionists use a bait and switch deception when they switch the definition of evolution. First, they will say that microevolution is observed as the frequency of alleles does change in a population over time. But this is just adaptation and variation in already existing genetic information. Then they claim that this evolution is also macroevolution, the change from the first living cell to all the species and kinds that exist or ever existed. This has never been observed at all. Note the change in the definition of evolution. This is the linear projection outside of measured ranges. That is a pseudoscience.

Another example of the use of linear projection outside of measured ranges is called Uniformitarianism. It is the false science that claims that the rates of processes measured today are the same as the rates of processes in the past for all time. Yet this is now known to be a false science. In the REAL world, it is Catastrophism that is true science. Soil builds at a rate of typically between 0.1 mm and 2.5 mm per year. Yet the eruption Mount Saint Helens in 1980 produced layers over 100 feet thick in days even in hours. The only way to understand the Earth's surface is through Catastrophism. Amazingly, evolutionists still use Uniformitarianism.

25. Supposed examples of evolution are not examples of one kind turning into another kind. (to TOC) (back)

Here are examples used by evolutionists to show that a first living cell became all the species and kinds that exist or have ever existed. Bacteria are still bacteria. Viruses are still viruses. Finches are still finches. Flies are still flies. Moths are still moths. Note very carefully that there is no example of one kind of creature becoming another kind of creature. Zero. That proves that there is no such evidence at all, else at least one example of one kind turning into another kind would have been given and not examples which are not examples of one kind turning into another kind. A fundamental claim by evolution is that one kind of creature became another kind of creature. Yet they have not one example. Zero. In fact, their best evidence is that like kind always produces like kind and is never produced by another kind which is what the Bible says.

Like kind always produces like kind and never another kind. And no kind has ever been produced by any other kind. There are no exceptions to this fundamental law of Biology.

Bacteria always produce bacteria.
Prokaryotes always produce prokaryotes.
Eukaryotes always produce eukaryotes.
Archaea always produce archaea.
Zooplankton always produces zooplankton.
Phytoplankton always produces phytoplankton.
Fungi always produce fungi.
Copepods always produce copepods.
Fish always produce fish.
Birds always produce birds.
Finches always produce finches.

Amphibians always produce amphibians.
Insects always produce insects.
Animals always produce animals.
Plants always produce plants.
Bees always produce bees.
Spiders always produce spiders.
Beetles always produce beetles.
Ladybugs always produce ladybugs.
Roaches always produce roaches.
Gnats always produce gnats.
Midges always produce midges.
Flies always produce flies.
Mosquitos always produce mosquitos.
Ants always produce ants.
Termites always produce termites.
Mites always produce mites.
Nematodes always produce nematodes.
Dogs always produce dog.
Cats always produce cats.
People always produce people.
Apes always produce apes.
Monkeys always produce monkeys.
Frogs always produce frogs.
Owls always produce owls.
Sea gulls always produce sea gulls.
Snakes always produce snakes.
Whales always produce whales.
Dolphins always produce dolphins.
Sharks always produce sharks.
Octopuses always produce octopuses.
Minnows always produce minnows.
Shrimp always produce shrimp.
Crabs always produce crabs.
Flounder always produce flounder.
Salmon always produce salmon.
Sardines always produce sardines.
Anchovies always produce anchovies.
Kangaroos always produce kangaroos.
Alligators always produce alligators.
Platypuses always produce platypuses.
Rhinos always produce rhinos.
Elephants always produce elephants.
Hippos always produce hippos.
Turtles always produce turtles.
And the list goes on and on and on.

There are multitudes of kinds that always produce offspring of their same kind and not another kind. Not only that, but they are never produced by any other kind. All of these are laws of Biology. There are never any exceptions to the multitude of these laws. The number of organisms that follow this law of Biology is far greater than 10^30 with 0 exceptions. There is no record of any of the multitude of these laws ever being violated in the past. There are no current exceptions to any of the multitude of these laws in the present. The fundamental premise of evolution, billions of years, and common descent is that a supposed first cell evolved into all the species and kinds that have ever existed or exist today. Yet they have no observations to support their theories. And all observations, including the laws of Biology, refute their theories but do agree with the Bible and prove that God Almighty created all things and recently.

26. Supposed examples of "beneficial" mutations prove that there are none. (to TOC) (back)

Now of course to account for all the species that have ever existed, and all unique genes that have ever existed, and all functions, organs, systems, tissues, etc. that have ever existed, evolutionists must account for well over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) "beneficial" mutations.

Yet evolutionists have a very small list of supposed "beneficial" mutations. One of the examples given is Sickle Cell Anemia, which is a disease that people are searching for a cure for. There is a Reddit group for Sickle Cell Anemia, and they are not all singing the praises of this "beneficial" mutation, as it does present many challenges. You can go read some of their posts and comments. Those with this disease have blood cells which are not shaped correctly. It is mutation which is a loss of functionality and not new functionality. The fact that this is listed as a "beneficial" mutation is proof they do not have any, else why resort to this one. All of their small list of supposed "beneficial" mutations are either a loss of functionally and genetic information or based on the ASSUMPTION that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true. Thus, they cannot be used as evidence for evolution. And remember, they need to come up with over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) "beneficial" mutations. So, they have no "beneficial" mutations even though they need to prove over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) "beneficial" mutations.

27. All falsification tests refute evolution, billions of years, and common descent. And prove God created all things recently. (to TOC) (back)

There is a falsification test concerning evolution, and it falsifies evolution, common descent, and billions of years forever. And it proved that no new functionality could come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. And it also proved that the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS limits the age of all species and their genomes all degrade over time. And this has been known for decades. Yet not once has this finding been announced in all media worldwide, nor has it been added to all textbooks, courseware, and courses on this subject. Why not?

For many years scientists have studied fruit flies for many generations of fruit flies. Fruit flies are chosen because their intergenerational age is only about 10-12 days, they have a large number of offspring, are inexpensive, and their genetics are well known. The flies are given mutagenic chemicals which induce DNA mutation ERRORS at a higher rate. The fruit flies have their changes monitored. Experiments on fruit flies have been going on for decades. Very many flies have had their DNA mutated at an accelerated rate. No new functionality has been observed, and the flies in general die or are greatly disadvantaged. Now it is true that the number of DNA mutation ERRORS induced into the fruit flies is large compared to its natural rate, and the total population of flies is small compared to the population of all fruit flies. But it is still a large enough population sampling size to make calculations based on observed population statistics of the results. And the total DNA ERRORS are still small compared to the total DNA, so that it is not an extreme amount of total change per generation. This falsification test falsified evolution, billions of years, and common decent.

Similar experiments can be carried out on other multicell diploids which reproduce sexually and have many differentiated cell types. When these all fail, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately. Of course, such retraction should have already happened due to the failure of the mutated fruit flies to produce any new functionality, and the disastrous results for many of these fruit flies. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as the failures happen.

There has been an experiment that has generated over 80,000 generations of a particular E. coli strain. It was started by Richard Lenski. Those over 80,000 generations have produced very many individual bacteria. And after all those generations and all those individual E. coli bacteria, the E. coli bacteria have remained E. coli bacteria. This proves like kind always produces like kind and is never produced by another kind. That matches the Bible, but refutes evolution, billions of years, and common descent. This falsification test falsifies evolution, billions of years, and common decent.

The Miller-Urey experiment tried to produce life from non-living chemicals. Yet even this intelligently designed experiment was an abysmal failure. The only thing produced were some amino acids, but not all the 20 types that are found in living things. And they were 50-50 racemic, and not all left-handed as is found in living things. And the amount was very low. And the apparatus was a rigged setup which did not use what evolutionists claim were the conditions of the earth when life supposedly came into being. And there were no proteins made of chains of amino acids. And there were no RNA or DNA strands at all. Please note that life cannot start in the presence of oxygen because of ability of oxygen to oxidize. But without oxygen, there is no ozone layer. And without ozone, there is no protection from radiation that would destroy any attempt at living things on the surface of the earth where it would not be protected from the radiation. Yet this is still used in textbooks and courses as supposedly showing how life might have started from non-living chemicals. And since this is still used, it proves that in the over 70 years since, evolutionists have not even come close. This falsification test falsified evolution, billions of years, and common decent. Amazingly, this abject failure is still

used to convince very many people that abiogenesis actually happened with 100% certainty.

As proved prior and later in this book and by many others many times, all observations prove evolution, billions of years and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently. So observational science refutes evolution, billions of years and common descent. Living things always come from existing living things. Cells always come for existing cells. Like kind always produces the same kind and is never produced by another kind.

Experiments by intelligent scientists using intelligent interventions and controlled environments are being done by intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. Thus, it does not reflect what has happened in the past or in the present in the wild without intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. So, what scientists can accomplish does not show what happened without scientists and thus is no proof at all.

Thought experiments and philosophical speculations are of course worthless. And any simulation is just based on a model. There is no way to accurately carry out any simulation for what happened in the past to make a first living cell. And there is no way to design any simulation to show how all these new unique genes coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS that would be valid. All would be based on many false assumptions.

Scientists could conduct experiments which have minimal intervention. Although still not a reflection of what happened in the wild without scientists using intelligently designed procedures, they could shed some light on these issues.

All of the below falsification tests are suggested examples of experiments with minimum intervention. The first has to do with abiogenesis. Make a mixture of chemicals with a certain amount of each. That mixture should reasonably match what evolutionist claim was the environment at some time in the past, reflecting where such supposedly happened. This is sometimes referred to as “primordial soup”. Now release the mixture in various places and let it alone. Wait until a first cell emerges. Evolutionists can run very many of these at the same time using all kinds of appropriate mixtures of chemicals (different “primordial soup” recipes) and release them in very many places. When these all fail, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide. And each failure should be reported in all media worldwide as they happen, explaining why the “primordial soup” theory was never possible. And those announcements should explain why the theory of evolution and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” does not count as science until the problem of abiogenies is actually solved.

The following experiment addresses the “building blocks of life” deception. Evolutionists try to claim that if “the building blocks of life” are present, such as all the amino acids that comprise proteins found in living things, and maybe all the nucleotide bases (G, C,

A, T and U for RNA instead of T) that make up DNA and RNA, that life will emerge. This is a deception. Living things need long strands of DNA, RNA, and amino acids in very specific sequences. The analogy are the letters, numbers and punctuation that comprise understandable text. The claim that since the letters exist, understandable text will emerge without intelligence is a deception. Consider that if on each of all the planets, moons, asteroids, comets and stars in the universe, that there were 1,000 trillion monkeys typing at 1,000,000 words per minute for the entire supposed 13.8 billion years that the universe supposedly existed. The odds against that they would type out a 400,000 character sequence that matched any 400,000 character sequence that exists in all the writings of any kind that mankind has ever produced (about half the size of the New Testament) are far greater than 10^720,000 to 1. It would take over 250 pages to type that number out in long form.

So, to test “the building blocks of life” theory, take all 20 amino acids found in living things and all 5 nucleotide bases. They all must be racemic. Choose any proportion of them. Make the concentrations of each of any amount, even as high as possible. This will be called “the building blocks of life” “primordial soup”. This is an enormous help for the evolutionist cause. Now release the mixture in various places and let it alone. Wait until a first living cell emerges. Evolutionists can run very many of these at the same time using all kinds of appropriate mixtures (different “the building blocks of life” “primordial soup” recipes) and release them in very many places. When these all fail, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide. And each failure should be reported in all media worldwide as they happen, explaining why the “the building blocks of life” “primordial soup” theory was never possible. And those announcements should explain why the theory of evolution and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” does not count as science until the problem of abiogenies is actually solved.

Here is another experiment that addresses the “building blocks of life” deception. Start with the smallest free-living cell. Dissect and separate it into 100 pieces of approximately equal size but randomly cut. This will be another kind of “the building blocks of life” “primordial soup”. Note that this mixture has many long strands of DNA, RNA, and amino acids in very specific sequences. This is an enormous help for the evolutionist cause. Now release the mixture in various places and let it alone. Wait until a first cell emerges. Evolutionists can run very many of these at the same time using different species of free-living cells (different “the building blocks of life” “primordial soup” recipes) and release them in very many places. Or take a living cell and wait until it has completely stopped functioning so that it is dead. This is an extremely enormous help for the evolutionist cause. Now release the mixture in various places and let it alone. Wait until a living cell emerges. Evolutionists can run very many of these at the same time using different species of free-living cells (different “the building blocks of life” from a dead cell “primordial soup” recipes) and release them in very many places. When these all fail, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide. And each failure should be reported in all media worldwide as they happen, explaining why the “the building blocks of life” “primordial soup” theory was never possible. And

those announcements should explain why the theory of evolution and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” does not count as science until the problem of abiogenies is actually solved.

What will never happen with any “primordial soup” mixture or any of the 2 kinds of “the building blocks of life” “primordial soup” mixtures are that a cell which has DNA, RNA, proteins, and at least 400 protein coding genes will emerge that will survive and be able to reproduce. This proves that the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” is false. And this false assumption should be retracted worldwide immediately. And its use in attempting to determine the origin and long ages of things should also be retracted worldwide immediately. If these experiments are not carried out, that would be an admission that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption should be retracted worldwide immediately. And the results should be announced worldwide in all media and all textbooks, courseware, and courses that are related to this should be updated immediately. Also, the Miller experiment should be declared a failure as well as all other attempts by evolutionists. Evolutionists have been promising a solution to abiogenesis for over 100 years. If they claim that they are getting near to the answer or have given up, then the above worldwide retraction should be enforced until they actually produce a cell which has DNA, RNA, proteins, and at least 400 protein coding gene that will survive and be able to reproduce. Anything less than that does not solve the problem of abiogenesis.

The following refutation tests refute the supposed RNA world which supposedly lead to the first living cell that had DNA, RNA, and proteins. The claim is that self-replicating RNA led to a RNA creature, that over time became the first living cell. Take strands of already assembled RNA from any source cell. This is a great benefit for the evolutionist cause since the strands have already been assembled. This will be called “RNA primordial soup”. Now release the mixture in various places in the wild and let it alone. Wait until a first living cell with DNA, RNA and proteins emerges or if it becomes massive amounts of self-replicating RNA. Now take as many different strands of different RNA sequences as desired and as many as each of those as desired. Or just a mixture of any concentration of the 4 nucleotides not connected into strands. Evolutionists can run very many of these at the same time using all kinds of appropriate mixtures (different “RNA primordial soup” recipes) and release them in very many places. When these all fail to produce a first living cell or fail to become massive amounts of self-replicating RNA, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide. And each failure should be reported in all media worldwide as they happen, explaining why the RNA world theory was never possible. And those announcements should explain why the theory of evolution and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” does not count as science until the problem of abiogenies is actually solved. Please note that even if a self-replicating RNA world were possible, it would never evolve into a cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins that could survive and replicate. This will be proved later.

Of course, this type of testing happens all the time, every second throughout the world. When any organism dies, enormous amounts of RNA strands are released into the wild. No self-replicating RNA world has ever emerged. Therefore, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide.

The following refutation tests refute a supposed protein world which supposedly lead to the first living cell that had DNA, RNA, and proteins. Take strands of already assembled proteins from any source cell. This is a great benefit for the evolutionist cause since the strands have already been assembled. This will be called “high protein primordial soup”. Now release the mixture in various places in the wild and let it alone. Wait until a first living cell with DNA, RNA and proteins emerges or if it becomes massive amounts of self-replicating proteins. Evolutionists can run very many of these at the same time using all kinds of mixtures of any types of proteins of any concentrations of each (different “high protein primordial soup” recipes) and release them in very many places. When these all fail to produce a first living cell or fail to become massive amounts of self-replicating proteins, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide. And each failure should be reported in all media worldwide as they happen, explaining why a protein world theory was never possible. And those announcements should explain why the theory of evolution and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” does not count as science until the problem of abiogenies is actually solved. Please note that even if a protein world were possible, it would never evolve into a cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins that could survive and replicate.

Of course, this type of testing happens all the time, every second throughout the world. When any organism dies, enormous amounts of proteins are released into the wild. No self-replicating protein world has ever emerged. Therefore, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be immediately retracted worldwide.

The following experiments have to do with producing new unique genes. Again, they should be carried out with minimal intervention. All will fail and refute evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

The following experiments have to do with spontaneously created genes. Remember that spontaneously created protein coding genes must be the ultimate source of genes, since a copy of a gene that is mutated or an existing gene that is mutated requires a previously existing gene.

Construct a gene of about 10,000 base pairs which has completely random DNA base pairs. Such should have misplaced stop codons scattered throughout. Then insert that into a haploid that reproduces asexually and let it out in the wild and see how it does.

Put a start codon and a valid stop codon at the end, but no valid promoter. If the organism is kept in a controlled environment, then technically this is not a valid experiment. Does this gene ever become a new functional protein coding gene in any descendant of this line? This experiment can be retried many times with different random DNA base pairs.

Another variation is to have a promoter of which most of it is just random DNA base pairs, besides a start codon and a valid stop codon at the end. Then make an already existing promoter as part of this spontaneously created gene. See how these organisms with these spontaneously created genes do in the wild. Do any of these genes ever become a new functional protein coding gene in any descendant of these lines? These experiments can be retried many times with different random DNA base pairs.

Now do all of the above 3 experiments for a diploid which reproduces sexually. First insert it into just one of the chromosomes of a homologous pair. Then do all these 3 experiments and insert another gene at the same location and of the same size but with a different random DNA base pairs sequence. Do any of these genes ever become a new functional protein coding gene in any descendant of these lines?

For each of these cases, if a species with a new functional protein coding gene does not emerge, then evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption have been falsified forever and should be retracted worldwide immediately. Remember that spontaneously created protein coding genes must be the first genes as proved prior. However, even if in all cases, a new functional protein coding gene does emerge, it still was done by intelligent scientists using intelligent procedures and proves nothing.

If these experiments are not carried out, that would be an admission that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption should be retracted worldwide immediately.

The following experiments have to do with misplaced stop codons. Modify a haploid by taking a protein coding gene and introduce misplaced stop codons randomly scattered throughout this gene. These should be about 3/64 of the codons of the modified gene. Have the gene be at least 10,000 base pairs in size. This is an enormous help to the evolution cause as most of the DNA sequence matches a known functional protein coding gene. Now see how that organism does. Now do the same for a diploid that reproduces sexually but only do this to one of the chromosomes of a homologous pair. Then do that to a diploid that reproduces sexually but to both chromosomes of a homologous pair. Variations of this can be different protein coding genes or some combinations of protein coding genes up to any number of them. Other variations are smaller genes of about 3,000 base pairs in size, or even 30,000 base pairs in size. Further variations are for protein coding genes with introns. How does the organism do? And do any of these genes ever become a new functional protein coding gene in any descendant of these lines?

The following experiments have to do with de novo genes which some evolutionists claim solves the orphan gene dilemma. Modify a haploid by taking a non-coding gene or an intergenic region and construct a protein coding gene with a valid promoter, and a valid start and stop codon. Then see if a functional protein coding gene emerges. Have the gene be at least 10,000 base pairs in size. Now do the same for a diploid that reproduces sexually but only do this to one of the chromosomes of a homologous pair. Then do that to a diploid that reproduces sexually but to both chromosomes of a homologous pair. Variations of this can be different non-coding genes or intergenic regions. Further variations can be more than one up to any number of them. Other variations are non-coding genes or intergenic of about 3,000 base pairs in size, or even 30,000 base pairs in size, or just use a portion of the DNA base pairs of a non-coding gene or intergenic region. Do any of these genes ever become a new functional protein coding gene in any descendant of these lines?

The following experiments have to do with generating new unique genes starting with a copy of a gene. Note that these types of experiments go far beyond the minimum intervention standard. All of the following falsification tests that copy a gene from some source, whether the same species or another species, are similar to GMOs where intelligent scientists insert a new gene into an organism and use selective breeding or some other technique to produce a population with enough size where all will eventually have the new gene. Producing GMOs is being done by intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. Thus, it does not reflect what has happened in the past or even in the present in the wild without intelligent scientists using intelligently designed procedures. So, what scientists can accomplish does not show what happened without them in the past or in the present in the wild and thus is no proof at all. However, they still could be useful to shed light into some mechanism for generating a new gene. But such could never have produced all species that have ever lived from the supposed first living cell since copies always need a preexisting source that is copied. Nor could they produce new functionality which requires at several genes. Nor do copies produce any new genetic information. Nor do they produce any new sizes of genes. Both of these are required to match that what is observed.

Now copy a protein coding gene in a haploid that reproduces asexually, insert it into the DNA of the same organism, and let it out in the wild and see how it does. Then copy a protein coding gene in a diploid that reproduces sexually but do this for just one of the chromosomes of a homologous pair. Then let it out in the wild and see how it does. Then copy a protein coding gene in a diploid that reproduces sexually and do this for both chromosomes of a homologous pair with an allele of that gene. Then let it out in the wild and see how it does. The protein coding gene copied must be randomly selected in all cases. Did any new unique functional protein coding gene emerge in all cases? If not, evolution has been falsified. However, even if in all cases, a new functional protein coding gene does emerge, it still was done by intelligent scientists using intelligent procedures and proves nothing.

Now the above is for only one new unique protein coding gene. However, for new functionality, organs, tissues, systems etc., more than one new unique protein coding gene will be needed.

Here are other falsification tests which will also show that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false. And will also prove God created all things recently.

Take a prokaryote and transfer a protein coding gene that is found in a eukaryote but not in a prokaryote. Try any single gene. Do this multiple times with different genes, always transferring 1 gene at a time. See if it becomes a eukaryote. After all these all fail, then transfer 2 genes at once. Try any pair of genes. Do this multiple times with a different combination of genes. When these all fail, move on to 3, 4, 5, 6, up to 20 or even 100 genes. Pick any combination. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as these failures happen. This type of experiment can be carried out to test any major evolutionary step in the supposed evolution descent tree. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as these failures happen.

The following tests will refute the claim that mitochondria and chloroplasts came into existence when a eukaryote engulfed a bacterium. Take any eukaryote of any species and make it engulf any bacterium of any species. See if any organelle similar in characteristics to any mitochondria or chloroplasts comes into existence as described above for such an event. Pick any combination of species of eukaryote and bacterium. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as these failures happen. This type of experiment can be carried out to test any major evolutionary step in the supposed evolution descent tree. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as these failures happen.

The following tests show that mitochondria could not be the result of an engulfed bacterium. Change either or both of the following in any mitochondria of any eukaryote species. Change the codon transcription table for any mitochondria to match either the mitochondria the codon transcription table of vertebrates or invertebrates. Then change the DNA for any mitochondria to match the DNA codons that would match such changes. Then do both. Pick any combination of species of eukaryote and do all 3 variants. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make

sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as these failures happen. This type of experiment can be carried out to test any major evolutionary step in the supposed evolution descent tree. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as these failures happen.

Then take this same procedure and try to generate complex functionality in many species that do not have that complex functionality. For example, use some of the genes from a bird, a see if a horse or pig can be made to fly like a bird. Try any single gene. Do this multiple times with different genes, always transferring 1 gene at a time. See if it becomes a flying horse or flying pig. After all these all fail, then transfer 2 genes at once. Try any pair of genes. Do this multiple times with a different combination of genes. When these all fail, move on to 3, 4, 5, 6, up to 20 or even 100 genes. Pick any combination. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as the failures happen. This type of experiment can be carried out to test any significant new functionality that evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS supposedly produced. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as these failures happen.

Now the above procedure can be repeated to try to generate complex functionality in many species that do not have that complex functionality. And there are very many of these that can be tried. Just follow the above procedure. When these all fail, immediately retract worldwide evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Make sure that these failures are reported in all media worldwide as the failures happen.

The following experiments are to examine how easy or difficult it is to remove genes from an organism. Take a haploid and remove a random protein coding gene. Let it out in the wild and see how it does. Repeat this for other random protein coding genes. Let them out in the wild and see how they do. Now do the same for random non-coding genes. Let them out in the wild and see how they do. Now take a haploid and do random combinations of multiple genes, say 2 up to 20 or more. Let them out in the wild and see how they do. Now repeat these experiments for a diploid but only do these for just one chromosome of a chromosome pair. Let them out in the wild and see how they do. Now repeat these experiments for a diploid but only do these for both chromosomes of a chromosome pair. Let them out in the wild and see how they do. How did they do in all these experiments? Is it easy to remove gene?

The following experiments have to do with the evolutionist theory for the origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts called endosymbiosis. Take bacteria and have it engulfed by another bacteria. The engulfed bacteria and the bacteria that engulf it should match what evolutionist claim for each of the origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts. Did any functional new organelle with a DNA code that came from the engulfed bacteria emerge? Did any switch the transcription codon table? The following might be beyond current technology. Take a eukaryote and modify its mitochondrial transcription codon table. Then do the same to chloroplasts. Did it survive?

The following experiments are to examine the viability of DNA mutation ERRORS paths. These are more complicated. Now take a protein coding gene from one species and transfer it to a supposedly closely related species which supposedly have a last common ancestor from 10 million years ago or more. The gene that is being transferred from the source species must be the shared gene in the target species. That is, the supposed LCA must supposedly have had that gene, which over many generations has a DNA mutation ERRORS path from the LCA to one of the species and another DNA mutation ERRORS path from the LCA to the other species. Work with a gene with at least 10,000 nucleotides and at least a 200 base pairs differences or more for larger size genes. This does not include DNA base pair differences which are synonymous. This can be done for haploids. But more revealing cases are for diploids that reproduce sexually and are multicellular with many differentiated cell types. Make sure that both chromosome pairs each get the gene for these. See how this organism does in the wild. Now repeat this but modify the transferred gene substituting base pairs to match what the LCA might have had. See how this organism does in the wild. Now repeat this but modify the transferred gene substituting about half of the base pair differences to match what the LCA might have had. See how this organism does in the wild. Now try different intermediaries of DNA mutation differences trying to construct a DNA mutation ERRORS path. See how these organisms do in the wild. Now extend this same procedure to 2 genes. Do this multiple times with a different combination of genes. When these all fail, move on to 3, 4, 5, 6, up to 20 genes. Pick any combination. See how these organisms do in the wild.

Now construct very many experiments in haploid, and in diploids that reproduce sexually, to determine if any of the following could produce new functional protein coding genes. Splice genes, fuse genes, add extra base pairs to the end of a gene, truncate a gene, remove one of more sections of a gene, copy pieces of genes into other genes, fuse chromosomes, use frame shifts, base pair insertions, base pair deletions, use exon duplication, exon shuffling, etc. Do any of these ever become a new functional protein coding gene in any descendant of these lines? If not retract evolution worldwide immediately.

Here are some examples of experiments with minimum intervention concerning enzymes. Take any organism of any species and remove the genes that produce any essential enzyme. First do this to a haploid. Then to a diploid that reproduces sexually but to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then do this to both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Now do as many variations as

possible choosing different species and different enzymes for each species. How did the organisms do? Did they survive? Could they reproduce? If these creatures died or could not reproduce, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no enzyme could have come into existence through such a mechanism. Either way, this proves that enzymes are irreducibly complex.

Now the next set of tests are to see if an enzyme could come into existence by any means. Start with the above tests but this time insert DNA code from various sources whether from the same species or any other species. Construct a gene from random DNA code and insert into the same organism to see if this would be a replacement for the removed enzyme. Do this to a haploid, and to diploids that reproduce sexually first to one chromosome and then both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Run as many variants as possible of species, what enzyme is removed, and different random DNA code. Now do all of the following with all the same types of variants above with as possible variants as possible. Copy a protein coding gene, copy a non-coding gene, copy an intergenic region. Also copy any exon or intron. Also get new DNA code from frame shifts, insertions, deletions, and other supposed source of new genes according to evolutionists. Did they survive? Could they reproduce? If these creatures died or could not reproduce, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no enzymes could have come into existence through such mechanisms.

Now the next set of tests are to determine if an enzyme producing gene could have mutated into a functional gene that produced a functional enzyme. Take an enzyme producing gene with at least 500 base pairs. Introduce mutations with about 10% of the number of those base pairs. Try this for a haploid, and to diploids that reproduce sexually first to one chromosome and then both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Try such any gene in any species. Also try this for larger genes sizes up to 10,000 base pairs. Also introduce only a 5% mutation percentage. Run as many variants of these tests as possible. Did they survive? Could they reproduce? If these creatures died or could not reproduce, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no enzyme could have come into existence through such a stepwise manner through DNA mutation ERRORS.

Now redo all these tests with all of these variants (10% and 5% mutation percents), but now instead of modifying the genes that produce enzymes, do this to the genes that produce the proteins that carry out the associated biochemical reactions. There should be more of these for each enzyme. So, try all associated genes and even variants of different subsets of these genes, up to and including all of the associated genes. Also, mutated the genes that produce the enzymes. Run as many variants of these tests as

possible. Did they survive? Could they reproduce? If these creatures died or could not reproduce, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no intron could have come into existence through such a mechanism. Either way, this proves that enzymes or biochemical reactions that require enzymes could never have come into existence through such a stepwise manner through DNA mutation ERRORS. There are very many other falsification tests that will prove that enzymes refute evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" and prove God created all things recently.

The next set of tests have to do with new unique introns and exons. Construct an intron composed of random DNA base pairs. Make the size about 1000 base pairs. Now insert that into a haploid prokaryote at a random location in its DNA. Then insert it into a random location in a protein coding gene. Now repeat these 2 tests but this time use an actual existing intron from some eukaryote species. Now repeat the above 4 tests using an exon with a size of about 200 base pairs. Evolutionists can repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Now for each of these 8 tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these 8 tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no new intron or new exon could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

Now repeat the above 8 experiments, but this time for a eukaryote that is a diploid that reproduces sexually. First do all 8 tests but only insert the intron or exon into just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then repeat these tests by inserting the intron or exon into both chromosomes of the homologous chromosome pair. Evolutionists can repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Now for each of these 16 tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these 16 tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no intron could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

Now perform even more variants of these 24 tests. Take several introns or exons or both. They can be in any combination of up to 10 introns or 10 exons, or 20 total introns and exons. They can be done all at once, or if such an organism were to survive and reproduce for a number of generations, they can be done in various orders. Evolutionists can repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Now for all of these tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no intron could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

Further various tests can be run to see if there is any mechanism to produce new introns or new exons. Obviously, these tests would only make sense for eukaryotes. Things that can be tried are frame shifts, exon shuffling, exon duplication, intron shuffling, intron duplication, copying a random non-coding gene into a random location in a protein coding gene, and copying a random intergenic region into a random location in a protein coding gene. Do all these for diploids that reproduce sexually but to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then do all these for both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Now for both 1 chromosome and both chromosomes of a homologous pair, do these testes with multiple combinations of introns or exons or both at once, and in any random order to a descent line of an organism if such can survive these tests. Splice genes or exons or introns, fuse genes or exons or introns, add extra base pairs to the end of an intron, or the end of an exon, truncate a gene or truncate an exon or an intron, remove one of more sections of a gene or an exon or an intron, copy pieces of exons or introns into other exons or introns, fuse chromosomes, do base pair insertions into exons or introns, and do base pair deletions from exons or introns. Do all these for diploids that reproduce sexually but to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Run every conceivable combination of these. Now for all of these tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no intron could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

Now the following tests have to do with the deletion of introns or exons or both. Randomly delete an exon or an intron from a eukaryote diploid that reproduces sexually. First do these to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then do all these tests for both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Keep running these tests with different random selections of what is to be deleted. Now, try all manner of variants of these tests deleting up to 10 introns, or 10 exons, or 20 of them in total, with random sets. Now for all of these tests, see how such an organism does. Did any new functionality emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no intron could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

The following tests have to do with how easy it is to generate new isoforms. All of these tests should be done to eukaryote diploids that reproduces sexually. Add introns or exons from any source. First do all these tests to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then do all these tests for both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Choose organisms with at least 2 introns, 2 exons, and 2 isoforms. Later chose organisms with even more of all these. Then add multiples of

these at once or in various orders to a descent line if any descent line can survive. Add exons or introns or both and see what happens to the organisms, and the current set of isoforms that it has. Evolutionists can repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Did any new functionality or isoforms emerge? If the creature died or no new functionality or isoform emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no isoform could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

The following tests are to determine if spliceosomes could have come into existence by any means, whether all at once or in a stepwise manner. These tests must be done to prokaryotes. Take any number of genes from a gene set that produces a spliceosome in any eukaryote and insert all of these at once in the target prokaryote species. Randomly select any combination up to and including the entire gene set. Also randomly select any source spliceosome of any source species and any target species. Now repeat all variations of these tests but this time do these in a stepwise manner by randomly selecting the order which these will be inserted in a descent line of an organism, if such a descent line can survive. And make sure all possible variants are tested. See what happens to the organisms. Evolutionists can repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Did any new functional spliceosome emerge? If the creature died or no new functional spliceosome emerged, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no isoform could have come into existence through such a mechanism.

Now the following tests are to determine if spliceosomes are irreducibly complex. Or to determine if they could have come into existence in a stepwise manner. First do all these tests to just one chromosome of a homologous chromosome pair. Then do all these tests for both chromosomes of a homologous chromosome pair. Take any species with a spliceosome and begin removing genes from its gene set. This can be done by randomly picking which gene to remove. Then begin removing sets of genes up to at least ½ of the gene set. This can be done all at once or in any order in a descent line of an organism, if such a descent line can survive. See what happens to the organisms. Evolutionists can repeat these as many times as possible and randomize all parts of these tests. Did it even survive and replicate? If the creature died or could not replicate, these tests would be a refutation of evolution. If these tests are not carried, then evolutionists have admitted that no spliceosome have come into existence in any stepwise process and that they are all irreducibly complex.

These last sets of tests for spliceosomes can be applied to any other functionary. Do these for haploids. Then for diploids that reproduce sexually first to just one chromosome of a homologous pair, then to both chromosomes of a homologous pair.

The next set of tests concern supposed “junk” DNA. Remove any gene, whether protein coding or non-coding, or intergenic region from an organism. Do these for haploids. Then for diploids that reproduce sexually first to just one chromosome of a homologous pair, then to both chromosomes of a homologous pair. These these with multiples of any gene and/or intergenic regions. How did these organisms do? Did they survive? Did they reproduce? If they died or did not reproduce, they were not “junk”. And even if they did, that just meant that may have been redundant or they may have had functionality which is not essential.

Please note, that an irreducibly complex thing does not mean all of its parts are essential. Removing just 1 part or several parts and claiming that proves that it was not irreducibly complex is just a straw man argument. There are many men who are bald and survive and can reproduce. There are people who have lost fingers, toes, and limbs and survive and can reproduce. In an irreducibly complex thing, there are a core set of parts that are essential to survival or reproduction for living things. Or to operate for non-living things. And in many cases especially living things it is most if not all or almost all of the parts. And any claim that 1 kind evolved into another kind shows that both were not irreducibly complex is based on ASSUMING that common descent and evolution are true and using that ASSUMPTION as evidence that common descent and evolution are true. That is PURE CIRCULAR “reasoning” based on false assumptions. In fact, many of these falsification tests prove that all living things are irreducibly complex.

Each of these last falsification experiments are not that minimally invasive, since preexisting genes are being intelligently selected and intelligently transferred. Yet all will fail.

Now, evolutionists may object to all these tests and that they do not prove evolution is false. But there are no observations of abiogenesis by any means without God occurring. And there is no record of any of it ever happening in the past. And all analyses show that it is impossible. So, they only thing left is to try and show that it is possible through a rigorous set of tests which have to be evaluated by groups of young earth creationists. That must be part of any valid peer review system. There is nothing else left for evolution to support any of its claims. And these failures will eliminate the last claim that evolution is science. And many of these tests will give results rather quickly and many of these tests can be carried out in parallel. And those that can be carried out quickly will quickly refute many of the claims that evolutionists claim that somehow produce new genetic information even though these are never observed and all analyzes prove they are impossible. Thus, those tests that can be carried out quickly will quickly prove that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and God created all things recently. Real science, logical and rational thought do not protect greatly refuted theories,

especially those which such vast ramifications. That is a false religion with false religious dogma. If these tests fail or evolutionists refuse to carry out all these tests, then evolution is false. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately. And all courses, courseware, book, papers must be modified accordingly. And a worldwide announcement should be made in all media worldwide immediately. And that God created all things recently has been proved. And as each of these tests fail, these failures should be reported in all media worldwide immediately with all of their implications.

28. “The god of the gaps” deception is an accusation used by atheists and evolutionists against Biblical young earth creationists. In fact, it is an admission that evolution is impossible. (to TOC) (back)

“The god of the gaps” deception is an accusation used by atheists and evolutionists against young earth creationists. According to atheists and evolutionists just because there is a gap in understanding how certain impossible things happened does not mean that they were impossible. But in reality, it is actually an admission by atheists and evolutionists that evolution is indeed impossible, and that God exists and created all things. Evolutionists have hidden those things that are impossible in a set of gaps. These are things that they have no possible explanation without God. Then evolutionists deceive people into believing that they must have happened. The very phrase “the god of the gaps” is an admission that indeed these things are impossible without God. What a great deception. Yes, these things are impossible without God.

The following is a list of some of the things that are impossibilities without God having created them. There are many more than these.
The universe coming into existence from nothing or having existed forever.
The universe, which is extremely orderly and extremely finely tuned across all space and time, existing.
Life coming into being from non-living chemicals.
Cells coming into being from non-living chemicals.
Eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes.
A creature using asexual reproduction only evolving into a creature using sexual reproduction and this supposedly happened 3x.
Mitochondria supposedly from an engulfed bacterium.
Chloroplasts supposedly from an engulfed bacterium.
Over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed from DNA mutation ERRORS.
Over 10 trillion (possibly over 100 trillion) unique introns and over 10 trillion (possibly over 100 trillion) unique exons that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed from DNA mutation ERRORS.
Over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) enzymes and the associated over 1 trillion (possibly over 10 trillion) genes that produce those enzymes.

The existence of different kinds of creatures when like kind always produces like kind, and no kind of creature has ever been produced by another kind.
The existence of DNA, RNA and proteins.
The existence of intelligently designed living things.
And the list goes on and on and on.

Evolution is the theory of nothing because it cannot explain the origin of anything.

All evidence, all facts, all physics, all chemistry, all biology, all mathematics, all statistics, all probability, all knowledge, all creation, all logic, and all reality prove evolution and billions of years are false, and that that God created everything in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution, and that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah. And all of these proves that the Bible is the true word of God Almighty.

There is no evidence for evolution, billions of years and common descent that is scientific, logical, and rational. No one has ever found any, and no one ever will.

Since it has been proved that the universe could not exist without God, then evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and God created all things recently. Thus, the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” can never be used to determine the origin of things and the long dating of things beyond about 6,000 years ago. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately with an announcement in all media worldwide. And all courses, courseware, papers, and books pertaining to these subjects should be modified accordingly worldwide as soon as possible.

29. The laws of physics prove God created all things. (to TOC) (back)

The universe could not have come into being from nothing. That violates the 1st law of thermodynamics which is the law of the conservation of energy. That also violates all other conservation laws of physics. It also violates the law of cause and effect, reality, and rational thought. But the universe could not have always existed. That violates the 2nd law of thermodynamics. The universe could not have always existed since the 2nd law of thermodynamics for an isolated, closed system means that entropy, that is disorder, would have always been increasing. And thus, order is always decreasing. If the universe always existed, all order would have vanished an unfathomable number of eons ago. Yet there is still order in the universe. So, the universe could not have always existed.

Furthermore, section 30 of this book proved that all physical things even time itself must have had a beginning. And section 31 of this book proved that any universe such as ours which is extremely orderly and extremely finely tuned across all space and time could never have come into existence without God.

Thus, all matter, even time itself must have had a beginning. This is true no matter how many variants evolutionists conjure up, whether multiverses, anti-universes, parallel universes, an infinite number of universes, extra dimensions, dark energy, dark matter, multiple Big Bangs, infinitely inflated universes, etc. or any other thing. This also proves the existence of God the Creator who created all things including time. This matches Genesis 1:1.

In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth - Genesis 1:1

The universe obeys a set of physical laws. But these laws prove the existence of the Creator would made a universe to do so. The universe is extremely orderly in many ways, throughout time and space. But that again proves the existence of the Creator would made the universe that way. The universe is extremely finely tuned. This extreme fine tuning is what allows matter, living things, stars, galaxies, planets to exist. The extremely orderly and extremely finely tuned universe is extremely orderly and extremely finely tuned across all space and time. This proves the existence of the Creator that made it that way. This is proved in section 31 of this book.

Since it has been proved that the universe could not exist without God, then evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and God created all things recently. Thus, the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” can never be used to determine the origin of things and the long dating of things beyond about 6,000 years ago. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately with an announcement in all media worldwide. And all courses, courseware, papers, and books pertaining to these subjects should be modified accordingly worldwide as soon as possible.

The following is a list of answers to reasonable objections.

Objection: If the total energy of the universe is 0, then there was no violation of the 1st law of thermodynamics if the universe came into existence from nothing.

Answer: First, note that this is an if statement without proof. And it is impossible to prove it. Without such a proof, it is just an unproven assumption and that should be clearly stated. Here is why it is impossible to calculate the total energy of the universe. The actual universe may be larger than the observable universe. The scientific consensus is that the actual universe is larger than the observable universe and may even be infinite. The current estimates are it is at least 250x the size of the observable universe. Even though the unobservable part of the universe should have the same laws of nature as the observable part due to the principle of the uniformity of nature (sometimes called the cosmological principle), there is no guarantee that it is. If any part of the unobservable violates this principle, then it is impossible to make the claim that the total energy of the universe is 0. And even if it is the same as the observable universe, there is no way to

calculate all the individual energy contributions of each thing in the unobservable part of the universe. And no one knows all the energy contributions of each thing even in the observable universe. That is, no one has ever summed up all energy contributions of each thing even in the observable universe. So, it is impossible to make the claim that the total energy of the universe is 0. Furthermore, there may be other particles, forces and energy contributions of other kinds beyond those that are known. So, it is impossible to make the claim that the total energy of the universe is 0. Now, many assume that everything has perfect symmetry, so the total amount of positive energy equals the total amount of negative energy. Therefore, the total energy of the universe is 0. But that is just an assumption. Note that there is a major asymmetry in that there is more matter than antimatter. So, this is one refutation of assuming perfect symmetry. But the total positive energy of the observable universe is about 10^71 Joules. And there are far greater 10^89 subatomic particles in the observable universe. To assume that the total energy comes to exactly 0 is unrealistic especially given there exists asymmetries in the universe. Finally, even if the energy of the universe is 0, that does not solve the problem at all. If the universe came from absolutely nothing, then the energy of absolutely nothing is not 0 or any defined quantity. The energy of absolutely nothing is actually undefined. And undefined does not equal 0 or any defined quantity. And this same argument applies to all other conservation laws.

Objection: The Universe has always existed.

Answer: Section 30 of this book proves that that is not possible and that all physical things had a beginning even time itself.

Objection: Multiple universes, an infinite number of universes, an infinitely inflated universe, etc. are still possible.

Answer: It has been proved by many people, many times, in a number of irrefutable and infallible ways, that it is impossible for any universe to exist without God, let alone one which obeys physical laws, and is extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly throughout all space and time just as ours does. This is true whether it always existed, which is impossible, or came into being from nothing, which is also impossible. And section 30 of this book proved that that is not possible and that all physical things had a beginning even time itself. Furthermore, section 31 of this book proved that it is impossible for our universe to exist without God. Now some evolutionists and atheists have proposed multiple universes, aka multiverses, to try to explain away some of the impossibilities with the universe. But this is of course a multiple number of times impossible. That is, each one is impossible with a multiple number of them requiring a multiple number of impossibilities. Some have proposed an infinite number of universes. But of course, that has an infinite number of impossibilities. Obviously, atheists and evolutionists are in utter desperation.

So, without God,
one universe-> impossible
And N universes (multiverse) -> N impossibilities

And an infinite number of universes -> an infinite number of impossibilities

Since it has been proved that the universe could not exist without God, then evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and God created all things recently. Thus, the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” can never be used to determine the origin of things and the long dating of things beyond about 6,000 years ago. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately with an announcement in all media worldwide. And all courses, courseware, papers, and books pertaining to these subjects should be modified accordingly worldwide as soon as possible.

Objection: If God created the universe from nothing that would have violated the 1st law of thermodynamics and all other conservation laws. And such an act would have violated the 2nd law of thermodynamics because of all the order that would have existed in that just created universe.

Answer: God created the universe that obeys the laws that God made. So, God can certainly choose to violate those very laws. And this would have certainly been the case during creation. This is a classic failed straw man argument used by those that try to deny the existence of God. The real God, the God of the Bible, is ALMIGHTY and there is nothing IMPOSSIBLE for GOD ALMIGHTY.

30. All physical things had a beginning even time itself. Thus, God Almighty must have created all things even time. (to TOC) (back)

Graph any physical quantity of anything against time with time as the horizontal axis. That time axis is shown below.

-infinity <—---- now —---> +infinity

Now look at the right time axis with the arrow pointing to positive infinity. It is infinitely far from now. We will never get there. Now look at the left time axis with the arrow pointing to negative infinity. It too is infinitely far. So, we were never there. It is impossible for an infinite amount of time to have passed. So, all physical things even time itself had a beginning. This is true whether evolutionists propose multiple universes, anti-universes, an infinite number of universes, parallel universes, any number of dimensions, dark matter, dark energy, multiple Big Bangs, infinitely inflated multiverses, or any other thing.

Thus, the time axis of all graphs of any physical quantity should look like the graph shown below where t=0 is the beginning of time. Amazingly all graphs of any physical quantity are in error in this regard.

t=0 |———-- now —----> +infinity

The word of God declares this is its first verse.

In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth - Genesis 1:1

By the way, God is not a thing so the above does not apply to God the Almighty Creator who created all things.

So, there was a time when time began. And there was no time before that. And there was no thing before that. Only God who always has existed. So, how could the universe have ever come into being, since there was absolutely nothing? There was no space, just nothing. There wasn't any energy or matter, just absolutely nothing. There was no vacuum energy of space, no virtual particles, no quantum vacuum, no quantum fluctuations, no quantum field, no dark matter, no dark energy, no black holes, no worm holes, just absolutely nothing. There was no time, just nothing. There were no laws of physics and no gravity, just absolutely nothing. And absolutely nothing cannot do anything since it is absolutely nothing. The only possibility is that God Almighty created everything even time itself.

Sections 29 and 31 of this book also proved that any existing universe could not exist without God.

Note how in the next verse, God describes Himself as always existing with the phrase I AM.

And God said unto Moses, I AM THAT I AM: and he said, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, I AM hath sent me unto you. - Exodus 3:14

And Christ declared that He is God with the very same phrase.

Jesus said unto them, Verily, verily, I say unto you, Before Abraham was, I AM. - John 8:58

Since it has been proved that the universe could not exist without God, then evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" are false, and God created all things recently. Thus, the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" can never be used to determine the origin of things and the long dating of things beyond about 6,000 years ago. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" should be retracted worldwide immediately with an announcement in all media worldwide. And all courses, courseware, papers, and books pertaining to these subjects should be modified accordingly worldwide as soon as possible.

The following gives answers to reasonable objections.

Objection: Science has established that time does not exist.

Answer: The existence of time is beyond question. Consider the following. Many of the most important equations in physics have time as a variable, either explicitly or implicitly. That is true of kinematics, dynamics, Einstein's General Theory of Relativity, Einstein's Theory of Special Relativity, Maxwell's equations of electromagnetism, the equations for the weak force, the equations for the strong force, Schrödinger's time-dependent equation, and Heisenberg's equations are some of the most important ones. And there are many other equations in other fields of science. So, real science establishes beyond question that time does indeed exist. To deny this is to deny not only science, thus it is anti-science, but reality itself since science is a study of reality.

Objection: Time does not exist even though science establishes beyond question that time does indeed exist.

Answer: This is an attempt at philosophy. But all philosophy must be evaluated against reality. Any philosophy that fails a reality test is thus irrational, illogical, and unscientific. Consider the following. When asked by someone what time is it, how does someone who believes this philosophy answer? If they do not give a lecture and instead give the time, they do not even believe this philosophy. And when a mortgage payment is due on the 1st of the month, if they pay it, they do not even believe this philosophy. And when asked what day, day of the week, month, year it is, if they do not give a lecture and instead give the asked for information, they do not even believe this philosophy. And the list goes on and on. One of the best ways to test a philosophy is a reality test. Here is a reality test for this philosophy. For anyone who holds this philosophy, for the next month for everyone you meet give them a lecture that time does not exist. This has to be done to family members, friends, neighbors, co-workers, employees, employers, business partners, strangers, classmates, etc. depending on your situation. The more you encounter a person, the more you must do this. You are not allowed to let on that you are running a test. And even after a month of doing that, you are never allowed to reveal that it was a test. Now, everyone that you do this to will think that you are joking, drunk or left your facilities for some reason. Then report your findings after the month. Please note that many claim that logic is a subset of philosophy. But that means that the only part of philosophy that is necessarily logical is logic, and the rest is not necessarily logical. Therefore, it is possible to be deceived by deceived people with their false use of philosophy.

Objection: The above time axis shown above arbitrarily labeled a particular time as now implying that that is t=0. But that implied time could be a very long time ago, even a vast number of years ago.

Answer: It does not matter what particular time is defined as t=0. This proof is still valid for any time t=0 that is not an infinite number of years ago. The simple reason is infinity is larger than any finite number.

Objection: Time was not always continuous in the past. There are periods where it did not exist, for example there was a series of universes that came into existed and then ended.

Answer: It does not matter. Any fraction of something that is infinite is still infinite. So even if time only existed in the past for just 1 part in a googolplex, or any finite number, of the time, the total amount of time is still infinite, and the left arrow on the time axis is infinitely far and thus we were never there.

Objection: Time can run backwards.

Answer: It is true that most of the equations in science with a time variable do not care about the direction of time. But that is just the equations. Reality always shows that the arrow of time is always forward. Unless someone is doing some special effect people do not walk or run backwards. A football that was kicked through the goalposts does not just fly backwards to where the holder held it. A basketball that went swish through the hoop and net does not reverse and go back into the hands of the shooter. People are conceived, born, grow up to be an adult and then grow old and die, unless they die before that cycle is completed. A house of cards falls down when disturbed enough. It never falls up. And the list goes on and on. This philosophy fails the reality test. Thus, it is illogical, irrational, and unscientific.

Objection: God is a thing and so God must also have had a beginning.

Answer: The real God that must be defeated is the God of the Bible. The God of the Bible is not a thing but the Creator of all things. In fact, the Bible says God is a spirit. And the inescapable conclusion from the fact that all physical things must have had a beginning even time itself prove that there must be a cause that created all things even time itself. And this inescapable conclusion matches the Bible. As to what does it mean that God has always existed even before God created time, I have no idea. But it must be the case.

31. The extremely finely tuned, extremely orderly universe prove God created all things. (to TOC) (back)

If the universe were not extremely finely tuned then no galaxies, no stars, no planets, no planet Earth, no atoms, no protons, no neutrons, no electrons, no light, no elements, no molecules, and certainly no living things would exist. Or some combination of these would not exist. And if it were not extremely orderly, then things that did exist would come in and out existence all the time. It would be utter total chaos everywhere. The universe is extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly throughout all space and for all time. If you divide all the universe into tiny cubes whose side is a Planck length and divide all the supposed 13.7 billion years for the age of the universe into segments of a Planck time, the time it takes for light to travel a Planck length, there are about 10^250 space-time “points”. Now the extreme fine tuning of the universe has odds against it coming into being by random chance far greater than a googolplex to 1. And that is just

for the odds against such fine-tuned parameters for just 1 space-time “point”. But that holds for all those space-time “points”. So, that raises the odds against the universe being extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly across all space and time to far greater than a googolplex to the googol squared to 1.

But there is no expectation that any universe would even have the same laws as ours, both in number and kind. Or any laws for that matter. Nor is there any expectation that there would be the same laws or numbers of laws throughout different regions of the universe. This then makes the total possibilities infinite. It is impossible for any universe to ever come into existence. And it would have taken an infinite number of these impossibilities to have occurred, for our particular universe to have come into existence. So, this proves an infinite number of times that our universe is impossible to have come into existence without God.

The following link shows 209 finely tuned parameters.

https://robertcliftonrobinson.com/evidence-for-fine-tuning-of-the-universe/

The following link calculates the odds against a finely tuned universe existing.

https://letterstonature.wordpress.com/2012/05/02/in-defence-of-the-fine-tuning-of-the-universe-for-intelligent-life/

The article above actually underestimates the odds against a finely tuned universe existing such as ours coming into existence without God. The technique it uses is sometimes referred to as lower bound mathematics, which is irrefutable. That technique proves that if it is impossible for the lower bound, then it is impossible for any set of values for the 209 finely tuned parameters it used in the calculations. But the values of each of the 209 finely tuned parameters could actually range from negative infinity to positive infinity. And each could have infinite precision. So that is an infinite number of possibilities. And theoretically there is no reason to limit the number of such parameters to just 209. There could have been an infinite number of tuning parameters. So the actual odds against any universe that would exist and match ours is infinity to the infinite power to 1.

Since it has been proved that the universe could not exist without God, then evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and God created all things recently. Thus, the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” can never be used to determine the origin of things and the long dating of things beyond about 6,000 years ago. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately with an announcement in all media worldwide. And all courses, courseware, papers, and books pertaining to these subjects should be modified accordingly worldwide as soon as possible.

Objection: But if there was an infinite number of universes that came into existence so that each had different parameters, 1 would match ours.

Answer: The assumption that there exists some great machine cranking out an infinite number of universes each of which had different parameters to get 1 that would match ours is not only an unproved imaginary assumption but actually impossible. Section 30 of this book proved that that is not possible and that all physical things had a beginning even time itself. So, there is no possibility of any universe coming into existence without God.

32. The Multiverses Deception. Also, the finite speed of light is a major problem for Atheistic Origin Science in trying to explain the origin of the universe. However, the finite speed of light is no problem for God Almighty and a universe which is only about 6000 years old. (to TOC) (back)

It has been proved by many people, many times, in many irrefutable and infallible ways, that it is impossible for any universe to exist without God, let alone one which obeys physical laws, and is extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly throughout all space and time just as ours does. This is true whether it always existed, which is impossible, or came into being from nothing, which is also impossible. Furthermore, section 30 of this book proved that all physical things had a beginning even time itself. So, the universe must have come into existence from absolutely nothing. But section 29 of this book proved that universe could not have come into existence from nothing. So, it is impossible for any universe to be in existence without God. Now some evolutionists and atheists have proposed multiple universes, aka multiverses, to try to explain away some of the impossibilities with the universe. But this is of course a multiple number of times impossible. That is, each one is impossible with a multiple number of them requiring a multiple number of impossibilities. Some have proposed an infinite number of universes. But of course, that has an infinite number of impossibilities. Obviously, atheists and evolutions are in utter desperation. And all no universe of any kind cannot exist without God as proved by the combination of sections 29 and 30 of this book.

So, without God,
one universe-> impossible
And N universes (multiverse) -> N impossibilities
And an infinite number of universes -> an infinite number of impossibilities

Since it has been proved that the universe could not exist without God, then evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and God created all things recently. Thus, the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” can never be used to determine the origin of things and the long dating of things beyond about 6,000 years ago. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately with an announcement in all media worldwide. And all

courses, courseware, papers, and books pertaining to these subjects should be modified accordingly worldwide as soon as possible.

The original Big Bang Theory from the late 1920s was proven false in many ways. One of those ways was due to the finite speed of light. The problem for the original Big Bang Theory is that the Cosmic Background Microwave Radiation is too smooth. So, Inflation was added to the Big Bang Theory in the late 1970s to try and save the Big Bang Theory. This was an attempt to explain why the universe appears so uniform in all directions, known as the horizon problem. Also, Inflation was added as an attempt to explain why the universe is flat, known as the flatness problem. According to the Big Bang with Inflation, a very short time after the Big Bang, the universe for some unknown reason expanded at an enormous rate way beyond the standard expansion rate after the Big Bang. The universe supposedly went through a great expansion in size very shortly after the Big Bang. This supposedly expanded the size of the universe by a factor of about 10^78 or about 10^26 times for each dimension. During this time, the universe expanded far faster than the speed of light. This is not a problem for the finite speed of light since space itself is expanding. Note that the observable universe is supposedly about 93 billion light years in size but only about 13.8 billion years old. Thus, its size is supposedly about 3.4x what it should be based on its age. So, things must have on average moved apart faster than almost 3.4x the finite speed of light. That is the universe expanded by an average of almost 3.4x the finite speed of light. This is not a problem for the finite speed of light since space itself is expanding. In fact, some claim the universe is much larger than its observable size, from 250x its observable size to infinite in size. And during Inflation, things moved apart vastly faster than that. Again, this is not a problem for the finite speed of light since space itself is expanding. This inflation went on for some undermined time and then for some unknown reason stopped this period of enormous expansion. The unknowns concerning Inflation are many - when did this expansion start, how fast was it, was it a constant expansion rate during that time, if not what was the function vs time, how long did it occur, when did it stop, why did this start, what caused it to start, why did this stop, and what caused it to stop. There also should be one or more inflation particles that would have caused this to happen. Such particles have never been observed even though they have been searched for in the calculated energy ranges. Of course, these are what are known as fudge factors to make the data match the theory. Yet with all those fudge factors, no set of numbers for the fudge factors matches all observations.

So even with the Big Bang with Inflation, there are many things which refute it. And the latest discoveries from newer more advanced telescopes such as the Hubble Space Telescope, the James Web Space Telescope (JWST), and others have presented many more problems for all atheistic theories for the origin of the universe.

Many scientists are actively looking at new theories because they know that Big Bang with Inflation does not explain what is observed and is thus false. And there are very many things in the universe that refute all possible atheistic origin theories. And they are attempting to come up with new theories of stellar and galaxy formation because the current ones have also been falsified. And that is because the further out the observations are, supposedly the closer in time to the Big Bang these objects should

be. Some of the new theories are multiverses, infinite number of universes, infinite inflation, etc. Yet none can explain all the data and thus all are false. So there does not exist any atheistic theory that can explain the existence of the universe, the things that are in it, and the distribution of these things across the universe, which according to the supposed Big Bang are near the beginning of the universe at great distances from earth.

The finite speed of light is not a problem for many phenomena in the universe. Many things are moving faster than the speed of light. But is not a problem at all because space itself is expanding. During the Inflation period of the very early universe, the universe expanded far faster than the finite speed of light, about 10^30 times faster. And the observable universe is supposedly about 93 billion light years in size but only about 13.8 billion years old. That is just for the size of the observable universe. So, its average expansion to its current observable size was about 3.4x the speed of light. And some distant objects have been detected that are receding at 2.4x to over 3x the speed of light. But the actual size of the universe may be more than its observable size. Some estimates are that the actual size of the universe may be at least 250x its observable size. So, its average expansion to that size was at least 850x the speed of light. And it is theoretically possible to build a warp drive engine that could effectively travel faster than the speed of light as in Star Trek by warping spacetime. Although it is technically impossible today. Finally, wormholes are theoretically possible and that if one was traversable, you could travel millions of light years in a fraction of a second. This is because the actual shape of space would allow this. There is however no evidence that such even exist, or that 1 could even be built. So, travelling faster than the finite speed of light is possible. And all instances of this depend on space time itself moving, or expanding or that the shape of space time allows it. Before continuing, please note that the finite speed of light proves all theories of the origin of the universe without God are false. Thus, there is no secular explanation for the origin of the universe without God. So, God must have created the universe. And the only question that remains is how and when did God create the universe and everything in it.

From the Bible, there are 4 verses where God said He stretched forth the heavens (the universe). These are Isa 42:5, Isa 51:13, Jer 10:13, and Isa 45:12. The last verse also includes the information that the hosts (stars) were created after that. So, combining that with Genesis 1, God created the universe on day 1. God then stretched it out, that is expanded it. And all the stars and galaxies were created on day 4 and placed into their positions throughout the universe. Adam and Eve were created on day 6. So, they saw all the visible stars as soon as they looked at the night sky. Thus, the light from Rigel, which is about 860 light years away, only took at most 2 days. The same is true for the light from the Andromeda galaxy which is about 2.5 million light years away.

Light can travel much faster across stretched out space. This does not violate the finite speed of light, since space itself is stretched out. This is similar to the principle in the expansion due to the Big Bang plus Inflation theory, where space expanded faster than the speed of light, and is not a problem for the finite speed of light. Therefore, light from a distance of 10 billion light years or more may only take days. Locally, the effect of this

stretching out of space would not be detectable. Its only effect is the transit time of light over large distances. And the speed of light would still be finite and equal to c. Thus, there is no disruption to other constants which are finely linked together. This matches all observations. As to the red shift, it may be that it is from an expanding universe. God may have let that be the case after He had stretched it out. So, the recession velocity would match that which is observed. Or the red shift could be from the fact that light has traveled over stretched out space. And the amount of red shift matches the distance it traveled from since the amount of stretching may be proportional to the distance of the earth.

Another possibility, which also works and matches all observations, is that God created all the light in transit when He created the stars in their various locations across the stretched-out universe. On day 4, the light from all stars and galaxies reached earth and showed the current state of all those things. The light that reaches the earth today would be the current state of these objects. And all light between those 2 times showed the current state of those objects throughout all of the about 6000 years since creation. For example, when God created these objects, light from about 3000 years ago was about 3000 light years away from the earth when the God created the light from them on day 4 for objects about 3000 light years or more away. And the finite speed of light has no bearing whatsoever. It is still c throughout the universe. Thus, there is no disruption to other constants which are finely linked together. The red shifts would have been set by God so that the distance to these objects could be determined. Any red shift anomalies must have been created by God. This would serve as proof that the Big Bang was false so that modern man has no excuse. The stretching out of the universe was just to fit all these objects in the universe. The creation of the in-transit light was so that mankind can behold the glory of God's creation. And the in-transit light shows actual events that are happening throughout the universe. This explanation does require God to have done more miraculous things. But quite frankly, it is easy for God Almighty. Never listen to that no God assumption.

Now both of these theories match all observations and in no way violate the finite speed of light. And for all intents and purposes neither can be refuted. They do violate physical law at the time these things were created during the 6 days of creation. But that is no problem for God Almighty at all. God created a universe and everything in it to be fully functional and observable. For example, Adam and Eve were adults when created, and the trees had fruit on them. The first explanation of these two given above is the simplest, and that may indicate that that is the correct explanation.

There are other theories that support a universe that is only about 6000 years old and correctly handle the finite speed of light, so that it in no way disputes a universe that is only about 6,000 years old. They also handle the CMB and the red shift data.

Some may say that God would be deceptive with this. Just read Genesis 1. God was quite open and honest that the universe was created recently. It is only the minds of people who are deceived by Satan to believe things look old. Please note if these stars and galaxies are being seen as they were very many years ago, that is deceptive. Some

may no longer exist and yet we see them as existing. Remember atheistic science has no theory how the universe came into being. And the finite speed of light refutes all their explanations. And they have no explanation for the multitude of anomalies that exist throughout the universe. All of its theories have been refuted. And sections 29, 30, and 31 of this book proves that it is impossible for the universe to exist without God. This fact should be announced worldwide immediately and all textbooks, papers, courses, and courseware about this should be modified immediately.

Some may say that God could not have done this as it is impossible. There goes that "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" yet again. That assumption has never been proved true. And in reality, has been proven false by many people, many times, in many irrefutable and infallible ways. This is just a failed straw man argument based on false assumptions, circular reasoning, and deceptive philosophy.

However, anomalies are easily explained by creation by God. But these anomalies refute all atheistic theories of the origin of the universe. So, God created all things even the universe.

Please note, that no one has ever measured the transit time of light across large distances at far distances from earth. And no one has measured the shape of space at far distances. The furthest probe sent out by mankind is only about 1 light day away.

So, the finite speed of light is an impossible to resolve contradiction for Atheistic Origin Science in trying to explain the origin of the universe without God. However, the finite speed of light is no problem for God Almighty and a universe which is only about 6000 years old.

The Bible has the following verse.

The heavens declare the glory of God; and the firmament sheweth his handywork. – Psalm 19:1

So, in our times scientists should be able to see the far away stars and galaxies as part of beholding the glory of the power of God who created all things. And these images are available for all who have access to the Internet, so that very many people can behold the glory of God and see His handywork. That is what God accomplished when He stretched out the universe. So, the light from these stars and galaxies should be able to reach earth from billions of light years away, even though they were all created only about 6000 years ago. Please note that our Solar system's location in our Milky Way galaxy is such that it allows us to get a very good view of the universe. Not only that, but the Milky Way is sitting inside the KBC void which has a diameter of about 2 billion light years. This too enables us on earth to see God's creation better. Also, note that the distribution of galaxies throughout the universe is such that they form large structures called filaments as part of a cosmic web. The Bible describes this as a curtain in Ps 104:2 and Isa 40:22.

… who stretchest out the heavens like a curtain: - Psalm 104:2

… that stretcheth out the heavens as a curtain … Isa 40:22

These large structures exist even at large distances which would supposedly be the early universe according to the Big Bang. But their very existence that early after the Big Bang also refutes the Big Bang even with Inflation. What many people do not know is that there are many phrases in the Bible which contain advanced scientific information which has only been discovered in our times. This too is another proof of the Bible.

So, the finite speed of light is an impossible to resolve contradiction for Atheistic Origin Science in trying to explain the origin of the universe without God. But the finite speed of light is no problem for God Almighty and a universe which is only about 6000 years old.

And other supposed “clocks” that supposedly indicate great age will be shown to prove that everything was created recently. And that includes all radioactive dating. In fact, all clocks refute longs ages and prove that universe and everything in it were created recently.

Since it has been proved that the universe could not exist without God, then evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and God created all things recently. Thus, the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” can never be used to determine the origin of things and the long dating of things beyond about 6,000 years ago. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retracted worldwide immediately with an announcement in all media worldwide. And all courses, courseware, papers, and books pertaining to these subjects should be modified accordingly worldwide as soon as possible.

33. The Cambrian explosion equivalent for cells, DNA, RNA, proteins, functional information, functional irreducibility complex design, genes, etc., refutes evolution and billions of years and proves God created all things recently. (to TOC) (back)

Wherever science looks, whether throughout all nature or supposedly back in time, all that is observed are living organisms which are either single celled organisms or organisms composed of multiple cells. And none of those cells are primitive cells. They have all the functionality of currently existing cells. There is no evidence whatsoever of any evolution. And all the currently existing cell types are there. They all have DNA, RNA, proteins, very large amounts of functional information, very large amounts of functional irreducible complex designs, and genes. This proves that there has been no evolution of cells. Evolution is again falsified through simple observation. And this phenomenon is more pronounced than the Cambrian explosion of the fossil record, which no evolutionist can explain, where all major body types appear at the very beginning of the fossil rock layers. And all are fully formed. And there is nothing like these before. So, there is no evolution that happened before and none are from

evolution. And for cells, there is no record of any primitive cell anywhere at any time. So, there is no evolution that happened before and none are from evolution.

34. DNA, RNA and proteins are required to make DNA. But DNA, RNA and proteins are required to make RNA. And DNA, RNA and proteins are required to make proteins. So, how could any of these ever come into existence since they require themselves and the others to even exist? Also, it will be proved that any first living thing must have been a cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins. All other theories are impossible. (to TOC) (back)

A cell is required to make DNA, RNA and proteins. But you need DNA, RNA and proteins to make a cell, and for that cell to survive and replicate. DNA, RNA and proteins are required to make DNA. But DNA, RNA and proteins are required to make RNA. And DNA, RNA and proteins are required to make proteins. So, how could any of these ever come into existence since they require themselves and the other things to even exist?

So, the first living thing must have been very complex from the very start. Thus, the first living cell must have been created by God as it could have never come into being from non-living chemicals without God.

Some evolutionists have theorized about an RNA world that existed before DNA and cells. First there is no evidence whatsoever that any such world existed. There are RNA viruses today, but they require a host for replication. That host must be a cell which always has DNA, RNA and proteins. So, they are not independently living creatures. They are not self-replicating as they require a host cell for replication. And they always remain viruses. They do not become anything other than viruses. And they never become self-replicating or independently living creatures. So, these cannot be that made up fairy tale of an RNA world that supposedly existed before DNA.

And if an RNA world did exist, where did it go? There is no such thing in the world today. In fact, a self-replicating RNA world should exist and be thriving today with far greater than 10^20 self-replicating RNA organisms in the world today if it were even possible. And why is there not new RNA worlds coming into existence now? If the RNA world theory is true, there is nothing preventing it from coming into existence now. In fact, there should be multiple RNA worlds in existence today from times past, each with its own unique signature. After all, if it is so easy to come into existence, it must have happened several times in the last 4 billion years or so. Remember when you make that first step supposedly easy, then it is indeed easy and so should have happened multiple times already and happening today.

And even if such an RNA world existed, it would never be able to evolve into a cell that has DNA, RNA and proteins. To exist and reproduce, it must have a minimum set of features. And if it only consisted of RNA, then DNA must have evolved afterward. But that too requires very many more super great miracles of miracles in addition to super great miracle of miracles of the first such RNA-only creature coming into existence from

non-living chemicals. It would also be impossible as the creature would have to switch how it survives and reproduces all at once to the new method of using DNA all simultaneously in the very same creature. Such an event would destroy it. This is more preposterous than an abiogenesis event of a first living cell with DNA, RNA and proteins coming into existence spontaneously from non-living chemicals. The same argument refutes any first living thing that consisted of RNA and proteins, RNA, proteins and something else, or RNA and something else.

Some evolutionists may imagine an even smaller first living thing to make that first living thing even possible. It could be protein only or something else entirely. First there is no evidence whatsoever that any such thing existed. And there is no such thing existing now. And if such a world did exist, where did it go? In fact, self-replicating such creatures should exist and be thriving today with far greater than 10^20 organisms in the world today if it were even possible. And why is there not new such things coming into existence now? If this new smaller thing world theory is true, there is nothing preventing it from coming into existence now. In fact, there should be multiple smaller things in existence today each with its own unique signature. After all, if it is so easy to come into existence, it must have happened many times in the last 4 billion years or so. Remember when you make that first step supposedly easier, then it is indeed easier and so should have happened multiple times already.

But even if such a smaller first living thing existed, it would never be able to evolve into a cell that has DNA, RNA and proteins. To exist and reproduce, it must have a minimum set of features. If it is only consisted of proteins, then RNA and DNA must have evolved somehow afterwards. Both of these steps are more preposterous as a cell coming into being from non-living chemicals. And both would require very many more super great miracles of miracles in addition to the proteins only super great miracles of miracles for the first such creature. It would also be impossible as the creature would have to switch how it survives and reproduces all at once to the new method of using RNA and DNA all simultaneously in the very same creature. Such an event would destroy it.

And if it was something other than proteins only, then proteins, RNA, and DNA must have evolved somehow afterwards. But that would require very many more super great miracles of miracles in addition to the non-protein miraculous first such creature. It would also be impossible as the creature would have to switch how it survives and reproduces all at once to the new method of using proteins, RNA and DNA all simultaneously in the very same creature.

When evolutionists try to make the first living thing as small as possible, they still have not made even that smaller first step possible. Not only that, but if the first living thing is too small and primitive then it would never have survived and replicated. Not only that, but now there is an enormous amount of change to evolve to the first living cells with DNA, RNA, and proteins. And this evolution to eventually get to a first living cell which uses DNA, RNA, and proteins either happens in one large impossible jump or a series of still impossible small jumps. Each are impossible because for each jump a creature would have to switch how it survives and reproduces all at once with a large number of

simultaneous super great miracles of miracles in the very same creature. This step wise fairy tale is more preposterous than a living cell with DNA, RNA and proteins coming into existence all at once from non-living chemicals.

The theory that there were better conditions for abiogenesis in the past is not true. Physics, chemistry, biology, mathematics, statistics, probability, logic and reality were exactly the same in the early earth as they are today. These are what make any first living thing impossible to have come into being from non-living chemicals, whether it was a cell with DNA/RNA and proteins, RNA based, RNA and protein based, protein based or some other smaller first living thing. And these are what make any supposed evolution from any RNA based, RNA and protein based, protein based or some other smaller first living thing to a cell with DNA, RNA and proteins impossible. So, supposed better conditions in the past do not help at all. And of course, there is no proof that there were better conditions in the past. In fact, there is no proof that the earth is more than 10,000 years old. In fact, there is proof that the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And all species are less than 10,000 years old. The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. These facts refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. This is proved in the following post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19b6BoWPJA/

The following post proves abiogenesis is impossible.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1HkvzVX9jz/

Abiogenesis has been proven to be impossible without God. It is not happening now. There is no record of it ever happening in the past. And a detailed analysis shows that is impossible. So, if evolutionists are claiming that it absolutely happened before, then they must prove that it did and give the exact details. All claims bring a burden of proof. If evolutionists refuse to accept such a burden, then they are not allowed to make any claims or counter claims. And thus evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science should be retracted worldwide immediately.

Now many evolutionists insist that abiogenesis is not part of evolution. But it is required to maintain the “no God” allowed position of Atheistic Origin Science. Since abiogenesis has been proved to be impossible, then the “no God” assumption is false. And that means that God must be considered in all parts of origins including how and when things came to be.

But evolutionists must have a first living thing to start with evolution. For example, where did the second living thing come from except it must have come from a first living thing? So, to answer that required question, evolutionists must know what the first living thing was. If it was a complete living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins, then they must

establish that that could come into being from non-living chemicals without God. And if there was some very small first living thing, then they must state what that was, name the features it had, and prove that such was possible without God. From there they must show that that somehow evolved through trillions of generations to a complete living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins.

Either evolutionists must show how the first living cell with DNA/RNA/proteins came into existence from non-living chemicals without God or give at least the following information that leads up to the first living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins. Until then evolution is not even a scientific theory and should be retracted worldwide immediately.

What was the first living thing and what features did it have?
It must have been able to survive and replicate. Both of these features require many functions. List all of these features and give exact detailed descriptions of how these are carried out down to the operation of all bio molecules, their production, destruction, coordination, etc.
Was it DNA/RNA/protein based, RNA/protein based, RNA only based, protein only based, or something else?
How large was it?
Where did this happen? When did this happen?
What were all the codes for it based on the type of creature it was?
If it had any genes, how many, and what was their sizes and codes?

What was the second generation of living things, where did that come from, and what features did that have?
It must have been able to survive and replicate. Both of these features require many functions. List all of these features and give exact detailed descriptions of how these are carried out down to the operation of all bio molecules, their production, destruction, coordination, etc.
Was it DNA/RNA/protein based, RNA/protein based, RNA only based, protein only based, or something else?
How large was it?
Where did this happen? When did this happen?
What were all the codes for it based on the type of creature it was?
If it had any genes, how many, and what was their sizes and codes?

Now repeat this last set of questions until you get to the first living cell with DNA/RNA/proteins. How many generations would that be? Billions? Tens of billions? Hundreds of billions? Trillions? So, not only have evolutionists not even given any possible answer for abiogenesis, which they hide because they have no answer because it is impossible, but they have also no possible answer for the 2nd through the next at least several trillion generations, each depending on the generation before all the way back to the first living thing. <u>So, it not just the first living thing that evolutionists have no answer for, but the next at least several trillion generations</u>.

Then start with the first cell which had DNA/RNA/protein/genes.

What features did it have?
It must have been able to survive and replicate. Both of these features require many functions. List all of these features and give exact detailed descriptions of how these are carried out down to the operation of all bio molecules, their production, destruction, coordination, etc.
How large was it?
Where did this happen? When did this happen?
How many genes did it have?
What were all the codes for all the genes?
Did it have single stranded DNA as its genome? If so document all descendant lines in great detail until double stranded DNA has evolved. How many generations was that? Also give the DNA code for all intergenic regions.

What was the second generation?
What features did it have?
It must have been able to survive and replicate. Both of these features require many functions. List all of these features and give exact detailed descriptions of how these are carried out down to the operation of all bio molecules, their production, destruction, coordination, etc.
How large was it?
Where did this happen? When did this happen?
How many genes did it have?
What were all the codes for all the genes?
Also give the DNA code for all intergenic regions.

Now repeat this last set of questions for next at least several trillion generations down all descent lines. So, not only have evolutionists not even given any possible answer for abiogenesis, which they hide because they have no answer because it is impossible, but they have also no possible answer for the 2nd through the next at least several trillion generations, each depending on the generation before all the way back to the first living thing. So, it not just the first living thing that evolutionists have no answer for, but the next several trillion generations down all descent lines. And that is true for all descent lines from the first living thing or the first living cell. By the way, why do evolutionists have no definitive answer to what the first living thing or the first living cell was?

There is an additional insurmountable obstacle against some supposed primitive first living thing that would supposedly evolve into a cell which has DNA, RNA, and proteins. RNA is much more fragile than DNA. It would require an even more advanced and intricate protection, repair and maintenance system than DNA. Thus, any organism with RNA but not DNA, which is not a full cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins, would be destroyed quickly even if such an organism came to be. And there is no way that is could have produced any offspring that would be viable or even be able to survive. And certainly not any offspring that could reproduce. All such organisms therefore are for naught. Thus, any organism that supposedly came before a DNA based cell whether RNA only, RNA and proteins, RNA and something else, or RNA and proteins and

something else, all have the same weak link of RNA as an unsurvivable barrier. And any organism that consisted of protein only, protein and something else, or something else only would run into a dead end if RNA is added as a later step to first DNA/RNA/protein cell. And the building blocks deception also refutes RNA code just like it does for DNA. This was discussed prior.

There is another insurmountable obstacle for abiogenies without God. All cells have double stranded DNA for their genome. No cell has single stranded DNA for their genome. Certain viruses have single stranded DNA, but they are not cells and depend upon cells for replication. So, if the first cell with had double stranded DNA, that makes abiogenesis even more preposterous. But if the first cell had single stranded DNA for their genome, then double stranded DNA would have had to have evolved afterward. And that would be one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles of all time since that would have had to occur in the very same organism as its survival and replication depends upon it. That is more preposterous than a living cell with DNA which is double stranded plus RNA and proteins from non-living chemicals without God. And such a cell with single stranded DNA would have been very fragile and such a cell would have either died quickly, not been able to replicate, or all descendant lines from it gone extinct after only several generations.

35. Yet another proof that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently. Certain proteins, such as ATP synthase, produce ATP which is a molecule that stores and provides most of the energy used by cells. But ATP is required to make proteins including the proteins such as ATP synthase that make ATP. So, how could proteins such as ATP synthase that make ATP have been produced since they require ATP for their very existence? (to TOC) (back)

Certain proteins, such as ATP synthase, produce ATP which is a molecule that stores and provides most of the energy used by cells. But ATP is required to make proteins including the proteins such as ATP synthase that make ATP. So, how could proteins such as ATP synthase that make ATP have been produced since they require ATP for their very existence?

ATP is required for DNA to RNA transcription. ATP is required for RNA translation leading to protein synthesis, and thus survival. ATP is required for DNA replication and thus reproduction. Without ATP there would be no DNA, no RNA, no proteins, no cells, and no living things. Many things in living things require ATP to work.

ATP is a very specific molecule consisting of 47 atoms in a specific arrangement and does not originate naturally outside of cells. It is inconceivable that living things through random DNA mutation ERRORS, which have absolutely no intelligence at all, could ever have figured out such a large specific molecule, nor the detailed chemical processes to produce such a molecule. Proteins that produce ATP such as ATP synthase could never have come into being through DNA mutation ERRORS. There are

a number of genes that are involved in the synthesis of ATP producing proteins, and some have sizes in the 20k to 40k base pair range, with odds against greater than 10^12,000 to 1 and 10^24,000 to 1 respectively. Those proteins must have always been there from the very first cell.

For it to be ATP, it must be the exact 47 atom molecule in its specific arrangement else it does nothing. And all chemical reactions must be in place else it does nothing. Note almost all parts of a cell today use ATP, so they would have had to switch from something else to ATP at some point. But that would require simultaneous changes in the DNA code, in how it lives, survive, and reproduces in the very same creature. And this would have to be for all parts that used something else but now use ATP all at once or one at a time or some at the same time. Thus, one of the greatest super great miracles of miracles of all time must have happened or a large number of super great miracles of miracles must have happened.

In fact, ATP is needed to provide the energy necessary to link nucleotides into RNA strands. And without RNA strands there is no survival for any cell, no survival for a living thing, no reproduction of cells or any living thing. And no ATP. Thus, ATP must have predated DNA, RNA, and proteins in living things. But that is impossible since ATP requires DNA, RNA, and proteins, such as ATP synthase to exist.

This impossible to resolve ATP conundrum for evolution just adds to the overwhelming case against abiogenesis. In fact, a detailed analysis of almost all parts of a cell shows that none of them could have come into being afterward but must have been there in the very first cells. And one of the main reasons is that such things would require many simultaneous super great miracles of miracles in the very same creature as they are required for the cell to function, survive, and to reproduce.

Here is just one example of thighs that would require many simultaneous super great miracles of miracles in the very same creature as they are required for the cell to function, survive, and to reproduce. A detailed analysis of enzymes and proteins essential for DNA replication, and thus reproduction, and protein synthesis, and thus survival, proves that common descent, evolution, and billions of years are false. They also prove God created all things recently. This is proved just by considering topoisomerase, polymerase, ligase, primase, helicase, nucleases, single-strand binding (SSB) proteins, and peptidyl transferase. Since most of these proteins and enzymes are absolutely essential to DNA replication and thus reproduction, and some of these are absolutely essential to protein synthesis and thus survival, they all must have been there since the first living cell. That was proved in this post.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Bw1e4G95d/

36. More proofs that evolution, billions of years, and common decent are false, and that God created all things. Also, some false reasoning used by evolution are discussed. (to TOC) (back)

All reasoning used to support evolution and billions of years is just circular reasoning based on false assumptions and is unscientific, irrational and illogical.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1A6qMEzrEZ/

Don't be conned by the no God assumption of Atheistic Origin "Science". Satan is real and he deceives very many with the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17g5zKbYXy/

Challenge for those that believe in billions of years for the age of things. Give real evidence of anything that is more than about 6000 years old or for evolution that is logical, rational, and scientific. NO ASSUMPTIONS ALLOWED.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17R7UwAwgw/

Creation by a Common Creator explains all similarities between creatures and not evolution.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1KF3NJ65cu/

The false science of Uniformitarianism.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1a68fasYEp/

Evolutionists have God Almighty envy.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DBSNEm1Do/

Proof that the Big Bang is false and that all matter and time had a beginning, and that Go created all things. Thus, the “no God” assumption is again proven false. So, it is illogical, unscientific, and irrational to ever use it in origins or in the long dating of things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1CtVn5r7kS/

The first living creature could not have come into being without God. It is impossible.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1E7fs6NuzR/

Another irrefutable proof that God exists and created all things. This proof uses the principle that the existence of an intelligently designed object proves the existence of an intelligent being that made it. And this proves that the Bible is the word of God.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1CeV1jJrhe/

Macro evolution never happened. The fossil record proves this.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Deab9MfAE/

Not only does the fossil record prove that macro evolution did not happen in the past, but macro evolution is also not happening today. The lack of partially developed organs and functions in all living creatures proves this.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1J6vqC6uhh/

Yet another irrefutable proof that God created all things, and that evolution and billions of years are false by considering all the cells that exist today and have ever existed.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1CfU2CCPv5/

God created all things. The following is a proof of that using adaptive machine learning software (in this case R software) and mathematical induction. Thus, this is an irrefutable proof that God exists and created all things. Your mind and reasoning are doing the very same thing as shown prior due to the vast information content and intelligently designed things in living things versus that which mankind has made. It analyzes living things and proves that they are all intelligently designed, thus all created by God. It even extends this to subatomic particles, atomic structure including electronic orbitals, and all the elements. It also contains an interactive screen where you can test anything else. To understand the screens read the supplied documentation. It also provides the source code for the application, and the data sets used for both training and testing.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Fiki9p1aU/

Proof that God created the universe. Thus, the no God assumption is again proven false. So, it is illogical, unscientific, and irrational to ever use it in origins or in the long dating of things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1D6oia6aNA/

Why aren’t there multiple trees of life? After all, if abiogenesis is such a slam dunk, it should have occurred multiple times.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17MWf15MRi/

Even if by some miracle a first living creature were to come to be, it is for naught. It will be destroyed and have no offspring.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1A9DT6r9W6/

Evolutionists have God Almighty envy. So, they deny that the real God Almighty exists and have invented their own almighty god, enough time. Not only that, but they ignore

the fact that the odds against evolution and billions of years prove that they are certainly false. And instead have flipped reality on its head and assumed that evolution and billions of years are absolutely certainly true, all done with the no God assumption.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17WWcAkZiw/

An analysis of the creation proves that evolution and billions of years are false and that God that created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1AGG94ch24/

Proof that God created the universe. Thus, the no God assumption is again proven false. So, it is illogical, unscientific, and irrational to ever use it in origins or in the long dating of things. Thus, any supposed scientific paper about origins or the long ages of things is illogical, unscientific, and irrational without the word, God, in it. It should be modified with a warning as given later in this proof.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DKR5BvPgm/

A passage written by Stephen Hawking actually proves that God Almighty created all things and that the Bible is the true word of God.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DKR5BvPgm/

37. Just some of the things that could never have come into existence by evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. There are very many others. (to TOC) (back)

Kinesins and dyneins are yet another proof that God created all things. See the video.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1X1cBLSMfA/

There should be new genes popping into existence every day. There should be very many millions of partially mutated genes in all living creatures right now. None of that is observed. So, macroevolution is again falsified forever.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1AaUVh64cr/

The RNA translation codon table is not universal. Each of the many exceptions to the standard table proves that evolution is false, and God created all things. Also, the supposed origin of mitochondria and chloroplasts is impossible which also prove evolution is false.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EdoTb3Yod/

Mitochondria and chloroplasts completely refute evolution and billions of years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17Mha62xQ5/

Prokaryotes could never have evolved into eukaryotes. This also proves that evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19udBckjma/

Supposedly multicellularity has evolved over 50 times in the past. All these also prove evolution is false.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/16VPcdEvxx/

Amazingly modern evolutionists have fallen back into Lamarckian thinking even with the modern knowledge of DNA and genetics. This logical fallacy is one of the greatest scientific embarrassments, if not the greatest scientific embarrassment, of all time.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/16LsyUwHPL/

It is impossible for sexual reproduction to have evolved from asexual reproduction. And this supposedly happened 3 times. So, evolution is falsified yet again by these 3 and many other things discussed below, besides all the other multitude of proofs against it.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EFTWabfJQ/

Evolutionists claim that flight developed independently 4 times – birds, bats, insects and pterosaurs. But each of these are impossible.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19jieHW6Q3/

Supposedly the eye has evolved 40, 50, 65, 100, dozens and 100s of times. That is impossible and why don’t evolutionists know how many times?

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BdnKuyPbp/

Supposedly, evolution produced the nervous system in a multitude of creatures. That is also impossible. It is just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/16SwtHXcai/

Supposedly, evolution produced the circulatory system in all creatures in all creatures that have a circulatory system. That is also impossible. It is just way too irreducibly complex to have evolved.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17UgMtvkds/

Hemoglobin and its variations in a number of species, as well as other types of oxygen carrying proteins in blood in a number of species, cannot be explained by evolution through DNA mutations. Only creation by God can explain it.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BLAJVbAXW/

The extraordinary capabilities of flagella defy evolution and could have never evolved through DNA mutation ERRORS. Instead, they prove that the God the Creator created all things by His Almighty power.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1D7eqHWds2/

Supposedly, evolution produced cascading blood clotting in vertebrates. That is impossible. It is an irreducibly complex intelligently designed mechanism that could not have been produced by DNA mutations ERRORS.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1HMVvFA65s/

The existence of RNA only creatures (viruses) and DNA/RNA creatures which use either asexual or sexual reproduction disproves evolution.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17TEiiLJA7/

More impossibilities that disprove evolution, in addition to RNA only creatures and DNA/RNA with either asexual or sexual reproduction creatures.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/16Lb2Qzz27/

Supposedly, evolution produced the immune system in a multitude of creatures. That is impossible. All are irreducibly complex and intelligently designed and could never have been produced by DNA mutations ERRORS.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17XtcFFk5i/

The Bombardier Beetle has an amazing defense mechanism which could never have come into being by evolution. The following will show why this and many other things related to this absolutely refute evolution and billions of years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17ehJumMP3/

Evolution falsified yet again. See how genes in DNA are used as the information rich instructions which are transcripted into RNA which is then translated by taking RNA codons and selecting a specific amino acid from the codon table which are then chained together to form proteins. These proteins may be joined together to produce polypeptides, which must be folded into very exact shapes by other proteins else they will not function correctly.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1H8j9ZqNJC/

The complete metamorphosis of caterpillars into butterflies disproves evolution and its sidekick billions of years. It also proves God created all things. During metamorphosis, a caterpillar changes into a butterfly. It does this by forming a chrysalis where much of its body breaks down and then reassembles itself into another shape. There are many other insects that also undergo complete metamorphosis.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1A32AhfeMk/

The duck billed platypus and the echidna refute evolution and billions of years, and prove God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/16iCwtw3KL/

It is impossible for evolution to have produced the over 100 trillion unique genes that have ever existed in all species and in all creatures that have ever existed. The only explanation is that God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BiteFG3HN/

It is impossible for any irreducibly complex intelligently designed system, organ, tissue, or functionality to have come into being by DNA mutation ERRORS.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1J6aNtesvK/

Kinesins and dyneins and myocins. Oh my! DNA mutation ERORRS could not have produced these. These motor proteins prove evolution and billions of years are false, and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1GwWSJDiwT/

Supposedly, evolution produced introns through DNA mutation ERRORS. That is impossible. Introns, the proteins that are involved in processing them, and the requirement to actually edit the DNA of an organism in inserting them, would have to have OCCURRED in the very same organism simultaneously. And this one of the greatest super giant miracles of miracles would have happened many times. Introns are just way too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed to have evolved from DNA mutation ERRORS.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17ajuuqjud/

Many evolutionists have claimed that the similarities in endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) between certain species prove common descent. The following will not only show that that is false, but these similarities actually refute common descent, evolution and billions

of years, and prove God created all things recently. Furthermore, the following will also expose the illogical reasoning that plagues the evolutionist mind.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1A6qMEzrEZ/

How the collapse of the junk DNA theory refutes common descent, evolution and billions of years, and proves God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1C8y2h9R7e/

Mankind is made in the image of God. Mankind is different from all other creatures. This matches the Biblical record but refutes common descent, evolution and billions of years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1JQFHvqDZp/

38. There are many things which prove that earth is young, and that all things were created recently (to TOC) (back)

Proof that all long age dating of anything in existence is false. And why this removes all supposed evidence for evolution and billions of years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1FhyQFd1mL/

Evolutionists very many times quote very unscientific dates. Why? It is a sign of their deception.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1GZ5fuNEwd/

All the long age dating techniques have been proven to be false, even isochron radioactive isotope dating – part 1.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1CbMo7hEc8/

Part 2 - All the long age dating techniques have been proven to be false as they vastly vary in measuring the age of the same thing

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17KYCJipdV/

Many things that are supposed to be very old are not C-14 dead, even diamonds which resist contamination, proving the earth is only 1000s of years old.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1HPegNh3He/

There is direct irrefutable evidence from Polonium halos that the rock layers of the Grand Canyon where all formed within a short time during the worldwide flood.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17kxMDo3Cs/

The evidence furthers show that almost all “clocks” show that the earth, the universe, and everything else, are not billions of years old, or millions of years old, but thousands of years old. This further proves that anything that shows a very old age must be wrong. The only explanation for these inconsistencies is some miraculous events like 6-day creation, the fall in the garden and the worldwide flood. See the link below for more information on the evidence from all these “clocks”. The following link gives 101 proofs that the earth and universe are young.

https://creation.com/age-of-the-earth

Polystrate fossilized trees and other polystrate fossils are found in many places around the world and prove that the fossil bearing rock layers were formed by a worldwide flood quickly and not laid down over hundreds of millions of years. Thus, this also refutes evolution and billions of years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/168sXAotsZ/

The fossil graveyards also disprove evolution and billions of years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17h5Zer16S/

There is too little sediment on the ocean floor which limits the age of the oceans to much less than many 10s of millions of years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1DBvZVjep6/

There are many places where multiple hardened sedimentary rock layers are bent together beyond their fracture point but showing little or no fracturing. This was described in this post which shows that they folded together while still soft at the time of or right after the worldwide flood.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BMXgAytM8/

Fossils supposedly over about 60 million years old have soft tissue and many biomolecules still intact. That shows they were buried recently in the flood.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1H4eb8RMbn/

There is little or no erosion between certain rock layers even though they were supposedly laid down with very many years to have passed between them.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17ovYLekmG/

There is not enough salt in the oceans for the oceans to be many 100s of millions of years old. And certainly not the 3.8 billion years claimed by long age evolutionary theory.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/16g3UhdGqV/

There is not enough erosion of the continents for them to be many 10s of millions of years old

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1AXA6NkxTB/

There is too much helium in zircons for the earth to be ancient. An analysis shows this limits the age of the earth to about 6000 years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1ChmqVQ8Qw/

The Cambrian explosion proves that evolution and billions of years are false.

https://www.facebook.com/permalink.php?story_fbid=pfbid02faHrk4bHPzUbPkFseT5cjRU1pCCtF6Pgo8iU6yghcUdEeQoHdNzp72DuMBV2vJ7el&id=61567130998189

Update on bio molecules that are supposedly ancient.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1HVkHuRSLK/

Update on sedimentary rock layers that are bent beyond the fracture point and yet have little or no fracturing.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1NTkoCLNGR/

Cold subducted slabs exist in the lower mantle. This proves that they have not been there for a vast amount of time. Instead, they must have been subducted recently. This refutes the long ages of evolutionary theory and is evidence of the Biblical flood in the days of Noah about 4500 years ago.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19wuPK1BBq/

Living fossils prove evolution and billions of years are false, and that God created all things recently.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1A6ZzecWcd/

There are areas in various places in the world which have “overthrusts” or “thrust faults”. These are regions where older rock layers are on top of younger rock layers. Many of these are characterized by thick layers extending over areas that are many square

miles in size. Some extend over hundreds and thousands of square miles. These disprove evolution and billions of years.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17gt3WGoHC/

The faint young sun paradox refutes billions of years and its sidekick evolution. In an attempt to rescue evolution and billions of years, some have even proposed a pagan goddess, Gaia, as the solution.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1GWykTxocK/

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. These facts refute evolution and billions of years completely. They also prove God created all things recently. This post is an update of a previous post and gives more detailed information.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19b6BoWPJA/

There is a multitude of evidence which proves that the earth, and everything in it and the universe are not billions of years old but were created relatively recently. This also then refutes evolution.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1FFmzCGfB2/

Of Mice and Men. Why the similarity in DNA between mice and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things recently. And why the claim of similarity in ERVs are a smoking gun that proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17VbtVr3AJ/

Why the similarity in DNA between birds and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BT2hr72ZW/

Why the similarity in DNA between zebrafish and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BXwsWDLqt/

Why the similarity in DNA between sea urchins and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17W9T7DGB6/

Why the similarity in DNA between honeybees and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1C4HJ8qPnM/

Why the similarity in DNA between bananas and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1cgFsMing8/

Why the similarity in DNA between chimps and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17c4dcMN9K/

Why the similarity in DNA between African clawed frog and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1A3ZKu9A4y/

Why the similarity in DNA between green anole lizard and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1BQ3FQAv2x/

Why the similarity in DNA between cats and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1FJG7TbwMJ/

Why the similarity in DNA between dogs and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/16hVTqDgcM/

Why the similarity in DNA between kangaroos and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1GDuh3gkm7/

Why the similarity in DNA between platypuses and mankind proves evolution and billions of years are false and God created all things.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1Bok81Xm9k/

The supposed DNA similarity between species contradicts the supposed time since their last common ancestor based on the DNA mutation ERROR rates. Each of these contradictions refute evolution and billions of years. Each of these refutes evolution and billions of years, the supposed evolutionary descent tree, the ages assigned to all associated rock layers, and the dates and dating methods of anything claimed to be ancient in those rock layers.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/17mr8hDyRo/

39. Proof that the Bible is the true word of God (to TOC) (back)

God created all things, the universe, and the earth in 6 days, about 6000 years ago, without evolution. The Bible and real science prove this is true.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1XJEkEsAxx/

Many prophecies from the Bible have come true and many are coming true with exact timing and exact details even in our time as predicted. This is just one infallible proof that the Bible is the true word of God. Thus, what it says is true. And it says that God created all things in 6 days about 6,000 years ago without evolution and there was a worldwide flood about 4,500 years ago. The following is a proof that the Bible is the word of God. I encourage you to read this.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EpwUo3XsQ/

The Bible is the true word of God Almighty the Creator of all things. And thus, what it says is true. The following is another proof that the Bible is the word of God. I encourage you to read this.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19RqhnZQ3j/

The Bible was written by 40 authors over a period of 1600 years. Yet, the text is completely consistent as if it is from one mind. The Bible claims all these authors were inspired by God and this consistency proves that. These writings are incredibly interconnected and seamlessly interwoven. Over 60,000 of these have been identified with some people claiming over 300,000.

The Bible is unlike any book ever written. It speaks to the millions of the saved about things in their lives throughout their lives. That is why it is called the living word of God. And it is a book no one has ever finished or could ever finish since its insights and wisdoms guide those that are saved and read in faith, because it is indeed the living word of God. A person can even ask God to reveal things, and God will reveal those that ask in faith. This is stated in Jeremiah 33:3 as well as other places. Amazingly, even after reading passages very many times, new insights can still be seen in the text.

The Bible is further proved to be true by the testimony of the many millions of those that have been born again and saved. They can testify that at the moment of salvation they became what the word of God calls a new creature. Also, the Bible is proved to be true by the multitude of the answered prayers of the saved.

The text of the Bible is backed by over 80,000 manuscripts and other witnesses. These are remarkably consistent with very little variations. Not a single doctrine is in question. The Bible is also a historical record. And what is says has been confirmed by historical accounts outside of the Bible and many archaeological discoveries. None refute any of its claims.

Very many fulfilled prophecies from the Bible have been fulfilled with exact time and exact details. Some were fulfilled before Christ's earthly ministry, and many prophecies were fulfilled that predicted all the details of Jesus Christ. Much of the last 2000 years of the history of the church were predicted. And very many things that are happening in our time are coming true from all over the Bible with exact time and exact details as the Bible said would happen.

The Bible contains a number of passages which reveal advanced scientific knowledge which could not have been known at the time they were written.

God exists and created all things. And the Bible can easily be proved to be the true word of God the Creator of all things. It makes its claims and proves each and every one of them. No other book has ever done this.

40. Science is knowledge and true science is truth. And false science is not the truth, but a lie. And evolution is a false science. (to TOC) (back)

As of 2025:

The definition of science:
Merriam-Webster: 5th definition: : the state of knowing : knowledge as distinguished from ignorance or misunderstanding
Dictionary.com: 4th definition: systematized knowledge in general
1828 Webster: 1st definition: In a general sense, knowledge, or certain knowledge; the comprehension or understanding of truth or facts by the mind.

Synonym for science: knowledge

The etymology of science
Wikipedia: the state of knowing
Wiktionary: to know
Online Etymology Dictionary: knowledge

So, science is knowledge. And obviously true science is knowledge of the truth. And false science is a lie.

However, there is a false definition of science that is used when it comes to promoting evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. The following are examples of some of the false reasoning used to promote evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

Here is an example of false reasoning.
Evolutionists have based their theories on assumptions. And those assumptions have been proved false. That is a false type of reasoning and may lead to false conclusions as it does in this case. Here is the logical truth.
A conclusion based on 1 or more assumptions is not necessarily true, whether in science, mathematics, or logic.
Here is a simple example.
The following is true: all living people have a brain of the kind mankind.
Assume X is a living person.
Conclusion: Then X has a brain of the kind mankind.
The conclusion that X has a brain of the kind mankind is not necessarily true. It is based on the assumption that X is indeed a living person. The truth is X is a marble. Therefore, X does not have a brain of the kind mankind. So, the false assumption led to a false conclusion.

Note that all of evolution, billions of years, and common descent are based on the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Thus, any conclusion based on that assumption may not be true. The “no God” assumption has never been proved and could never be proved. In fact, it has been proved false by many people, many times and in many irrefutable and infallible ways. So, it is illogical, irrational, and unscientific to ever use that assumption. Certainly, it should never be used in origins and determining the ages of things. The false assumption of “no God” has led to countless false conclusions as to the origin of all things and the long dating of things.

Another great fallacy is that if there is an abundance of evidence for a theory, even an infinite multitude of evidence which matches the theory, the theory must be true.
The following is an example of this fallacy and a great insight into how real logic, real science and rational thinking actually work.
Proposed theory: the sum of any 2 numbers is 10.
There are an infinite number of pairs of numbers whose sum matches the theory.
For example, 4+6, 8+2, 2.5 + 7.5
In fact, 10-x and x add up to 10 for all numbers x, whether x is an integer, a rational number, an irrational number or a complex number. Thus, there are an infinite number of pairs of numbers whose sum matches the theory.

However, there are an infinite number of pairs of numbers which refute the theory forever.
For example, 2+2, 50+50, 1.4 + 1.6

Please note that just one example that refutes the theory proves that the theory is false even if there were an infinite number of examples which match the theory.
In fact, for each one of the infinite pairs of numbers that match the theory, there are an infinite pair of numbers that refute it.
In fact, 10-x and x + y does not add up to 10 for all y not equal to 0, and for all numbers x, whether x is an integer, a rational number, an irrational number or a complex number.

This is a well-known, widely used, irrefutable technique to prove a theory false. It is called refutation by counterexample or contradiction. And only 1 contradiction is needed to prove a theory false forever even if there were an infinite amount of evidence that matches the theory. Note that in the case of evolution, common descent and billions of years, there is no evidence that matches these fairy tales. In fact, there is a great multitude of evidence which refute evolution, common descent, billions of years, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science".

Real science does not exclude alternative theories which may be valid. Yet this is exactly what evolutionists have done by excluding creation by God as the possible answer to the origin of everything. This is the "no God" ASSUMPTION of "Atheistic Origin Science". Not only have they never proved the "no God" ASSUMPTION, in fact it has been proven to be a FACT that God Almighty does exist and created all things, and recently. And real science does not look for just evidence to support a theory, in this case evolution and billions of years, and censor the evidence against it. But this is what evolutionists have done. In real science, a theory must be falsifiable and able to withstand all tests that may falsify it. Thus, real science looks for evidence to refute a theory. But evolutionists refuse to allow evolution and billions of years to be falsified even though all the evidence, science and logic falsify it. It is a protected theory and thus just religious dogma. And this protection from the multitude of things that refute it proves that evolution is not true. And all the evidence, logic, science, math and reality have falsified evolution and billions of years. And real science must be based on real evidence not false assumptions and circular reasoning. But that is all that evolutionists have, false assumptions and circular reasoning.

Evolutionists also use circular reasoning. First, it is assumed that evolution, billions of years, common descent and the "no God" assumptions are true. So, common features between species, whether atomical or genetic, must be the result of common descent. Then evolutionists use common features between species, whether atomical or genetic, as evidence that common descent is true. This is pure circular reasoning. But common features can also be explained by common creation by God Almighty. When analyzing the similarities between species, inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences can be used to prove evolution and common descent are false.

41. The deception of the peer review system for evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and the supposedly "scientific" claim that evolution, billions of years, and common descent have been proved true. (to TOC) (back)

Evolution, billions of years, and common descent have been taught to very many as absolutely true. This has been done by people who unfortunately have been deceived. And many therefore have used censorship, indoctrination, propaganda and deception because they have been deceived. And they do not even know that they are doing this because they actually believe that these false theories are true. Unfortunately, many have been deceived by the claim that all of these are absolutely true. So, much so, that they self-censor themselves against anything that proves that these are not true and thus are in complete 100% censorship.

There is no evidence at all for evolution, billions of years, and common descent that is, scientific, logical, or rational. All supposed evidence is just made up using false assumptions and circular reasoning. And evolution has no explanation for the origin of anything. So, there is no actual theory at all. If anything, evolution is the theory of nothing because it cannot explain the origin of anything. In fact, all evidence, logic and science refute evolution, billions of years, and common descent.

The main deception by which so many are deceived is to exclude the possibility or even mention that God created all things. This is **the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science"**. Since science by definition is knowledge, there is no way to exclude the possibility that God created all things. No one has ever or will ever be able to prove that God does not exist. It is impossible logically to do that. So, excluding that possibility is actually illogical, unscientific and irrational. So, the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" is illogical, unscientific and irrational. Thus, evolution, billions of years and common descent do not count as science at all. It is just a false religion masquerading as science with many false dogmas. Furthermore, trying to determine how old things are beyond historical records with the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" is also illogical, unscientific and irrational. So, the "no God" assumption is just an unproven assumption, and the belief in evolution, billions of years and common descent is just built upon false assumptions and circular reasoning.

To pull off this great deception, evolutionists eliminate creation by God, which is the only possible answer, and thus leave just one choice - common descent through evolution. Contemplate how irrational, illogical, unscientific, and deceptive this is. For example, there are 2 possibilities to explain these common features between species, creation by God or common descent by evolution. So, common features cannot be used as evidence for evolution and common descent since there is a valid alternative explanation.

Here is a simple analogy to show how illogical this deception by evolutionists is. A person is on trial for murder. The prosecutor points out that the murderer and the defendant both have a head, 2 eyes, a mouth, a nose, 2 ears, 2 hands, 2 feet, 2 legs, and 2 arms. Yet there are billions of people who have the same description. But the judge rules that no one else is allowed to be considered as a suspect. The jury must then convict. By the way, no prosecutor has ever presented such evidence as it is absolutely ridiculous to do so, and the jury would be completely perplexed if a prosecutor did this. They would know the prosecutor had no evidence at all to resort to

such nonsense. Of course, the defense attorney points out that his client who was born in 1980 could not have murdered Julius Caesar as he was murdered circa 44 BC by Brutus and those guys.

In the real world, in real science and in real logic, if data can be explained by 2 competing theories, that data cannot be used to accept one theory and reject the other. The logical, rational, and scientific procedure is to look for evidence that conflicts with one theory yet matches the other. When analyzing the similarities between species, inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences can be used to prove evolution and common descent are false. This is refutation by counterexample which is irrefutable logic. And only 1 counterexample is needed for complete refutation. Yet in this case, there are innumerable counterexamples which prove that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false. Any theory that is so refuted and continues to be promoted is not science but a lie. In fact, in this case, it is a false religion with false religious dogma. So, when considering the similarities and differences between species, these are not evidence for evolution, billions of years, and common descent at all but actually evidence against evolution, billions of years, and common descent. Thus, one of the supposed pieces of evidence for evolution is actually proof against it. What a great deception by evolutionists. This was discussed in more detail in section 18 of this book.

Evolutionists have used a false definition of science to claim that evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are true. They do this by assuming these are absolutely true. And they eliminate the only real possibility – that God created all things. Then they use circular reasoning when they claim that since common descent is true, that similarities between species, whether anatomical or genetic, proves that they are from common descent. They eliminate the only real possibility – that God created all things. They also refuse to allow the multitude of contradictions to their theories to be considered as refutations, because they assume their theories are true.

Furthermore, many evolutionists define a scientist as a person who believes their theories are true. And so, anyone who does not believe that their theories are true is not considered a scientist at least not in the realm of determining how things came to be and the long dating of things. Furthermore, many evolutionists then establish a peer review system where only those that believe their theories are true are allowed to be part of that system. They then only fund studies that claim that their theories are true. Furthermore, the only papers allowed are papers which claim that their theories are true. Anything that refutes their theories is not funded and no paper that refutes their theories are allowed to be part of this biased peer review system. They then claim that all scientists believe that their theories are true. And thus, no ACKNOWLEDGED paper or evidence refutes their theories. And they do this because they have been indoctrinated into believing that their theories are true from all the censorship, propaganda, indoctrination, and deceit that they have been subjected to all or almost all of their lives.

The reality is that all their theories are false and have been proved false by many people many times in many irrefutable and infallible ways. And the only real origin scientists are those that believe that evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are false, and that God created all things recently. And there are very many scientists that are Biblical young earth creationists. If you remove all false claims that evolution, billions of years, and common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are true from all the supposed scientific papers, then what is left is real evidence, facts and science. And that is what proves that all their theories are false.

Now a number of young earth creationists have published a number of scientific papers in secular publications. However, many papers are rejected. To understand the difficulty in getting these papers published in secular publications, you can read “Creation’s Tiny Mystery” by Robert Gentry. In that book, he describes some of the difficulties he encountered in getting his papers published. Of course, there is a valid and professionally run peer review system run by young earth creationists. This parallel system has very many papers already published with many more published all the time. This information is available at a number of creationist Web sites. There are also many books and magazines which contain lots of creationist resources. If you combine this parallel young earth creationist peer review system with the secular peer review system, where references to evolution, billions of years, and common descent removed or ignored, it is a legitimate origin science peer review system.

Now some may claim that evolutionists are not enforcing the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. But it is easy to show that they indeed are. Just look through any textbook, course material, and publications in the “scientific” literature that pertains to evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the origin and long age dating of things, and see where the word “God” appears. Also, include all media, TV documentaries, information in museums, etc. In almost all cases, the word “God” will not appear. That is 100% the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” and almost enforced with a rod of iron. Yet they should all state clearly that what they contain is based on the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. In fact, it is illogical, irrational, and unscientific to not state that assumption.

Any supposed scientific paper, textbook, person or organization that uses the “no God” assumption in determining origins and the long dating of things is illogical, unscientific and irrational. Also, any paper that is referenced by any of these that uses the “no God assumption” in determining origins and the long dating of things is illogical, unscientific and irrational. And this applies to all references of references down through all levels.

Many people have been deceived into believing that the supposed peer review system does not contain false information. And many people have been deceived into believing that scientific consensus is always right. And they rely on these for their source of infallible truth. And many will claim that simple logical, mathematical, and scientific proofs do not count as proofs unless they are published in the supposed peer review system and must be declared true and not conflict with scientific consensus. The

following prove that this dependency of these may lead to false conclusions and in some cases may be very dangerous.

Here is an example of a simple mathematical proof.
Prove the sum of any 2 even numbers is even.

Let x and y be any 2 even numbers.
Thus, x = 2a and y = 2b (by the definition of even numbers).
Then x + y = 2a + 2b = 2(a + b) (by the definition of even numbers and simple math).
So, the sum is even
Conclusion: the sum of any 2 even numbers is even.
End proof

There is no need for the so-called peer review system in this proof.
Nor is the current scientific consensus needed.
In fact, how would that even be written logically other than a just to note a possibly false opinion?

The following is a proof that the so-called peer review system and the so-called scientific consensus cannot be trusted since what it contains or claims may be false.
Every year fraudulent scientific papers and inaccurate scientific papers are removed from the so-called peer review system. It is estimated that there are over 10,000 retractions each year.
Note that Nebraska man was based on a pig's tooth.
Note that Piltdown man turned out to be a fraud that was removed after decades.
The universe was at one time said to be about 2 billion years old.
Today the estimate is about 13.8 billion years old +- 20 million years.
But some have proposed that it may be about 26 billion years old.
Many drugs which were approved by a peer review system have been discontinued due to severe side effects. And patients who used these drugs and suffered from these side effects have joined in class action lawsuits against their makers.
Furthermore, when many drugs were first introduced into the market, they had a list of side effects that were determined by a peer review system. Later more side effects were added to the list. And some of those side effects have been severe.
The model for the atom has been changed many times. And that is because the previous models were wrong.
At one time, the Steady State Theory was used to describe the universe. That was then changed to the Big Bang Theory. But the Big Bang Theory had many contradictions, so Inflation was added to the Big Bang Theory. But there were still many contradictions to the Big Bang Theory with Inflation, so dark matter and dark energy were added.
However, even more contradictions have been discovered by new advanced space telescopes such as the James Webb Space Telescope. Due to this, many physicists are trying to replace the Big Bang Theory with Inflation. But none exist that have no contradictions.
And the list of these goes on and on.

Conclusion, the so-called peer review system and the supposed scientific consensus cannot be trusted since what it contains or claims may be false.

As to the scientific consensus, it has been wrong many times in the past. So, there is no reason to believe that it is not wrong in certain things now, especially as to origins.

If someone sees a tree fall in the woods but the peer review system does not recognize that, did it happen? Absolutely. Anyone who believes other than that is in delusion. In fact, over 8 billion people observe 100s of things each and every day. And almost none of these are in the peer review system. So, did any of these events happen? Anyone who believes other than that is in delusion.

Now a scientific theory should be observable, testable and repeatable. But none of the claims by evolutionists are observed now. And there is no record of any of them happening in the past. And all analyses prove they are all impossible. And these are all repeatable all the time. Furthermore, all falsification tests refute all of their claims. Section 27 of this book proved using current falsification tests as well as very many proposed falsification tests. And the results of these tests are repeatable all the time. Furthermore, there are a vast number of contradictions which prove all evolutionists' theories are false. And these are repeatable all the time. But the so-called peer review system and the so-called scientific consensus refuse to let these vast contradictions refute their theories. And only 1 contradiction is needed for complete refutation. And evolutionist have no answer to the origin of anything. So, evolution is the theory of nothing since it has no answer to the origin of anything in existence. And all the evidence, facts, physics, chemistry, biology, mathematics, statistics, probability, history, archeology, geology, logic and rational thought prove all their theories are false and that God created all things recently. So, evolution and all its associated theories are actual anti-science. So, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" have been falsified forever. They are just false religious dogma for a false religion masquerading as science.

In all fairness and honesty, any paper, textbook, courseware, or organization that uses the "no God" assumption in determining origins and the long dating of things should carry a warning that they use the "no God" assumption or be retracted immediately worldwide or be marked as containing misinformation. This includes all references contained in such references and so on. And it also includes the results of any AI system as to the existence of God and in the area of origins and the long dating of things.

When this is done, there are no papers or studies which are logical, scientific and rational that have any evidence for evolution, billions of years, and common descent. None whatsoever. Nor are there any origin scientists who believe in evolution, billions of years, and common descent that is logical, scientific and rational. Nor any organization.

Here are 3 proposals for this warning. Obviously, proposal #1 is the truth.

1. The following uses the “no God” assumption which is known to be absolutely false. Thus, it is dangerous and will lead to everlasting torment in the lake of fire. For the truth consult the King James Bible, rightly divided.

2. The following uses the “no God” assumption which is known to be absolutely false or almost certainly absolutely false. Thus, it is dangerous and will lead or may lead to everlasting torment in the lake of fire. For the truth consult the King James Bible, rightly divided.

3. The following uses the “no God” assumption. No one ever has or can prove the “no God” assumption. Thus, it may be dangerous and may lead to everlasting torment in the lake of fire. For the truth the reader is advised to consult the King James Bible rightly divided and decide wisely.

So, any paper, textbook, person, or organization that uses the “no God” assumption in determining origins and the long dating of things must be considered illogical, unscientific and irrational. And any paper, textbook, courses, courseware, person or organization that uses the no God assumption in determining origins and the long dating of things should carry 1 of these warnings or retracted worldwide immediately.

42. More proofs that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and that God created all things recently and that the Bible is the word of God (to TOC) (back)

I have added many shared posts about creation by God from a number of Facebook groups, pages, organizations, and individuals to the following Facebook page.

Facebook page – 6-day creation about 6000 years ago

https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=61556140114922

This page now has well over 3,500 posts so far. I plan to add 100s more in the future. I encourage you to follow this page and to look through these posts. These posts are very informative. These posts prove that common descent, evolution and billions of years are false, and prove that God created everything in 6 days about 6000 years ago without evolution, and that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago in the days of Noah. As you look through these, you will learn of many amazing creatures and the things that comprise them, which can only have come into being by creation by God. They are all just too irreducibly complex and intelligently designed. These will help you regain the wonder of the glory of God if you do not have that already. Other areas which these posts touch upon are cosmology, the problems with the Big Bang, the solar system, the finely tuned universe, DNA, cells and their intricate makeup, geological evidence for the flood, archaeological evidence for the flood and the Bible, and more.

You may want to join or follow one or more of the groups and pages from where some particular posts came from. Many of these groups, pages, organizations, and individuals

are excellent sources of creation information. Many of these groups are well run and there are many commentators who can answer any questions that you have.

I have over 80 proofs that prove evolution, billions of years, common descent are false, and that God created all things recently and that the Bible is the word of God. These can be found at the following Facebook page – Proofs against evolution and billions of years. I will hopefully add more posts in the future. You can view these posts individually at this page. You can follow this page for future posts.

https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=61567130998189

This is a link to a YouTube Playlist about cells and the other incredibly irreducibly complex things in them. All these can only be explained by creation by God.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLI1-pAQgbOGdUZaC29kPWyZVrmTj3fe2R&si=l-auIpDaw90sV0JS

This is a link to a YouTube Playlist about Amazing Creatures which evolution could not have produced.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLI1-pAQgbOGc_gKj7cup_6fpQE9shUrqF&si=VOLnhGbvN_LT9ind

There are also many Biblical young earth creation organizations, individuals, papers, books, websites, etc. on the Internet. I encourage you to access these resources.

43. Pascal's wager revisited and updated (to TOC) (back)

Pascal was a scientist and mathematician. Pascal's wager can be presented as follows. If the saved Christian is right, then when they die, they go to heaven to be with Christ forever and live in absolute joy and happiness forever. So, the saved Christian has infinite gain. And the atheist goes to the lake of fire to be tormented forever and ever. So, the atheist has infinite loss. But if the saved Christian is wrong and the atheist is right, the saved Christian has no loss whatsoever. They die happy and may have lived a joyful life. And the atheist gains nothing, although many atheists may die in utter fear that they may be wrong. So, in either case the saved Christian wins.

However, it has been proved that the atheist is wrong and that God does exist and the Bible is the word of God. So, Pascal's wager can be modified as follows. The save Christian when they die or are raptured, go to heaven to be with Christ forever and live in absolute joy and happiness forever. They die happy knowing this and without fear. They may also live a joyful life. The atheist and all unsaved people will with certainty end up in the lake of fire to be tormented forever and ever. They may also live a horrible life of fear and may die in utter fear that they may be wrong. So, the saved Christian has infinite gain and the atheist and all the unsaved have infinite loss. And this is absolutely certain.

44. The Bible is the true word of God and thus what it says must be the truth. (to TOC) (back)

The Bible is the true word of God Almighty the Creator of all things. And thus, what it says is true. Here is just one proof.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19RqhnZQ3j/

All evolutionists and all atheists fulfill a number of Biblical prophecies with exact timing and exact details many times each and every day. See 2 Tim 3-4, 2 Thess 2:10-12, 1 Tim 6:20-21, 2 Peter 3:5-6, Rom 1:22, 25, 28, et al with the exact timing given by 2 Peter 3:8, Gen 1-2:1, and Hosea 6:2. In fact, many prophecies from all parts of the Bible are coming true with exact timing and exact details just like the Bible said would happen in the last days before the rapture, which is our time. This is just one of the proofs that the Bible is the true word of God Almighty.

https://www.facebook.com/share/p/1EpwUo3XsQ/

And the Bible has been proven true by many people, many times, in many irrefutable and infallible ways.

The following passage declares that an understanding of the things that exist, especially living things, proves that existence of God the Creator of all things.

19 Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. 20 For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, **being understood by the things that are made**, even his eternal power and Godhead; **so that they are without excuse**: - Romans 1:19-20

But very many people change that truth into a lie, as do all evolutionists and all atheists.

Who changed the truth of God into a lie, and worshipped and served the creature more than the Creator, who is blessed for ever. Amen. - Romans 1:25

The Bible predicted the false science of evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of Atheistic Origin Science.

20 O Timothy, keep that which is committed to thy trust, avoiding profane *and* vain babblings, **and oppositions of science falsely so called**: 21 Which some professing have erred concerning the faith. Grace *be* with thee. Amen. - 1 Tim 6:20-21

And the Bible predicted how many would deny the worldwide flood in the days of Noah.

3 Knowing this first, **that there shall come in the last days scoffers**, walking after their own lusts, 5 For this **they willingly are ignorant of**, that by the word of God the heavens were of old, and the earth standing out of the water and in the water: 6

Whereby the world that then was, being overflowed with water, perished: - 2 Peter 3:3, 5-6

And the following is an exact prediction of a false science where God has been banned as a possible cause for the origin of all things including determining the age of things. Note that science is knowledge. This false science is Atheistic Origin Science.

And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient; - Romans 1:28

As part of this deception, evolutionists and atheists define a scientist as one who believes in evolution, billions of years, and common descent. And they have concocted a false self-serving system to censor the truth through a biased peer review system. Thus, they have fulfilled this prophecy.

Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools, - Romans 1:22

Amazingly all of these things are true in our time when knowledge has been greatly increased. That is, the discoveries of modern science, especially in Biology, have not only confirmed that an understanding of the things that exist, especially living things, proves that existence of God, but even more so.

But thou, O Daniel, shut up the words, and seal the book, even to the time of the end: many shall run to and fro, and **knowledge shall be increased**. - Daniel 12:4

45. The gospel of Christ (to TOC) (back)

It is absolutely for certain that God did indeed create all things recently. This has been proved by many people, many times in many infallible and irrefutable ways.

The following passage from the word of God says that anyone who denies the existence of God the Creator is without excuse.

19 Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. 20 For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, **being understood by the things that are made**, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse: - Romans 1:19-20

It is absolutely for certain the Bible is the word of God. This too has been proved by many people, many times in many infallible and irrefutable ways. So, what the Bible says is the absolute truth.

The Bible says that “all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God” (Rom 3:23). The glory of God is the sinless perfection of Jesus Christ the Lord. Every thought, word and deed that breaks God’s laws is sin. And all those that have sinned are under damnation unless they get saved. And the only way to be saved is to believe the gospel

of Christ, by grace through faith alone in the shed blood of the Lord Jesus Christ as the complete, finished and only payment for all your sins without any works of any kind or any merit of any kind.

Now I love you, and all that you know and love, too much to not tell you the truth. To be saved, a person must believe the gospel of Christ. The following is a preaching of the gospel of Christ. Come and read about the greatest act of love ever by the greatest hero ever. It does not matter what you have done. It does not matter whether you have hated Jesus Christ or mocked and cursed Him. It does not matter whether you have hated all the saved of Christ or mocked and cursed them. Anyone can be saved just by believing the truth of the gospel of Christ. No one can stop you from being saved except you. And you can do that right now.

Once you believe in the gospel of Christ you are guaranteed to be saved forever. Nothing can ever take that away. You will have the greatest gift ever and will know true peace and joy.

https://www.the-gospel-of-christ.com/post/where-will-you-spend-eternity

The following gives information how to understand the Bible.

https://www.the-gospel-of-christ.com/_files/ugd/8d6670_015ccb4451c24ce582b75e5864be522d.pdf

The following page contains links to additional resources about certain topics in the word of God.

https://www.the-gospel-of-christ.com/resources

The following is another preaching of the gospel of Christ using scripture only.

For the wages of sin is death; but the gift of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord. - Rom 6:23

5 So, as much as in me is, I am ready **to preach the gospel to you** that are at Rome also. 16 For I am not ashamed of **the gospel of Christ: for it is the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth**; to the Jew first, and also to the Greek. - Rom 1:15-16

1 Moreover, brethren, **I declare unto you the gospel which I preached unto you**, which also ye have received, and wherein ye stand; 2 **By which also ye are saved**, if ye keep in memory what I preached unto you, unless ye have believed in vain. 3 For I delivered unto you first of all that which I also received, **how that Christ died for our sins according to the scriptures;** 4 **And that he was buried, and that he rose again the third day according to the scriptures**: - 1 Cor 15:1-4

30 And brought them out, and said, Sirs, what must I do to be saved? 31 And they said, **Believe on the Lord Jesus Christ, and thou shalt be saved**, and thy house. - Acts 16:30-31

8 For **by grace are ye saved through faith**; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God: 9 **Not of works**, lest any man should boast. - Eph 2:8-9

In whom ye also trusted, after that ye heard the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation: in whom also after that ye believed, ye were sealed with that holy Spirit of promise, - Eph 1:13

22 Even **the righteousness of God which is by faith of Jesus Christ unto all and upon all them that believe**: for there is no difference: 23 For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God; 24 Being justified freely by his grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus:25 **Whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in his blood**, to declare his righteousness for the remission of sins that are past, through the forbearance of God; - Rom 3:22-25

But **to him that worketh not, but believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness**. - Rom 4:5

17 For **Christ sent me not to baptize, but to preach the gospel:** not with wisdom of words, lest the cross of Christ should be made of none effect. 18 **For the preaching of the cross is to them that perish foolishness; but unto us which are saved it is the power of God.** 21 For after that in the wisdom of God the world by wisdom knew not God, **it pleased God by the foolishness of preaching to save them that believe**. 22 For the Jews require a sign, and the Greeks seek after wisdom: 23 But **we preach Christ crucified,** unto the Jews a stumblingblock, and unto the Greeks foolishness; 1 Cor 1:17-18, 21-23

14 And as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, even so must the Son of man be lifted up: 15 **That whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have eternal life**. - John 3:14-15

For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, **that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life**. - John 3:16

He that believeth on him is not condemned: but he that believeth not is condemned already, because he hath not believed in the name of the only begotten Son of God. - John 3:18

He that believeth on the Son hath everlasting life: and he that believeth not the Son shall not see life; but the wrath of God abideth on him. - John 3:36

Verily, verily, I say unto you, **He that believeth on me hath everlasting life**. - John 6:47

25 Jesus said unto her, **I am the resurrection, and the life: he that believeth in me, though he were dead, yet shall he live**: 26 And **whosoever liveth and believeth in me shall never die. Believest thou this?** - John 11:25-27

1 In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, **and the Word was God.** 2 The same was in the beginning with God. 3 **All things were made by him; and without him was not any thing made that was made**. 10 He was in the world, **and the world was made by him,** and the world knew him not. 11 He came unto his own, and his own received him not. 12 **But as many as received him, to them gave he power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on his name**: - John 1:1-3, 10-12

27 My sheep hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me: 28 **And I give unto them eternal life; and they shall never perish, neither shall any man pluck them out of my hand.** 29 My Father, which gave them me, is greater than all; and no man is able to pluck them out of my Father's hand. 30 **I and my Father are one**. - John 10:27-30

These things have I written unto you **that believe on the name of the Son of God; that ye may know that ye have eternal life**, and that ye may believe on the name of the Son of God. - 1 John 5:13

Let your conversation be without covetousness; and be content with such things as ye have: **for he hath said, I will never leave thee, nor forsake thee**. - Heb 13:5

Not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to his mercy he saved us, by the washing of regeneration, and renewing of the Holy Ghost; - Titus 3:5

For I am not ashamed of the gospel of Christ: for it is the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth; to the Jew first, and also to the Greek. - Rom 1:16

46. It is absolutely for certain and has been established by many irrefutable and infallible proofs, that there must exist an Intelligent and Almighty FIRST cause for all created things. Thus, God Almighty created all things. (to TOC) (back)

It is absolutely for certain and has been established by many irrefutable and infallible proofs, that there must exist an Intelligent and Almighty FIRST cause for all created things. Now some have proposed that the FIRST cause must have a cause leading to an infinite regression of FIRST causes into the past. However, this is illogical and preposterous since an infinite regression into the past is impossible and illogical. So, there cannot be an infinite series of FIRST causes but a finite number. And by the very definition of the FIRST cause, there can be one and only one FIRST cause as anything

that had a cause cannot logically be a FIRST cause. Obviously, that FIRST cause is God Almighty, the Creator of all things.

47. Summary of the book. Why evolution, billions of years, common decent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" should be retracted worldwide immediately. (to TOC) (back)

Based on the information contained in the contents of this book, and the information contained in the linked posts from this book, the following is a summary of this inarguable and irrefutable refutation of evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption. Thus, these theories are false, and God created all things recently. And evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption should be retracted worldwide immediately.

It has been proved by many people, many times, and in many irrefutable and infallible ways that all the evidence, facts, observations, physics, chemistry, biology, mathematics, probability, statistics, logic, geology, history, archeology, rational thought, and reality itself prove that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption are false. Thus, God created all things recently. Evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" are the most refuted theories ever without a shred of evidence for them. They are some of the greatest delusions ever, and the greatest scientific delusion ever.

Science should be observable, testable and repeatable. Evolution fails on all 3 accounts. No observations match any of the theories of evolution, billions of years, and common descent. All observations refute their theories. None of their claims are happening now. There is no record of any of their claims happening in the past. Not one of their claims is possible according to established scientific laws. No test can verify any of their claims. In fact, all refutation tests refute all their claims. And these refutation tests are repeatable all the time. And there are a multitude of tests that refute every last one of the claims of evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science". And all of these tests are repeatable all the time. This was proved in this book.

There are ways to prove a theory is true and there are ways to prove a theory is false. One method to prove a theory is false is to find data that contradicts the theory. Just 1 piece of data that does not match the theory proves that the theory is false and false forever. This is sometimes called refutation by counterexample or contradiction. For example, someone proposes a theory that the sum of any 2 numbers is 10. But 100 + 100 = 200, so the theory is false and false forever. That is a contradiction that refutes the theory.

One method to prove a theory is true, is to first assume it is false. If that assumption leads to at least one contradiction, then the original assumption must be false, and thus its opposite must be true. So, assume that God does not exist and did not create all things. This will lead to a vast number of contradictions, although only 1 contradiction or

counterexample is needed. So, the assumption of “no God” must be false. And the opposite of the “no God” assumption must be true. Thus, God exists and created all things.

Please note that this type of proof that God exists and created all things does not assume that God exists or that the Bible is true. In fact, this type of proof assumes that God does not exist, and by necessity, that the Bible is false. So, it is in no way circular reasoning at all. And this type of proof can be used with a vast number of things as the counterexample or contradiction and thus prove that God exists and created all things. Thus, there are a vast number of proofs that God does exist and created all things. Now many atheists will falsely claim that these proofs assume that the Bible is true or that God exists. The opposite is the case. And it is atheists that assume that God does not exist. And it is evolutionists that assume that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true, which are just false assumptions and circular reasoning.

Living things only come from living things. Cells only come from cells. These are established laws of Biology with no exceptions. These prove that abiogenesis is impossible. Abiogenesis has never been observed in the past. It is not happening now. And all analyses of it prove it could never happen. And any theory that some pre cell world existed and evolved into a cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins has been proved false. This was proved in this book. This absolute fact refutes the no God assumption that underpins evolution, billions of years, and common descent. Thus, the “no God” of “Atheistic Origin Science” can never be used to determine what is the origin of things and the long age dating of things. To do so is unscientific, illogical and irrational. Its use is just a false religion with false doctrines based on false assumptions and circular reasoning.

The universe could not have existed forever. There are several reasons why including the fact that the time itself must have had a beginning before which no things could have existed. And the universe could have never come into being from nothing, since nothing cannot do anything. This is true no matter how many variants evolutionists conjure up, whether multiverses, anti-universes, parallel universes, extra dimensions, dark energy, dark matter, multiple Big Bangs, Big Bounce, Oscillating Expansions and Contractions, infinitely inflated universes, etc. That covers all possibilities. This absolute fact refutes the “no God” assumption that underpins evolution, billions of years, and common descent. This was proved in this book. Thus, the “no God” of “Atheistic Origin Science” can never be used to determine what is the origin of things and the long age dating of things. To do so is unscientific, illogical and irrational. Its use is just a false religion with false doctrines. And it is impossible for the extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly universe where these hold throughout space and time, let alone one which obeys physical laws, could have ever come into existence without God.

Like kind always produces like kind. And no kind has ever been produced by any other kind. This is an established law of Biology with no exceptions. And each kind has an individual established law of Biology like this with no exceptions. Thus, there are a multitude of laws of Biology which refute evolution, billions of years, and common

descent which claim that all kinds alive today or have ever lived evolved from different kinds. There is no record of any exceptions to these laws happening in the past and they are not happening now. And all analyses of this prove this could never happen. This was proved in this book. This absolute fact refutes the no God assumption that underpins evolution, billions of years, and common descent. Thus, the “no God” of “Atheistic Origin Science” can never be used to determine what is the origin of things and the long age dating of things. To do so is unscientific, illogical and irrational. Its use is just a false religion with false doctrines.

None of the major leaps that evolutionists claim happened could have ever happened through DNA mutation ERRORS. Some of these are eukaryotes evolving from prokaryotes, vertebrate evolving from invertebrates, species that reproduce sexually from species that only reproduce asexually, and multi-cell organisms from single cell organisms. And this includes introns, mitochondria, chloroplasts, and any significant functionality, system, subsystem, organs or tissues. For these to have occurred, there would have had to be very many super great miracles of miracles occurring simultaneously in the very same organisms. There is no record of any of these happening in the past and they are not happening now. And all analyses of this prove this could never happen. This was proved in this book. This absolute fact refutes the no God assumption that underpins evolution, billions of years, and common descent. Thus, the “no God” of “Atheistic Origin Science” can never be used to determine what is the origin of things and the long age dating of things. To do so is unscientific, illogical and irrational. Its use is just a false religion with false doctrines.

To produce a new unique gene there are in essence only 3 possibilities. Either some code from some source is copied, either directly or indirectly, to produce a gene which is then mutated by DNA mutation ERRORS, or an existing gene is mutated by DNA mutation ERRORS, or the DNA code for a gene comes into existence spontaneously. This book has shown that the odds against all 3 of these possibilities are so vast that it would require very many trillions of times the age of the universe to produce any significant number of them, let alone over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. Furthermore, it was proved that in diploids (species with paired chromosomes) that reproduce sexually, there is a formidable barrier to the copy of a gene that is then mutated or the spontaneous creation of a gene to make it into a population. This is due to the problem of structural chromosomal mismatches. This greatly increases the odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS producing all the unique genes in all diploid species that have ever lived which reproduce sexually. All these prove that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false. And all these also prove that God created all things recently.

Both the copy of a gene which is then mutated or an existing gene which is then mutated require a previously existing gene. Thus, they cannot be the ultimate source of the first genes, which must have been spontaneously created protein coding genes. However, it has been proved that is impossible for spontaneously created protein coding genes to be the first genes. This is due to the problem of misplaced stop codons

as well as the vast odds against spontaneously created genes. This also proves that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently.

Now evolutionists might object to the calculations in this book of the odds against evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS producing all these unique genes. But even if there was on average only a 1 in a trillion chance that each of these unique genes could not have been produced by evolution, then the odds against evolution producing all of them are still so vast that it is as certain as certain can be that evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption are false, and that God created all things recently. This is a surprising result. Because of this 1 in a trillion threshold, evolutionists must produce exact calculations for the odds for evolution through DNA mutations producing all the unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed, and for each and every one of them. If not evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” have been falsified and should be retracted worldwide immediately. But there is no way that they can ever do this. And the problem of misplaced stop codons in spontaneously created protein coding genes by itself will vastly exceed this required threshold. So yet again evolution has been falsified.

Evolutionists have no actual explanation for the origin of cells, DNA, RNA, proteins, chromosomes, genes, introns, enzymes, prokaryotic cells, eukaryotic cells, multicellular organisms, sexual reproduction, cell type differentiation in multicellular organisms, hox genes, homeobox genes, ATP, all organelles, any other part of a cell, the extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly universe, the laws of physics, flagella, cilia, flight, sight, protein folding, epigenetics, the supercoiling of DNA, DNA repair, the complete metamorphosis of caterpillars into butterflies, cascading blood clotting in vertebrates, the defense mechanism of the Bombardier Beetle, motor proteins like kinesins, dyneins and myosins, developmental gene regulatory networks, embryonic development, or anything else for that matter. And the list goes on and on and on. You name it, they have no actual explanation for it. Evolution is the theory of nothing because it cannot explain the origin of anything. Not only that, but all these and everything else in existence refute the “no God” assumption, since they are all impossible without God. And that proves God created all things. In fact, biology is the study of God’s amazing creation of living things, and always refutes evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

Each of the following examples refute evolution, since it is impossible for any of these to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. Examples of irreducibly complex functionality that could never have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS are the over 1 trillion (possibly 10 trillion) unique enzymes and their associated over 1 trillion (possibly 10 trillion) unique protein coding genes in all species that have ever existed. In addition, motor proteins such as kinesins, dyneins and myosins prove that common descent, evolution, and billions of years are false. Furthermore, enzymes and proteins such as topoisomerase, polymerase, ligase, primase, helicase, nucleases, single-strand binding (SSB) proteins, and peptidyl

transferase which are essential to DNA replication, and thus reproduction, and protein synthesis, and thus survival, prove that common descent, evolution, and billions of years are false. This was proved in this book.

And any new functionality that would lead to any new organs, tissues, systems, subsystems in any species would need 10 or 100 or many more protein coding genes, so that could never happen through DNA mutation ERRORS. The functionality does not work until all or almost all of these protein coding genes all exist and are working together. Until then it provides no survival advantage for natural selection to select. In fact, it would be detrimental to survival and be seclude against. And during this time some of these protein coding genes could not be expressed or for all intents and purposes not expressed, else it would be deadly or disadvantageous and thus rejected by natural selection. But if a protein coding gene is not expressed or for all intents and purposes not expressed in the protein that it codes for, then point DNA mutation ERRORS will produce misplaced stop codons. This is due to the fact, that without a protein produced, natural selection is not involved. And once that begins to happen, then there is no way to stop even more misplaced stop codons from being created after the first misplaced stop codon. And this would greatly shorten any protein produced if the gene is later expressed and thus be deadly or disadvantageous and thus rejected by natural selection. Or it would persist for over 1 billion years which would not match what is observed. Thus, it is impossible for new functionality to have come into being for both a copying of genes which are mutated into a new specific functional genes or existing genes which are mutated into new specific functional genes. And spontaneously created genes have already been eliminated as an option as proved prior. So, this covers all options. And this analysis applies to haploids and diploids. And thus, it is impossible for new complex functionality, systems, organs, tissues, etc. to have come into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS whether for haploids or diploids, but especially with diploids that use sexual reproduction. Evolution, billions of years, and common descent have again been falsified as well as the “no God” assumption. Therefore, God created all things recently. As noted, the above analysis holds for haploids and diploids.

But there are even more problems for evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS to produce any new functionality. Many times, when new functionality supposedly came into existence, then either some old functionality must have been turned off or modified to incorporate the new functionality. There are many examples of these. And in almost all cases, it must have occurred in the very same organism requiring many super great miracles of miracles simultaneously. That is, the old functionality must have been shut off or modified in such a way that it incorporated the new functionality. And many of these would have been related to how the organism survives, replicates or both. So, if not correct, the organism either would have died or could not have replicated or both. Thus, it would have absolutely been rejected by natural selection as that would have been the only creature that would ever have it, since either way there was no offspring. But the removal of this particular old functionality or its modification had never been tried out before. So, natural selection could have never selected anything that could

have possibly helped in this case. Thus, it virtually certain that the organism would have died or could not have replicated or both.

Furthermore, all of the new functionality would have to have been in place, with each part working almost perfectly, else the creature would have died or was unable to reproduce or both when the new functionality would have become active. But this particular new functionality had never been tried before. So, natural selection could have never selected anything that could have possibly helped in this case. Thus, it would have absolutely been rejected by natural selection as that would have been the only creature that would ever have it since there was no offspring. Thus, it virtually certain that the organism would have died or could not have replicated or both.

Evolutionists claim that common features shared between species, whether anatomical or genetic prove evolution, billions of years, common descent. But they exclude the only real possibility - creation by God - to make this claim. But no one has ever proved that God does not exist and created all things as it is logically impossible to do so. So, to eliminate creation by God is unscientific, illogical and irrational. God like all intelligent designers would of course reused common elements and solutions. But common features shared between species, whether anatomical or genetic, prove God created all things as inexplicable similarities and inexplicable differences refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years. This eliminates similarities, whether anatomical or genetic, as being a proof of common descent. Yet evolutionists still erroneously claim that such is the case. This is a great error in evolutionary theory, and very many have been deceived by this. In the spirit of scientific honesty, there should be a worldwide announcement immediately in all media to retract this great fallacy. And all textbooks, papers, courseware and courses concerning such things should also be updated.

Evolutionists, who claim that the earth and the universe are billions of years old, will use radioactive dating as supposed evidence for this claim. But this claim is shown to be false. Radioactive dating actually shows that all things were created recently. There are a number of assumptions that must hold to use radioactive dating to determine the age of things. For a single step decay, there are at least 8 assumptions. And each additional step in a decay chain has at least 5 more assumptions. For long ages, these assumptions do not hold. And evolutionists can never prove that they do. The only verified radioactive dated age is for things that have a known age from historical records. Consider that radioactive dating tests of things with known recent ages yield very long ages. Rocks from the 1980 Mount Saint Helen’s eruption are dated as many millions of years old with great variance. And there are many other examples of these from many places. And these vary even on the same sample. And samples which are dated as supposedly very old yield vastly varying ages even on the same sample, and even with the same isotope. And these problems hold for all decay chains even with isochron dating which is supposedly the most accurate radioactive dating technique. All these prove that the assumptions that are required to use radioactive dating do not hold for long ages. And many samples which supposedly are very old are not C-14 dead. And there are many examples of these. These include dinosaur tissue, coal and even diamonds which resist contamination. And these C-14 dates are consistent with young

earth and a worldwide flood that occurred in the days of Noah about 4500 years ago when taking into the ratio of C-14 to C-12 that existed before flood.

And the presence of elliptical polonium radio halos concentric with spherical polonium radio halos in coalfield wood found in various rock layers prove that there was a worldwide flood which deposited almost all the fossil bearing sediment layers within a short timeframe of about 1 year. Note that fossils, coal, and other things which evolutionists claim take a very long time to form have been shown to form rapidly under high temperate and high pressure in the presence of water. And these are the very conditions which would have existed during the yearlong worldwide flood in the days of Noah about 4,500 years ago.

The accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in nuclear DNA, and especially in mitochondrial DNA, in all species and all creatures that have ever lived, proves that all species are less than 10,000 years. These facts refute evolution, common descent, and billions of years completely. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, the results of all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such but actually show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves common creation by the Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all intelligently designed things have an intelligent designer. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false. And of course that refutes evolution, common descent, and billions of years. And that also proves that the “no God” assumption is false. Thus, the “no God” of “Atheistic Origin Science” can never be used to determine what is the origin of things and the long age dating of things. It also proves God created all things recently.

Any first cell coming into existence spontaneously in an abiogenesis event would have produced a vast leap in information content which includes all the DNA, RNA, proteins and all structures contained in such. That is impossible. But from there on, DNA mutation ERRORS would cause a degradation of the information of that species. And the decrease of that information is proportional to the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS and is proportional to the amount of total information. Thus, the information content would have a constant decay constant. So, the solution for the amount of information over time is the exponential decay function given below.

$I(t) = I(0)\, e^{(-kt)}$

where I(t) is the amount of information, I(0) is the initial amount of information in the first cell due to abiogenesis, k is the decay constant due to the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS, and t is the time since abiogenesis.

Now at first it must be the case that that first creature would have had the most fragile system of maintaining and copying DNA. This is simply because of anything more robust, sophisticated and accurate would require a much more complex first creature from abiogenesis. That would make abiogenesis even more preposterous. So, more than likely this abiogenesis event would not last many generations at all, maybe not past the first one.

Note that there is no source of additional information as DNA mutation ERRORS cannot produce any new information. For eukaryotes, it is a fast decay due to the larger mitochondrial DNA mutation ERRORS rate. Thus, all eukaryote species must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, this situation will certainly not lead to an increase to many millions of times the amount of information in that first cell.

Now consider the rate of DNA mutation ERROS in all species. If that rate is 0, there is no change in the genome of species and so evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false. And if the rate is very small, then it would have taken vast ages to produce any new species. But even under this case, extinction of all species would happen long before that. And any rate about 1/10 of today's actual rates or more will lead to extinction relatively quickly. DNA mutation ERRORS destroy information. They do not create information. Thus, under no value for the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS will evolution be true. So, evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of "Atheistic Origin Science" have been falsified again. Thus, God created all things.

The original Big Bang Theory from the late 1920s was proven false in many ways. One of those ways was due to the finite speed of light. The problem for the original Big Bang Theory is that the Cosmic Background Microwave Radiation is too smooth. So, Inflation was added to the Big Bang Theory in the late 1970s to try and save the Big Bang Theory. This was an attempt to explain why the universe appears so uniform in all directions, known as the horizon problem. Also, Inflation was added as an attempt to explain why the universe is flat, known as the flatness problem. According to the Big Bang with Inflation, a very short time after the Big Bang, the universe for some unknown reason expanded at an enormous rate way beyond the standard expansion rate after the Big Bang. The universe supposedly went through a great expansion in size shortly after the Big Bang. This supposedly expanded the size of the universe by a factor of about 10^78 or about 10^26 times for each dimension. During this time, the universe expanded far faster than the speed of light. This is not a problem for the finite speed of light since space itself is expanding. Note that the observable universe is supposedly about 93 billion light years in size but only about 13.8 billion years old. Thus, its size is supposedly almost 7x what it should be based on its age. So, things must have on average moved apart faster than almost 7x the finite speed of light. This is not a problem for the finite speed of light since space itself is expanding. And during Inflation,

things moved apart vastly faster than that. Again, this is not a problem for the finite speed of light since space itself is expanding. This inflation went on for some undermined time and then for some unknown reason stopped this period of enormous expansion. The unknowns concerning Inflation are many - when did this expansion start, how fast was it, was it a constant expansion rate during that time, if not what was the function vs time, how long did it occur, when did it stop, why did this start, what caused it to start, why did this stop, and what caused it to stop. There also should be one or more inflation particles that would have caused this to happen. Such particles have never been observed even though they have been searched for in the calculated energy ranges. Of course, these are what are known as fudge factors to make the data match the theory. Yet with all those fudge factors, no set of numbers for the fudge factors matches all observations.

So even with the Big Bang with Inflation, there are many things which refute it. And the latest discoveries from newer more advanced telescopes such as the Hubble, the James Web Space Telescope (JWST), and others have presented many more problems for all atheistic theories for the origin of the universe.

Many scientists are actively looking at new theories because they know that Big Bang with Inflation does not explain what is observed and is thus false. And they are attempting to come up with new theories of stellar and galaxy formation because the current ones have also been falsified. And that is because the further out the observations are, supposedly the closer in time to the Big Bang these objects should be. Some of the new theories are multiverses, infinite number of universes, infinite inflation, etc. Yet none can explain all the data and thus all are false. So, there does not exist any atheistic theory that can explain the existence of the universe, the things that are in it, and the distribution of these things across the universe, which according to the supposed Big Bang are near the beginning of the universe at great distances from earth.

However, the Bible says that God created the universe, stretched it out and then made the stars in their positions throughout the universe. So, the finite speed of light is no problem for a recent creation by God Almighty as light travels over vast distances over stretched out space so that light from very large distances arrives in only days. This is so that mankind can behold the glory of God which includes all the stars and galaxies in the universe.

The lack of any significant “junk DNA” and vestigial systems, functions, tissues, organs, etc., in all organisms of all species alive today refutes evolution, common descent, and billions of years completely since these are required predictions of evolution through DNA mutation ERRORS. So, that is a multitude of refutations of evolution, common descent, and billions of years. And that also proves that the “no God” assumption is false. Thus, the “no God” of “Atheistic Origin Science” can never be used to determine what is the origin of things and the long age dating of things. It also proves God created all things recently.

Evolutionists date fossils by the rock layers that are in and the rock layers by the fossils they contain. This is just pure circular reasoning. An analysis of the fossil bearing rock layers and the anomalies found in them prove that they are not the result of billions of years but by a worldwide flood in the time of Noah about 4500 years ago. And this also proves that the earth was created recently, and evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false as is the “no God” of “Atheistic Origin Science”. There is no place on earth where all the rock layers supposedly many millions of years old are found in order except in pictures. All places have missing rock layers. And most have many layers that are missing. In many place the layers are out of order, sometimes reversed. And fossils are many times out of order and also reversed. And there are “living fossils” which look just like fossilized versions supposedly separated by very many millions of years. But the accumulation of DNA mutations in those species would have forced these to have changed drastically in that time. And there are many missing layers since the last appearance of some of these living fossils in supposedly ancient rock layers, which refutes the long age interpretation of them. There are also massive fossil graveyards with fossils from species supposedly separated by many millions of years are all found together in one place and in the same layers. This is irrefutable evidence against evolution, common descent, and billions of years. And that also proves that the “no God” assumption is false. Thus, the “no God” of “Atheistic Origin Science” can never be used to determine what is the origin of things and the long age dating of things. It also proves God created all things recently.

The Cambrian Explosion is a term used to describe the fact that in the very lower fossil bearing rock layers, all the current phyla are there, all fully formed and without any previous partially formed creatures which would be required for evolutionary theory to be true. This too refutes evolution, common descent, and billions of years. And that also proves that the “no God” assumption is false. Thus, the “no God” of “Atheistic Origin Science” can never be used to determine what is the origin of things and the long age dating of things. It also proves God created all things recently.

If there were a worldwide flood today it would produce fossil bearing rocks layers just as exist today. And there is abundant irrefutable evidence that there was a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago that created almost all fossil bearing rock layers. One of those pieces of evidence is this event is an historical event that is recorded by many ancient cultures from various places around the world. So, it is a historical fact. Also note, that there are many artifacts that describe or depict dinosaurs well before the reconstruction of such creatures from fossils in modern times. That destroys over 65 million years from the supposed vast ages proposed by evolutionists.

There is no evidence of anything older than about 6,000 years old without using assumptions and circular reasoning. No one has ever been able to prove the existence of anything older than about 6,000 years old without using assumptions and circular reasoning. Many have tried and failed.

There are dozens of “living fossils”, but evolution through the accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS would have forced changes in all of these over that amount of time.

This is based on DNA divergence rates due to the species-specific rates of the accumulation of DNA mutations ERRORS. Furthermore, competition for food and to survive predators would also have forced changes. And many of these living fossils went through up to 5 great mass extinction events which would have also forced changes. Thus, these inexplicable similarities of the living version of a living fossil, and the fossilized version supposedly from millions, tens of millions, or hundreds of millions of years ago refute evolution, common descent and billions of years. And each one of these dozens of living fossils prove that evolution, common descent, and billions of years are false.

The DNA similarities between species contradicts the supposed time since the last common ancestor (LCA) for all nodes of the supposed evolution descent tree. This is based on DNA divergence rates due to the species-specific rates of the accumulation of DNA mutations ERRORS for each branch from any node. DNA divergence forces change in the genomes, and the longer the time since the LCA, the greater the difference in their genomes. This will also be true of the genes in the genomes, and all intergenic regions. Thus, these inexplicable similarities refute evolution, common descent and billions of years.

Note that evolutionists will hide the vast number of contradictions that prove their theories are false by calling them anomalies or ignoring them altogether in some manner or another. These anomalies are vast in number. And the more that science learns, the more anomalies are found. And there is no explanation for any of those things that are known to be anomalies. And these anomalies exist throughout the earth, throughout its surface and the details thereof, the fossil bearing rock layers, the fossil record, the oceans, the earth's atmosphere, its magnetic field, throughout the interior of the earth, in all living things, in radioactive dating and all dating techniques that give a long age to things. And these anomalies exist throughout all the planets, moons, comets, asteroids in the solar system. And these anomalies exist throughout our galaxy, all other galaxies, and throughout the universe. And the list goes on and on and on. That is, anomalies here, anomalies there, anomalies everywhere. Thus, contradictions here, contradictions there, contradictions everywhere. Each of these anomalies are contradictions to the theories of evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the "no God" assumption of Atheistic Origin Science. Thus, these anomalies, aka contradictions, prove all these theories are false, and prove that God created all things recently.

There are many other things that prove all things were created recently. And all "clocks" used agree with that. There are many other things that prove the earth, its surface, and its interior were created recently. This was proved in the section about that in this book.

Evolution cannot make large jumps. To do that would require a very large number of simultaneous super great miracles of miracles in the same organism. And sexual reproduction in diploids will end all such events due to the problem of chromosomal mismatches. But if evolution is gradual, there should be millions of chains of missing links in the fossil record. All the millions of chains of missing links are still missing. They

have not found one chain of missing links at all. There are now many tens of millions of fossils that have been discovered. Why are all these chains of missing links still missing? They should be finding missing links every day. Why not? The fossil record shows stable disparate species, all fully formed and functional, just as exists today in the world.

Evolution cannot make large jumps. To do that would require a super great miracle of miracles. And sexual reproduction in diploids will end all such events due to the problem of chromosomal mismatches. But if evolution is gradual, there should also be partially developed organs, functions, etc. in all individual creatures alive today and that have ever lived. There are not. Why? And there should be partially developed structures, functions, etc. in all the fossilized remains of all individual creatures. There are not.

Imagine someone looking at all the information that mankind has ever produced in all books ever written, all notes ever written, all text files, all posts, all comments, all text messages, all blogs, all diaries, all verbal conversations, all other written or typed text, etc. and claim that no intelligent beings were the producers of all that information. If someone claimed such a thing, you would suspect they were just joking or very drunk or had left reality for some reason. But the information in the DNA of all creatures that have ever existed or exists today dwarfs all the information that mankind has ever produced by a vast amount. Yet many evolutionists will deny that all that DNA information must have come from an intelligent Being. Not only that, but many evolutionists will accuse anyone who says that all that information must have come from an intelligent Being as being illogical and unscientific.

Imagine someone who looking at all the things that mankind has ever made and claiming that they were not produced by intelligent beings. There are a vast multitude of things that mankind has made. If someone claimed that these things were not made by intelligent beings, you would suspect they were just joking or very drunk or had left reality for some reason. Yet the intelligently designed things in all cells that have ever existed or exist today dwarfs the things that mankind has made by a vast amount in both number and the intricacies of these functional bio molecular micro machines. Yet many evolutionists will deny that these things must come from an intelligent Being. And not only that, but many evolutionists will accuse anyone who says that all these things must have come from an intelligent Being as being illogical and unscientific. And the reason for such denials is to maintain the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”.

At this point, it is absolutely certain that God created all things. And therefore the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” is false. Thus, the “no God” assumption can never be used in determining the origin and long ages of things. Simple common sense and rational thinking are enough to understand this.

The many refutations of evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” of “Atheistic Origin Science” presented in this book are inarguable and irrefutable. These false theories have been falsified forever. And those promoting these theories

must refute each and every one of the refutations in this book. But this book is just a very small part of the case against these false theories. I have given a Facebook page that has over 2,000 more refutations. And many others have even more refutations beyond these. Until all have been refuted, these theories should be retracted worldwide and immediately. And this should be announced in all media worldwide. And all courses, courseware, and books on such subjects on these subjects should be changed.

Based on the proofs in this book, the following summarizes the odds against evolution billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. Very many of the things that must have occurred in the past for evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” to be true have been proved to be impossible. So, the odds against each one of these many things are infinite. And for each of a vast multitude of things, they have incomprehensible vast odds against evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” being true. The total odds against these theories is the product of all the individual odds against if these things are independent events. And that model is a very good approximation for the total odds against these theories. So, the total odds against these theories are infinite. But even if evolutionists claim that nothing is impossible, then those things that are impossible would still have incomprehensibly vast odds against these theories. And so, the total odds against these theories are incomprehensibly vast. Thus, the total odds against these theories are far greater than googolplex^(googol^3) to 1 even if you exclude the very many things which are impossible. That number could never be typed out even if the universe were unfathomable times older than it supposedly is. And that number if typed out would not fit in the universe even if each 0 were as small as a Planck length, and even if the universe was unfathomable times larger than it is. And remember that even if just 1 of these things could not have occurred according to these theories, then these theories are false and false forever. And that proves infallibly and irrefutably that God created all things recently.

The level of “proof” required by evolutionist based on the level they used in accepting evolution, billions of years, commons descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” is either 0 chance or as close to zero as possible. On the other hand, for God to have created all things, the odds are that it is either certain or as close to certain as certain can be. Thus, the level of proof that God created all things is either infinitely more certain or a close to infinitely more certain that evolution could be true. Thus, the burden of proof has been met for the case that God created all things. Thus, the theories of evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” should be retraced worldwide immediately.

Yet evolutionists claim that each and every one of these things must have occurred with 100% certainty according to these theories. That is, all of the very many things that are impossible according to their theories must have occurred with 100% certainly. Each of every one of them. And for the vast multitude of things, with each having incomprehensible vast odds against, they must have occurred with 100% certainly.

Each of every one of them. And evolutionists base this on the already proven false “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” and circular reasoning. Not only that, but all these things must have occurred in a certain sequence, one after another with an average of about one every 20 minutes (or on average of about one every 4 minutes for the over 500 trillion number) for the supposed 3.8 billion years in a specific order, as if directed by an Almighty being with power and intelligence far beyond comprehension to have achieved all those intelligently designed creatures. That Almighty being is God Almighty, the Creator of all things.

Consider that it has been proved by analyzing many things, that the earth and everything in or on it, and in the universe are less than 10,000 years old. So, all of the vast multitude of things, which had incomprehensibly vast odds against these theories, are actually impossible.

Remember, there is no record of anything that evolutionists claim happened in the past actually happened in the past. And none are happening now. And all analyses of these things prove that none of the things they claim happened are even possible. Science is supposed to be observable. So, these theories are not science. And evolutionists cannot conduct any test that confirms that anything they claim to have happened could have happened, unless they conduct them by using intelligent procedures designed by intelligent scientists in a contrived highly controlled manner. That confirms that these things indeed are impossible in the wild without scientists, who were not there when these things were supposed to have happened. Science is supposed to be testable. So, these theories are not science at all. In fact, all tests refute all their theories. Many of these refutation tests were presented in this book. So, all tests refute all of these theories, and these refutation tests are repeatable all the time. And evolutionists have no actual explanation for the origin of anything in the universe that is scientific, logical and rational. Evolution is the theory of nothing because it cannot explain the origin of anything that exists.

The following is a list of some of the things that are observed today and compares these observations with 2 competing worldviews. One is evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”. This will be referred to as evolution. The other is that God created all things recently and that the Bible is the word of God and thus what it says is true. This will be referred to a Biblical YEC. Science should be observable, testable, repeatable, and be able to make accurate predictions of the things that exist in the world today. As has been proved in this book and by many others, evolution fails every time and Biblical YEC never fails. Many of these have been discussed and proved in this book. All of these match Biblical YEC but refute evolution.

Living things never come into existence from non-living chemicals. Refutes evolution.
Cells never come into existence from non-living chemicals. Refutes evolution.
Living things only produced from existing living things. Refutes evolution.
Cells only produced from existing cells. Refutes evolution.

Like kind always produced by like kind and is never produced from a different kind. Refutes evolution.
According to evolution, the vast majority of the genome of all species and all organisms having over 90% to as much as 99% junk DNA. There is none.
There are no vestigial organs, tissues, functionality, systems, sub systems, or genes in any species. Evolution requires many of these in all species. Refutes evolution.
The fossil record missing all of the millions of chains of missing links. No chain has been found. This matches Biblical YEC but refutes evolution.
No species with partially formed things in the fossil record. Refutes evolution.
No species today with partially formed organs, tissues, functionality, systems, sub systems, or genes. Refutes evolution.
All if not all of the DNA of all species and all organisms is functional. Refutes evolution.
A plot of the number of genes vs gene sizes in all species today be continuous and not discrete. Refutes evolution.
The absence of protein coding genes with misplaced stop codons scattered throughout in all species. Refutes evolution.
No RNA world in existence or coming into existence that is self-replicating and evolving to a living cell with DNA, RNA, and proteins. That also goes for all other combinations of RNA, proteins, and something else. Refutes evolution.
The existence of accounts of a worldwide flood in many ancient civilizations from all around the word with many of the details matching the record in Genesis. Refutes evolution.
C-14 found in fossils, diamonds and other supposedly ancient things. Refutes evolution.
Artifacts and accounts of dinosaurs recorded by various people. Refutes evolution.
Intact biomolecules from supposedly ancient tissues. Refutes evolution.
Things with a known age being dated by radioactive dating as very ancient with great variance in the reported ages using different isotopes, even the same isotopes and even using isochron dating. Refutes evolution.
Same samples being dated by radioactive dating with great variance in the reported ages using different isotopes, even the same isotopes, and even using isochron dating. Refutes evolution.
Living fossils. Refutes evolution.
Livings fossils missing in intermediate rock layers. Refutes evolution.
Fossil graveyards containing species that are supposedly from different geological ages. Refutes evolution.
Fossil bearing rock layers out of order based on assigned ages by evolutionists. Refutes evolution.
Fossils of species out of order based on assigned ages by evolutionists. Refutes evolution.
Fossils with soft tissue. Refutes evolution.
Different codon transcription/translation tables for nuclear DNA versus mitochondria and chloroplasts. Refutes evolution.
The existence of multicellular organisms. Refutes evolution.
Species that reproduce sexually. Refutes evolution.
The existence of over 100 trillion (possibly over 500 trillion) unique genes that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed. Refutes evolution.

Enzymes - over 1 trillion (possibly 10 trillion) unique enzymes and their associated over 1 trillion (possibly 10 trillion) unique protein coding genes in all species that have ever existed from DNA mutation ERRORS. Refutes evolution.
Introns - tens of trillions (possibly over 100 trillion) unique introns that have ever existed in all species that have ever existed from DNA mutation ERRORS. Refutes evolution.
Motor proteins such as kinesins, dyneins and myosins. Refutes evolution.
ATP. Refutes evolution.
Eukaryotes. Refutes evolution.
Percent of DNA similarity between species that have a supposed common ancestor from before about 6000 years ago that are too high based on DNA rates for both decent lines for all major branch offs of the supposed evolution descent tree and their associated node times. Refutes evolution.
Inexplicable differences and inexplicable similarities, both genetic and anatomical, between species. Refutes evolution.
The lack of any beneficial mutation that is not the loss of information and functionality or based on the assumption that common descent. Refutes evolution.
The existence of any species today that did not go extinct eons ago due to the rate of accumulation of DNA mutation ERRORS in all species and all organisms. Refutes evolution.
The lack of any evidence for evolution that is logical, scientific and rational. Refutes evolution.
The existence of vast information in the DNA of all species. Refutes evolution.
The existence of a vast number of irreducibly complex functionality, organs, systems, etc. in all species especially bimolecular micro machines in all cells that exist. Refutes evolution.
Mankind singularly unique from all other creatures. Refutes evolution.
Civilizations advanced from the beginning. Refutes evolution.
Trillions of fossils of primates missing that should have existed in the last about 7 million years. Refutes evolution.
No running calendar or count of years from any civilization that is more than 6000 years. Refutes evolution.
The absence of any historical record or archaeological find that contradicts the Biblical record with many things matching the Bible and more being discovered every year. Refutes evolution.
The Bible being seamlessly consistent considering that it was written by 40 authors over a period of about 1500 years. Refutes evolution.
The existence of over 60,000 possibly much more cross references considering that it was written by 40 authors over a period of about 1500 years. Refutes evolution.
The very many Biblical prophecies that have been fulfilled some with exact timing and exact details. These include prophecies fulfilled in OT times, by Jesus Christ, the history of the church for the last about 2000 years. And the many Biblical prophecies written over a period of about 2000 to 3500 years ago that have been fulfilled with exact timing and exact details in our time, the last days before the pretribulation rapture of the church. Refutes evolution.
Advanced scientific information in the Bible written about 2000 to 3500 years ago and only becoming known in the last about 100 years. Refutes evolution.

Population statistics match a worldwide flood in the days of Noah about 4500 years ago. Refutes evolution.
Mitochondrial studies which point to Eve as the mother of living about 6000 years ago. Refutes evolution.
The Cambrian explosion. Refutes evolution.
The lack of any new system, subsystem, organ, functionality, tissue, etc. coming into existence through DNA mutation ERRORS. Refutes evolution.
The list of things that evolution cannot explain such DNA, RNA, proteins, chromosomes, genes, prokaryotic cells, eukaryotic cells, multicellular organisms, sexual reproduction, cell type differentiation in multicellular organisms, hox genes, homeobox genes, all organelles, any other part of a cell, the extremely finely tuned and extremely orderly universe, the laws of physics, flagella, cilia, flight, sight, protein folding, epigenetics, the supercoiling of DNA, DNA repair, the complete metamorphosis of caterpillars into butterflies, cascading blood clotting in vertebrates, the defense mechanism of the Bombardier Beetle, developmental gene regulatory networks, embryonic development, or anything else for that matter. All refute evolution.
The vast number of anomalies that exist throughout the earth, throughout its surface and the details thereof, the fossil bearing rock layers, the fossil record, the oceans, the earth's atmosphere, its magnetic field, throughout the interior of the earth, in all living things, in radioactive dating and all dating techniques that give a long age to things. And these anomalies exist throughout all the planets, moons, comets, and asteroids in the solar system. And these anomalies exist throughout our galaxy, all other galaxies, and throughout the universe. All refute evolution.
And the list goes on and on. All refute evolution.

All evolutionists are deceived because of all of the indoctrination, propaganda, censorship and deceit they have been subjected to all of their lives. They actually believe these theories and act accordingly. Unfortunately, because they are deceived, they repeat these deceptions. Thus, an analysis of the claims that they make show the use of deception. And many will complete the censorship because they will never consider the overwhelming case against these false theories.

Amazingly evolutionists are the very proof that not only does God exist and that God created all things but that the Bible is the true word of God. Inside every cell of every evolutionist, in every person that has ever lived, and in all cells that have ever existed is a vast amount of information and intelligently designed things that must have been created by God. And every evolutionist fulfills a number of Biblical prophecies with exact timing and exact details many times each and every day just as the Bible predicted would happen in our times, which is called the last days before the pre tribulation rapture. Some of these prophecies are given by 2 Tim 3-4, 2 Thess 2:10-12, 1 Tim 6:20-21, 2 Peter 3:5-6, Rom 1:22, 25, 28, et al with the timing given by 2 Peter 3:8, Gen 1-2:1, and Hosea 6:2. In fact, many prophecies from all parts of the Bible have come true and are coming true with exact timing and exact details just as the Bible predicted. This is just one of the proofs that the Bible is indeed the true word of God.

The fact that in our times very many people believe that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are true proves that that there are great deceptions and delusions. This is actually predicted by the Bible with exact details and exact timing and is just another proof that the Bible is indeed the word of God. In fact, very many predictions from the Bible are all coming true with exact details and exact timing from all parts of the Bible just as the Bible said would happen in our times. Yet these scriptures were written over a period of time from about 3,500 years to about 2,000 years ago. There are many other things which prove that the Bible is the true word of God.

The theories of evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science” are not true. They are false and thus lies. And anything based on these is based on something that is false. These theories should be thrown onto the scrap heap of history.

The fact that evolution, billions of years, and common descent are false, and that the God of the Bible created all things recently has implications for all areas of knowledge, and every facet for society and life. This is true for both collective society and also for individuals and the people in their lives. These areas include biology, chemistry, physics, medicine, politics, finance, history, archaeology, geology, education, law, global and local governance, community, charity, marriage, family, business, music, poetry, social media, film, technology and so on. So, when these things are based on evolution, billions of years, common descent, and the “no God” assumption of “Atheistic Origin Science”, they will contain things that are in error and thus potentially damaging for very many people. But if these things are based on Biblical Young Earth creation, and the gospel of Christ as the only way to salvation, with the Bible as the word of truth, then these things will not be in error and not damaging for very many people. The most important thing in the world is to believe the gospel of Christ and be saved forever. That is true for you and everyone you know and love. And preaching or promoting the preaching the gospel of Christ is the greatest good for anyone to do. It changes everything and eternally.

My advice is that all individuals, all schools, all universities, all organizations, and all governments should retract evolution, billions of years, and common descent worldwide immediately. Evolution, billions of years, and common descent should be renounced by all who believe it. All that time, talent, and money wasted on false theories instead of being used to help people. Evolution and billions of years have brought untold misery and destruction to very many. It is one of the greatest delusions of all time and the greatest scientific delusion of all time.

All scripture quoted is from the King James Bible.