

Proof that all long age dating of anything in existence is false. And why this removes all supposed evidence for evolution and billions of years.

The assumptions used for radioactive dating

The following are the 8 assumptions for every single step decay chain:

The initial amount of the parent.

The initial amount of the daughter.

That nothing is added to the parent amount.

That nothing is subtracted from the parent amount.

That nothing is added to the daughter amount.

That nothing is subtracted from the daughter amount.

That the decay rate has not changed.

That God did nothing miraculous.

These are the 3 assumptions for each step in a multi-step decay chain:

That nothing is added to the intermediate isotope.

That nothing is subtracted from the intermediate isotope.

That the decay rate for that step has not changed.

So, for a 5-step decay chain, there are 20 assumptions.

There is no way to know that these assumptions hold for any long age dating of anything using radioactive decay dating. In fact, an analysis will show that absolutely have not held.

All measurement techniques need to be calibrated against a known set of samples.

There is nothing magical about radioactive dating to get around this.

Linear projection beyond measured and observed ranges is a false science and almost always fails at some point. There is then no way to calibrate radioactive dating beyond about 6000 years. Only historical events can be used, and they only go back about 6000 years.

C-14 dating can be used up to about 3500 years ago. Calibration curves using historical events exist with different ones for the northern and southern hemisphere.

There are many “clocks” that show that the earth is much less than billions of years, even most showing the earth is much less than millions of years. And many showing the earth is only thousands of years old.

The accumulation of DNA mutation errors, especially to mitochondrial DNA, in all species proves that the earth and everything in it or on are less than 10,000 years old.

All the long age dating techniques have been proven to be false, even isochron radioactive isotope dating. Evolution and billions of years has been proven to be false with many infallible proofs. Thus, the fossil containing rock layers are not many millions of years old with the fossils showing descent through evolution but proof of 6-day creation about 6000 years ago and a worldwide flood about 4500 years ago.

An analysis of results shows that radioactive dating of things with a known young age yield vastly large and inconsistent dates. That shows they are unreliable with an error range of $\pm 100\%$. The evidence shows also that radioactive dating using either the same isotope or different isotopes, even using isochrons, yield very different dates on the very same things. This they are inconsistent and again show an error range of $\pm 100\%$. The evidence also shows that many things that are supposed to be very many millions of years old are not C-14 dead and thus only thousands of years old.

The evidence further shows that almost all "clocks" show that the earth, the universe, and everything else, are not billions of years old, or millions of years old, but thousands of years old. This further proves that anything that shows a very old age must be wrong. The only explanation for these inconsistencies is some miraculous events like 6-day creation, the fall in the garden and the worldwide flood. See the link below for more information about the evidence from all these "clocks".

<https://creation.com/age-of-the-earth>

Things with a known young age yield vastly large and inconsistent dates. This by itself shows that no old date can be accepted from radioactive dating. It is impossible to verify and calibrate it for known ages. Furthermore, the rock layers cannot be dated by these techniques and using the fossils they contain, including index fossils, is just pure circular reasoning with no data at all. The rock layers are dated by the assumed age of the rock layers and the assumed age of the fossils they contain. And the fossils are dated by the assumed age of the rocks that contain.

Also, note that the accumulation of DNA mutations errors, especially mitochondrial DNA, by all species proves species are less than 10,000 years old. So, all fossils are less than 10,000 years old. And the rock layers that contain the fossils must be less than 10,000 years old. Thus, all dating of things by whatever means that yield old ages for things on the earth or in the rock layers are falsified. And all canyons, glaciers, ice cores, varves, etc. must be less than 10,000 years old. But it also proves that the earth must be less than 10,000 years old, because all the surface of the earth is less than 10,000 years old. And there is no other catastrophe that could have produced all the fossils and rock layers except the worldwide flood. Massive bombardment by asteroids could not have done it as they would have left a telltale sign. Massive magma flows from underground could not have done so as the rock layers are not from such and show signs of flood deposition. And the common descent of species is falsified as life has only existed for less than 10,000 years. That only leaves a common creation by the

Creator to explain all similarities, which is proved by the principle that all designed things have a designer. This eliminates all supposed evidence for evolution from any common characteristic. And since the earth is less than 10,000 years, so is the moon. And that means that the long age dating of anything from the moon is proved false.

Even the sun and the solar system are not old.

<https://creation.com/the-young-faint-sun-paradox-and-the-age-of-the-solar-system>

And the Big Bang Theory is false. Here is just some of the evidence against it. Of course, the Big Bang theory was always preposterous. But that is for another post.

<https://answersingenesis.org/big-bang/>